# A synoptic report on the early winter migrants and resident birds in the coastal wetland of the Marine National Park, Positra, Gujarat

Abhishek Chatterjee<sup>1,a</sup>, Sudeshna Ghosal<sup>1,b</sup> and Pinakiranjan Chakrabarti<sup>\*,1,2,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Zoology, Vijaygarh Jyotish Ray College, Bejoygarh, Jadavpur, Kolkata- 700 032

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental Science, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata- 700 019

email address: <sup>a</sup> abc26993@gmail.com, <sup>b</sup>sudeshnaghosalvjrc@gmail.com, <sup>c</sup>pinakirc1303@gmail.com

\*Corresponding author: email address pinakirc1303@gmail.com

Keywords: Wetland; Avifaunal diversity; Water-birds; Feeding guilds; Positra; Guajarat.

#### ABSTRACT

The study is based on the avian community observed in the region. In total, 524 individuals, 27 genera and 35 species of birds belonging to 21 families have been recorded. Among them, the family Charadriidae with 15.08% incidence is the most frequent; immediately followed by the family Scolopacidae (11.26% of occurence). The highest observed species richness has been observed in case of the family Ardeidae. Little Ringed Plover (*Charadrius dubius*) is the most abundant avian species observed. The community consists of 40% Resident; 40% Resident-migrant and 20% Migrant bird species. It was observed that the concerned community shows a considerable diversity and a corresponding low value of dominance. In the feeding guild analysis, the Insectivore and the Aquatic invertebrate-feeder guilds have the most number of recorded avian species. The feeding guild affiliations also points out that the overall community is fairly rich in its composition as it houses bird species belonging to various feeding guilds.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

According to the Article 1.1 of the Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar, Iran, 1971); it is stated that "For the purpose of this Convention wetlands are areas of marsh, fen, peat-land or water, whether natural or artificial, permanent or temporary, with water that is static or flowing, fresh, brackish or salt, including areas of marine water the depth of which at low tide does not exceed six metres.". Wetlands are among the most productive ecosystems in the world and play vital role in flood control, aquifer recharge, nutrient absorption and erosion control. In addition, wetlands provide home for a huge diversity of wildlife such as birds, mammals, fish, frogs, insects and plants [1]. Thus wetlands help in maintaining biodiversity of flora and fauna. Wetland supports congregation of large number of migratory and resident species of birds as it has high nutritional value as well as productivity [2, 3, 4]. As per Ali and Ripley (1983), 273 species of birds in India can be considered as waterfowls, the birds that depend on wetland ecosystem [5]. These birds use wetland habitats either throughout or during certain part of their life [6].

Birds are ideal bio-indicators and useful models for studying a variety of environmental problems [7]. Birds occupy a wide range of ecological positions [8]. In many respects biodiversity, both present and past is better understood for birds than for any other major group of organisms. One basic approach in generating an indicator of the state of wildlife is to measure diversity through time. Species loss or gain could then be used to gauge the trends in biodiversity [9]. Thus the avifaunal diversity of a region is a very prompt indicator of the environmental conditions of the concerned place.

The Marine National Park is quite a popular tourist destination and most of that activity is concentrated towards the Jamnagar side and Pirotan Island (one of the 42 islands on the Jamnagar coast in the Marine National Park). Situated in the intertidal zone, between the lowest and highest

tide levels, the park supports a variety of habitats such as coral reefs, mudflats, creeks, mangroves, estuaries, sandy strands to saline grasslands, marshy areas and rocky shores. Coral reefs are the prime tourist attraction of the park. This national park is among the few in the world where one can observe coral reefs and the associated fauna without having to take a dive underwater. As many 52 different coral reefs can be found at the park, of which 42 are hard and 10 soft. Some of the finest coral reefs can be found at Pirotan, Narara, Ajad and Positra. The park supports as many as 108 species of algae, 56 types of corals, 70 species of sponges, 200 of fish, 27 types of prawns, 30 of crabs, over 400 species of molluscs, 175 species of birds and three species of turtles, mammals and sea snakes. [10]

Hence, naturally, most activities are concentrated around marine life in this national park. There are not many scientific works regarding the avifauna of the place. Our work is aimed at filling in that gap. Hence, this study is of unique importance, focusing mainly on the wide spectrum of birds that are available there and on their feeding habits; which in turn reveal the composition of a greater part of the ecological community present in the region.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Study area

Gujarat is located on the Tropic of Cancer (Lat  $20^{\circ}01$ ' to  $24^{\circ}07$ 'N and Long  $68^{\circ}04$ ' to  $74^{\circ}04$ 'E); falls in the sub-tropical climatic zone and has a varied climate and climatic regions [11]. Kachchh,  $(22^{\circ}41'11" to 24^{\circ}41'47" N and <math>68^{\circ}9'46" to 71^{\circ}54'47")$ , extending over 45,652 sq. km. area lies in the western part of Gujarat state and falls under the Desert bio-geographic zone and 3B Desert-Kachchh Province [12].There are many natural seasonal wetlands in Kachchh. In total about 258 wetlands were delineated through satellite imageries. These wetlands cover approximately 21772km<sup>2</sup> area, which is more than 80% of the entire state [13].



Figure 1: Satellite image of Positra Marine Sanctuary and its adjacent regions with the study-sites marked as red dots

The study was carried out in the vicinity of the Positra Marine Sanctuary, Positra, Gujarat (at the intersection of 22°23'20"N latitude and 69°11'49"E longitude). The sanctuary is located at a distance of 11.4 km due east from the nearest landmark city of Positra. We have considered this coastland as a marine wetland ecosystem, since, during low tide the water level of the sea (as seen in Figure 1) recedes a great distance of 200 to 250 meters and even after that up to another 500 meters the water level does not exceed a depth of 6 meters. Hence, according to the RAMSAR conventions (as mentioned in the introduction), this coastland system is actually a wetland ecosystem. The sites for study on the seashore and surrounding regions are marked in the satellite image of the sanctuary given in Figure 1.

#### 2.2. Bird species survey

The survey was conducted in early and late-December of 2013. Mostly two kinds of transect methods were used for gathering the information on bird species abundances and identities; namely, the Line Transect and the Point Transect methods [14]. During the surveys, birds were identified early in the morning from 06:00 to 10:00 hours and late afternoon from 16:00 to 18:30 hours when the temperature was relatively cool (in the morning, the temperature usually remains within 31–33°C and in the evening it is usually within 27–29°C). Birds were identified with the aid of standard field guide [15]. On every occasion, the counting distance was restricted to around 50 m for identification purposes. Birds that flew overhead but did not land in the sites were also recorded. The checklist was prepared following the standardized common and scientific names of the birds of the Indian subcontinent by Manakkadan and Pittie (2001) [16].

#### 2.3. Statistical analyses

The various richness (species, genus and family) indices, Shannon evenness (J'), Shannon-Wiener diversity (H'), Simpson's Diversity Index (I) and Simpson's index of dominance (D') have been calculated [17]. The various indices were computed using the software, PAST (version 3.08) [18]. From the value of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index, the Effective Number of Species (ENS), a way of converting diversity measures to an easily interpretable linear scale, has been derived [19]. Shannon's diversity index is converted by taking its exponential; ENS=exp (H'), where, H' is the value of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index [19]. Evenness ranges from 0 to 1 and as it approaches 1, individuals are partitioned equally among species. High values of H' and low values of D' indicate high species diversity [17].

#### **3. RESULT AND CONCLUSION**

The overall scenario of the avifaunal community observed at the study-site is provided in Table 1. Overall, 542 individuals of thirty-five (35) different species of birds, belonging to twenty-seven (27) different genera and twenty-one (21) families; have been recorded during the study period. The observed birds belong to only two IUCN Categories, viz. Least Concerned (LC) and Near Threatened (NT) [20]. Among the observed 35 avian species, twenty-nine of them (82.85 percent), belong to the Least Concerned category; whereas, only six bird species (17.14 percent), viz. the Jouanin's Petrel (*Bulweria fallax*), Darter (*Anhinga melanogaster*), Painted Stork (*Mycteria leucocephala*), Oriental White Ibis (*Threskiornis melanocephalus*), Eurasian Curlew (*Numenius arquata*) and Bar-tailed Godwit (*Limosa lapponica*) belong to the Near Threatened category.

Serial	Bird Name	Family	Scientific Name	Mig- ration	Feeding	IUCN Categ-
110.				Status		ory
1.	Jouanin's Petrel	Procellariidae	Bulweria fallax	R	P, IN	NT
2.	Great White Pelican	Pelicanidae	Pelecanus onocrotalus	RM	Р	LC
3.	Little Cormorant	Phalacrocoraci- dae	Phalacrocorax niger	RM	Р	LC
4.	Darter	Anhingidae	Anhinga melanogaster	RM	Р	NT
5.	Grey Heron	Ardeidae	Ardea cinerea	R	P, A	LC
6.	Purple Heron	Ardeidae	Ardea purpurea	RM	P, A, OP	LC
7.	Large Egret	Ardeidae	Ardea alba	R	P, A	LC
8.	Little Egret	Ardeidae	Egretta garzetta	RM	P, RP, IN	LC
9.	Western Reef Egret	Ardeidae	Egretta gularis	RM	P, IN	LC
10.	Painted Stork	Ciconidae	Mycteria leucocephala	RM	P, IN	NT
11.	Oriental White Ibis	Threskiornithi- dae	Threskiornis melanocephalus	R	A, IN, I	NT
12.	Brahminy Kite	Accipitridae	Haliastur indus	R	IN, P, RP, C, PD	LC
13.	White-bellied Sea Eagle	Accipitridae	Haliaeetus leucogaster	R	P, RP, PD	LC
14.	Indian Peafowl	Rallidae	Pavo cristatus	R	G, OP	LC
15.	Lesser Sand Plover	Charadriidae	Charadrius mongolus	RM	I, IN	LC
16.	Grey Plover	Charadriidae	Pluvialis squatarola	М	IN	LC
17.	Little Ringed Plover	Charadriidae	Charadrius dubius	RM	I, IN	LC
18.	Green Sandpiper	Scolopacidae	Tringa ochropus	М	P, I, IN	LC
19.	Common Redshank	Scolopacidae	Tringa totanus	RM	P, IN, I	LC
20.	Common Greenshank	Scolopacidae	Tringa nebularia	М	P, I, IN	LC
21.	Ruddy Turnstone	Scolopacidae	Arenaria interpres	М	P, I, IN, C	LC
22.	Black-winged Stilt	Recurvirostridae	Himantopus himantopus	R	IN	LC
23.	Eurasian Curlew	Recurvirostridae	Numenius arquata	М	I, RP	NT
24.	Bar-tailed Godwit	Recurvirostridae	Limosa lapponica	М	I, IN, FU	NT
25.	Black-headed Gull	Laridae	Larus ridibundus	М	I, IN, G	LC
26.	Whiskered Tern	Sternidae	Chlidonias hybrid	RM	I, IN	LC
27.	Little Tern	Sternidae	Sterna albifrons	R	P, I, IN	LC
28.	Oriental Turtle Dove	Columbidae	Streptopelia orientalis	RM	G	LC
29.	Little Swift	Apodidae	Apus affinis	RM	Ι	LC
30.	Yellow Wagtail	Motacillidae	Motacilla flava	RM	Ι	LC
31.	Large Pied Wagtail	Motacillidae	Motacilla maderaspatensis	R	Ι	LC
32.	White -eared Bulbul	Pycnonotidae	Pycnonotus leucotis	R	FU,I	LC
33.	Indian Robin	Muscicapidae	Saxicoloides fulicatus	R	Ι	LC
34.	Common Babbler	Timalidae	Turdoides caudata	R	I, FU, G	LC
35.	Oriental White Eye	Dicaeidae	Zosterops palpebrosus	R	I, FU	LC

Table 1: Checklist of the diverse array of bird species observed at Positra

In the study, twenty-one (21) families of birds have been observed; among which the family Ardeidae has recorded the highest observed number of species (as shown in Table 2) in the avian community. The family Charadriidae with 15.08% incidence is the most frequent one to be observed and quite naturally the most abundant species, i.e. the Little Ringed Plover (*Charadrius dubius*) also belongs to the said family.

Table-2: Distribution of various birds observed is arranged according to their respective families with the respective number of genus and species of avifauna observed to belong under them.

Sr. No.	Name of the Family	No. of Genus observed	No. of Species observed	Percentage of occurrence
1.	Procellariidae	1	1	0.38
2.	Pelicanidae	1	1	3.82
3.	Phalacrocoracidae	1	1	2.29
4.	Anhingidae	1	1	1.15
5.	Ardeidae	2	5	8.21
6.	Ciconidae	1	1	7.25
7.	Threskiornithidae	1	1	2.10
8.	Accipitridae	2	2	2.10
9.	Rallidae	1	1	2.86
10.	Charadriidae	2	3	15.08
11.	Scolopacidae	2	4	11.26
12.	Recurvirostridae	3	3	10.69
13.	Laridae	1	1	7.63
14.	Sternidae	2	2	5.34
15.	Columbidae	1	1	2.48
16.	Apodidae	1	1	5.34
17.	Motacillidae	1	2	2.10
18.	Pycnonotidae	1	1	3.24
19.	Muscicapidae	1	1	0.95
20.	Timalidae	1	1	5.34
21.	Dicaeidae	1	1	0.38

All the different kinds of migration categories, viz. the Resident (R) Birds, the Resident-Migrant (RM) Birds and the Migrant or Migratory (M) Birds have been observed during the survey. There has been observed 14 Resident (40 percent), 14 Resident-Migrant (40 percent) and 7 Migratory (20 percent) bird species. Therefore, in total, 21 migratory bird species have been recorded [Figure 2]; which account for 60 percent of the observed avian community.



Figure 2: Exploded pie chart showing the number of bird species observed according to their Migration Categories

There have also been identified ten (10) kinds of Feeding Guilds, viz. Aquatic invertebrate-feeder (IN), Piscivore (P), Insect and other terrestrial invertebrate feeder (I), Grainivore (G), Frugivore (FU), Reptile-feeder (R), Ophidiovore (OP), Amphibian-feeder (A), Carrion-feeder (C) and Predatory (PD); among the bird species observed [21] (Figure 3). Here, the Insectivore (I) and the Aquatic invertebrate-feeder (IN) guilds are the most frequent one with twenty-four percent incidence and 18 observed avian species occurs under these shared categories. Whereas Ophidiovore (OP), Carrion-feeder (C) and Predatory (PD) guilds are the least frequent with only two species observed in each case.



Figure 3: Pie Chart showing the number of bird species observed according to their Feeding Guilds

In order to visually represent the diversity of the avian community a Dominance-Diversity Curve [17] has been plotted in Figure 4.



Figure 4: A Dominance-Diversity curve or Species Importance Curve of the bird species

The values of the various diversity indices have been provided in the Table 3. We have observed that the high values of the Simpson Diversity Index (0.9512) indicate to the high amount of diversity observed in the avian community.

Table 3: Values of the various indices as calculated via PAST are provided under four sul	0-
categories; viz. Richness, Diversity, Dominance and Evenness Indices.	

Sr.	NAME OF THE INDICES	VALUE			
No.					
Richness Indices					
1.	Family Richness	21			
2.	Genus Richness	27			
3.	Species Richness	35			
Diversity Indices					
1.	Simpson Diversity Index	0.9512			
2.	Shannon -Wiener Index	3.238			
Dominance Index					
1.	Simpson's index of dominance	0.04885			
Evenness Index					
1.	Shannon evenness Index	0.7283			

#### 4. DISCUSSION

Wetlands and natural grasslands are important habitats for avian populations throughout the world and the studied one provides no exception. The overall condition of the wetland is pretty good and show signs of a self-sustainable environment; which is mostly because of the very sparse human interventions. This enabled us to study the wetland at its ecological prime. The dominance-diversity curve (in the Figure 4) has been plotted in order to get an idea of the amount of diversity observed in the studied avian community. In this case, the trend-line on the curve shows that the studied community exhibits a high amount of diversity; because of the petite angle of intersection and the right-hand side alignment of the curve.

The calculated values of the various diversity indices, especially that of the Simpson Dominance (1) = 0.04885 proves that the community of avifaunal species show very low amount of dominance. This in the other hand depicts the considerably high diversity of the avian community. The maximum value of the Simpson Diversity Index ( $D_S$ ) can be 1 [22]. Here, its value is 0.9512. The value of the Shannon-Wiener Diversity Index (H') of the studied community depicts that the chances for getting an individual of the same species in a random sample is about 3.24; which points out to the considerably vast population size of almost every species sampled during our study. When converted to ENS, its value becomes approximately 25.48; which means that a community with Shannon index of 3.24 has an equivalent diversity as a community with almost 26 equally-abundant species. This is further proved by the fact that the value of Shannon Evenness Index (J'); which being quite close to 1 shows that there is a moderate amount of variance in the population size of different avian species. Species diversity being more positively correlated with evenness [23]; testifies to the sizeable diversity observed in the studied avian community. The overall scenario as evident via the calculated values of these diversity indices (Table 3) is that, the sampled community is greatly diverse in its composition.

The pie-chart depicting the Feeding Guilds of the various avifauna (Figure 3) observed, clearly shows that the community of this particular ecosystem is also sufficiently rich and greatly diversified and hence able to support birds with such a varied spectrum of feeding-habits.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

On the basis of our study, we can now conclude that the avian community observed at the coastland shows very little dominance, but a fairly high amount of diversity. In addition, the presence of the various feeding guilds bear proof to the fact that the entire ecological community is fairly diverse and show signs of a healthy environment, despite clear anthropogenic interventions.

#### Acknowledgements

We are deeply indebted to Forest Department, Government of Gujarat for permitting us to carry out our work. We also express our heartiest gratitude to the accompanying students of the Zoology Department, Vijaygarh Jyotish Ray College for their help during the study.

#### References

[1] S. Buckton, Managing wetlands for sustainable livelihoods at KoshiTappu, Danphe 16(1): 12–13, (2007).

[2] R. H. Whittaker and G. E. Likens, Primary production: the biosphere and man, Human Ecology, 1: 357–369, (1973).

[3] J.P. Gibbs, The importance of small wetlands for the persistence of local populations of wetland-associated animals, Wetlands, 13: 25–31, (1993).

[4] M. Paracuellos, How can habitat selection affect the use of a wetland complex by waterbirds?, Biodiversity and Conservation, 15: 4569–4582, (2006).

[5] S. Ali and S. D. Ripley, Handbook of the Birds of India and Pakistan (Compact Edition), University Press, Bombay, India, (1983).

[6] M. M. Weller, Freshwater marshes and wildlife management, University of Minnesota Press. Minneapolis, (1981).

[7] I. Newton, The contribution of some recent research on birds to ecological understanding, Journal of Animal Ecology, 64: 675–696, (1995).

[8] Sekercioglu and C. Hakki, Ecological significance of bird populations, Handbook of the Birds of the World, 11: 15–51, (2006).

[9] S.A.J. Van, Biodiversity declining in the Netherlands: an indicator to describe the changes in the number of wild species, Netherlands Official Statistics, Winter, 45-49 pp., (1997).

[10] Information on http://www.jamnagar.org/mnp.htm

[11] SACON ENVIS Newsletter on wetland ecosystems and inland wetland; Sarovar Saurabh, Govt. of India. 8(4): 1–5, (2012).

[12] N.B. Gajera, A.K.R. Mahato and V.V. Kumar, Wetland birds of arid region-a study on their diversity and distribution pattern in kachchh, Columban Jornal of Life Science, 13(1 & 2): 47–51, (2012).

[13] O.D. Stanley, Wetland ecosystems and coastal habitat diversity in Gujarat, India, Journal of Coastal Development, 7(2): 49–64, (2004).

[14] D. W. Gibbons and R. D. Gregory, Birds, in Ecological Census Techniques: a handbook, 2nd (W.J. Sutherland) Edition. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 308–350 pp., (2006).

[15] R. Grimmett, C. Inskipp and T. Inskipp, Birds of the Indian Subcontinent, Oxford University Press, New Delhi, (2011).

[16] R. Manakadan and A. Pittie, Standardized common and scientific names of the birds of the Indian subcontinent, Buceros 6(1): 1–37, (2001).

[17] J. E. Bower, J. H. Zar and C. N. von Ende, Field and Laboratory Manual of General Ecology, WCB Mc. Graw –Hill, Boston, 171–193 pp., (1997).

[18] Ø. Hammer, D.A.T. Harper and P. D. Ryan, PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and Data Analysis, Palaeontologia Electronica, 4(1): 9, (2001).

[19] L. Jost, Entropy and diversity, Oikos, 113: 363–375, (2006).

[20] IUCN IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1, IUCN Species Survival Commission, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK, (2001).

[21] S. Ali and S.D. Ripley, Compact handbook of the birds of India and Pakistan together with those of Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka, Oxford University Press, Delhi, (1987).

[22] A.E. Magurran, Measuring Biological Diversity, Blackwell, (2004).

[23] C.E. Bock, Z.F. Jones and J.H. Bock, Relationships between species richness, evenness, and abundance in a southwestern savanna, Ecology, 88(5): 1322–1327, (2007).

Volume 49

10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.49

# A Synoptic Report on the Early Winter Migrants and Resident Birds in the Coastal Wetland of the Marine National Park, Positra, Gujarat

10.18052/www.scipress.com/ILNS.49.35

#### **DOI References**

[2] R. H. Whittaker and G. E. Likens, Primary production: the biosphere and man, Human Ecology, 1: 357-369, (1973).

10.1007/bf01536732

[4] M. Paracuellos, How can habitat selection affect the use of a wetland complex by waterbirds?,

Biodiversity and Conservation, 15: 4569-4582, (2006).

 $10.1007/s10531\hbox{-}005\hbox{-}5820\hbox{-}z$ 

[7] I. Newton, The contribution of some recent research on birds to ecological understanding, Journal of Animal Ecology, 64: 675-696, (1995).

10.2307/5848

[19] L. Jost, Entropy and diversity, Oikos, 113: 363-375, (2006).

10.1111/j.2006.0030-1299.14714.x

[21] S. Ali and S.D. Ripley, Compact handbook of the birds of India and Pakistan together with those of Bangladesh, Nepal, Bhutan and Sri Lanka, Oxford University Press, Delhi, (1987).

10.2307/4085288

[22] A.E. Magurran, Measuring Biological Diversity, Blackwell, (2004).

10.2307/4126959

[23] C.E. Bock, Z.F. Jones and J.H. Bock, Relationships between species richness, evenness, and abundance in a southwestern savanna, Ecology, 88(5): 1322-1327, (2007).

10.1890/06-0654