

Marcin SZWEDA

Faculty of Applied Mathematics  
Silesian University of Technology

## SOLUTION OF SOME PROBLEM ON THE DETERMINANT OF A SINE-TYPE MATRIX

**Summary.** In this paper we present the independent solution of problem E3178 posed in 1988 by G.A. Hively in American Mathematical Monthly. We also give a generalization of this problem together with the proof.

## ROZWIĄZANIE PEWNEGO PROBLEMU DOTYCZĄCEGO WYZNACZNIKA MACIERZY SINUSÓW

**Streszczenie.** W artykule prezentujemy niezależne rozwiązanie problemu E3178 postawionego w 1988 r. przez G.A. Hively'ego w czasopiśmie American Mathematical Monthly. Podajemy również uogólnienie tego problemu wraz z dowodem.

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A15.

Keywords: problem E3178, determinant, sine-type matrix.

Corresponding author: M. Szweda (marcin.szweda1@wp.pl).

Received: 05.09.2015 r.

While creating this paper M. Szweda was a student of the master's degree in Mathematics.

During some research conducted with my supervisor, Professor Roman Wituła, a problem published in American Mathematical Monthly has fallen into my hands. This problem concerns the value of determinant of the sine-type matrix and has been posed in 1988 by G.A. Hively. Author of this problem formulated it in the following way:

**Problem 1.** *Let  $x_i, y_i$  be any complex numbers and let  $S_n$  be a matrix of form  $S_n = (\sin(x_i + y_j))_{n \times n}$ . It should be shown that for  $n \geq 3$  the matrix  $S_n$  is singular.*

*Proof.* At first we will prove that for  $n = 2$  the thesis of the above formulated problem is not satisfied. Let us take, for instance,  $x_1 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $x_2 = y_1 = 0$ ,  $y_2 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Then we get

$$\det(S_2) = \begin{vmatrix} \sin(x_1 + y_1) & \sin(x_1 + y_2) \\ \sin(x_2 + y_1) & \sin(x_2 + y_2) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \neq 0.$$

Let us consider now the case when  $n \geq 3$ . Then, by using the formula for the sine of sum we can present the matrix  $S_n$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_n &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin(x_1 + y_1) & \sin(x_1 + y_2) & \sin(x_1 + y_3) & \dots & \sin(x_1 + y_n) \\ \sin(x_2 + y_1) & \sin(x_2 + y_2) & \sin(x_2 + y_3) & \dots & \sin(x_2 + y_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sin(x_n + y_1) & \sin(x_n + y_2) & \sin(x_n + y_3) & \dots & \sin(x_n + y_n) \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \sin x_1 \cos y_1 + \sin y_1 \cos x_1 & \dots & \sin x_1 \cos y_n + \sin y_n \cos x_1 \\ \sin x_2 \cos y_1 + \sin y_1 \cos x_2 & \dots & \sin x_2 \cos y_n + \sin y_n \cos x_2 \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \sin x_n \cos y_1 + \sin y_1 \cos x_n & \dots & \sin x_n \cos y_n + \sin y_n \cos x_n \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= [\mathbf{k}_1 \cos y_j + \mathbf{k}_2 \sin y_j]_{j=1, \dots, n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{k}_1 = [\sin x_1, \sin x_2, \dots, \sin x_n]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_2 = [\cos x_1, \cos x_2, \dots, \cos x_n]^T$ .

One can easily notice that  $\det(S_n) = 0$  exactly when the columns of matrix  $S_n$  are linearly dependent which is, in turn, equivalent to the fact that the system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\mathbf{k}_1 \cos y_j + \mathbf{k}_2 \sin y_j) = \mathbf{0}$$

possesses the non-zero solution. That is the system

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \cos y_j \right) \mathbf{k}_1 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \sin y_j \right) \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{0}$$

possesses the non-zero solution. And so it is for  $n \geq 3$ , because the system created from the coefficients placed by  $\mathbf{k}_1$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2$ :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \cos y_j = 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j \sin y_j = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $n \geq 3$  has more variables than equations. □

**Remark 2.** The above fact can be also justified by using the Principle of Mathematical Induction. The proof can be then conducted in the following way:

- by using the formula for the sine of sum we compute directly the value of determinant  $\det(S_3)$  receiving  $\det(S_3) = 0$ ,
- we pose the inductive assumption that for some  $n \geq 3$  we have  $\det(S_n) = 0$ ,
- we expand the determinant  $\det(S_{n+1})$  (for example, with respect to the first row) and we get

$$\det(S_{n+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{1+j} \sin(x_1 + y_j) \det(S_n(\{x_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1}, \{y_i\}_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n+1}))^1.$$

For each  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n+1\}$  from the inductive assumption we have

$$\det(S_n(\{x_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1}, \{y_i\}_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n+1})) = 0,$$

hence  $\det(S_{n+1}) = 0$ . Thus, in view of the the Principle of Mathematical Induction we obtain that for  $n \geq 3$  we have  $\det(S_n) = 0$ . □

---

<sup>1</sup>Notation  $S_n(\{x_i\}_{i=2}^{n+1}, \{y_i\}_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n+1})$  means that for creating the matrix  $S_n$  we use successively the numbers  $(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{n+1})$ ,  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{j-1}, y_{j+1}, \dots, y_{n+1})$ .

Problem, discussed in this paper, can be generalized in the following way:

**Problem 3.** Let  $x_i, y_i$  be any complex numbers and let  $A_n$  be the matrix of dimension  $n \times n$  of the form

$$A_n = \begin{bmatrix} x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 & x_1y_3 + x_2y_4 & \dots & x_1y_{2n-1} + x_2y_{2n} \\ x_3y_1 + x_4y_2 & x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 & \dots & x_3y_{2n-1} + x_4y_{2n} \\ x_5y_1 + x_6y_2 & x_5y_3 + x_6y_4 & \dots & x_5y_{2n-1} + x_6y_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ x_{2n-1}y_1 + x_{2n}y_2 & x_{2n-1}y_3 + x_{2n}y_4 & \dots & x_{2n-1}y_{2n-1} + x_{2n}y_{2n} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= [\mathbf{k}_1y_{2j-1} + \mathbf{k}_2y_{2j}]_{j=1,2,\dots,n},$$

where  $\mathbf{k}_1 = [x_1, x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2n-1}]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{k}_2 = [x_2, x_4, x_6, \dots, x_{2n}]^T$ . It should be proven that  $\det(A_n) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 3$ .

*Proof.* The only thing needed to be done is to repeat the reasoning from the proof of Problem 1. Value  $\det(A_n)$  is equal to zero when the columns of matrix  $A_n$  are linearly dependent which is equivalent to the fact that the system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j (\mathbf{k}_1y_{2j-1} + \mathbf{k}_2y_{2j}) = \mathbf{0}$$

possesses the non-zero solution. That is the system:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_{2j-1} \right) \mathbf{k}_1 + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_{2j} \right) \mathbf{k}_2 = \mathbf{0}$$

possesses the non-zero solution. And so it is for  $n \geq 3$ , because the system created from the coefficients placed by  $\mathbf{k}_1$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2$ :

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_{2j-1} = 0 \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j y_{2j} = 0 \end{cases}$$

for  $n \geq 3$  has more variables than equations. □

**Remark 4.** Although the solution presented in this paper, concerning the used idea, is consistent with Solution I in [1] and, probably, many mathematicians investigating this problem come to this idea in a quite natural way, I want to

emphasize that I found this solution without any help, and only later my supervisor showed me the mentioned above solutions presented in American Mathematical Monthly [1]. Moreover, I want to notice that I have presented my solution in a full form with the proper generalization.

## References

1. Hively G.A., Tomsy J., Dearden B.: *E3178*. Amer. Math. Monthly **95**, no. 7 (1988), 664–665.
2. Zhang F.: *Matrix Theory*. Springer, New York 2011.

