

# Occurrence and distribution of nematodes in rice fields in Guilan province, Iran and the first record of *Mylonchulus polonicus* (Stefanski, 1915) Cobb, 1917 (Nematoda: Mononchina)

Soheila Shahabi<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmad Kheiri<sup>2</sup>, Farshad Rakhshandehroo<sup>3</sup>, Salar Jamali<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, P.O. Box 14515-775, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 4111, Karaj, 31587-11167, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, P.O. Box 14515-775, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Guilan University, P.O. Box 14115-336, Rasht, Iran

Received: June 27, 2016

Accepted: November 21, 2016

**Abstract:** The distribution of nematodes was studied in rice fields in Guilan province, Iran, from 2014 to 2016. Nematode biodiversity of 250 soil and root samples was examined. Thirty nematode species were identified morphologically, including plant parasites, microbivores and mycetophagous and predator species. Molecular techniques were also used for further identification of three plant parasitic species. Indicators of population were also estimated. Spiral nematodes (*Helicotylenchus crenacauda* Sher, 1966 and *H. digitiformis* Ivanova, 1967) and stunt nematode (*Tylenchorhynchus agri*) were the predominant parasitic species identified. Among other species, three mononchid species were identified namely *Mononchus aquaticus*, *Mylonchulus sigmaturus* and *M. polonicus*. The species *M. polonicus* was found and reported in Iran for the first time. The two plant parasitic species *T. agri* and *Xiphinema index* were reported in association with rice in Iran for the first time. To evaluate the distribution and incidence of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* (rice white-tip nematode) in different regions of Guilan province, a total of 255 fresh seed samples were collected/inspected, of which, about 40% of them were infested with *A. besseyi*. Of the 16 studied counties, the highest percentage of infected seeds came from fields around the city of Astara (69.2%) and the second highest infection was observed near the city of Anzali (60%).

**Key words:** *Aphelenchoides besseyi*, free-living nematodes, plant-parasitic nematodes, *Tylenchorhynchus agri*, *Xiphinema index*

## Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the primary staple crops internationally and is the second most important crop in Iran (Mousanejad *et al.* 2009). It is susceptible to several disease agents during its growth (Sayari *et al.* 2014). This crop is cultivated in 15 provinces in Iran but at least 69% of the rice production is found in two northern provinces, Mazandaran and Guilan. The average rice production of Guilan province, as the second largest rice producing province, is about 750,000,000 kg. This amount accounts for 40% of the country's production, and 31% of the total area of the province is under rice cultivation (Mohammadi *et al.* 2015). Rice is grown traditionally in these areas, using the most common method of rice cultivation which involves transplanting manually. Currently there are 230,000 ha of rice paddy fields in Guilan province (Ashouri 2012). Many genera and species of plant parasitic nematodes are associated with rice, but only some of these are known or suspected to cause yield loss (Bridge *et al.* 2005). *Aphelenchoides besseyi* Christie, 1942, *Hirschman-*

*niella oryzae* (Van Breda de Haan 1902) Luc & Goodey, 1963 and *Heterodera elachista* Ohshima, 1974 are the most important species which have been found in rice-growing regions of Iran (Kheiri 1971; Minassian and Barooti 1997; Tanha Maafi *et al.* 2003). A few studies have been done on the identification of plant parasitic nematodes in Guilan province (Pedramfar *et al.* 2001; Soleymanzadeh *et al.* 2016), but identification and distribution of nematodes have not yet been studied in rice fields of Guilan province, even in some recently conducted studies (Aliramaji *et al.* 2015; Pedram and Pourjam 2014; Pedram *et al.* 2015; Roshan-Bakhsh *et al.* 2016). The aim of our study was to perform a comprehensive survey on the identification and distribution of nematodes across the rice fields of the province. Since most soil-inhabiting nematode taxa can be beneficial and may have roles in the decomposition of organic matter they could be regarded as important soil quality indicators (Yeates and Coleman 1982; Ingham *et al.* 1985; Spedding *et al.* 2004; Ravichandra 2013). Therefore the free living forms of the collected species

\*Corresponding address:  
kheiri.ahmad@gmail.com

of nematodes were also identified. The purposes of this study were quantifying nematode biodiversity, preparing information on population dynamics and investigating the incidence and distribution of *A. besseyi* in rice growing areas in Guilan province. Three plant parasitic taxa were also identified by using molecular techniques. The species, *M. polonicus* (Stefanski, 1915) Cobb, 1917 was reported in Iran for the first time.

## Materials and Methods


During 2014–2016, a total of 250 soil samples were taken from the rhizosphere of rice at a depth of 5–25 cm, in different regions of rice fields in Guilan province, northern Iran. The samples came from near almost all the villages of the province under rice cultivation in 16 counties. The distribution map of the sampling areas is shown in Figure 1.

Each of the 250 samples was composed of 25–30 sub-samples collected from 1–2 ha. To deal with variability in the field, a systematic zigzag pattern was used for collecting sub-samples. Each sample was stored at 4°C before extracting the nematodes. Nematodes were extracted from soil using the centrifugation sugar-flotation technique (Jenkins 1964). Nematodes were also extracted from collected roots (5 g root) by maceration in a waring blender at 3,000 rpm for 2 min and extracted with a Bearmann funnel. After extraction, samples were evaluated under a dissecting microscope at 40x magnification. All nematode genera were counted with the aid of counting slides and recorded before fixing. Nematode population

levels were determined and expressed as numbers per 500 cm<sup>3</sup> soil and 5 g root.

The data obtained were subjected to community analysis to determine the ecological index according to Norton (1978) as follows: the absolute frequency = number of samples containing a genus  $\times 100$ /number of samples collected; relative frequency = frequency of a genus  $\times 100$ /sum of frequency of all genera; absolute density = average population density (nematodes/100 cm<sup>3</sup> soil); relative density = average number of individual genus  $\times 100$ /average number of all nematode genera; prominence value = absolute density  $\times$  absolute frequency square for each certain nematode genus (absolute frequency); relative prominence = prominence value of a genus  $\times 100$ /sum of prominence values of all genera. After counting, nematode specimens were killed, fixed, processed in dehydrated glycerin and mounted on glass slides according to De Grisse (1969).

For the fresh seed samples survey, 255 fresh seed samples from 16 locations were collected. The number of seed samples for each location is shown in Table 1. Nematodes were extracted from 50 g of fresh seeds (out of 500 g), randomly collected from each point, then they were extracted using the Coolen and D'Herde technique (1972). The extracted nematodes were counted and fixed. Species characterization in this survey was based on morphological and morphometric characters using available literature and their original descriptions (Hopper and Cairns 1959; Loof and Luc 1990; Nickle and Hooper 1991; Jairajpuri and Ahmad 1992; Hunt 1993; Handoo 2000; Siddiqi 2000; Andrassy 2009; Ahmad and Jairajpuri 2010).



**Fig. 1.** Distribution map of the samples taken from rice fields in different areas of Guilan province (with the capital city, Rasht), northern Iran. Sample locations are shown with white squares; triangles indicate location of major counties for this study

**Table 1.** Incidence of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* in fresh seed samples of rice in Guilan province

Sampling locations	Number of samples	Number of seed samples infested with <i>A. besseyi</i>	Percent of seed samples infested with <i>A. besseyi</i>	Average numbers of <i>A. besseyi</i> per 1,000 seeds
Amlash	10	1	10.00	0–38*
Anzali	10	6	60.00	0–760**
Astane	15	18	53.33	0–605**
Astara	13	9	69.20	0–770**
Foman	16	9	56.30	0–515**
Lahijan	15	5	33.33	0–167*
Langrood	12	3	25.00	0–60*
Tavalesh	28	13	46.42	0–560**
Masal	11	2	18.18	0–90*
Rasht	11	6	54.54	0–598**
Rezvanshahr	10	1	10.00	0–98*
Roodbar	28	2	7.00	0–54*
Roodsar	26	9	34.61	0–22*
Shaft	14	5	35.71	0–258*
Siahkal	20	8	40.00	0–560**
Somesara	16	6	37.50	0–480*

\*low infestations (0–500 nematodes per 1,000 seeds); \*\*moderate infestations (500–1,000 nematodes per 1,000 seeds)

For molecular identification purposes, three selected individuals of plant parasitic taxa (*A. besseyi*, *H. oryzae* and *H. elachista*) were further identified by using molecular markers. Two genomic fragments, 28S rDNA D2/D3 and internal transcribed spacer (ITS) (ITS1–5.8S–ITS2) regions, were sequenced. Each individual was transferred to a small drop of AE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, 0.5 mM EDTA; pH 9.0, QIAGEN Inc., Valencia CA, USA) on a clean slide and crushed using a cover slip. The suspension was collected by adding 20 µl AE buffer. DNA samples were stored at –20°C until used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification. Primers used for D2–D3 amplification were forward primer D2A (5′-ACAAG-TACCGTGAGGGAAAGT-3′) and reverse primer D3B (5′-TGCGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3′) (Nunn 1992). The ITS1 region was amplified using forward primer TW81 (5′-GTTTCCGTAGGTGAACCTGC-3′) and reverse primer AB28 (5′-ATATGCTTAAGTTCAGC GGGT-3′) as described in Vovlas *et al.* (2008). The information of PCR is according to Panahandeh *et al.* (2015). The PCR products were sequenced in both directions using PCR primers with an ABI 3730XL sequencer (Bioneer Corporation, South Korea). Newly obtained sequences for these species were deposited in GenBank database (accession numbers KX622689, KX622690 and KX622691 for 28S rDNA D2/D3 fragments of *A. besseyi*, *Tylencho-rhynchus agri* and *H. elachista* respectively, and KX622692 for ITS of *H. elachista*).

## Results

In this study, thirty species of soil inhabiting nematodes were identified. Free-living nematodes were more diverse in the number of species (about 70%) compared with plant parasitic nematodes (about 30%). *Tripylla* sp. and *Mononchus* sp. (with 4.8% and 4.4% frequency) had

the highest populations among free-living nematodes. In comparison to the populations of other groups, the order Mononchida Jairajpuri, 1969 (Jairajpuri 1969) and other beneficial predatory nematodes showed the lowest populations in the studied areas (Table 2).

The results of this survey indicated that the plant-parasitic nematodes, *Helicotylenchus* spp. (33%) and *T. agri* (31.4%), were found to be the predominant genera compared with others in the studied fields. *Heterodera elachista* (1.79%) was the next most populous and *Criconemella paragoodeyi* Choi & Geraert, 1975 was the least populous (0.1%). The rice white-tip nematode, *A. besseyi*, was observed at low frequency in soil but had a moderate population in fresh seed samples compared with soil in the studied areas. Among the 255 fresh seed samples, 113 seed samples (40.4%) were infested with *A. besseyi* in the studied area. Of these samples, 33.3% had low infestations (0–500 nematodes per 1,000 seeds) and 67.98% had moderate infestations (500–1,000 nematodes per 1,000 seeds). Among the studied locations, Astara and Anzali had the largest percent of infected fresh seed samples and also the highest level of infection. Roodsar had the lowest number of infestation (0–22 nematodes per 1,000 seeds). Fukano (1962) determined the economic damage threshold density for *A. besseyi* to be 3,000 live nematodes per 1,000 seeds, which provides a useful basis for damage prediction (Bridge *et al.* 2005). Based on this threshold, about 67% of the infected fresh seed samples had more than 500 nematodes per 1,000 seeds, a threshold creating a need for management programs in order to prevent greater crop losses.

In the present study *M. polonicus* was found for the first time in Iran's nematode fauna. Morphometric data of the species are given in Table 3. It is characterized by having a medium sized body, smooth cuticle (under light microscopy), about 2.5–3.0 µm wide at midbody,

**Table 2.** List of nematodes identified in rice fields in Guilan province of Iran and some of their ecological features calculated according to Norton (1978). Root (5 g)/Soil (500 cm<sup>3</sup>)

Nematode species/character	Absolute frequency [%]	Relative frequency [%]	Absolute density	Prominence value [%]
<i>Aphelenchoides</i> spp. ( <i>Aphelenchoides besseyi</i> , <i>A. bicaudatus</i> )	0.29	0.29	1.60	5.30
<i>Aporcelaimellus obtusicaudatus</i>	0.95	0.95	5.30	5.35
<i>Aquatides aquaticus</i>	1.01	1.02	2.80	10.71
<i>Chronogaster</i> sp.	2.98	3.07	4.50	19.64
<i>Criconemella paragoodeyi</i>	0.11	0.11	2.00	1.78
<i>Dorylaimoides elegans</i>	0.47	0.47	8.00	1.78
<i>Helicotylenchus crenacauda</i>	24.22	31.00	11.94	60.71
<i>Helicotylenchus digitiformis</i>	8.90	9.70	11.50	23.21
<i>Heterodera elachista</i>	1.79	1.82	6.00	8.90
<i>Ischiodorylaimus cognatus</i>	1.19	1.20	3.30	10.71
<i>Labronemella labiata</i>	0.29	0.29	2.50	3.57
<i>Laimydorus pseudostegnalis</i>	1.49	1.51	3.10	14.20
<i>Leptonchus</i> sp.	0.23	0.23	4.00	1.78
<i>Lindseyus costatus</i>	1.20	1.21	2.60	14.20
<i>Mesodorylaimus litoralis</i>	1.55	1.57	2.60	17.85
<i>Mononchus aquaticus</i>	4.47	4.67	7.50	17.85
<i>Mylonchulus</i> spp. ( <i>M. polonicus</i> & <i>M. sigmaturus</i> )	0.169	0.16	3.00	3.00
<i>Oxydirus oxycephalus</i>	0.40	0.47	4.00	3.57
<i>Paractinolaimus decraemerae</i>	0.35	0.35	3.00	5.35
<i>Plectus</i> spp. ( <i>P. aquatilis</i> & <i>P. parientinus</i> )	3.20	3.31	11.00	8.90
<i>Rhysocolopus vinciguerrae</i>	1.40	1.40	12.00	3.57
Tylenchidae ( <i>Basiria graminophila</i> , <i>Neopsilen chusmagnidens</i> , <i>Iranitylenchus clavidorus</i> , <i>Filenchus facultativus</i> & <i>F. polyhypnus</i> )	1.61	1.64	2.45	19.64
<i>Tylenchorhynchus agri</i>	31.40	45.00	11.19	83.90
<i>Thornemema baldum</i>	1.19	1.20	1.25	28.57
Tobrilidae ( <i>Tobrilus</i> , <i>Eutobrilus</i> )	3.80	3.95	9.20	12.50
<i>Tripyla</i> sp.	4.80	5.04	8.10	17.85
<i>Xiphinema index</i>	0.29	0.29	5.00	1.78

**Table 3.** Morphometric characters for female *Mylonchulus polonicus* (Stefanski, 1915) Cobb, 1917 (measurements are in  $\mu\text{m}$  except "L" in mm)

Population characters	Present study	Khan <i>et al.</i> 2002	Andrássy, 2009	Mulvey, 1961
n	4	7	–	–
L	1.91±0.4(1.87–1.95)	1.8±0.1(1.6–2.0)	1.6–2.5	1.82
a	28.1±1.4(27–29.2)	36.7±2.1(33–41)	28–38	33.10
b	3.6±0.34(3.4–3.8)	3.8±0.2(3.6–4.3)	3.2–3.7	3.50
c	19±1.4(18–20)	17.01±0.5(16–18)	16–25	20.10
c'	2.1±0.2(2.0–2.3)	2.8±0.1(2.6–3.0)	2.8–4.0	–
V	62.5±2.4(61–64)	63.5±1.3(61–66)	56–66	62.50
Buccal cavity diameter	20.5±1.8(19–22)	19–23	18–22	–
Buccal cavity length	36.7±1.5(35.5–38)	32–36	33–36	–
Pharynx length	505±22.1(490–520)	453–536	480–510	–
Nerve ring from ant. end	194±7.5(188–200)	133–145	–	–
G1	7.4±0.1(7.4–7.6)	–	–	–
G2	9.6±0.1(9.5–9.7)	–	–	–
Anterior genital gonad length	255±4.8(250–260)	–	–	–
Posterior genital gonad length	197.5±2.7(195–200)	–	–	–
Tail length	94±2.1(93–96)	107.5±6.3(95–117)	80–120	–

n – number of nematodes counted; L – nematode total length; a – body length/greatest body diameter; b – body length/distance from anterior to esophagointestinal valve; c – body length/tail length; c' – tail length/tail diameter at anus or cloaca; V – %distance of vulva from anterior; G1 – overall length of the anterior ovary from vulva  $\times$  100/body length; G2 – overall length of the posterior ovary from vulva  $\times$  100/body length




Fig. 2. Female *Mylonchulus polonicus*: A – head; B – pharyngo-intestine junction; C – vulva region; D – tail; E – whole body

C-shaped open body upon fixation, amphids cup-like with oval aperture, papillae slightly protruding, wide buccal cavity with thick walls, a small dorsal claw like tooth, directed forward and situated in the anterior half of the buccal cavity, its apex at 71–74% of length of buccal cavity from its base, two smaller sub median teeth, the large dorsal tooth apex facing forward, sub ventral small teeth and irregular rasp-like denticles in 6–7 rows, vertical walls of prominent buccal cavity and barrel-shaped buccal capsule with a tapering base (Fig. 2). A nerve ring encircles the pharynx at the anterior end of the body, transverse vulva, pars refringens vaginae appearing as two triangular-like sclerotized pieces, advulval papillae absent, the female reproductive system didelphic-amphidelphic, small ovaries and reflexed with a single row of oocytes, except at the tip of the ovary, rectum about equal to the diameter of the anal body, sphincter present, female tail rather long, ventrally arcuate, bluntly-conoid and three caudal glands distinct

in tandem (Fig. 2D). Currently, eight species of the genus *Mylonchulus* have been reported in Iran [*M. brachyuris* (Bütschli, 1873) Cobb, 1917; *M. cf. hawaiiensis* (Cassidy, 1931) Goodey, 1951; *M. kermaniensis* Shokoohi, Mehrabi-Nasab and Mirzaei, 2013; *M. lacustris* (Cobb in Cobb 1915) Andrassy, 1958; *M. minor* (Cobb, 1893) Cobb, 1916; *M. nainitalensis* Jairajpuri, 1970; *M. paitensis* Yeates, 1992; *M. sigmaturus* Cobb, 1917] (Farahmand *et al.* 2009; Ghaderi *et al.* 2012; Koohkan *et al.* 2014).

*Mylonchulus polonicus* closely resembles *M. lacustris* but there are some significant differences e.g. it has a larger body (vs. 1.1–1.5 mm) and longer tail (vs.  $c' = 1.5–2.0$ ). Andrassy (1992) synonymized *M. polonicus* with *M. montanus* described by Mulvey (1961). Our material is in agreement with the description given by Mulvey (1961) in both the measurements and morphological characters. Also a slight difference in value (27–29.2 vs. 33.1) was observed. In comparison with the specimens studied by Andrassy (2009), the Iranian population has no remarkable

**Table 4.** Distribution of nematodes identified in rice fields in Guilan province, Iran (with location of sampling). Root (5 g)/Soil (500 cm<sup>3</sup>)

Nematode species	Astara	Tavaleh	Rezvanshahr	Masal	Anzali	Somesara	Foman	Rasht	Shaft	Astane	Lahijan	Siahkal	Langrood	Roodbar	Amlash	Roodsar
<i>Aphelenchoides</i> ( <i>A. besseyi</i> & <i>A. bicaudatus</i> )	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	0
<i>Aporcelaimellus obtusicaudatus</i>	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Aquatides aquaticus</i>	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Chronogaster</i> sp.	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	0	0	0	+	+	+
<i>Criconemella paragoodeyi</i>	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0
<i>Dorylaimoides elegans</i>	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Helicotylenchus</i> spp.	+++	+++	++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	+
<i>Heterodera elachista</i>	0	0	0	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ischiodorylaimus cognatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Labronemella labiata</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0
<i>Laimydorus pseudostegnalis</i>	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Leptonchus</i> sp.	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Lindseyus costatus</i>	+	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+
<i>Mesodorylaimus litoralis</i>	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	0	0	0	0
<i>Mononchus aquaticus</i>	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Mylonchulus</i> spp. ( <i>M. polonicus</i> & <i>M. sigmaturus</i> )	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Oxydirus oxycephalus</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Paractinolaimus decramerae</i>	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Plectus</i> spp. ( <i>P. aquatilis</i> & <i>P. parientinus</i> )	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0
<i>Rhysocolpus vinciguerra</i>	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Thornenema baldum</i>	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tobrilidae ( <i>Tobrilus</i> , <i>Eutobrilus</i> )	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	0
<i>Tripyla</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	0
<i>Tylenchorhynchus agri</i>	+++	+++	++	+	++	+++	+++	+++	++	+	++	++	++	+	++	+
Tylenchidae ( <i>Basiria</i> , <i>Neopsilenchus</i> , <i>Irantylenchus</i> , <i>Filenchus</i> )	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Xiphinema index</i>	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

0 = not recorded; + = present in survey; ++ = common; +++ = widespread. Relative density > 30% = widespread, relative density > 10–30% = common and relative density < 10% = present in survey

differences in morphology and morphometric data. Compared with the data given by Khan and Araki (2002), our population has slightly smaller ranges for the index "a" (27–29.2 vs. 33–41) and tail length (93–96 vs. 95–117  $\mu$ m). The species, only found in one soil sample (Table 4), was collected from a rice field next to the Caspian Sea in Tavaleh county (southwest of the Caspian Sea, 140 km northwest of Rasht. GPS coordinates: 37°21'54" North, 50°5'34" East).

The current study presents new data on the diversity and distribution of nematode fauna in rice paddies in Guilan province, Iran. Most of the common species of plant parasitic nematodes observed in this study were similar to those reported in a previous survey in rice fields in Guilan by Pedramfar *et al.* (2001). However, the plant parasitic nematodes mentioned below which have previously been identified in rice include: *A. besseyi*, *A. bicaudatus* (Imamura, 1931) Filipjev & Schuurmans Stekhoven, 1941; *Basiria graminophila* Siddiq, 1959; *Criconemella paragoodeyi*, *Filenchus facultative* (Szczygiel,

1970) Brzeski, 1982; *F. polyhypnus* (Steiner & Albin, 1946) Meyl, 1961; *Helicotylenchus crenacauda*, *H. digitiformis*, *Heterodera oryzae* Luc & Berdon, 1961 and *Tylenchorhynchus annulatus* (Cassidy, 1930) Golden, 1971. The two species *Heterodera elachista* and *T. agri* were reported for the first time as associates with rice in Guilan province. The 28S rDNA D2/D3 sequence of the Iranian population of *T. agri*, found and sequenced in the present study (morphometric data and comparison with other populations are given in Table 5, with accession number KX622690) was identical to the sequence of the same genomic fragment of the species with accession number KJ461559 sequenced by Handoo *et al.* (2014), and only one nucleotide difference was observed in the overlapping part of two sequences, which is a common intra-species variation. *T. agri* has already been reported in Iran from the rhizospheres of pomegranate, date and sour lemon (Mojtahedi *et al.* 1983; Nowruzi and Barooti 2001).

The species *H. elachista* has previously been reported from rice fields in Mazandaran province by Tanha Maafi

**Table 5.** Morphometric characters of *Tylenchorhynchus agri* Ferris, 1963 population (measurements are in  $\mu\text{m}$  except "L" in mm)

Population characters	Present study		Ferris, 1963		Nickle, 1991	
	female	male	female	male	female	male
n	12	4	10	–	10	10
L	737 $\pm$ 100(637–810)	695 $\pm$ 5(682–700)	700(660–770)	660(540–720)	700(660–770)	660(540–720)
a	29.54 $\pm$ 2.5(26–32.3)	31.5 $\pm$ 1.5(29.4–33)	30(28–33)	33(29–36)	30(28–33)	33(29–36)
b	5.4 $\pm$ 1.5(4.8–6.8)	5.1 $\pm$ 1.5(4.0–6.5)	5.1(4.7–5.5)	5.1(4.3–5.6)	5.1(4.7–5.5)	5.1(4.3–5.6)
c	14.3 $\pm$ 2.2(13.6–16.1)	14.0 $\pm$ 1.0(13.2–14.5)	18(15–21)	16(15–18)	18(15–21)	16(15–18)
c'	2.8 $\pm$ 0.5(2.1–3.4)	3.0 $\pm$ 0.6(2.7–3.7)	2.6	–	–	–
V/T	55.2 $\pm$ 3.5(52.8–58)	53 $\pm$ 2.5(49–55.3)	56(55–58)	52(48–56)	56(55–56)	52(48–56)
Stylet length	20.8 $\pm$ 2.5(19–23)	19.0 $\pm$ 1.2(18.6–20.0)	21(20–23)	20(19.5–21)	21(20–23)	20(19.5–21.0)
DGO	24 $\pm$ 3(21–26)	–	23–24	–	–	–
MB	48.3 $\pm$ 4.5(44–52.3)	46.9 $\pm$ 3.2(46–51.6)	–	–	–	–
Excretory pore	96 $\pm$ 4.5(92–101)	98.5 $\pm$ 6.5(93.2–106)	–	–	92(88–98)	88–105
Oesophagus length	122 $\pm$ 10(114–132)	119 $\pm$ 10(110–129)	–	–	116(108–128)	111–128
Tail	48.5 $\pm$ 5.5(42–54)	45.3 $\pm$ 4.2(41.8–49.8)	–	–	35(32–39)	–
Head annuli	4	–	4	–	–	–
Tail annuli	23 $\pm$ 2.5(21–25)	–	22(18–26)	–	–	–
Spicules	–	23 $\pm$ 3.5(21–26)	–	24(22–25)	–	24(22–25)
Gubernaculum	–	11.5 $\pm$ 1.5(10.9–12.4)	–	13.4(12.5–14.4)	–	13.4(12.5–14.4)

n – number of nematodes counted; L – nematode total length; a – body length/greatest body diameter; b – body length/distance from anterior to esophagointestinal valve; c – body length/tail length; c' – tail length/tail diameter at anus or cloaca; V/T – distance of vulva from anterior/length of male gonad relative to body length; DGO – dorsal esophageal gland orific; MB – distance from anterior to median bulb relative to length of oesophagus

**Table 6.** Morphometric data for female *Xiphinema index* Thorne and Allen, 1950 (measurements are in  $\mu\text{m}$  except "L" in mm)

Population characters	Present study	Thorne and Allen, 1950	Heyns, 1971	Andrassy, 2009	Hunt, 1993
n	9	–	10	–	–
L	2.9 $\pm$ 0.3(2.5–3.2)	3.4	3.25(2.9–3.6)	2.9–3.4	3.1(2.91–3.28)
a	56 $\pm$ 3.5(52.3–60.4)	58	57(54–61)	54–66	62(58–66)
b	6.6 $\pm$ 0.8(5.7–7.5)	7.6	6.6(6.2–8)	6.0–7.6	6.8(6.0–7.7)
c	73.4 $\pm$ 10.8(65–84)	76	88(72–98)	75–95	84(75–93)
c'	1.1 $\pm$ 0.1(1–1.2)	–	0.9(0.7–1.1)	0.8–1.3	1.12(1.0–1.3)
V	38.4 $\pm$ 2.5(37–41)	38	41(40–42)	38–42	39.4(38–40)
Odontostyle	128 $\pm$ 7.5(121–134)	–	129(123–134)	120–130	126(119–129)
Odontophore	73.5 $\pm$ 5.5(69–78.2)	–	78(74–81)	70–80	70(63–78)
Stylet total length	195 $\pm$ 11.5(185–209)	–	206(197–215)	–	196(190–206)
Guiding ring from anterior end	107.8 $\pm$ 7.1(102–115)	–	–	–	–
Diam. at mid-body	50.4 $\pm$ 4.0(46–54)	–	–	–	–
Anterior genital tract length	318.5 $\pm$ 63(252.5–380)	–	–	–	–
Posterior genital tract length	400 $\pm$ 45(355–460)	–	–	–	–
Tail	37.3 $\pm$ 2.5(36–39)	–	–	–	–
Hyaline portion of tail	18.5 $\pm$ 2.5(17–21)	–	–	–	–

n – number of nematodes counted; L – nematode total length; a – body length/greatest body diameter; b – body length/distance from anterior to esophagointestinal valve; c – body length/tail length; c' – tail length/tail diameter at anus or cloaca; V – %distance of vulva from anterior

*et al.* (2003). In this study the collected population of this species was sequenced for its 28S rDNA D2/D3 and ITS fragments (accession numbers KX622691 and KX622692, respectively). The ITS sequence of our population was identical to the corresponding sequences of the species deposited into the GenBank (accession numbers HM560778 and AF498391) (identity = 100%). A 98–99% identity was observed while comparing with several other populations of the species accessible in the database. The 28S rDNA D2/D3 sequence of the presently sequenced population of *A. besseyi* with accession number KX622689 was also identical to the same genomic fragment of several populations of the species available in the GenBank (99–100% identity was observed).

Based on morphological and morphometric characters, *Xiphinema index* Thorne and Allen, 1950 was identified for the first time in association with rice in Iran. Morphometric data and a comparison with other populations of the species are presented in Table 6.

## Discussion

*Xiphinema* spp. are large nematodes and economically important pests of several crops. These nematodes not only directly damage their hosts by direct feeding on root cells, but also can transmit plant pathogenic viruses. Several species of *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 have so far been recorded from the rhizosphere of rice namely *X. cavenessi* Luc, 1973 in Côte d'Ivoire; *X. insigne* Loos, 1949 in India; *X. nigeriense* Luc, 1961; and *X. orbum* Siddiqi, 1963 in India, and *X. oryzae* Bos and Loof, 1985 in Nigeria, *X. seredouense* Luc, 1975 in Guinea but none of these species are known to be harmful (Bridge *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, *Xiphinema ifacolum* Luc, 1961 can cause significant yield loss in rice when it interacts synergistically with other root pathogens. Even alone at low population densities, it can reduce rice yield (Lamberti *et al.* 1987). Because of the presence of *X. index* in a number of the surveyed rice fields in the present study, its tentative effects on rice crop loss should be further investigated.

*Helicotylenchus* spp. were the most prevalent plant-parasitic nematodes in the studied area. The economic importance of these nematodes on rice crop loss has not been well studied. *Helicotylenchus* spp. are often considered as mild pathogens (Norton 1974) and can increase susceptibility to other plant pathogenic fungi in order to gain access to the host root cells.

*Tylenchorhynchus* spp. are common nematodes in rice fields throughout the world, and their damage is accentuated by an aggregation phenomenon known as 'swarming' (Joshi and Hollis 1976). *Tylenchorhynchus annulatus* has the widest distribution and is the main species found in irrigated rice fields. Other less commonly reported species of the genus in rice fields are *T. annulatus*; *T. brassicae* Siddiqi, 1961; *T. clarus* Allen, 1955; *T. clavicaudatus* Seinhorst, 1963; *T. claytoni* Cobb, 1913; *T. crassicaudatus* Siddiqi, Mukherjee & Dasgupta, 1982; *T. elegans* Siddiqi, 1961; *T. karnalensis* Saha, Singh, Lal & Kaushal, 2002; *T. mashoodi* Siddiqi & Basir, 1959 and *T. nudus* Allen, 1955 (Khan *et al.* 1990; Haidar *et al.* 1996; Khan and Shaikat 2000). However, none of these species have been shown

to cause remarkable damages to rice in the field (Bridge *et al.* 2005). The high population density of *T. agri* recovered in this study emphasizes the need for further studies on its potential pathogenicity and crop losses on rice.

*Heterodera elachista* has an important role in yield loss in rice and despite low levels of infection with this species, management programs against it should be applied. *A. besseyi* causes white-tip disease in rice and it has worldwide distribution in rice fields. It causes up to 60% crop losses in various infested regions (Bridge *et al.* 2005). The nematode was first reported in Iran by Kheiri (1971) and is widely distributed in the rice growing areas in northern parts of the country including Guilan province (Talachian and Akhiani 1976; Elahinia and Mahdavian 1998; Jamali *et al.* 2006).

Based on our results, about 40.4% of collected fresh seed samples of rice were infested with *A. besseyi* and about 27.1% of fresh seed samples had more than 500 nematodes per 1,000 seeds. Due to the economic importance of rice in Guilan province and the devastating effects of this nematode species on susceptible cultivars, management methods should be considered to reduce nematode population levels in these areas.

Free-living nematodes have very important and beneficial roles in the decomposition of organic material and the recycling of nutrients in soil. They serve as important environmental indicators, and the predator forms could potentially be bio-control agents against parasitic forms. In the present survey, based on morphological and morphometric characteristics, a number of free living nematodes were identified and their distribution and population density were studied. The identified species were as follows: *Aporcelaimellus obtusicaudatus* (Bastian, 1865) Altherr, 1968; *Aquatides aquaticus* (Thorne, 1930) Heyns, 1968; *Chronogaster* sp.; *Dorylaimoides elegans* Thorne & Swanger, 1936; *Eutobrilus* sp.; *Ischiodorylaimus cognatus* Andrassy, 1983; *Labronemella labiate* Andrassy, 1985; *Laimydorus pseudostagnalis* (Micoletzky, 1927) Siddiqi, 1969; *Leptonchus* sp.; *Lindseyus costatus* Ferris & Ferris, 1973; *Mesodorylaimus litoralis* Loof, 1969; *Mononchus aquaticus* Coetzee, 1968; *Mylonchulus polonicus*; *Mylonchulus sigmaturus*; *Oxydirus oxycephalus* (De Man, 1885) Thorne, 1939; *Paractinolaimus decraemerae* Pedram, Niknam, Vinciguerra, Ye & Robbins, 2010; *Plectus aquatilis* Andrassy, 1985; *Plectus parientinus* Bastian, 1865; *Rhysocolpus vinciguerrae* Pedram, Porurjam, Robbins, Ye & Pena-Santiago, 2011; *Thornenema baldum* (Thorne, 1939) Andrassy, 1959; *Tobrilus* sp. and *Tripyla* sp.

All of the abovementioned free living nematodes, except *L. costatus*, were first found in the rhizosphere of rice in Iran by Coomans and Kheiri in 1986. Earlier, they had been reported in the rhizosphere of other plants from the country (Ghaderi *et al.* 2012).

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof. Nathaniel A. Mitkowski (Professor in the Science Department at the University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI) for his helpful comments and valuable suggestions in revising the manuscript.

## References

- Ahmad W., Jairajpuri M.S. 2010. Mononchida: the Predaceous Nematodes. Series: Nematology monographs and perspectives 7. Brill, Leiden–Boston, Netherlands, 299 pp.
- Aliramaji F., Pourjam E., Álvarez-Ortega S., Pedram M., Atighi M.R. 2015. *Rotylenchus dalikhaniensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Hoplolaimidae), a monosexual species recovered from the rhizosphere of *Ruscus hyrcanus* Woronow in Mazandaran province, northern Iran. *Nematology* 17 (1): 67–77.
- Andrássy I. 1992. A taxonomic survey of the family Mylonchulidae (Nematoda). *Opuscula Zoologica Budapest* 25: 11–35.
- Andrássy I. 2009. Free-living nematodes of Hungary (*Nematoda errantia*), III. *Pedozoologica Hungarica* 5: 608 pp.
- Ashouri M. 2012. The effect of water saving irrigation and nitrogen fertilizer on rice production in paddy fields of Iran production in paddy fields of Iran. *International Journal of Bioscience, Biochemistry and Bioinformatics* 2 (1): 56–59.
- Bridge J., Plowright R.A., Peng D. 2005. Nematode parasites of rice. p. 87–129. In: "Plant Parasitic Nematodes in Subtropical and Tropical Agriculture". 2nd ed. (M. Luc, R.A. Sikora, J. Bridge, eds.). CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 896 pp.
- Coolen W.A., D'Herde C.J. 1972. A method for the Quantitative Extraction of Nematodes from Plant Tissue. State Nematology and Entomology Research Station, Merelbeke, Belgium, 77 pp.
- Coomans A., Kheiri A. 1986. Observations on *Lindseyus costatus* Ferris & Ferris, 1973 with a discussion on its relationships (Nematoda: Belonidiridae). *Revue De Nematologie* 9 (4): 357–367.
- De Grisse A.T. 1969. Redescription ou modifications de quelques techniques utilisées dans l'étude des nematodes phytoparasitaires. *Mededelingen Rijksfaculteit Landbouwwetenschappen te Gent* 34: 351–369.
- Elahinia S.A., Mahdavian E. 1998. Geographical distribution of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* and its infection rate on different cultivars of rice in western parts of Mazandaran and Guilan provinces. p. 86. In: Proceedings of 13th Iranian Plant Protection Congress, 23–27 August, Karaj, Iran, 180 pp. (in Persian, with English summary)
- Farahmand S., Eskandari A., Orselli L., Karegar A. 2009. Some known species of the genera *Mononchus* Bastian, 1865 and *Mylonchulus* (Cobb, 1916). *Nematologia Mediterranea* 37 (2): 145–154.
- Ferris V.R. 1963. *Tylenchorhynchus silvaticus* n. sp. and *Tylenchorhynchus agri* n. sp. (Nematoda: Tylenchida). *Proc. Helm. Soc. Wash.* 30:165–168.
- Fukano H. 1962. Ecological studies on white tip disease of rice plant caused by *Aphelenchoides besseyi*, Christie and its control. *Bulletin of the Fukuoka Agriculture Experiment Station* 18: 1–10.
- Ghaderi R., Kashi L., Karegar A. 2012. The Nematodes of Iran (based on the published reports until 2011). Agricultural Education and Extension Publication, Tehran, Iran, 371 pp.
- Haidar M.G., Nath R.P., Thakur S.K. 1996. Growth response of rice plant to stunt nematode, *Tylenchorhynchus nudus*. *Journal of Research, Birsa Agricultural University* 8 (2): 163–164.
- Handoo Z.A. 2000. A key and diagnostic compendium to the species of the genus *Tylenchorhynchus* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Belonolaimidae). *Nematology* 32 (1): 20–34.
- Handoo Z.A., Palomares-Rius J.E., Cantalapiedra-Navarrete C., Liebanas G., Subbotin S.A., Castillo P. 2014. Integrative taxonomy of the stunt nematodes of the genera *Bitylenchus* and *Tylenchorhynchus* (Nematoda, Telotylenchidae) description of two new species and a molecular phylogeny. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 172 (2): 231–264.
- Heyns J. 1971. Three *Xiphinema* species from the south Western Cape Province (Nematoda: Longidoridae). *Phytophylactica (plant protection sciences and microbiology)* 3 (3): 107–114.
- Hopper B.E., Cairns E.J. 1959. Taxonomic Keys to Plant, Soil and Aquatic Nematodes. Alabama Polytechnic Institute, Auburn, AL, USA, 176 pp.
- Hunt D.J. 1993. Aphelenchida, Longidoridae and Tricodoridae: Their Systematics and Bionomics. International Wallingford, UK, 352 pp.
- Ingham R.E., Trofymow J.A., Ingham E.R., Coleman D.C. 1985. Interactions of bacteria, fungi, and their nematode grazers: effects on nutrient cycling and plant growth. *Ecological Monographs* 55 (1): 119–140.
- Jairajpuri M.S. 1969. Studies on Mononchida of India. I. The genera *Hadronchus*, *Iotonchus* and *Miconchus* and a revised classification of Mononchida, new order. *Nematologica* 15: 557–581.
- Jamali S., Pourjam E., Alizadeh A., Alinia F. 2006. Incidence and distribution of *Aphelenchoides besseyi* in rice areas in Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* 2 (2): 337–344.
- Jairajpuri M.S., Ahmad W. 1992. Dorylaimida: Free-living, Predaceous and Plant-Parasitic Nematodes. Brill Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands, 458 pp.
- Jenkins W.R. 1964. A rapid centrifugal-flotation technique for extracting nematodes from soil. *Plant Disease Reporter* 48: 692.
- Joshi M.M., Hollis J.P. 1976. Pathogenicity of *Tylenchorhynchus martini* swarms to rice. *Nematologica* 22 (1): 123–124.
- Khan Z., Araki M. 2002. A new and two first recorded species of mononchids (Nematoda: Mononchida) from Japan. *Nematologia Mediterranea* 30: 167–173.
- Khan A., Jaffry A.H., Khan M.A. 1990. Pathogenicity of *Tylenchorhynchus brassicae* to three cultivars of rice. *International Nematology Network Newsletter* 7 (2): 42–43.
- Khan A., Shaukat S.S. 2000. Effect of single and simultaneous inoculations of *Hirschmanniella oryzae* and *Tylenchorhynchus annulatus* to rice seedlings under laboratory conditions. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* 16 (2): 197–200.
- Kheiri A. 1971. Plant-parasitic nematodes (Tylenchida) from Iran. *Biologisch Jaarboek Dodonaea* 40: 224–239.
- Koohkan M., Shokoohi E., Abolafia J. 2014. Study of some mononchids (Mononchida) from Iran with a compendium of the genus *Anatonchus*. *Tropical Zoology* 27 (3): 88–127.
- Lamberti F., Blevé-Zacheo T., Tuopay D.K., Ciancio A., Boibol J.B. 1987. Relationship between *Xiphinema ifacolum* and rice in Liberia. *Nematologia Mediterranea* 15: 303–314.
- Loof P.A.A., Luc M. 1990. A revised polytomous key for the identification of species of the genus *Xiphinema* Cobb, 1913 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with exclusion of the *X. americanum* group. *Systematic Parasitology* 16: 35–66.

- Minassian V., Barooti S. 1997. First report on occurrence of *Hirschmanniella oryzae* in Iran. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 33: 31–32. (in Persian, with English summary).
- Mohammadi A., Alimohammadi A., Habibi R., Shirzadi M.R. 2015. Spatial and statistical analysis of leptospirosis in Guilan province, Iran. The International Archives of the Photogramm. Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, XL-1/W5:497–502.
- Mojtahedi H., Balali G., Akhiani A., Barooti S., Naderi A. 1983. Tylenchorhynchid nematodes of Iran (Tylenchoidea: Nematoda). Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 19: 11–14. (in Persian, with English summary)
- Mousanejad S., Alizadeh A., Safaie N. 2009. Effect of weather factors on spore population dynamics of rice blast fungus in Guilan Province. Journal of Plant Protection Research 49 (3): 319–329.
- Mulvey R.H. 1961. The Mononchidae: a family of predaceous nematodes. I. Genus *Mylonchulus* (Enolpida: Mononchidae). Canadian Journal of Zoology 39: 665–696.
- Nickle W.R., Hooper D.J. 1991. Manual of Agricultural Helminthology. Marcel Dekker, New York, USA, 1035 pp.
- Norton D.C., Hoffmann J.K. 1974. Distribution of selected plant parasitic nematodes relative to vegetation and edaphic factors. Journal of Nematology 6 (2): 81–86.
- Norton D.C. 1978. Ecology of Plant-Parasitic Nematodes. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 268 pp.
- Nowruzi R., Barooti S. 2001. Some predatory and plant parasitic nematodes from Bushehr Province, Iran. Applied Entomology and Phytopathology 68 (2): 9–12.
- Nunn G.B. 1992. Nematode molecular evolution. Ph.D. thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK, 192 pp.
- Panahandeh Y., Pourjam E., Aliramaji F., Pedram M. 2015. Data on some members of the family Tylenchidae (Nematoda: Tylenchina) from Iran. Biologia (Section Zoology) 70 (10): 1376–1387.
- Pedram M., Pourjam E. 2014. Description of *Trichodorus iranicus* sp. n. (Diphtherophorina, Trichodoridae) from Iran. Zootaxa 3795 (4): 431–440.
- Pedram M., Pourjam E., Panahandeh Y., Soleymanzadeh M., Atighi M.R., Roshan-Bakhsh A., Ehsani A. 2015. Additional data on Iranian trichodorids (Triplonchida: Trichodoridae) and proposal for a new synonymy. Nematology 17 (10): 1185–1191.
- Pedramfar H., Pourjam E., Kheiri A. 2001. Plant parasitic nematodes associated with rice in Guilan Province, Iran. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 37 (3–4): 285–301. (in Persian, with English summary)
- Ravichandra N.G. 2013. Fundamentals of Plant Pathology. Phi Learning, Delhi, India, 652 pp.
- Roshan-Bakhsh A., Pourjam E., Pedram M. 2016. Description of *Longidorus perangustus* sp. n. (Dorylaimida: Longidoridae), an amphimictic species from Iran. European Journal of Plant Pathology 144 (3): 581–594.
- Sayari M., Babaeizad V., Ghanbari M.A.T., Rahimian H. 2014. Expression of the pathogenesis related proteins, NH-1, PAL, and lipoxygenase in the Iranian Tarom and Khazar rice cultivars, in reaction to *Rhizoctonia solani* the causal agent of rice sheath blight. Journal of Plant Protection Research 54 (1): 36–43.
- Siddiqi M.R. 2000. Tylenchida Parasites of Plants and Insects. 2nd ed. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, 833 pp.
- Soleymanzadeh M., Pedram M., Pourjame E., Álvarez-Ortega S. 2016. Description of *Lelenchus brevislitus* n. sp. (Nematoda: Tylenchidae), an example of a cryptic species from Iran and its phylogenetic relationships with other species in the family. Nematology (in press).
- Spedding T.A., Hamel C., Mehuys G.R., Madramootoo C.A. 2004. Soil microbial dynamics in maize-growing soil under different tillage and residue management systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 36 (3): 499–512.
- Talachian P., Akhiani A. 1976. Occurrence of white tip nematode of rice in Iran. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology 12: 27. (in Persian, with English summary)
- Tanha Maafi Z., Subbotin S.A., Moens M. 2003. Molecular identification of cyst nematodes (Heteroderidae) from Iran and a phylogeny based on ITS-rDNA sequences. Nematology 5 (1): 99–111.
- Thorne G., Alen M.W. 1950. *Paratylenchus hamatus* n. sp. and *Xiphinema index* n. sp. two new nematodes associated with fig roots, with a note on *Paratylenchus anceps* Cobb. Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington 17: 27–35.
- Vovlas N., Subbotin S.A., Troccoli A., Liébanas G., Castillo P. 2008. Molecular phylogeny of the genus *Rotylenchus* (Nematoda, Tylenchida) and description of a new species. Zoologica Scripta 37 (5): 521–537.
- Yeates G.W., Coleman D.C. 1982. Role of nematodes in decomposition. p. 55–80. In: "Nematodes in Soil Ecosystems" (D.W. Freckman, ed.). University of Texas Press, Austin, USA, 206 pp.