



## Cyanobacterial species Biodiversity in Mahasamund district of Chhattisgarh region, India

Sangita Devi Sharma<sup>1,\*</sup>, Kaushilya Sahu<sup>2</sup> and Pankaj Kumar Jain<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Govt. College Bori Durg, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Botany, Govt. College, Gobra Navapara, Raipur, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, Kalyan P.G. College, Bhilai, India

\*E-mail address: [sangeetadevisharma2206@gmail.com](mailto:sangeetadevisharma2206@gmail.com)

\*Phone: 9977471972

### ABSTRACT

Rice is the principal crop of the Chhattisgarh State. It covers 66% of all cultivable land and is mostly grown within the kharif cropping season. To increase sustained productivity without decreasing soil quality, algal bio fertilizers are widely used in the State. Hence, the culture of cyanobacterial bio fertilizers has been started on a regional basis. This includes survey, isolation and screening of stress-tolerant cyanobacteria. Thus, this study concerns the characterization of physical and chemical properties of soil collected from Mahasamund districts of Chhattisgarh state with respect to the biodiversity of cyanobacteria.

**Keywords:** Cyanobacterial screening, physicochemical properties of soil, Rice fields

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cyanobacteria (Blue-green algae) are large group of phototrophic microorganisms with highly variable morphological features. For long time, mainly morphological characteristics were taken into account for a taxonomical classification of cyanobacteria (Rippka *et al.*, 1979, Schopf, 2000). Cyanobacteria comprise one of the major eubacterial lineages. The diversity within a lineage, including both that of morphology (single cells, branching filaments, akinets,

etc.) and physiology (nitrogen fixation, heterotrophy, motility, etc.) has fascinated microbiologists (Bryant, 1994). They are one of the dominant genera in various ecological habitats, especially in rice fields, where they are found as both free-living and symbiotic with the water fern, *Azolla*.

Morphology, developmental and biochemical parameters may vary with environmental or culture conditions. They can be classified on the basis of morphology, cellular differentiation, and biochemical, physiological and genetic criteria.

The role of cyanobacteria as biological inputs in agriculture has been well documented and substantiated has reported that cyanobacterial inoculation was equally effective for the high yielding dwarf rice (*Oriza sativa* L.) varieties under high fertility. Cyanobacteria also occupy a variety of terrestrial environments. Soil is one of the most potential habitats for cyanobacterial growth particularly in moist or waterlogged conditions. They play a significant role in maintaining soil fertility and in soil reclamation (Singh, 1961, Watanabe, 1962, Venkataraman, 1975, 1981, Venkataraman et al. 1974 and Watanabe, and Yamamoto, 1970)

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

All investigations in the soils of paddy fields were performed in the five site of Mahasamund district. Soil samples of paddy fields were collected randomly from Basana, Bagbahra, Mahasamund, and Saraipali region of Chhattisgarh state. Soil samples from paddy fields were collected in kharif cropping season. The soils were contained fertilizers which were used by the farmers. The paddy fields of Mahasamund district was divided in to 5 different sites:

Site Number	Name of site
1	Basana
2	Bagbahra
3	Mahasamund
4	Pithora
5	Saraipali

### Identification of Cyanobacteria

The samplings were done randomly from soil of the paddy fields. Temporary slides were prepared for each sample for identification. The strains were identified based on their morphological features and cell structure following the monograph of Desikachary (1959) and Anand (1989). The collected samples were maintained by culturing in freshly prepared modified Chu-10 medium (Gerloff et al., 1950).

### **Physico-Chemical Analysis of Soil**

The soil is a porous mixture of inorganic particles, organic matter, air and water. This mixture contains a large variety of living organisms. The soil environment is influenced by several factors including geological, physico-chemical and biological properties of the soil, climate and human activities prevailing in that area. Soil analysis includes soil type and analysis for different physico-chemical properties of the soil. A number of physico-chemical properties such as pH and electrical conductivity were analyzed from different sites of paddy fields.

**a. Determination of pH:** pH of the soil samples were determined by pH meter. The above study has been done using the procedure as follows 10 gram of soil was dissolved in 25 ml of distilled water. Suspension was shaken for 30 minutes, pH meter was calibrated by using buffer solutions of pH 4.0 and 7.0. The electrode was dipped in soil-water suspension. The reading was measured in triplicate.

**b. Determination of Electrical Conductivity:** Electrical conductivity was measured by conductivity meter. The electrical conductivity have been calculate by using the procedure 1:2 soil water soil water- suspension was prepared by dissolving 10 gram of soil in 20 ml distilled water. Suspension was shaken for 30 minutes. The conductivity cell was dipped in soil water suspension. The galvanometer of conductivity meter was balanced and the conductance of soil solution was measured.

### **3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

Cyanobacteria, as natural biofertilizer, by maintaining the soil fertility increasing rice growth and yield is well established fact (Rai 2006). In the present study rich diversity of cyanobacteria were recorded from all the study sites. The occurrence of cyanobacteria in the rice fields may be attributable to favorable environment with respect to their requirement for light, water, high temperature and nutrient availability, which is in confirmation with the earlier finding (Konda and Yasuda 2003). Several reports are available on the geographic regional distribution and the role of cyanobacteria in tropical rice fields (Singh 1961, Venkataraman 1981, Sharma and Naik 1996 and 1998, Devi, 1997, Anand and Hooper, 2005, Bhakta et al. 2006, Dey and Bastia 2008, Digambar et al. 2008, Prasanna et al. 2009, Shrivastava et al. 2009 and Bajpa 2013 but their abundance and diversity vis-à-vis diverse rice ecologies is a relatively less explored area.

The various forms recorded from different study sites were listed in Table 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. The present investigation showed the predominance of non-heterocystous forms at all the study sites (Table 1). However, Nayak and Prasanna (2007) recorded more heterocystous forms while studying cyanobacterial abundance and diversity in rice field soils of India. Generally cyanobacteria form heterocysts during unfavorable environment and nutrient deficiency. The abundance of non-heterocystous forms indicates suitable environmental conditions for their growth.

#### **Soil pH**

The pH determines the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> and minerals in the medium and directly or indirectly influences the metabolism of cyanobacteria. Micronutrient availability is pH

dependent. The pH of site 1, 4 and 5 are almost same (Table 1), where as acidic to neutral pH range i.e. 5.0-7.1. Site -2 and site-3 have been shown an acidic to alkaline pH (5.7-7.5). Among different physico-chemical properties pH is important in determining growth, establishment and diversity of cyanobacterial flora, which is generally been reported to prefer neutral to slightly alkaline (Roger and Kulasooriya 1980). In our study a high positive correlation is observed between the soil pH (pH 5.0-7.5) and cyanobacterial population, mostly non-heterocystous forms and contradicts that heterocystous forms were reported to be more abundant at alkaline pH (Nayak and Prasanna 2007) (Table 1). In Present study maximum heterocystous forms (total 8) were recorded from site 1, where the soil showed acidic pH followed by site-2 and site-4 which showed acidic to alkaline (5.7-7.5) and acidic to almost neutral pH (5.0-7.1) respectively (Table 1).

### **Electrical Conductivity**

The conductivity values for different soil samples from site 1-5 were in the range of 0.062-0.692 m mho/cm (Table 1). The value is within the permissible limits. The conductivity values helped to improve cyanobacterial growth. Where electrical conductivity grows above 2 m mhos/cm than soil became salty in nature due to the presence of ions, like metals, which allows the electric current to pass through them. A positive correlation has been observed between conductivity and cyanobacterial population (Table 1). Such finding indicates the ubiquitous distribution of cyanobacteria in natural environment.

**Table 1.** Distribution pattern of cyanobacterial species in rice field soils of Mahasamund district.

Name of site	No. of soil sample studied	Soil pH	Soil conductivity	No. of cyanobacteria forms recorded				
				Unicellular	Non hetro filamentous	Hetro. filamentous	Total species	Hetrocystous sp.
Basana	8	5.1-6.8	0.070-0.444	07	36	08	51	08
Bagbahra	8	5.7-7.5	0.166-0.692	04	32	07	43	07
Mahasamund	8	6.3-7.5	0.254-0.678	01	24	03	28	06
Pithaura	8	5.0-7.1	0.062-0.526	02	26	06	34	04
Saraipali	8	5.3-7.1	0.098-0.502	04	28	03	35	04

**Table 2.** Cyanobacterial species recorded from Basana (Site - I).

S. No.	Unicellular form	S. No.	Non heterocystous filamentous form	S. No.	Heterocystous filamentous form
	<b>Genus Chroococcus</b>		<b>Genus Oscillatoria</b>		<b>Genus Nostoc</b>
		1.	<i>O. amoena</i>		
1.	<i>C. minutus</i>	2.	<i>O. anguina</i>	1.	<i>N. linekia</i>
2.	<i>C. turgidus</i>	3.	<i>O. animalis</i>	2.	<i>N. spongiformae</i> var. <i>tenuis</i>
	<b>Genus Aphanocapsa</b>	4.	<i>O. chilkinsis</i>		<b>Genus Aulosira</b>
		5.	<i>O. claricentrosa</i>		
3.	<i>A. montana</i>	6.	<i>O. earlei</i>	3.	<i>A. laxa</i>
4.	<i>A. roseana</i>	7.	<i>O. foreaui</i>		<b>Genus Camptylonemopsis</b>
5.	<i>A. thermalis</i>	8.	<i>O. formosa</i>	4.	<i>C. lahorensis</i>
	<b>Genus Aphanthece</b>	9.	<i>O. fremyii</i>		<b>Genus Tolypothrix</b>
6.	<i>A. naegeli</i>	10.	<i>O. grunowina</i>	5.	<i>T. byssoidea</i>
	<b>Genus Synechococcus</b>	11.	<i>O. jasorvensis</i>		<b>Genus Microchaete</b>
7.	<i>S. cedrorum</i>	12.	<i>O. late-virens</i>	6.	<i>M. tenera</i>
		13.	<i>O. lohtakensis</i>		<b>Genus Calothrix</b>
		14.	<i>O. minnesotensis</i>	7.	<i>C. marchica</i> var. <i>bravifilamentosa</i>
		15.	<i>O. okeni</i>	8.	<i>C. marchica</i> var. <i>intermedia</i>
		16.	<i>O. proboscidea</i>		
		17.	<i>O. rubescens</i>		
			<b>Genus Phormidium</b>		
		18.	<i>P. ambiguum</i>		
		19.	<i>P. anomala</i>		
		20.	<i>P. fragile</i>		
		21.	<i>P. microtomum</i>		
		22.	<i>P. papyraceum</i>		
		23.	<i>P. rotheanum</i> var. <i>capitatum</i>		

	24.	<i>P. subfuscum</i>	
		<b>Genus Lyngbya</b>	
	25.	<i>L. aestuarii</i>	
	26.	<i>L. ceylanica</i>	
	27.	<i>L. digueti</i>	
	28.	<i>L. martensiana</i>	
	29.	<i>L. Taylorii</i>	
		<b>Genus Schizothrix</b>	
	30.	<i>S. arenaria</i>	
	31.	<i>S. tenuis</i>	
		<b>Genus Symploca</b>	
	32.	<i>S. cartalagiana</i>	
	33.	<i>S. elegans</i>	
	34.	<i>S. muralis</i>	
	35.	<i>S. muscorum</i>	
		<b>Genus Microcoleus</b>	
	36.	<i>M. chthonoplastes</i>	

**Table 3.** Cyanobacterial species recorded from Bagbahara (Site - II).

S. No.	Unicellular form	S. No.	Non heterocystous filamentous form	S. No.	Heterocystous filamentous form
	<b>Genus Chroococcus</b>		<b>Genus Oscillatoria</b>		<b>Genus Cylandrospermum</b>
1.	<i>C. minor</i>	1.	<i>O. anguina</i>	1.	<i>C. doryphorum</i>
2.	<i>C. minutus</i>	2.	<i>O. animalis</i>		<b>Genus Nostoc</b>
3.	<i>C. pallidus</i>	3.	<i>O. cortiana</i>	2.	<i>N. amplissima</i>
	<b>Genus Aphanthece</b>	4.	<i>O. formosa</i>	3.	<i>N. punctiformae</i>

4.	<i>A. microscopiai</i>	5.	<i>O. fremyii</i>		<b>Genus Anabaena</b>	
		6.	<i>O. jasorvensis</i>	4.	<i>A. anomala</i>	
		7.	<i>O. quadripunctulata</i>	5.	<i>A. oryzae</i>	
		8.	<i>O. rubescens</i>		<b>Genus Calothrix</b>	
		9.	<i>O. simplicissima</i>	6.	<i>C. marchica</i> var. <i>bravifilamentosa</i>	
		10.	<i>O. subravis</i>	7.	<i>C. marchica</i> var. <i>intermedia</i>	
			<b>Genus Phormidium</b>			
		11.	<i>P. arbonema</i>			
		12.	<i>P. ambiguum</i>			
		13.	<i>P. angustissimum</i>			
		14.	<i>P. favosum</i>			
		15.	<i>P. fragile</i>			
		16.	<i>P. microtomum</i>			
		17.	<i>P. stagnina</i>			
		18.	<i>P. subfuscum</i>			
			<b>Genus Lyngbya</b>			
		19.	<i>L. aestuarii</i>			
		20.	<i>L. ceylanica</i>			
		21.	<i>L. cinerescens</i>			
		22.	<i>L. contorta</i>			
		23.	<i>L. digueti</i>			
		24.	<i>L. erebi</i>			
		25.	<i>L. martensiana</i>			
		26.	<i>L. putealis</i>			

	27.	<i>L. scotti</i>	
	28.	<i>L.semiplena</i>	
	29.	<i>L. Taylorii</i>	
		<b>Genus Schizothrix</b>	
	27.	<i>S. arenaria</i>	
	28.	<i>S. friesii f. repens</i>	
	29.	<i>S. tenuis</i>	
		<b>Genus Symploca</b>	
	30.	<i>S. elegans</i>	
	31.	<i>S. hydnoides</i>	
		<b>Genus Hydrocoleum</b>	
	32.	<i>H. lyngbyaceum</i>	

**Table 4.** Cyanobacterial species recorded from Mahasamund (Site - III).

S. No.	Unicellular form	S. No.	Non heterocystous filamentous form	S. No.	Heterocystous filamentous form
	<b>Genus Aphanocapsas</b>		<b>Genus Oscillatoria</b>		<b>Genus Nostoc</b>
1.	<i>A. roeseana</i>	1.	<i>O. chlorina</i>	1.	<i>N. punctiformae</i>
		2.	<i>O. formosa</i>		<b>Genus Anabaena</b>
		3.	<i>O. jasorvensis</i>	2.	<i>A. anomala</i>
		4.	<i>O. minnesotensis</i>	3.	<i>A. orientalis</i>
			<b>Genus Phormidium</b>		<b>Genus Aulosira</b>
		5.	<i>P. africanum</i>	4.	<i>A. aenigmatica</i>
		6.	<i>P. ambiguum</i>	5.	<i>A. fertilissima</i>

	7.	<i>P. anomala</i>		<b>Genus <i>Microchaete</i></b>
	8.	<i>P. autumnale</i>	6.	<i>M. tenera</i>
	9.	<i>P. bohneri</i>		
	10.	<i>P. corium</i>		
	11.	<i>P. foveolarum</i>		
	12.	<i>P. fragile</i>		
	13.	<i>P. tenue</i>		
	14.	<i>P. uncinatum</i>		
		<b>Genus <i>Lyngbya</i></b>		
	15.	<i>L. aestuarii</i>		
	16.	<i>L. limnetica</i>		
	17.	<i>L. lutea</i>		
	18.	<i>L. nigra</i>		
	19.	<i>L. putealis</i>		
	20.	<i>L. rubida</i>		
	21.	<i>L. spiralis</i>		
	22.	<i>L. Taylorii</i>		
		<b>Genus <i>Schizothrix</i></b>		
	23.	<i>S. tenuis</i>		
		<b>Genus <i>Symploca</i></b>		
	24.	<i>S. elegans</i>		

**Table 5.** Cyanobacterial species recorded from Pithaura (Site-IV).

S. No.	Unicellular form	S. No.	Non heterocystous filamentous form	S. No.	Heterocystous filamentous form
	<b>Genus Aphanocapsa</b>		<b>Genus Oscillatoria</b>		<b>Genus Nostoc</b>
1.	<i>A. koordersi</i>	1.	<i>O. animalis</i>	1.	<i>N. linckia</i>
	<b>Genus Mixosarcina</b>	2.	<i>O. foreau</i>		<b>Genus Aulosira</b>
1.	<i>M. spectabilis</i>	3.	<i>O. jasorvensis</i>	2.	<i>A. laxa</i>
		4.	<i>O. late-virens</i>		<b>Genus Microchaete</b>
		5.	<i>O. minnesotensis</i>	3.	<i>M. uberrima</i>
		6.	<i>O. obscura</i>		<b>Genus Mastigocladus</b>
		7.	<i>O. simplicissima</i>	4.	<i>M.laminosus</i>
		8.	<i>O. tenuis var. natans</i>		
		9.	<i>O. terebriformis</i>		
			<b>Genus Phormidium</b>		
		10.	<i>P. ambiguum</i>		
		11.	<i>P. calcicola</i>		
		12.	<i>P. favosum</i>		
		13.	<i>P.fragile</i>		
		14.	<i>P. mucosum</i>		
		15.	<i>P. stagnina</i>		
			<b>Genus Lyngbya</b>		
		16.	<i>L. aestuarii</i>		
		17.	<i>L. allorgi</i>		
		18.	<i>L. ceylanica</i>		

	19.	<i>L. circumcreta</i>	
	20.	<i>L. corbieteii</i>	
	21.	<i>L. martensiana</i>	
	22.	<i>L. stagnina</i>	
		<b>Genus <i>Schizothrix</i></b>	
	23.	<i>S. tenuis</i>	
		<b>Genus <i>Symploca</i></b>	
	24.	<i>S. elegans</i>	
		<b>Genus <i>Microcoleus</i></b>	
	25.	<i>M. chthonoplastes</i>	

**Table 6.** Cyanobacterial species recorded from Saraipali (Site - V).

S. No.	Unicellular form	S. No.	Non heterocystous filamentous form	S. No.	Heterocystous filamentous form
	<b>Genus <i>Chroococcus</i></b>		<b>Genus <i>Oscillatoria</i></b>		<b>Genus <i>Nostoc</i></b>
1.	<i>C. minutus</i>	1.	<i>O. accuminata</i>	1.	<i>punctiformae</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Aphanocapsa</i></b>	2.	<i>O. amoena</i> var. <i>non granulata</i>		<b>Genus <i>Anabaena</i></b>
2.	<i>A. koordersi</i>	3.	<i>O. anguina</i>	2.	<i>A. anomala</i>
3.	<i>A. montana</i>	4.	<i>O. chilkinsis</i>	3.	<i>A. orizae</i>
4.	<i>A. roseana</i>	5.	<i>O. late-virens</i>	<b>Genus <i>Aulosira</i></b> 4. <i>A. laxa</i>	
		6.	<i>O. minnesotensis</i>		
		7.	<i>O. quadripunculata</i>		
			<b>Genus <i>Phormidium</i></b>		
		8.	<i>P. ambiguum</i>		

9.	<i>P. anomala</i>
10.	<i>P. molle</i>
11.	<i>P. stagnina</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Lyngbya</i></b>
12.	<i>L. arboricola</i>
13.	<i>L. ceylanica</i>
14.	<i>L. digueti</i>
15.	<i>L. major</i>
16.	<i>L. martensiana</i>
17.	<i>L. stagnina</i>
18.	<i>L. Taylorii</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Schizothrix</i></b>
19.	<i>S. arenaria</i>
20.	<i>S. fragilis</i>
21.	<i>S. tenuis</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Symploca</i></b>
22.	<i>S. elegans</i>
23.	<i>S. parietina</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Sirocoleus</i></b>
24.	<i>S. kurzii</i>
	<b>Genus <i>Microcoleus</i></b>
25.	<i>M. lacustris</i>

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The physic-chemical analysis of soils from 05 different selected sites of Mahasamund district of Chhattisgarh state has shown that pH of block no. 2 & 3 are acidic to alkaline while rest are acidic to neutral. It has evidenced that the micronutrient availability is pH dependent. The electrical conductivity helped to improve cyanobacterial growth.

In the present scenario, the use of cyanobacterial biofertilizers has much reduced mainly as a consequence of their poor establishment patterns in different soil types or ecologies. For wider exploitation and success of these biofertilizer technology in agriculture, coordinated strategic research efforts in the laboratory and at field level are highly essential.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Prof. and Head, Department of Botany and authorities of Kalyan P.G. College, Bhilai for providing necessary laboratory and other facilities.

#### References

- [1] Anand, N. 1989. *Hand Book of Blue-Green Algae*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, pp. 1-77.
- [2] Anand, N. and Shanthakumar Hooper, R. S. 2005. Distribution of blue-green algae in rice field of Kerala State, India. *Phykos*, 34 (1&2), 55-64.
- [3] Bajpai, P. 2013. Phylogenetical characterization of Cyanobacteria from Paddy field of Chhattisgarh (Part i). *Int J Pharm Sci Res*, 4(3), 1110-1115.
- [4] Bhakta, S., H. Dey and A.K. Bastia. 2006. Study of algal diversity from rice-fields of Baripada, Mayurbhanj, Orissa. In: *Environmental Biotechnology and Biodiversity conservation*. (ed.) Das, M.K. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi, India, pp. 154-163.
- [5] Bryant D. A. 1994. *The molecular biology of cyanobacteria*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.
- [6] Desikachary, T. V. 1959. *Cyanophyta*, A Monograph. Indian Council of Agric. Res., New Delhi, pp. 686.
- [7] Devi, G. A. 1997. Nitrogen fixing blue green algac from rice field soils of Manipur and their possible role as biofertilizer. Ph.D. thesis, to Manipur University, Imphal.
- [8] Dey, H. S. and A. K. Bastia. 2008. Cyanobacterial Flora from rice growing areas of Mayurbhanj. *Plant. Sc. Res.* 30(1&2), 22-26.
- [9] Digambar Rao, B., D. Srinivas, O. Padmaja and K. Rani. 2008. Blue-green algae of rice fields of South Telangana region, Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Hydrobiology* 11(1), 79-83.
- [10] Gerloff, G. C., G. P. Filzqerladand, F. Skoog 1950. The isolation, purification and culture of blue-green algae. *Am. J. Bot.*, 37, 216-218.

- [11] Kondo, M. and M. Yasuda, 2003. Seasonal changes in N<sub>2</sub> fixation activity and N enrichment in paddy soils as affected by soil management in the northern area of Japan. *Japan Agricultural Research Quarterly* 37, 105-111.
- [12] Nayak, S. and R. Prasanna. 2007. Soil pH and its role in cyanobacterial abundance and diversity in rice field soils. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research* 5(2), 103-113.
- [13] Nayak, S., R. Prasanna, T. K. Dominic and P. K. Singh. 2001. Floristic abundance and relative distribution of different cyanobacterial genera in rice field soil at different crop growth stages. *Phykos* 40, 15-22.
- [14] Prasanna, R., P. Jaiswal, S. Nayak, A. Sood and B. D. Kaushik. 2009. Cyanobacterial diversity in the rhizosphere of rice and its ecological significance. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 49, 89-97.
- [15] Rai, M.K. 2006. *Handbook of Microbial Biofertilizers*. Haworth Press, New York.
- [16] Roger, P. and P. Reynaud. 1976. Dynamique de la population algale au cours d'un cycle d culture dans une rizière sahélienne. *Rev. Ecol. Biol. Sol.* 13(4): 545-560.
- [17] Roger, P.A. and S.A. Kulasooriya. 1980. Blue green algae and Rice. International Rice Research Institute, Manila.
- [18] Schopf J. W. 2000. The fossil record: tracing the roots of the cyanobacterial lineage. In: *The Ecology of cyanobacteria*. (Eds.) B.A. Whitton and M. Potts. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, the Netherlands. 13-35.
- [19] Sharma, S. S. and M. L. Naik, 1996. Rice field cyanobacteria of Pithora block of Raipur District of Madhya Pradesh. *Phykos*, 35(1&2), 139-141.
- [20] Sharma, S.S. and M.L. Naik, 1998. Non-heterocystous cyanobacteria of rice fields of Bhatapara block of Raipur District. *Biom*, 8(1&2), 113-116).
- [21] Shrivastava, A. K., Srivastava, D. K. and Taranjeet Saluja 2009. Biodiversity of cyanobacteria and its distributional pattern in different habitats of Durg district in Chhattisgarh State. *National Journal of Life Sciences* 6(2), 229-235.
- [22] Singh, R. N. 1961. Role of Blue Green Algae in nitrogen economy of Indian agriculture. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.
- [23] Venkataraman, G. S., S. K. Goyal, B. D. Kaushik and P. Roychoudhary 1974. Algae form and function. *Pub. Today and Tomorrow's Printers and Publishers*. New Delhi, pp. 343-352.
- [24] Venkataraman, G. S. 1975. The role of blue-green algae in tropical rice cultivation. In nitrogen fixation by free living microorganism. Ed. Stewart, W.D.P. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, U.K. pp. 207-218.
- [25] Venkataraman, G. S. 1981. Blue-green algae for rice production—a manual for its promotion. FAO Soils Bulletin No. 46. FAO, Rome.
- [26] Watanabe, A. Effect of nitrogen fixing blue-green alga *Tolypothrix tenuis* on the nitrogenous fertility of paddy soil and on the crop yield of rice plant. *J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol.* 8 (1962) 85-91.

- [27] Watanabe, A. and Yamamoto, Y. Mass culturing, preservation and transportation of the N<sub>2</sub> fixing blue-green algae. Proceedings of the second symposium on N<sub>2</sub> fixation & N<sub>2</sub> cycle 1970, Sendai, Japan, 22-80.

( Received 05 January 2017; accepted 21 January 2017 )