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#### Abstract

In this paper we consider a retained digits Cantor set $T$ based on digit expansions with Gaussian integer base. Let $F$ be the set all $x$ such that the intersection of $T$ with its translate by $x$ is non-empty and let $F_{\beta}$ be the subset of $F$ consisting of all $x$ such that the dimension of the intersection of $T$ with its translate by $x$ is $\beta$ times the dimension of $T$. We find conditions on the retained digits sets under which $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$ for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. The main novelty in this paper is that multiplication the Gaussian integer base corresponds to an irrational (in fact transcendental) rotation in the complex plane.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Cantor sets occur in mathematical models of many naturally occurring object, play a role in number theory, signal processing, ergodic theory, limit-theorems in probability, and in interior design. For their role in designing relaxing floors, see [32]. We study the "size" of the intersection of two Cantor sets. The significance of this problem was noted by Furstenberg [9] and Palis [27]. Some papers dealing with aspects of our problem are: $[2,3,6,7,17-19,21,22,25,31,33-35]$, and [23]. The literature in this subject and its applications is vast. The list above is represents a small sample of the literature closely related to our problem.

For a set $T$ of complex number and a complex number $x$, the translate of $T$ by $x$ is $x+T:=\{x+t \mid t \in T\}$. Let $F$ be the set of all $x$ such that $T \cap(x+T)$ is non-empty. Palis [27] conjectured that for dynamically defined Cantor sets in the real line typically the corresponding set $F$ either has Lebesgue measure zero or contains an interval. The papers [5] and [24] investigate this conjecture for random retained digits sets and solve it in the affirmative in the deterministic case.

For $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, let $F_{\beta}$ be the set of $x \in F$, such that $T \cap(x+T)$ has dimension $\beta \operatorname{dim}(T)$. In this paper, we consider certain Cantor subsets $T$ of the complex plane and present conditions under which $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$ for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. For certain Cantor subsets of the real line this was investigated in [4, 12, 26], and [28].

## 2. PRIOR WORK ON THIS PROBLEM

### 2.1. PRIOR WORK IN THE REAL LINE

Most prior work closely related to our problem has been done for subsets of the real line, we will first summarize some of what is known in that case.

Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Any real number $x \in[0,1]$ has at least one representation in base $n$

$$
x=0 \cdot{ }_{n} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_{k}}{n^{k}}
$$

where each $x_{k}$ is in the digit set

$$
D=D_{n}:=\{0,1, \ldots n-1\} .
$$

Deleting some element from the full digit set $D$ we get a retained set of digits $D^{*}:=\left\{d_{k} \mid k=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$ with $m<n$ digits $d_{k}<d_{k+1}$ and a corresponding retained digits Cantor set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{n, D^{*}}:=\left\{0 \cdot{ }_{n} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots \mid x_{k} \in D^{*} \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $T$ to contain more than one point we need $m \geq 2$. We are interested in the dimension of the sets $T \cap(x+T)$. Since the problem is invariant under translation we will assume $d_{1}=0$.

Formulas for the dimension of $T \cap(x+T)$ can be found in [3, 4, 16, 26], and [29] under various conditions on $D^{*}$. Examples in [28] shows there are retained digits Cantor sets $T_{n, D^{*}}$ for which $F_{\beta}$ is not dense in $F$ for some $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Hence, to show $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$, some conditions must be imposed on $D^{*}$. See $[4,12,26,28]$ for condition under which $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$ for all $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. It is known that $T \cap(x+T)$ only is self-similar for a small set of $x$, see [22] and [30].

Some of the cited papers only consider the middle thirds Cantor set, some only consider rational $t$, and in some of the papers dimension means Minkowski dimension and in some it means Hausdorff dimension.

### 2.2. PRIOR WORK IN THE PLANE

For subsets of the plane previous work closely related to our problem has been restricted to Sierpinski carpets type fractals. More specifically, the dimension of $T \cap(x+T)$ is investigated in [23] for certain Sierpinski carpets $T$ a certain class of translation vectors $x$. In [2] the authors consider the intersection of a Sierpinski carpet with its
rational translates. They use methods and obtain results similar to the ones in [26]. Both in [23] and [2] the authors study cases where the "base" is a real number and the "digits" are vectors parallel to the coordinate axes. In particular, the scaling matrix is a constant multiple of the identity matrix. In this paper we consider cases where the "base" is a complex number whose phase is an irrational multiple of $\pi$ and the "digits" are real numbers.

## 3. PLANAR GAUSSIAN FRACTALS

As described in Section 2 numbers in the real line can be represented using an integer base $n>1$ and a digit set $D=D_{n}=\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Using these representations Cantor sets can be obtained by only using digits from some proper subset $D^{*}$ of $D$. Similarly, in the complex plane will use bases $b:=-n+i$ and digit set $D=D_{b}:=$ $\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, for an integer $n \geq 1$. Our choice of base and digit set is motivated by [10, Theorem 40], where it is shown that if $m \neq 0$ is an integer, then $\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, m^{2}\right\}$ is a complete set of representatives for the Gaussian integers modulo $a:=m+i$ and by [14] where it is shown that every Gaussian integer has a unique representation of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} d_{-k} a^{k}, d_{-k} \in D=\left\{0,1,2, \ldots, m^{2}\right\}$ if and only if $m<0$.

Gaussian retained digits Cantor sets are sets

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{b, D^{*}}:=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} b^{-k} \mid d_{k} \in D^{*}\right\} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained by only using digits from a proper subset $D^{*}$ of $D=D_{b}$. For $T$ to contain more than one point we need $D^{*}$ to contain more than one digit. Hence we will assume $D^{*}$ contains at least two digits, in particular $n \geq 2$. Note that $T=\bigcup_{d \in D^{*}} b^{-1}(d+T)$. So $T$ is a self-similar set. Multiplication by $b^{-1}=|b|^{-1} e^{i \theta}$ can be thought of as $|b|^{-1} \times M_{\theta}$, where $M_{\theta}$ is a rotation matrix. Since $\arctan (x)$ is an irrational (actually transcendental) multiple of $\pi$, when $x$ is a rational number other than $0, \pm 1$, the angle of rotation $\theta$ is an irrational multiple of $\pi$.

## 4. REPRESENTATIONS IN BASE $b=-n+i$

Every complex number is within $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ of some Gaussian integer $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. Hence, every complex number $z$ is within $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ of some complex number of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}$, where $d_{-k} \in D$. Now, pick a Gaussian integer $\sum_{k=0}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}, d_{-k} \in D$ within $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ of $b z$. Then $\frac{1}{b} \sum_{k=0}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}=\sum_{j=-1}^{m-1} d_{-j+1} b^{j}$ is within $\frac{1}{|b| \sqrt{2}}$ of $z$. Similarly, given any complex number $z$, we can find $\sum_{k=-l}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}$, where $d_{-k} \in D$, within $\frac{1}{|b|^{l} \sqrt{2}}$ of $z$. It follows that every complex number has at least one representation of the form

$$
z=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} d_{k} b^{-k}, \quad d_{k} \in D .
$$

We say that $z$ has radix representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=d_{-m} \ldots d_{-1} d_{0 \cdot b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots:=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{m} d_{-k} b^{k} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Radix representations with base $b$ do not have all the properties of the real number representations with base $2,3,4, \ldots$ For example, it is well known that $0{ }_{10} 999 \ldots=1$. But, for example, if $b=-2+i$ with digits set $D=\{0,1,2,3,4\}$, then $0{ }_{-2+i} 444 \ldots=$ $\frac{2}{5}(-3-i)$ and $3_{-2+i} 0+142 ._{-2+i} 0=0$. Nevertheless, we find it convenient to use radix representations with Gaussian integer base $b$. See [11] for an expository article on the geometry and algebra of radix representations of complex numbers in a Gaussian integer bases.

When studying Cantor subsets of the real line using digit representations to some base, the basic set arising from using all the digits is the unit interval $[0,1]$. The corresponding set in our situation is the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}:=\left\{0 . d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D\right\} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure 1 of illustrates $T_{0}$ when $n=2$.


Fig. 1. $T_{0}$, when $b=-2+i$, darker grays correspond to larger $d_{1}$

Sets of this type are studied extensively in the literature, among many other facts, it is know that: (i) $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}[i]}\left(x+T_{0}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, (ii) if $x \neq y$ in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ then $\left(x+T_{0}\right) \cap\left(y+T_{0}\right)$ has Lebesgue measure zero, (iii) $T_{0}$ is the closure of its interior, and (iv) the boundary of $T_{0}$ has Lebesgue measure zero and dimension greater than one, see for example $[1,13,15,20]$, and the references therein. The facts (i) and (ii) mean that $T_{0}$ tiles the complex plane by translation by the Gaussian integers.

Using radix representations we can write the Gaussian retained digits Cantor set $T_{b, D^{*}}$ as

$$
T=\left\{0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D^{*}\right\}
$$

Write $D^{*}:=\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\}$ where $d_{k}<d_{k+1}$. Since our problem is invariant under translation, we will assume $d_{1}=0$.

The Gaussian retained digits Cantor set $T$ can be obtained from the tile $T_{0}$ using a refinement process similar to the way the usual middle thirds Cantor set $C$ is constructed from the closed interval $C_{0}:=[0,1]$ by removing the open middle thirds of intervals giving the sets

$$
C_{k}:=\left\{0 .{ }_{3} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{j} \in\{0,2\}, j \leq k, d_{j} \in\{0,1,2\}, j>k\right\}
$$

Then $C_{k+1} \subseteq C_{k}$ and $C=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} C_{k}$. Similarly, for an integer $k \geq 0$, the set

$$
T_{k}=\left\{0 \cdot{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{i} \in D^{*}, i \leq k, d_{i} \in D, i \geq k\right\}
$$

is called a refinement of $T_{0}$ at the $k$ th stage.
(a)

(b)


Fig. 2. Refinements of Figure 1: (a) $T_{1}$, when $b=-2+i, D^{*}=\{0,3\}$, darker grays correspond to larger $d_{2}$; (b) $T_{2}$, when $b=-2+i, D^{*}=\{0,3\}$, darker grays correspond to larger $d_{3}$

See Figure 2 for the refinements $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ when $n=2$ and $D^{*}=\{0,3\}$. Clearly, $T_{k+1} \subseteq T_{k}$ for all $k$ and $T=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k}$. Moreover,

$$
T_{k+1}=\bigcup_{d \in D^{*}} b^{-1}\left(d+T_{k}\right)
$$

where for a complex number $z$ and a set $S$ of complex numbers,

$$
z S:=\{z s \mid s \in S\}
$$

Similarly

$$
T_{k}=\bigcup_{d_{i \in D^{*}}}\left(0 \cdot b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}\right)
$$

We call sets of the form $T_{k}+z$ subtiles and sets of the form $0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}$, $d_{j} \in D^{*}$ subtiles of $T_{k}$.

Clearly subtiles are similar to $T_{0}$, there are $\left|D^{*}\right|^{k}$ subtiles of $T_{k}, T_{k}$ is the union of its subtiles, and each of these subtiles has Lebesgue measure $|b|^{-2 k}=\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{-k}$. When $n=2$ and $D^{*}=\{0,3\}$, Figure 4 illustrates the two subtiles of $T_{1}$ and Figure 4 the four subtiles of $T_{2}$.

We say the set $T_{k+1}$ is obtained by refining the set $T_{k}$; that is, by removing from $T_{k}$ the complex numbers $0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots$ with digit $d_{k+1}$ not in $D^{*}$.

## 5. DIMENSION

When we say dimension we mean box-counting dimension (also know as entropy dimension, Kolmogorov dimension, or Minkowski dimension among other terminology). In this paper we calculate the dimension of $T \cap(x+T)$. In order to do so we adapt the covering sets used in the definition of dimension to our situation.

Definition 5.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $E$ is nonempty. Then the dimension of $E$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{dim} E=\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \left(N_{\delta}(E)\right)}{-\log (\delta)}
$$

where $N_{\delta}(E)$ denotes the smallest number of sets each of diameter at most $\delta$ needed to cover $E$. The lower box-counting dimension is obtained by using the limit inferior in place of the limit.

Various definitions of $N_{\delta}(E)$ appear in the literature:

- the smallest amount of closed balls of radius $\delta$ that cover $E$;
- the least amount of cubes of side length $\delta$ that cover $E$;
- the number of $\delta$-mesh cubes that intersect $E$;
- the smallest number of sets of diameter at most $\delta$ that cover $E$;
- the largest number of disjoint balls of radius $\delta$ with centers in $E$.

In [8] it is shown that all of these give equivalent definitions of dimension. We extend the list to include the smallest number of sets of the form $0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}$, $d_{j} \in D$, for some integer $k$, that cover the set $E$.
Lemma 5.2. Let $E$ be a non-empty subset of $T_{0}$. For a fixed integer $k \geq 1$, let $N_{k}(E)$ denote the smallest number of sets of the form $0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}, d_{j} \in D$. Then the dimension of $E$ exists if and only if $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(N_{k}(E)\right)}{k \log (|b|)}$ exists, and in the affirmative case this limit is the dimension of $E$.

Proof. For a fixed $k$, let $N_{k}(E)$ denote the smallest number of sets of the form $0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}, d_{j} \in D$, needed to cover $E$. Each of these sets has diameter $\delta_{k}:=|b|^{-k} \operatorname{diam}\left(T_{0}\right)$, where $\operatorname{diam}\left(T_{0}\right)$ denotes the diameter of $T_{0}$. Let $M_{\delta}(E)$ be the number of $\delta$-mesh squares $\left[m_{1} \delta,\left(m_{1}+1\right) \delta\right] \times\left[m_{2} \delta,\left(m_{2}+1\right) \delta\right], m_{1}, m_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, that intersect $E$. Since a $\delta$-mesh square has diameter $\sqrt{2} \delta$ we have

$$
N_{k}(E) \leq M_{\delta_{k} / \sqrt{2}}(E)
$$

and since any set of diameter at most $\delta$ is contained in $9 \delta$-mesh squares we have

$$
\frac{1}{9} M_{\delta_{k}}(E) \leq N_{k}(E)
$$

Hence

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(N_{k}(E)\right)}{-\log \left(\delta_{k}\right)}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(M_{\delta_{k}}(E)\right)}{-\log \left(\delta_{k}\right)}
$$

provided one of the limits exists.

## 6. DISJOINTNESS OF SUBTILES

In order to use Lemma 5.2 to calculate dimensions, we need to know how to find the minimal number of subtiles needed to cover a set. Hence, in this section we show that the subtiles of $T_{k}$ are pairwise disjoint, when $D^{*}$ satisfies a separation condition. We use this to ensure covers by subtiles contain the minimal number of subtiles. Any condition that ensures that the subtiles are disjoint must depend on $n$, see Remark 6.2. The dependence on $n$ is due to the rotation in the scaling matrix and was therefore not present in previous studies of the dimension of the intersection of a fractal with its translates.

Our proof that the subtiles of $T_{k}$ are pairwise disjoint is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $b=-n+i, D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$ and $T_{0}=\left\{0 . d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D\right\}$. If $d, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}$ have the same imaginary part and $\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$, then $\left(d+T_{0}\right) \cap\left(d^{\prime}+T_{0}\right)=\emptyset$.

Proof. By translation it is enough to show $T_{0} \cap\left(d+T_{0}\right)=\emptyset$ when $d$ is a real number and $d \geq n+1$. Let $z:=\frac{n^{2}}{2}$. Denote $c_{s}:=\frac{s}{b}+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z b^{-k}$, where $s \in D$ is fixed. Abusing the radix representation notation we will write $c_{s}=0 .{ }_{b} s z z z \ldots$, also when $z$ is not an integer. We think of $c_{s}$ as representing the "center" of the subtile $b^{-1}\left(s+T_{0}\right) \subseteq T_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=r(n):=\frac{n^{2}}{2\left(n^{2}+1-\sqrt{n^{2}+1}\right)} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $r(2) \approx 0.72, r(n)$ is decreasing on the interval $(0, \infty)$, and $r(n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $s \in D$. For $x \in b^{-1}\left(s+T_{0}\right)$, write $x=0 .{ }_{b} s x_{2} x_{3} \ldots$ where $x_{j} \in D$. Hence

$$
\left|x-c_{s}\right|=\left|\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}\left(x_{j}-z\right) b^{-j}\right| \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} z|b|^{-j}=\frac{n^{2}|b|^{-2}}{2\left(1-|b|^{-1}\right)}=r
$$

since $|b|=\sqrt{n^{2}+1}$. Hence, $b^{-1}\left(s+T_{0}\right)$ is a subset of $B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$, where $B_{\alpha}(\beta)$ is the open ball with center $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius $\alpha>0$. As $b^{-1}\left(s+T_{0}\right) \subseteq B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right), s \in D$, we get $T_{0} \subseteq \bigcup_{s \in D} B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$. Similarly, $d+T_{0} \subseteq \bigcup_{t \in D} B_{r}\left(c_{t}+d\right)$.

Therefore, to show $T_{0} \cap\left(T_{0}+d\right)=\emptyset$, it is sufficient to show that $\bigcup_{s \in D} B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$ and $\bigcup_{t \in D} B_{r}\left(c_{t}+d\right)$ are disjoint. Hence we must show $B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right) \cap B_{r}\left(d+c_{t}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $s, t \in D$. Figure 3 illustrates this when $b=-2+i$ and $D^{*}=\{0,3\}$.


Fig. 3. When $b=-2+i$ and $d=3$ the "Minkowski sausages" $\bigcup_{s=0}^{n^{2}} B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$ and $\bigcup_{t=0}^{n^{2}} B_{r}\left(c_{t}+d\right)$ do not intersect. The circles look like ellipses, since there are different scales on the two axes. The dots are the centers $c_{s}$ and $c_{t}+d$

For any $s, t \in D$,

$$
\left|c_{s}-\left(d+c_{t}\right)\right|=\left|0 . b s z z z \ldots-d_{\cdot b} t z z z \ldots\right|=\left|d_{. b}(t-s)\right|
$$

is the distance between the centers of the balls $B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$ and $B_{r}\left(d+c_{t}\right)$ and these balls have radii $r$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $\left|d_{\cdot b}(t-s)\right|>2 r$, for all $s, t \in D$. Algebraically, we get

$$
|d \cdot b(t-s)|^{2}=d^{2}-\frac{2 d n}{n^{2}+1}(t-s)+\frac{1}{n^{2}+1}(t-s)^{2} .
$$

Since $r$ determined by Eqn. (6.1) is independent of $s, t \in D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, we minimize

$$
\xi(\tau):=d^{2}-\frac{2 d n}{n^{2}+1} \tau+\frac{1}{n^{2}+1} \tau^{2}
$$

over $\tau \in\left[-n^{2}, n^{2}\right]$. Now

$$
\xi^{\prime}(\tau)=-\frac{2 d n}{n^{2}+1}+\frac{2}{n^{2}+1} \tau
$$

Hence $\xi^{\prime}(d n)=0$ and the minimal value of $\xi(\tau)$ over $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ is $\xi(d n)=\frac{d^{2}}{n^{2}+1}$ and when $n<d$ the minimal value of $\xi(\tau)$ over $\left[-n^{2}, n^{2}\right]$ is $\xi\left(n^{2}\right)>\xi(d n)$.

Hence, $\left|d_{\cdot b}(t-s)\right|>2 r$ is true for all $s, t \in D$ if and only if one of the following two conditions is true:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (2 r)^{2}<\xi(d n)=\frac{d^{2}}{n^{2}+1}  \tag{6.2}\\
& (2 r)^{2}<\xi\left(n^{2}\right)=\frac{d^{2}\left(n^{2}+1\right)+n^{4}-2 d n^{3}}{n^{2}+1} \text { and } n<d \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Eqn. (6.1) we see Eqn. (6.2) is equivalent to

$$
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}+1-\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2}<\frac{d^{2}}{n^{2}+1}
$$

Solving for $d$ it follows $(2 r)^{2}<\xi(d n)$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}{n^{2}+1-\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}<d \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, the expression on the left hand side is close to $n$, when $n$ is large. Hence, we will look for bounds on

$$
\eta(n):=\frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}{n^{2}+1-\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}-n=\frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}-n^{3}-n+n\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}{n^{2}+1-\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

for $n \geq 2$. Using $\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}<n+1$ we get

$$
\eta(n)<\frac{n^{2}(n+1)-n^{3}-n+n(n+1)}{n^{2}+n-(n+1)}=\frac{2 n^{2}}{n^{2}-1}
$$

Hence, $\eta(n)<2$ and therefore Eqn. (6.4) holds for all $n \geq 2$, when $d \geq n+2$. Similarly, using $n<\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}$ we get

$$
\eta(n)>\frac{n^{2} \cdot n-n^{3}-n+n \cdot n}{n^{2}+n-(n+1)}=\frac{n^{2}-n}{n^{2}-1}
$$

Hence, $\frac{2}{3} \leq \eta(n)$ when $n \geq 2$, and therefore therefore Eqn. (6.4) fails for all $n \geq 2$, when $d \leq n+\frac{2}{3}$.

Hence using Eqn. (6.2) and Eqn. (6.3) we see the only case remaining case where $|d \cdot b(t-s)|>2 r$ could be true for all $s, t \in D$ is when $d=n+1$ and $(2 r)^{2}<\xi\left(n^{2}\right)$. When $d=n+1$,

$$
\xi\left(n^{2}\right)=\frac{2 n^{2}+2 n+1}{n^{2}+1}
$$

So using Eqn. (6.1) and $d=n+1$, the condition $(2 r)^{2}<\xi\left(n^{2}\right)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}+1-\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{2}<\frac{2 n^{2}+2 n+1}{n^{2}+1} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging in $n=2$, shows this is true when $n=2$. Using $\left(n^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}<n+1$ we see Eqn. (6.5) follows from

$$
\left(\frac{n^{2}}{n^{2}+1-(n+1)}\right)^{2} \leq \frac{2 n^{2}+2 n+1}{n^{2}+1}
$$

a bit of algebra simplifies this to

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq n^{4}-2 n^{3}-2 n^{2}+1 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality fails when $n=2$ and is true when $n=3$. The derivative is $4 n^{3}-6 n^{2}-4 n$, which has roots $n=-\frac{1}{2}, 0,2$. Hence $n^{4}-2 n^{3}-2 n^{2}+1$ is increasing on the interval $(2, \infty)$. Consequently, Eqn. (6.6) is true for all $n \geq 3$. Thus Eqn. (6.5) is true for all $n \geq 2$. This completes the proof.

## Remark 6.2.

(i) Consider Figure 3. The angle between the line containing the centers $c_{s}=$ $\frac{s}{b}+0 . b 0 z z z \ldots, s \in D$ and the positive $x$-axis is $\frac{\pi}{2}+\arctan (n)$ which increases to $\pi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We need $B_{r}\left(c_{0}\right)$ to the "left" of $B_{r}\left(d+c_{n^{2}}\right)$. For large $n$ we have $\frac{1}{b}=\frac{-n-i}{n^{2}+1} \approx-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^{2}+1}}$. Hence $c_{n^{2}} \approx-\frac{n^{2}}{\sqrt{n^{2}+1}}+0 . b 0 z z z \ldots$ and $c_{0}=0 . b 0 z z z \ldots$ Since $r \gtrsim \frac{1}{2}$ we need we need $d-\frac{n^{2}}{\sqrt{n^{2}+1}}>1$ for large $n$. Using $\frac{n^{2}}{\sqrt{n^{2}+1}} \approx n$, we see the bound $d \geq n+1$ is either best possible or close to best possible.
(ii) A numerical calculation shows the condition $d \geq n+1$ is best possible for all $n \leq 100$. When $d \leq n$, for some $s, t$, the balls $B_{r}\left(c_{s}\right)$ and $B_{r}\left(d+c_{t}\right)$ will overlap. The Python code used is in Section 8.

Now, we establish that the subtiles of $T_{k}$ are disjoint provided $D^{*}$ satisfies the separation condition.

Corollary 6.3. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $b=-n+i, D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, and $T_{0}=\left\{0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D\right\}$. Suppose $D^{*} \subseteq D$ satisfies the separation condition $\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$, for $d \neq d^{\prime}$ in $D^{*}$. If $d_{j}, d_{j}^{\prime} \in D^{*}$ for all $j$ and $d_{j} \neq d_{j}^{\prime}$ for at least one $j$, then the subtiles $0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ and $0 . b d_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} \ldots d_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ of $T_{k}=\left\{0 .{ }_{.} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{j} \in D^{*}\right.$ when $j \leq k, d_{j} \in D$ when $\left.j>k\right\}$ are disjoint.

Proof. Let $d_{j}, d_{j}^{\prime} \in D^{*}$ such that $d_{j} \neq d_{j}^{\prime}$ for at least one $j$. Suppose $d_{k}=d_{k}^{\prime}$ for all $k<i$ and $d_{i} \neq d_{i}^{\prime}$. After a translation and rescaling, if necessary, we may and will assume $i=1$. Let

$$
e_{j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
d_{j}-d_{j}^{\prime} & \text { when } d_{j}>d_{j}^{\prime}, \\
0 & \text { when } d_{j} \leq d_{j}^{\prime}
\end{array} \quad \text { and } \quad e_{j}^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}0 & \text { when } d_{j}>d_{j}^{\prime} \\
d_{j}^{\prime}-d_{j} & \text { when } d_{j} \leq d_{j}^{\prime}\end{cases}\right.
$$

Then $e_{j}, e_{j}^{\prime}$ are in $D$, hence

$$
A:=0 . b e_{2} e_{3} \ldots e_{k}+b^{1-k} T_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad B:=0 . b e_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} \ldots e_{k}^{\prime}+b^{1-k} T_{0}
$$

are subsets of $T_{0}$. Since, $\left|e_{1}-e_{1}^{\prime}\right|=\left|d_{1}-d_{1}^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$, it follows from Lemma 6.1 that $T_{0}+e_{1}$ and $T_{0}+e_{1}^{\prime}$ are disjoint. So $e_{1}+A \subseteq e_{1}+T_{0}$ and $e_{1}^{\prime}+B \subseteq e_{1}^{\prime}+T_{0}$ are disjoint. Consequently,
$0 . b e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} \ldots e_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}=b^{-1}\left(e_{1}+A\right) \quad$ and $\quad 0 . b e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} \ldots e_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0}=b^{-1}\left(e_{1}^{\prime}+B\right)$
are disjoint. Let

$$
f_{j}= \begin{cases}d_{j}^{\prime} & \text { when } d_{j}>d_{j}^{\prime} \\ d_{j} & \text { when } d_{j} \leq d_{j}^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

and $x:=0 . b f_{1} f_{2} \ldots$ Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+0 . b e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} \ldots e_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}=0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}, \\
& x+0 .{ }_{b} e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} \ldots e_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0}=0 . b d_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} \ldots d_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left hand sides are disjoint, so are the right hand sides. This completes the proof.

Using Lemma 5.2, we can now calculate the dimension of $T$ using the subtiles of $T_{k}$. This can of course also be done using self-similarity.
Corollary 6.4. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $b=-n+i$, and $D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$. Suppose $D^{*} \subseteq D$ satisfies the separation condition $\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$, for $d \neq d^{\prime}$ in $D^{*}$. Then $T=\left\{0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D^{*}\right\}$ has dimension $\frac{\log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\log (|b|)}$, where $\left|D^{*}\right|$ denotes the cardinality of $D^{*}$.

Proof. The number of subtiles in $T_{k}=\left\{z \in T_{0} \mid d_{i} \in D^{*}, \forall i \leq k\right\}$ is $\left|D^{*}\right|^{k}$, hence $N_{k}(T) \leq\left|D^{*}\right|^{k}$. Since the subtiles of $T_{k}$ are disjoint by Corollary 6.3 and each subtile contains elements of $T$, we have $N_{k}(T) \geq\left|D^{*}\right|^{k}$. Hence, $N_{k}(T)=\left|D^{*}\right|^{k}$ and therefore

$$
\frac{\log \left(N_{k}(T)\right)}{k \log (|b|)}=\frac{\log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\log (|b|)} .
$$

Consequently, it follows form Lemma 5.2 that the dimension of $T$ is equal to $\frac{\log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\log (|b|)}$.

## 7. DIMENSION AND DENSITY OF $F_{\beta}$ IN $F$

We use the self-similarity construction of $T$ to study $T \cap(x+T)$ and use these results to find conditions under which we can prove $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$.

Note that $T \cap(x+T) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $z=w+x$ for some $z, w \in T$. Therefore, $x=z-w$ and $F=T-T:=\left\{z_{1}-z_{2} \mid z_{1}, z_{2} \in T\right\}$. Because the digits of the elements of $T$ are restricted to $D^{*}$, we get $F=\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e_{k} b^{-k} \mid e_{k} \in \Delta\right\}$, where $\Delta:=D^{*}-D^{*}$.

Since $T_{k+1} \subseteq T_{k}$ and $T=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} T_{k}$ we have

$$
T \cap(x+T)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T_{k} \cap\left(x+T_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Unfortunately, this is not what we need to be able to use Lemma 5.2. More relevant for Lemma 5.2 would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \cap(x+T)=\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(T_{k} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right)\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $x=0 . b d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \ldots$ we let $\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}$ denote the truncation to the first $k$ places, i.e.,

$$
\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}:=0 . b d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} \ldots d_{k} .
$$

Since that allow us to use Lemma 5.2. We will establish Eqn. (7.1) under some assumptions on $D^{*}$. To do so we begin by establishing some related facts. The first of these facts is a version of Corollary 6.3.
Lemma 7.1. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $b=-n+i, D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, and $T_{0}=$ $\left\{0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D\right\}$. Suppose $D^{*} \subseteq D, d \leq \frac{n^{2}}{2}$ for all $d \in D^{*}$ and $\Delta=D^{*}-D^{*}$ satisfies the separation condition $\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$ for all $d \neq d^{\prime}$ in $\Delta$. If $d_{j}, d_{j}^{\prime} \in \Delta$ for all $j$ and $d_{j} \neq d_{j}^{\prime}$ for at least one $j$, then the subtiles $0 . d_{1} d_{2} \ldots d_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ and $0 .{ }_{\cdot} d_{1}^{\prime} d_{2}^{\prime} \ldots d_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ of $T_{k}=\left\{0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{j} \in D^{*}\right.$ when $j \leq k, d_{j} \in D$ when $\left.j>k\right\}$ are disjoint.

Proof. Let $d_{j}, d_{j}^{\prime} \in \Delta$ for all $j$ and $d_{j} \neq d_{j}^{\prime}$ for at least one $j$, and let $d_{\text {max }}$ be the largest element of $D^{*}$. Let $E^{*}:=\Delta+d_{\max }, e_{j}=d_{j}+d_{\max }$, and $e_{j}^{\prime}:=d_{j}^{\prime}$. Then $E^{*}, e_{j}, e_{j}^{\prime}$ satisfies the assumption on $D^{*}, d_{j}, d_{j}^{\prime}$ in Corollary 6.3, hence the sets $0 .{ }_{b} e_{1} e_{2} \ldots e_{k}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ and $0 . b e_{1}^{\prime} e_{2}^{\prime} \ldots e_{k}^{\prime}+b^{-k} T_{0}$ are disjoint. Translating these sets by $0 .{ }_{b} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k}$, where $a_{j}:=-d_{\text {max }}$ for all $j$, gives the desired conclusion.

The following result is a characterization of $T_{k} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right)$ in terms of the digits of $x$ and $D^{*}$.

Lemma 7.2. Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 7.1. For $x_{j} \in \Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k} \cap\left(0 . b x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k}\right)=\left\{0 \cdot{ }_{b} u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k} \mid u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)\right\}+b^{-k} T_{0}, \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for sets $A, B$ of complex numbers $A+B:=\{a+b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$.
Proof. Let $t \in T_{k} \cap\left(0 .{ }_{b} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k}\right)$. Since

$$
t \in T_{k}=b^{-k}\left(b^{k-1} D^{*}+\ldots+b D^{*}+D^{*}+T_{0}\right)
$$

it follows that $t=b^{-k}\left(b^{k-1} u_{1}+\ldots+b u_{k-1}+u_{k}+y\right)$ for some $y \in T_{0}$ and $u_{j} \in D^{*}$. Using

$$
\begin{aligned}
t & \in 0 .{ }_{b} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k} \\
& =b^{-k}\left(b^{k-1} x_{1}+\ldots+b x_{k-1}+x_{k}+b^{k-1} D^{*}+\ldots+b D^{*}+D^{*}+T_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we have $t=b^{-k}\left(b^{k-1} x_{1}+\ldots+b x_{k-1}+x_{k}+b^{k-1} v_{1}+\ldots+b v_{k-1}+v_{k}+z\right)$ for some $z \in T_{0}$ and $v_{j} \in D^{*}$. Hence,
$b^{k-1}\left(u_{1}-v_{1}\right)+\ldots+b\left(u_{k-1}-v_{k-1}\right)+\left(u_{k}-v_{k}\right)+y=b^{k-1} x_{1}+\ldots+b x_{k-1}+x_{k}+z$.
Using $u_{j}-v_{j}, x_{j} \in \Delta$ when $j \leq k$ and Lemma 7.1 it follows that $y=z$ and $u_{j}-v_{j}=x_{j}$, when $j \leq k$. Hence, $u_{j}-x_{j}=v_{j} \in D^{*}$, when $j \leq k$. This establishes $\subseteq$ in Eqn. (7.2).

Conversely, suppose

$$
z \in\left\{0 . u_{1} \ldots u_{k} \mid u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)\right\}+b^{-k} T_{0} .
$$

Then $z=0 . u_{1} \ldots u_{k} d_{k+1} d_{k+2} \ldots$ where $u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq k$ and $d_{k+i} \in D$ for $i \geq 1$. Since $u_{j} \in D^{*}$, when $j \leq k$, then by definition of $T_{k}, z \in T_{k}$. Since $u_{j} \in D^{*}+x_{j}$ when $j \leq k$, the first $k$ digits of $z$ can be expressed as $u_{j}=x_{j}+d_{j}^{\prime}$ for $d_{j}^{\prime} \in D^{*}$. This shows that $z \in 0 . x_{1} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k}$. Therefore, $z \in T_{k} \cap\left(0 . x_{1} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k}\right)$. This shows $\supseteq$ in Eqn. (7.2).

Thus we have established equality in Eqn. (7.2).

We now establish the version of the obvious

$$
T_{k+1} \cap\left(x+T_{k+1}\right) \subseteq T_{k} \cap\left(x+T_{k}\right)
$$

that is relevant for our application of Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 7.3. Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 7.1. For $x \in F$ we have

$$
T_{k+1} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k+1}+T_{k+1}\right) \subseteq T_{k} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right),
$$

for all $k \geq 0$, where $x_{j} \in \Delta$.

Proof. For any $k \geq 0$, and any $x_{j} \in \Delta$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{k+1} \cap\left(0 .{ }_{b} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k} x_{k+1}+T_{k+1}\right) \\
& =\left\{0 . b u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k} u_{k+1} \mid u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)\right\}+b^{-k-1} T_{0}  \tag{7.3}\\
& \subseteq\left\{0 . b u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k} u_{k+1} \mid u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right), j \leq k, u_{k+1} \in D\right\}+b^{-k-1} T_{0}  \tag{7.4}\\
& =\left\{0 . b u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k} \mid u_{j} \in D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)\right\}+b^{-k} T_{0}  \tag{7.5}\\
& =T_{k} \cap\left(0 . b x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k}+T_{k}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The first and last equalities (7.3) and (7.6) are by Lemma 7.2. The subset inclusion (7.4) is obvious, since $D^{*} \subseteq D$. The middle equality (7.5) follows from

$$
T_{0}=b^{-1}\left(D+T_{0}\right)=\{0 . b u \mid u \in D\}+b^{-1} T_{0} .
$$

Using the lemmas we can now establish a formula for the dimension of $T \cap(x+T)$. In this formula we use the limit inferior, since the limit need not exist.

Theorem 7.4. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $b=-n+i, D=\left\{0,1, \ldots, n^{2}\right\}$, and $T_{0}=\left\{0 . b d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{k} \in D\right\}$. Suppose $D^{*} \subseteq D, d \leq \frac{n^{2}}{2}$ for all $d \in D^{*}$ and $\Delta=D^{*}-D^{*}$ satisfies the separation condition $\left|d-d^{\prime}\right| \geq n+1$ for all $d \neq d^{\prime}$ in $\Delta$. If $T=\left\{0 .{ }_{b} d_{1} d_{2} \ldots \mid d_{j} \in D^{*}\right\}$, then

$$
\underline{\operatorname{dim}}(T \cap(x+T))=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \left(M_{k}(x)\right)}{k \log (|b|)}
$$

where

$$
M_{k}(x):=\left|D^{*} \cap\left(x_{1}+D^{*}\right)\right| \cdot\left|D^{*} \cap\left(x_{2}+D^{*}\right)\right| \cdot \ldots \cdot\left|D^{*} \cap\left(x_{k}+D^{*}\right)\right|
$$

when $x=0 .{ }_{b} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots$ with $x_{j} \in \Delta$.
Proof. It follows from the lemmas that $T \cap(x+T) \subseteq T_{k} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right)$, that the subtiles of $T_{k}$ contained in $T \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right)$ are disjoint, that every such subtile contains points from $T \cap(x+T)$, and the number of subtiles in $T_{k} \cap\left(\lfloor x\rfloor_{k}+T_{k}\right)$ equals $M_{k}(x)$. Hence the claim follows from Lemma 5.2.

Our main result is a direct consequence of Theorem 7.4.
Corollary 7.5. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 7.4, $F_{\beta}$ is dense in $F$, for any $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ be given and let $x \in F$. Write $x=0 . b x_{1} x_{2} \ldots$ with $x_{j} \in \Delta$. Fix $r>0$ and pick $k$, such that $y=0 .{ }_{b} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k} y_{k+1} y_{k+2} \ldots$ implies $|x-y|<r$ for any $y_{j} \in \Delta$.

Let $h_{j}$ be positive integers such that $h_{j} \leq j \beta<1+h_{j}$, then $h_{j} / j \rightarrow \beta$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ we have $h_{j} \leq h_{j+1} \leq 1+h_{j}$. Suppose $0<\beta<1$. For $j>k$ set

$$
y_{j}= \begin{cases}d_{\max } & \text { if } h_{j}=h_{j-1} \\ 0 & \text { if } h_{j}=1+h_{j-1}\end{cases}
$$

where $d_{\max }$ is the largest element of $D^{*}$. Notice $D^{*} \cap\left(y_{j}+D^{*}\right)=D^{*}$ if $y_{j}=0$ and $D^{*} \cap\left(y_{j}+D^{*}\right)=\left\{d_{\max }\right\}$ if $y_{j}=d_{\max }$. Hence, if $b_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left|D^{*} \cap\left(x_{j}+D^{*}\right)\right|$ and $\ell>k$, then

$$
M_{\ell}(x)=b_{k} \prod_{j=k+1}^{\ell}\left|D^{*}\right|^{h_{j}-h_{j-1}}=b_{k}\left|D^{*}\right|^{h_{\ell}-h_{k}}
$$

So

$$
\frac{\log \left(M_{\ell}(x)\right)}{\ell \log (|b|)}=\frac{\log \left(b_{k}\right)}{\ell \log (|b|)}+\frac{h_{\ell} \log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\ell \log (|b|)}-\frac{h_{k} \log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\ell \log (|b|)} .
$$

Thus $\frac{\log \left(M_{\ell}(x)\right)}{\ell \log (|b|)}$ converges to

$$
\beta \frac{\log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\log (|b|)}=\beta \operatorname{dim}(T)
$$

as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, using $\frac{h_{\ell}}{\ell} \rightarrow \beta$ by the choice of $h_{\ell}$ and that $\operatorname{dim}(T)=\frac{\log \left(\left|D^{*}\right|\right)}{\log (|b|)}$ by Corollary 6.4. An application of Theorem 7.4 completes the proof. The modifications needed to deal with the cases $\beta=0$ and $\beta=1$ are left for the reader.

## 8. PYTHON CODE FOR REMARK 6.2

```
import numpy as np
def r(n):
    m = n ** 2
    return m / (2 * (m + 1 - np.sqrt (m + 1)))
def xi(n, d, tau):
    return d ** 2 +(tau ** 2 - 2 * d * n * tau) / (n ** 2 + 1)
def min_xi(n, d):
    a = 0 - n ** 2
    b = xi(n, d, a)
    while a <= n ** 2:
        bb = xi(n, d, a)
        if bb < b:
                b = bb
            a += 1
    return b
for n in range(2, 101):
    d = -1
    small = -1
    while small < 0:
        d += 1
        small = min_xi(n, d) - 4 * (r(n) ** 2)
    print(n, d, small)
```
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