

MINIMAL-PHASE REALIZATIONS FOR POSITIVE LINEAR SYSTEMS

Abstract

The problem of minimal-phase realization for continuous-time and discrete-time linear systems is addressed. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of minimal-phase realizations for the linear systems are established. A procedure for computation of the realizations is proposed and illustrated by numerical examples.

INTRODUCTION

Determination of the state space equations for given transfer matrices is a classical problem, called the realization problem, which has been addressed in many papers and books [1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 29-31]. An overview of the positive realization problem is given in [1, 2, 12, 29]. The realization problem for positive continuous-time and discrete-time linear system has been considered in [4-7, 13-16, 20, 21, 23, 27-30] and for linear systems with delays in [4, 8, 14, 18, 27-29]. The realization problem for fractional linear systems has been analyzed in [19, 22, 24, 25, 29, 30] and for positive 2D hybrid linear systems in [17, 18, 26]. A new modified state variable diagram method for determination of positive realizations with reduced number of delays for given proper transfer matrices has been proposed in [3].

In this paper a new approach to the minimal-phase realization problem for linear systems will be proposed. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the solution to the problem will be established and a procedure for computation of the realizations will be proposed.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 1 some preliminaries on continuous-time and discrete-time linear positive systems and minimal-phase-realizations are given. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the minimal-phase realizations and a procedure for computation of the realizations for positive continuous-time linear are proposed in section 2 and for positive discrete-time systems in section 3.

The following notation will be used: \mathfrak{R} - the set of real numbers, $\mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$ - the set of $n \times m$ real matrices, $\mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times m}$ - the set of $n \times m$ real matrices with nonnegative entries, $\mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}(s)$ - the set of $n \times m$ rational matrices in s with real coefficients, Z_+ - the set of nonnegative integers, I_n - the $n \times n$ identity matrix

Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny
Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny
Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny
Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny
Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny
Tekst zasadniczy wstępu jak tekst główny

1. PRELIMINARIES

Consider the continuous-time linear system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu, \quad (1a)$$

$$y = Cx + Du, \quad (1b)$$

where $x \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $u \in \mathfrak{R}^m$, $y \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ are the state, input and output vectors and $A \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$, $C \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times n}$, $D \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}$.

Definition 1. [12] The system (1) is called (internally) positive if $x = x(t) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ and $y = y(t) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^p$, $t \in [0, +\infty]$ for all $x_0 = x(0) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ and $u = u(t) \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$, $t \in [0, +\infty]$.

Theorem 1. [12] The system (1) is positive if and only if

$$A \in M_n, B \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times m}, C \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times n}, D \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times m}, \quad (2)$$

where M_n is the set of $n \times n$ Metzler matrices, i.e. the matrices with nonnegative off-diagonal entries.

The transfer matrix of (1) is given by

$$T(s) = C[I_n s - A]^{-1} B + D = \frac{N(s)}{d(s)} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}(s), \quad (3)$$

where $N(s)$ is the polynomial matrix and $d(s)$ is the polynomial.

For single-input single-output (SISO, $m = p = 1$) linear system the transfer function can be written in the form

$$T(s) = \frac{n(s)}{d(s)} = \frac{b_n s^n + b_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 s + b_0}{s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0}. \quad (4)$$

Definition 2. The roots s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n of the equation

$$d(s) = s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0 = (s - s_1)(s - s_2) \dots (s - s_n) = 0 \quad (5)$$

are called the poles of the linear system.

Definition 3. The roots $s_1^0, s_2^0, \dots, s_n^0$ of the equation

$$n(s) = b_n s^n + b_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + b_1 s + b_0 = b_n (s - s_1^0)(s - s_2^0) \dots (s - s_n^0) = 0 \quad (6)$$

are called the zeros of the linear system.

The poles s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and the zeros $s_1^0, s_2^0, \dots, s_n^0$ are called distinct if $s_i \neq s_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $s_i^0 \neq s_j^0$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, respectively.

Definition 4. The linear system is called minimal-phase if

$$\operatorname{Re} s_k < 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Re} s_k^0 < 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (7)$$

where Re denotes the real part of the complex number.

Definition 5. [12] The positive system (1) is called asymptotically stable if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = 0 \text{ for all } x_0 \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n. \quad (8)$$

Theorem 2. [12] The positive system (1) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_k < 0 \text{ for } k=1, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

where λ_k is the eigenvalue of the matrix $A \in M_n$ and

$$\det[I_n \lambda - A] = (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \dots (\lambda - \lambda_n). \quad (10)$$

Note that the set of poles $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ in general case is the subset of the set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ [10].

Now let us consider the discrete-time linear system

$$x_{i+1} = \bar{A}x_i + \bar{B}u_i, \quad x_i \in Z_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\} \quad (11a)$$

$$y_i = \bar{C}x_i + \bar{D}u_i, \quad (11b)$$

where $x_i \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $u_i \in \mathfrak{R}^m$, $y_i \in \mathfrak{R}^p$ are the state, input and output vectors and $\bar{A} \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $\bar{B} \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$, $\bar{C} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times n}$, $\bar{D} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}$.

Definition 6. [12] The system (11) is called (internally) positive if $x_i \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ and $y_i \in \mathfrak{R}_+^p$, $i \in Z_+$ for all $x_0 \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n$ and $u_i \in \mathfrak{R}_+^m$, $i \in Z_+$.

Theorem 3. [12] The system (11) is positive if and only if

$$\bar{A} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times n}, \bar{B} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times m}, \bar{C} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times n}, \bar{D} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times m}. \quad (12)$$

The transfer matrix of (11) is given by

$$T(z) = \bar{C}[I_n z - \bar{A}]^{-1} \bar{B} + \bar{D} = \frac{N(z)}{d(z)} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}(z), \quad (13)$$

where $N(z)$ is the polynomial matrix and $d(z)$ is the polynomial. For single-input single-output (SISO, $m = p = 1$) linear system the transfer function can be written in the form

$$T(z) = \frac{n(z)}{d(z)} = \frac{\bar{b}_n z^n + \bar{b}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{b}_1 z + \bar{b}_0}{z^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_1 z + \bar{a}_0}. \quad (14)$$

Definition 7. The roots z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n of the equation

$$d(z) = z^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_1 z + \bar{a}_0 = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n) = 0 \quad (15)$$

are called the poles of the linear system.

Definition 8. The roots $z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_n^0$ of the equation

$$n(z) = \bar{b}_n z^n + \bar{b}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{b}_1 z + \bar{b}_0 = \bar{b}_n (z - z_1^0)(z - z_2^0) \dots (z - z_n^0) = 0 \quad (16)$$

are called the zeros of the linear system.

The poles z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n and the zeros $z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_n^0$ are called distinct if $z_i \neq z_j$ for $i \neq j$ and $z_i^0 \neq z_j^0$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$, respectively.

Definition 9. The linear system (11) is called minimal-phase if

$$|z_k| < 1 \text{ and } |z_k^0| < 1 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (17)$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the module of the complex number.

Definition 10. [12] The positive system (11) is called asymptotically stable if

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0 \text{ for all } x_0 \in \mathfrak{R}_+^n. \quad (18)$$

Theorem 4. [12] The positive system (11) is asymptotically stable if and only if

$$|\bar{\lambda}_k| < 1 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (19)$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_k$ is the eigenvalue of the matrix $\bar{A} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times n}$ and

$$\det[I_n \bar{\lambda} - \bar{A}] = (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_1)(\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_2) \dots (\bar{\lambda} - \bar{\lambda}_n). \quad (20)$$

Definition 11. The matrices A, B, C, D satisfying (2) ($\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}, \bar{D}$ satisfying (12)) are called a positive realization of a given transfer matrix $T(s)$ ($T(z)$) if they fulfill the equality (3) ((13)).

2. POSITIVE MINIMAL-PHASE REALIZATIONS OF CONTINUOUS-TIME LINEAR SYSTEMS

First let us consider the SISO continuous-time linear system with the transfer function (4). From (4) we have

$$D = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} T(s) = b_n \quad (21)$$

and the strictly proper transfer function has the form

$$\begin{aligned} T_{sp}(s) &= T(s) - D = C[I_n s - A]^{-1} B \\ &= \frac{\hat{b}_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + \hat{b}_1 s + \hat{b}_0}{s^n + a_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 s + a_0} = \frac{\hat{n}(s)}{d(s)}, \end{aligned} \quad (22a)$$

where

$$\hat{b}_k = b_k - b_n a_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (22b)$$

$$\hat{n}(s) = \hat{b}_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + \hat{b}_1 s + \hat{b}_0. \quad (22c)$$

It is assumed that the poles s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and the zeros $s_1^0, s_2^0, \dots, s_{n-1}^0$ of (22) are real, negative, distinct and satisfy the conditions

$$s_k \leq s_k^0 \leq s_{k+1} \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (23)$$

It is well-known [29] that the strictly proper transfer function (22) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{T_k}{s - s_k}, \quad (24a)$$

where

$$T_k = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_k} (s - s_k) T_{sp}(s) = \frac{\hat{n}(s_k)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (s_k - s_j)}. \quad (24b)$$

Note that $T_k > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ if and only if the poles and zeros are distinct and satisfy the condition (23). In this case we can choose $c_k > 0$, $b_k > 0$ so that

$$T_k = c_k b_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad (25)$$

and the matrices

$$A = \operatorname{diag}[s_1 \quad s_2 \quad \dots \quad s_n] \in M_n, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times 1},$$

$$C = [c_1 \quad c_2 \quad \dots \quad c_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{1 \times n} \quad (26)$$

are a positive realization of the transfer function (22).

Using (26) it is easy to check that

$$T_{sp}(s) = C[I_n s - A]^{-1} B$$

$$= [c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_n] \text{diag}[(s - s_1)^{-1} \ (s - s_2)^{-1} \ \dots \ (s - s_n)^{-1}] \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{c_k b_k}{s - s_k} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{T_k}{s - s_k} \tag{27}$$

By Definition 4 the realization is minimal-phase if the conditions

$$\text{Re } s_k < 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \text{Re } s_k^0 < 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n-1, \tag{28}$$

are satisfied. Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 5. There exists minimal-phase realization (26), (21) of the transfer function (4) if and only if the poles and zeros of (22) are distinct, real, negative and the conditions (23) are satisfied.

For computation of the minimal-phase realization of the transfer function (4) we have the following procedure.

Procedure 1.

Step 1. Using (21) and (22) compute D and the strictly proper transfer function $T_{sp}(s)$.

Step 2. Compute the poles s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and the zeros $s_1^0, s_2^0, \dots, s_{n-1}^0$ of $T_{sp}(s)$.

Step 3. Using (4) and (25) compute $T_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ and choose b_k, c_k for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Step 4. Using (26) find the matrices A, B, C .

Example 1. Find a minimal-phase realization of the transfer function

$$T(s) = \frac{2s^3 + 19s^2 + 52s + 38}{s^3 + 9s^2 + 23s + 15} \tag{29}$$

Using Procedure 1 and (29) we obtain the following:

Step 1. Using (21), (22) and (29) we obtain

$$D = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} T(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2s^3 + 19s^2 + 52s + 38}{s^3 + 9s^2 + 23s + 15} = 2 \tag{30}$$

and

$$T_{sp}(s) = T(s) - D = \frac{s^2 + 6s + 8}{s^3 + 9s^2 + 23s + 15} \tag{31}$$

Step 2. The poles and zeros of (31) are

$$s_1 = -1, s_2 = -3, s_3 = -5 \text{ and } s_1^0 = -2, s_2^0 = -4, \tag{32}$$

since $(s+1)(s+3)(s+5) = s^3 + 9s^2 + 23s + 15$ and

$$(s+2)(s+4) = s^2 + 6s + 8.$$

Step 3. Using (24b), (25) and (31) we compute

$$T_1 = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_1} (s - s_1) T_{sp}(s) = \left. \frac{(s+2)(s+4)}{(s+3)(s+5)} \right|_{s=-1} = \frac{3}{8} = c_1 b_1,$$

$$T_2 = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_2} (s - s_2) T_{sp}(s) = \left. \frac{(s+2)(s+4)}{(s+1)(s+5)} \right|_{s=-3} = \frac{1}{4} = c_2 b_2, \tag{33a}$$

$$T_3 = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_3} (s - s_3) T_{sp}(s) = \left. \frac{(s+2)(s+4)}{(s+1)(s+3)} \right|_{s=-5} = \frac{3}{8} = c_3 b_3$$

and we choose

$$b_1 = 1, b_2 = \frac{1}{2}, b_3 = \frac{1}{4}, c_1 = \frac{3}{8}, c_2 = \frac{1}{2}, c_3 = \frac{3}{2}. \tag{33b}$$

Step 4. Using (26), (32) and (33) we obtain

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -5 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{8} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{34}$$

The desired positive minimal-phase realization is given by (34) and (30).

Remark 1. For different choice of the entries of matrices B and C for given $T_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, n$ we obtain different positive minimal-phase realizations of the transfer function (4).

Now let us consider the m -inputs and p -outputs (MIMO) continuous-time linear system with the strictly proper transfer matrix

$$T_{sp}(s) = \frac{N(s)}{d(s)} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}(s), \tag{35a}$$

where

$$d(s) = (s - s_1)(s - s_2) \dots (s - s_n), \tag{35b}$$

$$N(s) = \begin{bmatrix} (s - s_{11}^{0,1}) \dots (s - s_{11}^{0,n_{11}}) & \dots & (s - s_{1m}^{0,1}) \dots (s - s_{1m}^{0,n_{1m}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (s - s_{p1}^{0,1}) \dots (s - s_{p1}^{0,n_{p1}}) & \dots & (s - s_{pm}^{0,1}) \dots (s - s_{pm}^{0,n_{pm}}) \end{bmatrix} \tag{35c}$$

with distinct real negative poles s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and distinct real negative zeros $s_{11}^{0,1}, \dots, s_{11}^{0,n_{11}}, s_{1m}^{0,1}, \dots, s_{pm}^{0,n_{pm}}$.

The transfer matrix (35) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{T_k}{s - s_k}, \tag{36a}$$

where

$$T_k = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_k} (s - s_k) T_{sp}(s) = \frac{N(s_k)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (s_k - s_j)} \tag{36b}$$

and

$$\text{rank } T_k = r_k \leq \min(m, p). \tag{37}$$

It is easy to check that if the conditions

$$s_k \leq s_{ij}^{0,k} \leq s_{k+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \tag{38}$$

are satisfied then $T_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times m}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and it can be written as the product

$$T_k = C_k B_k, \tag{39a}$$

where

$$C_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times r_k}, B_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{r_k \times m} \text{ and } \text{rank } C_k = \text{rank } B_k = r_k, k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{39b}$$

In a similar way as for SISO systems it can be shown that the matrices

$$A = \text{blockdiag}[I_{r_1} s_1 \ \dots \ I_{r_n} s_n] \in M_r,$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ \vdots \\ B_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{r \times m}, \quad C = [C_1 \ \dots \ C_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times r}, \quad r = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \quad (40)$$

are a positive realization of the matrix (35).

Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 6. There exists a minimal-phase realization (40) of the strictly proper transfer matrix (35) if and only if the poles and zeros are distinct, real, negative and the conditions (38) are satisfied.

For computation of the minimal-phase realization (40) of the transfer matrix (35) Procedure 1 with some evident modifications can be applied.

Example 2. Find the minimal-phase realization of the transfer matrix

$$T_{sp}(s) = \frac{1}{s^3 + 9s^2 + 23s + 15} \begin{bmatrix} s^2 + 6s + 8 & s^2 + 5s + 4 \\ s^2 + 7s + 10 & s^2 + 6s + 8 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (41)$$

Using Procedure 1 we obtain the following:

Step 1. In this case the matrix $D = 0$.

Step 2. The poles of (3.21) are $s_1 = -1, s_2 = -3, s_3 = -5$ and the zeros are $s_{11}^{01} = -2, s_{11}^{02} = -4, s_{12}^{01} = -1, s_{12}^{02} = -4, s_{21}^{01} = -2, s_{21}^{02} = -5, s_{22}^{01} = -2, s_{22}^{02} = -4$.

The matrix (41) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(s) = \frac{1}{(s+1)(s+3)(s+5)} \begin{bmatrix} (s+2)(s+4) & (s+1)(s+4) \\ (s+2)(s+5) & (s+2)(s+4) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (42)$$

Step 3. Using (36) and (42) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_1} (s - s_1) T_{sp}(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{(s+3)(s+5)} \begin{bmatrix} (s+2)(s+4) & (s+1)(s+4) \\ (s+2)(s+5) & (s+2)(s+4) \end{bmatrix}_{s=-1} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ T_2 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_2} (s - s_2) T_{sp}(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{(s+1)(s+5)} \begin{bmatrix} (s+2)(s+4) & (s+1)(s+4) \\ (s+2)(s+5) & (s+2)(s+4) \end{bmatrix}_{s=-3} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ T_3 &= \lim_{s \rightarrow s_3} (s - s_3) T_{sp}(s) \\ &= \frac{1}{(s+1)(s+3)} \begin{bmatrix} (s+2)(s+4) & (s+1)(s+4) \\ (s+2)(s+5) & (s+2)(s+4) \end{bmatrix}_{s=-5} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In this case we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } T_1 = 2, \quad T_1 &= C_1 B_1, \quad C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_1 = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{rank } T_2 = 2, \quad T_2 &= C_2 B_2, \quad C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (44) \\ \text{rank } T_3 = 2, \quad T_3 &= C_3 B_3, \quad C_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Using (40) and (44) we obtain the desired realization in the form

$$A = \text{diag}[-1 \ -1 \ -3 \ -3 \ -5 \ -5], \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \\ B_3 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{8} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$C = [C_1 \ C_2 \ C_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (45)$$

3. POSITIVE MINIMAL-PHASE REALIZATIONS OF DISCRETE-TIME LINEAR SYSTEMS

First let us consider the SISO discrete-time linear system with the transfer function (24). From (24) we have

$$\bar{D} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} T(z) = \bar{b}_n \quad (46)$$

and the strictly proper transfer function has the form

$$\begin{aligned} T_{sp}(z) &= T(z) - \bar{D} = \bar{C}[I_n z - \bar{A}]^{-1} \bar{B} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{b}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \tilde{b}_1 z + \tilde{b}_0}{z^n + \bar{a}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_1 z + \bar{a}_0} = \frac{\tilde{n}(z)}{d(z)}, \end{aligned} \quad (47a)$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_k = \bar{b}_k - \bar{b}_n \bar{a}_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad (47b)$$

$$\tilde{n}(z) = \tilde{b}_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + \tilde{b}_1 z + \tilde{b}_0. \quad (47c)$$

It is assumed that the poles z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n and the zeros $z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_{n-1}^0$ of (47) are real, positive, distinct and satisfy the conditions

$$z_k < 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad \text{and} \quad z_k \leq z_k^0 \leq z_{k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (48)$$

In a similar way as for continuous-time linear systems the strictly proper transfer function (4.2) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\bar{T}_k}{z - z_k}, \quad (49a)$$

where

$$\bar{T}_k = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_k} (z - z_k) T_{sp}(z) = \frac{\tilde{n}(z_k)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (z_k - z_j)}. \quad (49b)$$

Note that $\bar{T}_k > 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ if and only if the conditions (48) are satisfied. In this case we can choose $\bar{c}_k > 0, \bar{b}_k > 0$ so that

$$\bar{T}_k = \bar{c}_k \bar{b}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \quad (50)$$

and the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{A} &= \text{diag}[z_1 \ z_2 \ \dots \ z_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times n}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{b}_1 \\ \bar{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{b}_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{n \times 1}, \\ \bar{C} &= [\bar{c}_1 \ \bar{c}_2 \ \dots \ \bar{c}_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{1 \times n} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

are a positive realization of the transfer function (47).

By Definition 9 the realization (51) is minimal-phase if the conditions (48) are satisfied. Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 7. There exists a minimal-phase realization (51), (46) of the transfer function (47) if and only if the poles and zeros are real, positive, distinct and the conditions (48) are satisfied.

For computation of the minimal-phase realization of the transfer function (14) Procedure 1 with some evident modifications can be also used.

Example 3. Find the minimal-phase realization of the transfer function

$$T(z) = \frac{z^2 + 0.6z - 0.17}{z^2 - 0.4z + 0.03}. \quad (52)$$

Using Procedure 1 we obtain the following:

Step 1. Using (46) and (52) we obtain

$$\bar{D} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} T(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^2 + 0.6z - 0.17}{z^2 - 0.4z + 0.03} = 1 \quad (53)$$

and

$$T_{sp}(z) = T(z) - \bar{D} = \frac{z - 0.2}{z^2 - 0.4z + 0.03}. \quad (54)$$

Step 2. The poles of (54) are $z_1 = 0.1$, $z_2 = 0.3$ and the zero is $z_2 = 0.2$.

The transfer function (54) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(z) = \frac{z - 0.2}{(z - 0.1)(z - 0.3)}. \quad (55)$$

Step 3. Using (49) and (50) we obtain

$$\bar{T}_1 = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} (z - z_1) T_{sp}(z) = \frac{z - 0.2}{z - 0.3} \Big|_{z=0.1} = 0.5 = \bar{c}_1 \bar{b}_1, \quad (56)$$

$$\bar{T}_2 = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_2} (z - z_2) T_{sp}(z) = \frac{z - 0.2}{z - 0.1} \Big|_{z=0.3} = 0.5 = \bar{c}_2 \bar{b}_2$$

and we choose $\bar{b}_1 = 1$, $\bar{b}_2 = 1$ and $\bar{c}_1 = 0.5$, $\bar{c}_2 = 0.5$.

Step 4. Using (51) and (56) we obtain

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.3 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{b}_1 \\ \bar{b}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{C} = [\bar{c}_1 \quad \bar{c}_2] = \frac{1}{2} [1 \quad 1]. \quad (57)$$

The desired minimal-phase realization of (52) is given by (53) and (57).

Now let us consider the MIMO discrete-time linear system with the strictly proper transfer matrix

$$T_{sp}(z) = \frac{N(z)}{d(z)} \in \mathfrak{R}^{p \times m}(z), \quad (58a)$$

where

$$d(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n), \quad (58b)$$

$$N(s) = \begin{bmatrix} (z - z_{11}^{0,1}) \dots (z - z_{11}^{0,n_{11}}) & \dots & (z - z_{1m}^{0,1}) \dots (z - z_{1m}^{0,n_{1m}}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (z - z_{p1}^{0,1}) \dots (z - z_{p1}^{0,n_{p1}}) & \dots & (z - z_{pm}^{0,1}) \dots (z - z_{pm}^{0,n_{pm}}) \end{bmatrix} \quad (58c)$$

with distinct real positive poles z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n and distinct real positive zeros $z_{11}^{0,1}, \dots, z_{11}^{0,n_{11}}, z_{1m}^{0,1}, \dots, z_{pm}^{0,n_{pm}}$.

In a similar way as for continuous-time systems the transfer matrix (58) can be written in the form

$$T_{sp}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\bar{T}_k}{z - z_k}, \quad (59a)$$

where

$$\bar{T}_k = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_k} (z - z_k) T_{sp}(z) = \frac{N(z_k)}{\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^n (z_k - z_j)} \quad (59b)$$

and

$$\text{rank } \bar{T}_k = \bar{r}_k. \quad (60)$$

In a similar way as for continuous-time systems it can be shown that $\bar{T}_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times m}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$ if the conditions

$$z_k \leq z_{ij}^{0,k} \leq z_{k+1} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, p, j = 1, \dots, m, k = 1, \dots, n_{ij} \quad (61)$$

are satisfied. In this case there exist the matrices $\bar{C}_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times \bar{r}_k}$, $\bar{B}_k \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{\bar{r}_k \times m}$, $\text{rank } \bar{C}_k = \text{rank } \bar{B}_k = \bar{r}_k, k = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$\bar{T}_k = \bar{C}_k \bar{B}_k. \quad (62)$$

In a similar way as for continuous-time systems it can be shown that the matrices

$$\bar{A} = \text{blockdiag}[I_{\bar{r}_1} z_1 \quad \dots \quad I_{\bar{r}_n} z_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{\bar{r} \times \bar{r}},$$

$$\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{B}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \bar{B}_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{\bar{r} \times m}, \bar{C} = [\bar{C}_1 \quad \dots \quad \bar{C}_n] \in \mathfrak{R}_+^{p \times \bar{r}}, \bar{r} = \sum_{k=1}^n \bar{r}_k \quad (63)$$

are a positive realization of the matrix (58).

Therefore, the following theorem has been proved.

Theorem 8. There exists a minimal-phase realization (63) of the strictly proper transfer matrix (58) if and only if the poles and zeros are distinct, real, positive and the conditions (61) are satisfied.

With same evident modifications Procedure 1 can be also used to compute the positive minimal-phase realization (63) of the transfer matrix (58).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The problem of minimal-phase realization for continuous-time and discrete-time linear systems has been formulated and solved. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of minimal-phase realizations for the linear systems have been established (Theorems 5, 6 and 7, 8). A procedure for computation of the realizations has been proposed and illustrated by numerical examples. The considerations can be extended to fractional positive linear systems without and with delays.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported under work S/W/E/1/11.

REFERENCES

1. Benvenuti L., Farina L., A tutorial on the positive realization problem. IEEE Trans. on Automatic Control, vol. 49, no. 5, 2004, 651-664.

2. Farina L., Rinaldi S., Positive Linear Systems; Theory and Applications. J. Wiley, New York, 2000.
3. Kaczorek T., A modified state variables diagram method for determination of positive realizations of linear continuous-time systems with delays. Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 22, no. 4, 2012, 897-905.
4. Kaczorek T., A realization problem for positive continuous-time linear systems with reduced numbers of delays. Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 16, no. 3, 2006, 325-331.
5. Kaczorek T., Computation of positive stable realizations for discrete-time linear systems. Computational Problems of Electrical Engineering, vol. 2, no. 1, 2012, 41-48.
6. Kaczorek T., Computation of positive stable realizations for linear continuous-time systems. Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci., vol. 59, no. 3, 2011, 273-281.
7. Kaczorek T., Computation of realizations of discrete-time cone systems. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., vol. 54, no. 3, 2006, 347-350.
8. Kaczorek T., Determination of positive realizations with reduced numbers of delays or without delays for discrete-time linear systems. Archives of Control Sciences, vol. 22, no. 4, 2012, 371-384.
9. Kaczorek T., Existence and determination of the set of Metzler matrices for given stable polynomials. Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 22, no. 2, 2012, 389-399.
10. Kaczorek T., Linear Control Systems vol. 1. Research Studies Press, J. Wiley, New York, 1992.
11. Kaczorek T., Polynomial and Rational Matrices. Springer-Verlag, London, 2009.
12. Kaczorek T., Positive 1D and 2D Systems. Springer-Verlag, London, 2002.
13. Kaczorek T., Positive and asymptotically stable realizations for descriptor discrete-time linear systems. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., vol. 61, no. 1, 2013, 229-237.
14. Kaczorek T., Positive minimal realizations for singular discrete-time systems with delays in state and delays in control. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., vol. 53, no. 3, 2005, 293-298.
15. Kaczorek T., Positive realizations for descriptor continuous-time linear systems. Measurement Automation and Monitoring, vol. 56, no. 9, 2012, 815-818.
16. Kaczorek T., Positive realizations for descriptor discrete-time linear systems. Acta Mechanica et Automatica, vol. 6, no. 2, 2012, 58-61.
17. Kaczorek T., Positive realizations of hybrid linear systems described by the general model using state variable diagram method. Journal of Automation, Mobile Robotics and Intelligent Systems, vol. 4, no. 4, 2010, 3-10.
18. Kaczorek T., Positive realizations with reduced numbers of delays for 2-D continuous-discrete linear systems. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., vol. 60, no. 4, 2012, 835-840.
19. Kaczorek T., Positive stable realizations for fractional descriptor continuous-time linear systems. Archives of Control Sciences, vol. 22, no. 3, 2012, 255-265.
20. Kaczorek T., Positive stable realizations of continuous-time linear systems. Proc. Conf. Int. Inf. and Eng. Syst., Krynica-Zdrój, 2012, Poland.
21. Kaczorek T., Positive stable realizations of discrete-time linear systems. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Techn., vol. 60, no. 3, 2012, 605-616.
22. Kaczorek T., Positive stable realizations of fractional continuous-time linear systems. Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 21, no. 4, 2011, 697-702.
23. Kaczorek T., Positive stable realizations with system Metzler matrices. Archives of Control Sciences, vol. 21, no. 2, 2011, 167-188.
24. Kaczorek T., Realization problem for descriptor positive fractional continuous-time linear systems. Theory and Applications of Non-integer Order Systems, Eds. W. Mitkowski et al., Springer, 2013, 3-13.
25. Kaczorek T., Realization problem for fractional continuous-time systems. Archives of Control Sciences, vol. 18, no. 1, 2008, 43-58.
26. Kaczorek T., Realization problem for positive 2D hybrid systems. COMPEL, vol. 27, no. 3, 2008, 613-623.
27. Kaczorek T., Realization problem for positive discrete-time systems with delays. System Science, vol. 30, no. 4, 2004, 117-130.
28. Kaczorek T., Realization problem for positive multivariable discrete-time linear systems with delays in the state vector and inputs. Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., vol. 16, no. 2, 2006, 101-106.
29. Kaczorek T., Sajewski Ł., Realization Problem for Positive and Fractional Systems, Springer, 2014.
30. Kaczorek T., Selected Problems in Fractional Systems Theory. Springer-Verlag, 2011.
31. Shaked U., Dixon M., Generalized minimal realization of transfer-function matrices. Int. J. Contr., vol. 25, no. 5, 1977, 785-803.

MINIMALNO-FAZOWE REALIZACJE DLA DODATNICH UKŁADÓW LINIOWYCH

Streszczenie

Pracy zaproponowano nową metodę wyznaczanie minimalno-fazowych realizacji dla dodatnich ciągłych i dyskretnych układów liniowych. Podano warunki konieczne i wystarczające na istnienie minimalno-fazowych realizacji dla tych klas układów liniowych. Podano procedurę wyznaczania tych realizacji minimalno-fazowych oraz zlustrowano efektywność tej procedury na przykładach liczbowych.

Autor:

prof. dr hab. inż. **Tadeusz Kaczorek** – Politechnika Białostocka, Wydział Elektryczny, ul. Wiejska 45D, 15-351 Białystok, kaczorek@ispe.pw.edu.pl