SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY PUBLISHING HOUSE

SCIENTIFIC PAPERS OF SILESIAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY 2024
ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT SERIES NO. 191

THE USAGE OF POKA-YOKA IN INDUSTRY 4.0 CONDITIONS

Radosław WOLNIAK

Silesian University of Technology, Organization and Management Department, Economics and Informatics Institute; rwolniak@polsl.pl, ORCID: 0000-0003-0317-9811

Purpose: The purpose of this publication is to present the usage of Poka-Yoka approach in Industry 4.0 conditions.
Design/methodology/approach: Critical literature analysis. Analysis of international literature from main databases and polish literature and legal acts connecting with researched topic.
Findings: The integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 signifies a transformative leap in error prevention methodologies, aligning seamlessly with the objectives of advanced manufacturing. By merging the principles of Poka-Yoke with smart technologies like sensors, IoT devices, and real-time data analytics, a dynamic and sophisticated approach to error prevention emerges in the era of Industry 4.0. With applications ranging from simple visual cues to complex technological solutions, Poka-Yoke finds resonance across various industries, particularly in the automotive sector, where sensors and devices on assembly lines swiftly detect and rectify deviations, elevating both product quality and operational efficiency. The incorporation of artificial intelligence and machine learning in Industry 4.0 augments Poka-Yoke, enabling systems not only to identify errors but also to learn from them, fostering continuous improvement and adaptability in response to evolving production scenarios. Emphasizing proactive error prevention at the source, continuous improvement, and a commitment to training and education, the key principles outlined in Table 1 contribute to creating resilient, reliable processes delivering consistently high-quality outputs. Table 2 demonstrates the seamless integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0, showcasing technological advancements that collectively form an adaptive approach to error prevention and quality management. Additionally, Table 3 highlights the advantages of this integration, emphasizing improved quality control, operational efficiency, and adaptability in modern manufacturing environments. However, challenges outlined in Table 4, including complex implementation, data security concerns, high initial costs, interoperability issues, and skill gaps, necessitate strategic planning and investment in overcoming obstacles. In conclusion, the integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 signifies a strategic evolution, where technology-driven error prevention, continuous improvement, and a commitment to quality converge to create resilient, adaptive, and highly efficient manufacturing systems, positioning this integration as a cornerstone for excellence in the evolving landscape of industrial production.
Originality/value: Detailed analysis of all subjects related to the problems connected with the usage of Poka-Yoka in Industry 4.0 conditions.
Keywords: Industry 4.0; Quality 4.0, quality management; quality methods, Poka-Yoka.
Category of the paper: literature review.

1. Introduction

Poka-yoke principles align seamlessly with the goals of Industry 4.0 by addressing the challenges and opportunities presented in this era of advanced manufacturing. The use of sensors, Internet of Things (IoT) devices, and real-time data analytics enables a more sophisticated and dynamic approach to error prevention. In smart factories, these technologies play a pivotal role in monitoring and controlling processes, offering the capability to detect deviations from the norm instantly.

One notable aspect of poka-yoke in Industry 4.0 is the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms. These technologies empower systems to not only identify errors but also learn from them, continuously improving and adapting to evolving production scenarios. Predictive analytics, powered by AI, contribute to a preemptive approach, allowing for the anticipation and elimination of potential issues before they escalate (Barsalou, 2023; Maganga, Taifa, 2023).

The purpose of this publication is to present the usage of Poka-Yoka approach in Industry 4.0 condition.

2. The basics of Poka-Yoka approach

Poka-yoke, a term originating from Japan, translates to "mistake-proofing" or "error prevention" in English. It refers to a method or approach employed in various industries to eliminate or reduce errors in processes by designing systems that prevent mistakes or make them immediately apparent. The concept of poka-yoke revolves around the idea that human errors are inevitable, but their consequences can be mitigated through thoughtful design. By incorporating fail-safes and intuitive mechanisms into workflows, businesses aim to minimize the occurrence and impact of mistakes (Gajdzik et al., 2023).

Poka-yoke techniques can take various forms, ranging from simple visual cues to sophisticated technological solutions. For instance, using color-coding, shape differentiation, or specific labeling can help operators identify correct components or steps in a process (Wolniak, Grebski, 2018; Wolniak et al., 2019, 2020; Wolniak, Habek, 2015, 2016; Wolniak, Skotnicka, 2011; Wolniak, Jonek-Kowalska, 2021; 2022). Additionally, physical constraints or mechanisms can be implemented to prevent improper actions, ensuring that processes unfold seamlessly (Jokovic et al., 2023).

The automotive industry is one sector where poka-yoke is extensively applied. Assembly lines are equipped with sensors and devices that detect deviations from the standard procedures, instantly alerting operators to rectify the issue. This not only enhances product quality but also contributes to overall efficiency by reducing the need for rework.

Poka-yoke aligns with the broader philosophy of continuous improvement and lean manufacturing. It emphasizes the importance of preventing errors at the source rather than relying solely on inspections or corrections downstream. This proactive approach not only reduces the likelihood of defects but also fosters a culture of accountability and attention to detail within organizations. As industries continue to evolve and embrace automation, the principles of poka-yoke remain relevant. By integrating error-proofing measures into processes, businesses can enhance reliability, customer satisfaction, and ultimately, their bottom line (Alrabadi et al., 2023).

Automation, a cornerstone of Industry 4.0, synergizes effectively with poka-yoke strategies. Automated systems can be designed with inherent error-proofing mechanisms, ensuring that tasks are executed accurately and consistently. Robots and autonomous machines equipped with sensors can navigate complex workflows with precision, minimizing the risk of errors and enhancing overall operational efficiency. Furthermore, the connectivity fostered by Industry 4.0 facilitates the seamless communication of data across the entire production ecosystem. This interconnectedness enables a holistic view of the manufacturing process, allowing for a comprehensive poka-yoke strategy that spans the entire value chain (Jonek-Kowalska, Wolniak, 2021, 2022, 2023; Rosak-Szyrocka et al., 2023; Gajdzik et al., 2023; Jonek-Kowalska et al., 2022; Kordel, Wolniak, 2021; Orzeł, Ponomarenko et al., 2016; Stawiarska et al., 2020, 2021; Stecuła, Wolniak, 2022; Olkiewicz et al., 2021).

The usage of poka-yoke in Industry 4.0 conditions represents a strategic evolution of error prevention methodologies. By leveraging the capabilities of advanced technologies, industries can create resilient, adaptive, and highly efficient manufacturing systems. The integration of poka-yoke in the era of Industry 4.0 reflects a commitment to quality, innovation, and the continuous pursuit of excellence in the ever-evolving landscape of industrial production (Singh et al., 2023).

Table 1 contains description of Poka-Yoka key principles. These principles collectively contribute to the overarching goal of Poka-Yoke, which is to create processes and systems that are robust, reliable, and capable of consistently delivering high-quality outputs.

Table 1.
Key principles of Poka-Yoka

Key principle	Description
Elimination of Defects	Poka-Yoke focuses on preventing defects and errors at the source rather than detecting them later in the process. The goal is to eliminate the possibility of mistakes before they occur.
Simplicity	Poka-Yoke systems should be simple and easy to understand. Complex systems can be prone to failure or may not be used effectively by operators. Simplicity encourages widespread adoption and success.
Fail-Safe Mechanisms	Incorporate fail-safe mechanisms that automatically correct or highlight errors. This ensures that even if a mistake occurs, it is quickly identified and rectified before it leads to a defect.
Feedback and Alarms	Provide immediate feedback to operators when an error is made. This could be visual or auditory alarms that alert the operator to the mistake, allowing for prompt correction.
Preventive (Anticipatory) Design	Design processes and systems with anticipation of potential errors. By understanding where mistakes are likely to occur, preventive measures can be implemented to avoid those errors altogether.
Source Inspection	Shift the focus from inspection at the end of the process to inspection at the source. By inspecting components or inputs as early as possible, defects can be identified and corrected before they propagate through the entire process.
100% Inspection	Aim for 100% inspection or verification to ensure that no defects escape undetected. This involves checking every unit or output for errors, leaving no room for defective products to reach the customer.
Autonomation (Jidoka)	Incorporate automation to detect and stop the production process when a defect is identified. This prevents the production of defective items and allows for timely correction.
Training and Education	Provide comprehensive training to operators to ensure they understand the importance of error prevention and are equipped with the knowledge and skills to use Poka-Yoke effectively.
Continuous Improvement	Implement a culture of continuous improvement where the Poka-Yoke system is regularly reviewed and updated. This ensures that it remains effective in preventing errors in the evolving production environment.

Source: (Almeida, Abreu, 2023; Jokovic et al., 2023; Khourshed, Gouhar, 2023; Maganga, Taifa, 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Yanamandra et al., 2023; Escobar et al., 2023; Bousdekis et al., 2023; Antony et al., 2023).

3. How Poka-Yoka method can be integrated with Industry 4.0 and Quality 4.0 concept

The integration of the Poka-Yoke method with the Industry 4.0 framework and the concept of Quality 4.0 represents a synergistic approach toward enhancing manufacturing processes in the digital era. Industry 4.0, characterized by the use of smart technologies and interconnected systems, aligns seamlessly with the principles of Poka-Yoke, contributing to a more sophisticated and adaptive quality management system (Maganga, Taifa, 2023).

In the context of Industry 4.0, the deployment of advanced sensors, IoT devices, and real-time data analytics facilitates the early detection of deviations or errors in production processes (Sułkowski, Wolniak, 2015, 2016, 2018; Wolniak, Skotnicka-Zasadzień, 2008, 2010, 2014, 2018, 2019, 2022; Gajdzik, Wolniak, 2023; Swarnakar et al., 2023). Poka-Yoke principles can

be applied in conjunction with these technologies to create intelligent, self-correcting systems. For instance, sensors can monitor various aspects of production, and if a deviation from the norm is detected, automated corrective actions can be initiated, preventing the production of defective goods (Bousdekis et al., 2023).

The concept of Quality 4.0, which leverages digital technologies for comprehensive quality management, aligns with Poka-Yoke's emphasis on error prevention. Integrating Poka-Yoke into a Quality 4.0 framework means incorporating mistake-proofing mechanisms at every stage of the value chain. This includes not only the prevention of defects in the manufacturing phase but also extends to aspects such as supply chain management, logistics, and customer interactions (Jonek Kowalska, Wolniak, 2021; Jonek-Kowalska, Wolniak, 2022).

Furthermore, the data generated by Industry 4.0 technologies can be utilized to continuously improve Poka-Yoke systems. Machine learning algorithms can analyze historical data to identify patterns and root causes of errors, allowing for the refinement of error-prevention strategies. This dynamic feedback loop enables organizations to adapt and optimize their processes in real-time, fostering a culture of continuous improvement.

Integrating the Poka-Yoke method with Industry 4.0 and Quality 4.0 enhances the effectiveness of error prevention by leveraging digital technologies, real-time data analytics, and automation. This holistic approach not only ensures the production of high-quality goods but also contributes to the overall agility and resilience of manufacturing systems in the rapidly evolving landscape of the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Table 2 is listing examples of integration of Poka-Yoka method with Industry 4.0. These aspects collectively contribute to the integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0, fostering a technologically advanced and adaptive approach to error prevention and quality management in manufacturing processes (Antony et al., 2023; Escobar et al., 2023; Antony et al., 2023; Salimbeni, Redchuk, 2023).

Table 2.
Poka-Yoka integration with industry 4.0

Aspect	Description
Sensors and IoT Devices	Integration of advanced sensors and Internet of Things (IoT) devices to monitor and collect real-time data from production processes. Sensors can detect deviations, abnormalities, or potential errors, enabling proactive error prevention.
Automation and Robotics	Leveraging automated systems and robotics for the implementation of Poka-Yoke mechanisms. Automated processes can quickly identify errors and take corrective actions, minimizing the need for human intervention and reducing the risk of human error in repetitive tasks.
Data Analytics	Utilizing data analytics tools to analyze large datasets generated by sensors and other sources. This allows for the identification of patterns, trends, and root causes of errors, enabling organizations to make data-driven decisions for continuous improvement and optimization of Poka-Yoke systems.
Artificial Intelligence	Incorporating artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms for advanced error detection and prediction. AI can learn from historical data to anticipate potential issues, enhance the accuracy of error prevention measures, and adapt to evolving production environments for improved overall system efficiency.

Cont. table 2.

Connectivity and Interoperability	Ensuring seamless connectivity and interoperability between different components of the production ecosystem. Integration with Industry 4.0 involves creating a network where devices, systems, and processes can communicate and share information in real-time, facilitating a cohesive and responsive production environment.
Cyber-Physical Systems	Integrating cyber-physical systems where physical processes are connected with digital systems. This integration enables the synchronization of physical actions with digital information, allowing for real-time monitoring, control, and coordination of production processes in line with Poka-Yoke principles.
Predictive Maintenance	Implementing predictive maintenance strategies based on data analytics to anticipate and address potential issues before they lead to equipment failures or errors in the production process. This proactive approach aligns with Poka-Yoke principles to prevent defects and disruptions in manufacturing operations.
Cloud Computing	Utilizing cloud computing for storage, processing, and analysis of large volumes of data generated by integrated systems. Cloud-based solutions provide scalability, accessibility, and collaborative capabilities, supporting the effective implementation and management of Poka-Yoke measures across diverse manufacturing facilities.

Source: (Almeida, Abreu, 2023; Jokovic et al., 2023; Khourshed, Gouhar, 2023; Maganga, Taifa, 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Amat-Lefort et al., 2023; Alrabadi et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023; Barsalou, 2023; Antony et al., 2023; Saihi et al., 2023; Sureshchandar, 2023; Swarnakar et al., 2023; Gimerska et al., 2023; Salimbeni, Redchuk, 2023; Yanamandra et al., 2023; Escobar et al., 2023; Bousdekis et al., 2023; Antony et al., 2023).

Table 3 is describe the advantages visual management approach usage in industry 4.0. These advantages highlight how the synergy between Poka-Yoke and Industry 4.0 technologies contributes to improved quality control, operational efficiency, and adaptability in modern manufacturing environments.

Table 3.
The advantages of Poka-Yoka integration with industry 4.0

Advantage	Description
Real-time Error Detection	Integration with Industry 4.0 enables real-time monitoring through sensors and IoT devices. This results in the immediate detection of errors or abnormalities in production processes, allowing for prompt corrective actions and minimizing the likelihood of defective products reaching the end of the line.
Increased Automation and Efficiency	By combining Poka-Yoke with automation and robotics in an Industry 4.0 setting, there is a significant increase in process efficiency. Automated systems can quickly identify and rectify errors, reducing manual intervention, streamlining workflows, and optimizing overall production efficiency.
Data-Driven Decision Making	The integration facilitates the collection and analysis of large datasets through data analytics tools. This data-driven approach allows organizations to make informed decisions, identify root causes of errors, and continuously improve Poka-Yoke systems based on insights derived from real-time and historical data.
Adaptability to Dynamic Environments	The use of artificial intelligence (AI) in Poka-Yoke integration with Industry 4.0 enhances adaptability. AI algorithms can learn from evolving production environments, adjusting error prevention strategies accordingly. This adaptability is crucial in today's fast-paced manufacturing landscape, ensuring the resilience of quality control measures.
Enhanced Connectivity and Communication	Integration with Industry 4.0 promotes seamless connectivity and interoperability between various components of the production ecosystem. This facilitates efficient communication between devices and systems, fostering a collaborative and responsive manufacturing environment that aligns with the principles of Poka-Yoke.

Cont. table 3.

Proactive Predictive Maintenance	The integration allows for predictive maintenance strategies based on data analytics. By anticipating equipment issues before they lead to errors, organizations can implement timely maintenance, preventing disruptions and ensuring the continuous functionality of the production systems in line with Poka-Yoke objectives.
Scalability and Accessibility	Cloud computing in Industry 4.0 provides scalability and accessibility benefits. Organizations can easily scale their Poka-Yoke systems across multiple facilities, and cloud-based solutions enable remote access and collaboration, enhancing the manageability and effectiveness of error prevention measures.

Source: (Almeida, Abreu, 2023; Jokovic et al., 2023; Khourshed, Gouhar, 2023; Maganga, Taifa, 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Amat-Lefort et al., 2023; Alrabadi et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023; Barsalou, 2023; Antony et al., 2023; Saihi et al., 2023; Sureshchandar, 2023; Swarnakar et al., 2023; Gimerska et al., 2023; Salimbeni, Redchuk, 2023; Yanamandra et al., 2023; Escobar et al., 2023; Bousdekis et al., 2023; Antony et al., 2023).

Table 4 is describe the problems of Poka-Yoka approach usage in Industry 4.0 and methods to overcome them. Addressing these problems requires a comprehensive approach that combines technological solutions, organizational strategies, and a commitment to change management principles. By proactively tackling these challenges, organizations can maximize the benefits of visual management integration with Industry 4.0.

Table 4.
The problems of Poka-Yoka integration with industry 4.0

Problems	Description of Problem	Overcoming Strategies
Complex Implementation Challenges	The integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 may face challenges due to the complexity of implementing advanced technologies, such as sensors, AI, and IoT devices, in existing manufacturing systems. Integrating these technologies seamlessly can be a complex and resource-intensive process.	Conduct thorough planning and feasibility studies before implementation. Collaborate with experienced technology providers. Provide comprehensive training to personnel. Gradual implementation phases to minimize disruptions. Ensure strong communication and collaboration between IT and operational teams.
Data Security and Privacy Concerns	The increased connectivity and data exchange in Industry 4.0 raise concerns about data security and privacy. The integration of Poka-Yoke with sensitive data may expose vulnerabilities, leading to potential breaches or unauthorized access, which could compromise the effectiveness and reliability of error prevention systems.	Implement robust cybersecurity measures, including encryption and access controls. Comply with relevant data protection regulations. Regularly update and patch security systems. Conduct regular audits and assessments of cybersecurity protocols. Establish clear data governance policies and educate personnel on security best practices.
High Initial Costs and Resource Investments	The adoption of Industry 4.0 technologies and the integration of Poka-Yoke can entail significant initial costs, including the acquisition of advanced hardware, software, and personnel training. Organizations may face financial challenges in justifying and allocating resources for the integration.	Develop a comprehensive cost-benefit analysis to showcase long-term savings and benefits. Explore potential funding or financing options. Consider phased implementation to spread costs over time. Leverage government incentives or grants for technology adoption. Collaborate with technology vendors for cost-sharing or flexible payment plans.

Cont. table 4.

Interoperability Issues with Legacy Systems	Existing legacy systems in manufacturing environments may not seamlessly integrate with modern Industry 4.0 technologies. Interoperability challenges between legacy systems and new components can lead to data inconsistencies, communication breakdowns, and hinder the smooth functioning of integrated Poka-Yoke systems.	Prioritize compatibility when selecting new technologies. Invest in middleware solutions to bridge the gap between legacy and modern systems. Develop a roadmap for gradual legacy system upgrades. Collaborate with vendors to ensure compatibility. Establish clear communication protocols and standards for data exchange.
Skill Gaps and Workforce Training Needs	The integration of advanced technologies requires a skilled workforce capable of managing and maintaining these systems. Skill gaps may arise, leading to challenges in effectively utilizing Industry 4.0 tools and Poka-Yoke integration. Workforce training and upskilling become crucial to maximize the benefits of the integrated system.	Invest in comprehensive training programs for existing staff. Collaborate with educational institutions to develop tailored training modules. Recruit or hire personnel with the necessary skills. Foster a culture of continuous learning and development. Provide ongoing training and support as technology evolves.

Source: (Almeida, Abreu, 2023; Jokovic et al., 2023; Khourshed, Gouhar, 2023; Maganga, Taifa, 2023; Liu et al., 2023; Amat-Lefort et al., 2023; Alrabadi et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023; Barsalou, 2023; Antony et al., 2023; Saihi et al., 2023; Sureshchandar, 2023; Swarnakar et al., 2023; Gimerska et al., 2023; Salimbeni, Redchuk, 2023; Yanamandra et al., 2023; Escobar et al., 2023; Bousdekis et al., 2023; Antony et al., 2023).

4. Conclusion

The integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 represents a strategic evolution in error prevention methodologies, aligning seamlessly with the goals of advanced manufacturing. The marriage of Poka-Yoke principles with smart technologies, such as sensors, IoT devices, and real-time data analytics, offers a dynamic and sophisticated approach to error prevention in the era of Industry 4.0.

Poka-Yoke's foundation in eliminating or reducing errors through thoughtful design finds resonance in various industries, with applications ranging from simple visual cues to complex technological solutions. The automotive industry stands out as a notable example, employing sensors and devices on assembly lines to detect and rectify deviations promptly, enhancing both product quality and operational efficiency.

In the context of Industry 4.0, the integration of artificial intelligence and machine learning brings a transformative dimension to Poka-Yoke. These technologies empower systems not only to identify errors but also to learn from them, leading to continuous improvement and adaptability in response to evolving production scenarios. Predictive analytics, fueled by AI, contributes to a preemptive approach, allowing organizations to anticipate and eliminate potential issues before they escalate. Table 1 outlines key principles of Poka-Yoke, emphasizing the proactive nature of error prevention at the source, continuous improvement,

and a commitment to training and education. These principles collectively contribute to creating robust, reliable processes capable of consistently delivering high-quality outputs.

The seamless integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 is evident in Table 2, showcasing aspects such as sensors, automation, data analytics, artificial intelligence, and connectivity. These elements collectively form a technologically advanced and adaptive approach to error prevention and quality management, fostering efficiency and reliability in manufacturing processes.

Furthermore, Table 3 highlights the advantages of this integration, emphasizing real-time error detection, increased automation, data-driven decision-making, adaptability to dynamic environments, enhanced connectivity, proactive predictive maintenance, and scalability. These advantages underscore how the synergy between Poka-Yoke and Industry 4.0 technologies contributes to improved quality control, operational efficiency, and adaptability in modern manufacturing environments. However, as presented in Table 4, challenges arise during the integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0, including complex implementation, data security concerns, high initial costs, interoperability issues with legacy systems, and skill gaps. Overcoming these challenges requires meticulous planning, cybersecurity measures, cost-benefit analyses, prioritizing compatibility, and investing in workforce training and development.

The integration of Poka-Yoke with Industry 4.0 signifies a strategic evolution in manufacturing practices, where technology-driven error prevention, continuous improvement, and a commitment to quality converge to create resilient, adaptive, and highly efficient manufacturing systems. The collaborative efforts of stakeholders, coupled with technological advancements, position this integration as a cornerstone for excellence in the ever-evolving landscape of industrial production.

References

1. Almeida, S., Abreu, L.P.M. (2024). The Quality Manager in the Industry 4.0 Era. *Lecture Notes in Mechanical Engineering*, 468–474.
2. Alrabadi, T.D.S., Talib, Z.M., Abdullah, N.A.B. (2023). The role of Quality 4.0 in supporting digital transformation: Evidence from telecommunication industry. *International Journal of Data and Network Science, 7(2),* 717–728.
3. Amat-Lefort, N., Barravecchia, F., Mastrogiacomo, L. (2023). Quality 4.0: big data analytics to explore service quality attributes and their relation to user sentiment in Airbnb reviews. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, 40(4),* 990–1008.

4. Antony, J., McDermott, O., Sony, M., Cudney, E.A., Doulatabadi, M. (2023). Benefits, challenges, critical success factors and motivations of Quality 4.0–A qualitative global study. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence, 34(7-8),* 827–846.
5. Antony, J., Sony, M., McDermott, O., Jayaraman, R., Flynn, D. (2023). An exploration of organizational readiness factors for Quality 4.0: an intercontinental study and future research directions. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, *40(2),* 582–606.
6. Antony, J., Swarnakar, V., Sony, M., McDermott, O., Jayaraman, R. (2023). How do organizational performances vary between early adopters and late adopters of Quality 4.0? An exploratory qualitative study. *TQM Journal*.
7. Barsalou, M. (2023). Root Cause Analysis in Quality 4.0: A Scoping Review of Current State and Perspectives. *TEM Journal, 12(1),* 73–79.
8. Bousdekis, A., Lepenioti, K., Apostolou, D., Mentzas, G. (2023). Data analytics in quality 4.0: literature review and future research directions. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing, 36(5),* 678–701.
9. Drozd, R, Wolniak, R. (2021a). Metrisable assessment of the course of stream-systemic processes in vector form in industry 4.0. *Quality and Quantity*, 1-16, DOI: 10.1007/s11135-021-01106-w.
10. Drozd, R., Wolniak, R. (2021b). Systematic assessment of product quality. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 7(4),* 1-12.
11. Escobar, C.A., Macias-Arregoyta, D., Morales-Menendez, R. (2023). The decay of Six Sigma and the rise of Quality 4.0 in manufacturing innovation. *Quality Engineering*.
12. Gajdzik, B., Jaciow, M., Wolniak, R., Wolny, R. (2024). Gastronomic curiosity and consumer behavior: the impact of television culinary programs on choices of food services. *Foods*, *13(1), 115*, 1-26, DOI:10.3390/foods13010115.
13. Gajdzik, B., Grebski, M., Grebski, W., Wolniak, R. (2022). *Human factor activity in lean management and quality management.* Toruń: Towarzystwo Naukowe Organizacji i Kierownictwa. Dom Organizatora.
14. Gajdzik, B., Jaciow, M., Wolniak, R., Wolny, R., Grebski, W.W. (2023). Energy Behaviors of Prosumers in Example of Polish Households. *Energies, 16(7), 3186*; https://doi.org/10.3390/en16073186.
15. Gajdzik, B., Jaciow, M., Wolniak, R., Wolny, R., Grebski, W. (2023). Assessment of Energy and Heat Consumption Trends and Forecasting in the Small Consumer Sector in Poland Based on Historical Data. *Resources, 12(9),* 111.
16. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2021a). Digitalisation and innovation in the steel industry in Poland - selected tools of ICT in an analysis of statistical data and a case study. *Energies, 14(11),* 1-25.

17. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2021b). Influence of the COVID-19 crisis on steel production in Poland compared to the financial crisis of 2009 and to boom periods in the market. *Resources, 10(1),* 1-17.
18. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2021c). Transitioning of steel producers to the steelworks 4.0 - literature review with case studies. *Energies, 14(14),* 1-22.
19. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2022a). Framework for R&D&I Activities in the Steel Industry in Popularizing the Idea of Industry 4.0. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 8(3),* 133.
20. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2022b). Influence of Industry 4.0 Projects on Business Operations: literature and empirical pilot studies based on case studies in Poland. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 8(1),* 1-20.
21. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. (2022c). Smart Production Workers in Terms of Creativity and Innovation: The Implication for Open Innovation. *Journal of Open Innovations: Technology, Market and Complexity, 8(1),* 68.
22. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R. Grebski, W. (2023a). Process of Transformation to Net Zero Steelmaking: Decarbonisation Scenarios Based on the Analysis of the Polish Steel Industry. *Energies, 16(8), 3384,* https://doi.org/10.3390/en16083384.
23. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., Grebski W. (2023b). Electricity and heat demand in steel industry technological processes in Industry 4.0 conditions. *Energies, 16(2),* 1-29.
24. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., Grebski, W.(2022). An econometric model of the operation of the steel industry in Poland in the context of process heat and energy consumption. *Energies, 15(21),* 1-26, 7909.
25. Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., Nagaj, R., Grebski, W., Romanyshyn, T. (2023). Barriers to Renewable Energy Source (RES) Installations as Determinants of Energy Consumption in EU Countries. *Energies, 16(21),* 7364.
26. Gębczyńska, A., Wolniak, R. (2018). *Process management level in local government.* Philadelphia: CreativeSpace.
27. Gimerská, V., Šoltés, M., Mirdala, R. (2023). Improving Operational Efficiency through Quality 4.0 Tool: Blockchain Implementation and Subsequent Market Reaction. *Quality Innovation Prosperity, 27(2),* 16–32.
28. Grabowska, S., Saniuk, S., Gajdzik, B. (2022). Industry 5.0: improving humanization and sustainability of Industry 4.0. *Scientometrics, 127(6),* 3117-3144, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-022-04370-1.
29. Grabowska, S., Grebski, M., Grebski, W., Saniuk, S., Wolniak, R. (2021). *Inżynier w gospodarce 4.0.* Toruń: Towarzystwo Naukowe Organizacji i Kierownictwa – Stowarzyszenie Wyższej Użyteczności "Dom Organizatora".
30. Grabowska, S., Grebski, M., Grebski, W., Wolniak, R. (2019). *Introduction to engineering concepts from a creativity and innovativeness perspective.* New York: KDP Publishing.

31. Grabowska, S., Grebski, M., Grebski, W., Wolniak, R. (2020). *Inżynier – zawód przyszłości. Umiejętności i kompetencje inżynierskie w erze Przemysłu 4.0.* Warszawa: CeDeWu.
32. Hąbek, P., Wolniak, R. (2013). Analysis of approaches to CSR reporting in selected European Union countries. *International Journal of Economics and Research, 4(6),* 79-95.
33. Hąbek, P., Wolniak, R. (2016). Assessing the quality of corporate social responsibility reports: the case of reporting practices in selected European Union member states. *Quality & Quantity, 50(1),* 339-420.
34. Hąbek, P., Wolniak, R. (2016). Factors influencing the development of CSR reporting practices: experts' versus preparers' points of view. *Engineering Economy, 26(5),* 560-570.
35. Hąbek, P., Wolniak, R. (2016). Relationship between management practices and quality of CSR reports. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 220,* 115-123.
36. Hys, K., Wolniak, R. (2018). Praktyki przedsiębiorstw przemysłu chemicznego w Polsce w zakresie CSR. *Przemysł Chemiczny, 9,* 1000-1002.
37. Jokovic, Z., Jankovic, G., Jankovic, S., Supurovic, A., Majstorović, V. (2023). Quality 4.0 in Digital Manufacturing – Example of Good Practice, *Quality Innovation Prosperity, 27(2),* 177–207.
38. Jonek-Kowalska, I., Wolniak, R. (2021a). Economic opportunities for creating smart cities in Poland. Does wealth matter? *Cities, 114,* 1-6.
39. Jonek-Kowalska, I., Wolniak, R. (2021b). The influence of local economic conditions on start-ups and local open innovation system. *Journal of Open Innovations: Technology, Market and Complexity, 7(2),* 1-19.
40. Jonek-Kowalska, I., Wolniak, R. (2022). Sharing economies' initiatives in municipal authorities' perspective: research evidence from Poland in the context of smart cities' development. *Sustainability, 14(4),* 1-23.
41. Jonek-Kowalska, I., Wolniak, R. (2023). *Towards sustainability and a better quality of life?* London: Routledge.
42. Khourshed, N., Gouhar, N. (2023). Developing a Systematic and Practical Road Map for Implementing Quality 4.0. *Quality Innovation Prosperity, 27(2),* 96–121.
43. Kordel, P., Wolniak, R. (2021). Technology entrepreneurship and the performance of enterprises in the conditions of Covid-19 pandemic: the fuzzy set analysis of waste to energy enterprises in Poland. *Energies, 14(13),* 1-22.
44. Kwiotkowska, A., Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., Vveinhardt, J., Gębczyńska, M. (2021). Leadership competencies in making Industry 4.0 effective: the case of Polish heat and power industry. *Energies, 14(14),* 1-22.
45. Kwiotkowska, A., Wolniak, R., Gajdzik, B., Gębczyńska, M. (2022). Configurational paths of leadership competency shortages and 4.0 leadership effectiveness: an fs/QCA study. *Sustainability, 14(5),* 1-21.

46. Liu, H.-C., Liu, R., Gu, X., Yang, M. (2023). From total quality management to Quality 4.0: A systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Frontiers of Engineering Management, 10(2),* 191–205
47. Maganga, D.P., Taifa, I.W.R. (2023). Quality 4.0 conceptualisation: an emerging quality management concept for manufacturing industries. *TQM Journal*, *35(2),* 389–413.
48. Michalak, A., Wolniak, R. (2023). The innovativeness of the country and the renewables and non-renewables in the energy mix on the example of European Union. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 9(2),* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2023.100061.
49. Olkiewicz, M., Olkiewicz, A., Wolniak, R., Wyszomirski, A. (2021). Effects of pro-ecological investments on an example of the heating industry - case study. *Energies*, *14(18),* 1-24, 5959.
50. Olsen, C. (2023). Toward a Digital Sustainability Reporting Framework in Organizations in the Industry 5.0 Era: An Accounting Perspective. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, *557*, 463-473.
51. Orzeł, B., Wolniak, R. (2021). Clusters of elements for quality assurance of health worker protection measures in times of COVID-19 pandemic. *Administrative Science*, *11(2),* 1-14, 46.
52. Orzeł, B., Wolniak, R. (2022). Digitization in the design and construction industry - remote work in the context of sustainability: a study from Poland. *Sustainability*, *14(3),* 1-25.
53. Ponomarenko, T.V., Wolniak, R., Marinina, O.A. (2016). Corporate Social responsibility in coal industry (Practices of russian and european companies). *Journal of Mining Institute, 222*, 882-891.
54. Rosak-Szyrocka, J., Żywiołek J., Wolniak, R. (2023). Main reasons for religious tourism - from a quantitative analysis to a model. *International Journal for Quality Research, 1(17),* 109-120.
55. Saihi, A., Awad, M., Ben-Daya, M. (2023). Quality 4.0: leveraging Industry 4.0 technologies to improve quality management practices – a systematic review. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, *40(2),* 628–650.
56. Salimbeni, S., Redchuk, A. (2023). Quality 4.0 and Smart Product Development. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems, 614.* LNNS, 581–592.
57. Singh, J., Ahuja, I.S., Singh, H., Singh, A. (2023). Application of Quality 4.0 (Q4.0) and Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) in Agricultural Manufacturing Industry. *AgriEngineering*, *5(1),* 537–565.
58. Stawiarska, E., Szwajca, D., Matusek, M., Wolniak, R. (2020). *Wdrażanie rozwiązań przemysłu 4.0 w wybranych funkcjonalnych obszarach zarządzania przedsiębiorstw branży motoryzacyjnej: próba diagnozy.* Warszawa: CeDeWu.

59. Stawiarska, E., Szwajca, D., Matusek, M., Wolniak, R. (2021). Diagnosis of the maturity level of implementing Industry 4.0 solutions in selected functional areas of management of automotive companies in Poland. *Sustainability, 13(9),* 1-38.
60. Steculа, K., Wolniak, R. (2022). Advantages and Disadvantages of E-Learning Innovations during COVID-19 Pandemic in Higher Education in Poland. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 8(3),* 159.
61. Steculа, K., Wolniak, R. (2022). Influence of COVID-19 Pandemic on Dissemination of Innovative E-Learning Tools in Higher Education in Poland. *Journal of Open Innovations: Technology, Market and Complexity, 8(1),* 89.
62. Sureshchandar, G.S. (2023). Quality 4.0 – a measurement model using the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) approach. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management, 40(1),* 280–303.
63. Utarova, N., Kakimov, M., Gajdzik, B., Wolniak, R., Nurtayeva, A., Yeraliyeva, S., Bembenek, M. (2024). Development of gluten-free bread production technology with enhanced nutritional value in the context of Kazakhstan. *Foods, 13(2), 271,* 1-20, DOI:10.3390/foods13020271.
64. Wang, Y., Mo, D.Y., Ma, H.L. (2023). Perception of time in the online product customization process. *Industrial Management and Data Systems, 123(2),* pp. 369–385.
65. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2014). The use of value stream mapping to introduction of organizational innovation in industry. *Metalurgija, 53(4),* 709-713.
66. Wolniak, R. (2011). *Parametryzacja kryteriów oceny poziomu dojrzałości systemu zarządzania jakością.* Gliwice: Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej.
67. Wolniak, R. (2013). Projakościowa typologia kultur organizacyjnych. *Przegląd Organizacji, 3,* 13-17.
68. Wolniak, R. (2014). Korzyści doskonalenia systemów zarządzania jakością opartych o wymagania normy ISO 9001:2009. *Problemy Jakości, 3,* 20-25.
69. Wolniak, R. (2016a). Kulturowe aspekty zarządzania jakością. *Etyka biznesu i zrównoważony rozwój. Interdyscyplinarne studia teoretyczno-empiryczne, 1,* 109-122.
70. Wolniak, R. (2016b). *Metoda QFD w zarządzaniu jakością. Teoria i praktyka*. Gliwice: Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej.
71. Wolniak, R. (2016c). Relations between corporate social responsibility reporting and the concept of greenwashing. *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarządzanie, 87,* 443-453.
72. Wolniak, R. (2016d). The role of QFD method in creating innovation. *Systemy Wspomagania Inżynierii Produkcji, 3*, 127-134.
73. Wolniak, R. (2017a). Analiza relacji pomiędzy wskaźnikiem innowacyjności a nasyceniem kraju certyfikatami ISO 9001, ISO 14001 oraz ISO/TS 16949. *Kwartalnik Organizacja i Kierowanie, 2,* 139-150.

74. Wolniak, R. (2017b). Analiza wskaźników nasycenia certyfikatami ISO 9001, ISO 14001 oraz ISO/TS 16949 oraz zależności pomiędzy nimi. *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarządzanie*, *108*, 421-430.
75. Wolniak, R. (2017c). The Corporate Social Responsibility practices in mining sector in Spain and in Poland – similarities and differences. *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarządzanie*, *111*, 111-120.
76. Wolniak, R. (2017d). The Design Thinking method and its stages. *Systemy Wspomagania Inżynierii Produkcji, 6*, 247-255.
77. Wolniak, R. (2021). Performance evaluation in ISO 9001:2015. *Silesian University of Technology Scientific Papers. Organization and Management Series*, *151*, 725-734.
78. Wolniak, R. (2022a). Innovations in Industry 4.0 conditions. *Silesian University of Technology Scientific Papers. Organization and Management Series*, *169*, 725-741.
79. Wolniak, R. (2022b). Functioning of real-time analytics in business. *Silesian University of Technology Scientific Papers. Organization and Management Series*, *172*, 659-677.
80. Wolniak, R., Jonek-Kowalska, I. (2021a). The level of the quality of life in the city and its monitoring. *Innovation (Abingdon*), *34(3)*, 376-398.
81. Wolniak, R., Jonek-Kowalska, I. (2021c). The quality of service to residents by public administration on the example of municipal offices in Poland. *Administration Management Public*, *37*, 132-150.
82. Wolniak, R., Jonek-Kowalska, I. (2022). The creative services sector in Polish cities. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *8(1)*, 1-23.
83. Wolniak, R., Saniuk, S., Grabowska, S., Gajdzik, B. (2020). Identification of energy efficiency trends in the context of the development of industry 4.0 using the Polish steel sector as an example. *Energies*, *13(11)*, 1-16.
84. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka, B. (2011).: *Metody i narzędzia zarządzania jakością – Teoria i praktyka, cz. 1*. Gliwice: Wydawnictwo Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej.
85. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2008). *Wybrane metody badania satysfakcji klienta i oceny dostawców w organizacjach.* Gliwice: Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej.
86. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2010). *Zarządzanie jakością dla inżynierów.* Gliwice: Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej.
87. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2018). Developing a model of factors influencing the quality of service for disabled customers in the condition s of sustainable development, illustrated by an example of the Silesian Voivodeship public administration. *Sustainability, 7, 1*-17.
88. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2022). Development of photovoltaic energy in EU countries as an alternative to fossil fuels. *Energies*, *15(2)*, 1-23.
89. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B. (2023). Development of Wind Energy in EU Countries as an Alternative Resource to Fossil Fuels in the Years 2016-2022. *Resources, 12(8)*, 96.

90. Wolniak, R., Skotnicka-Zasadzień, B., Zasadzień, M. (2019). Problems of the functioning of e-administration in the Silesian region of Poland from the perspective of a person with disabilities. *Transylvanian Review of Public Administration, 57E,* 137-155.
91. Wolniak, R., Sułkowski, M. (2015). Motywy wdrażanie certyfikowanych Systemów Zarządzania Jakością. *Problemy Jakości, 9,* 4-9.
92. Wolniak, R., Sułkowski, M. (2016). The reasons for the implementation of quality management systems in organizations. *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej. Seria Organizacji i Zarządzanie, 92*, 443-455.
93. Wolniak, R., Wyszomirski, A., Olkiewicz, M., Olkiewicz, A. (2021). Environmental corporate social responsibility activities in heating industry - case study. *Energies*, *14(7),* 1-19, 1930.
94. Yanamandra, R., Abidi, N., Srivastava, R., Kukunuru, S., Alzoubi, H.M. (2023). *Approaching Quality 4.0: The Digital Process Management as a Competitive Advantage*. 2nd International Conference on Business Analytics for Technology and Security, ICBATS 2023.