Mass spectrometry as a useful tool for identifying new therapeutic targets on the cell surface of pathogenic fungi from the genus *Candida*

Justyna Karkowska-Kuleta, Oliwia Bocheńska

Department of Analytical Biochemistry, Faculty of Biochemistry, Biotechnology and Biophysics, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland

Mass spectrometry (MS) is a universal technique with a wide range of applications, including proteomic studies of different organisms, particularly the characterization and sequencing of proteins isolated from specific cellular compartments. It is used for the identification of elements exposed on the cell surface of microbial pathogens, which are involved in the initial contact with the human host, and then in the further development of infection.

Given the increasing frequency of invasive fungal infections caused by pathogenic yeast from the genus *Candida*, especially among patients with severe immunological impairments, it appears advisable to study the diversity of cell wall proteins that arise during subsequent stages of infection and that are responsible for several important phenomena correlated with pathogenesis.

This study employed a liquid chromatograph-coupled mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI), and an ion trap analyser. For tandem mass spectrometry, two approaches for fragmentation of ions - collision-induced dissociation (CID) and electron transfer dissociation (ETD) - were used to analyse the mixtures of peptides generated after tryptic digestion of fungal cell wall proteins (i.e. the "bottom-up" approach). Several surface proteins from *Candida* spp. were identified which could be potential drug targets and candidates for vaccine development.

Key words: proteomics, pathogenic fungi, candidiasis, mass spectrometry

Introduction

Currently, one of the most important problems facing medicine is the increased incidence of invasive fungal infections among immunocompromised patients, as a consequence of acquired drug resistance by pathogenic fungi and the shortage of effective prevention methods. At present, the dimorphic fungus *Candida albicans*, responsible for serious systemic infections, is still the most frequently isolated fungal pathogen in humans, albeit that the prevalence of infections caused the so-called "non-albicans" *Candida* species is showing a tendency to increase (Nawrot et al., 2013). Therefore, there is urgent need to find new approaches to control the growing incidence of candidiasis, with a particular priority being focused on the development of antifungal vaccines (Vecchiarelli, Pericolini, Gabrielli, and Pietrella, 2012).

As it is an interactive interface between the host and the pathogen, the cell wall from the fungal cell plays a significant role in the development of infections owing to its constant contact with the host. The candidial cell wall is a resistant, but easily adaptable and flexible structure, consisting of different types of proteins (often highly O- and N-mannosylated) and branched polymers of glucose residues containing β -1,3 and β -1,6 linkages (β -glucans), unbranched polymers of N-acetyl-D-glucosamine containing β -1,4 bonds (chitin) and lipids (Chaffin et al., 2008). Proteins are anchored in different ways to the elastic framework of microfibrillar polysaccharides (Pitarch, Nombela, and Gil, 2008).

If the proteomic approach is accompanied by other techniques, this enables the identification of proteinaceous components located within the fungal cell wall, which are responsible for the interactions with both host proteins and tissues and the immune response during infection. Currently, the proteomic methods used have been based on the chemical extraction of proteins from the cell wall with the use of SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) and DTT (dithiothreitol) or β -mercapthoethanol as denaturing and reducing agents, respectively, during incubation at 100°C. Other methods are based on HF-pyridine or mild alkali treatment (Castillo et al., 2008). However, it should be remembered that proteins obtained using above-mentioned procedures lose their tertiary and secondary structure and most probably also their function. Consequently, another approach was employed, comprising the direct tryptic digestion of proteins connected with the fungal cell surface and the subsequent analysis of the resultant peptides via mass spectrometry (MS) (Vialas et al., 2012).

In the present study, the enzymatically isolated native and functional candidial cell wall proteins (CWPs) were separated via protein gel electrophoresis, digested with trypsin and then the particular proteins were identified using LC-MS/MS (liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry). The use of such an extraction method enabled the release of the cell surface proteins in a form suitable for simultaneous analysis in terms of interactions with various ligands. The bottom-up proteomic approach, which consists of both the generation of mixtures of peptides after tryptic digestion of fungal proteins and their separation via ultra high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), was carried out with a Bruker Daltonics HCT Ultra ETD II mass spectrometer, equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI) and an ion trap analyser extended with an electron transfer dissociation/ proton transfer reaction (ETD/PTR) module. Using this novel approach, several CWPs were identified from unicellular ("yeast-like") forms of *C. albicans*.

Materials and methods

Yeast strains and culture conditions

C. albicans strain 10231 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. It was precultured in Petri dishes with YPD agar (1% yeast extract, 2% tryptone, 2% glucose and 1.5% agar). Then, a single colony was transferred to liquid medium and unicellular yeast forms were grown at 30°C for 16 h in YPD broth (1% yeast extract, 2% soybean peptone and 2% glucose) to a stationary phase, as confirmed by optical density at 600 nm. All reagents used were purchased from Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA.

Isolation of C. albicans cell wall proteins

C. albicans cell wall proteins were isolated as previously described with slight modifications (Karkowska-Kuleta, Kozik, and Rapala-Kozik, 2010). Briefly, unicellular forms of C. albicans (3.8 g wet weight) were collected by centrifugation, then washed twice with 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer with 0.9% NaCl, pH 7.4 and twice with 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5. To release the CWPs, cells were resuspended in 2 ml of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, containing a 40 mM -mercaptoethanol, protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and incubated with 500 U (0.4 g) of -1,3-glucanase (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) for 1 h at 37°C. Then, the cells were centrifuged and the supernatant was collected and dialyzed against PBS, pH 7.4, for 48 h at 4°C. SYTOX° Green (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) staining was used to verify that after this treatment at least 95% of C. albicans cells remained viable. The protein concentration was measured according to the Bradford method (Bradford, 1976). The isolated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE in a Laemmli system (Laemmli, 1970) and visualized via Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 staining.

Protein identification via mass spectrometry

To identify the content of protein bands obtained after SDS-PAGE separation of isolated CWPs (see above), the gel pieces were manually excised, destained at 37° C by sequential washing with water, 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate (NH₄HCO₃), 50% acetonitrile and 100% acetonitrile, and after that subjected to reduction with 10 mM DTT in 100 mM NH₄HCO₃ at 60°C for 1 hour and alkylation with 55 mM iodoacetamide in 100 mM

 NH_4HCO_3 for 45 minutes at room temperature in the dark. Any excess of reagents was washed out with 100 mM NH₄HCO₃. Then, the gel pieces were dehydrated in 100% acetonitrile, dried in an Alpha 1-2 lyophilizer (Christ, Osterode, Germany) for 15 minutes and then dissolved in 15 µl of trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) solution (15 μ l of 0.1 μ g/ μ l in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ with 5 mM CaCl₂) and incubated on ice for 45 minutes. Afterwards, additional 20 μ l of 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ with 5 mM CaCl₂ were added. Digestion was carried out at 37°C overnight. Peptides were extracted in a sonication bath with 50% acetonitrile and 2.5% formic acid at 37°C. Then, the obtained peptides were analysed via mass spectrometry (MS) using a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UHPLC system (Dionex, Carlsbad, CA, USA) coupled with an HCT Ultra ETD II mass spectrometer (Bruker, Bremen, Germany). For this analysis, the peptides were separated on a 100 mm x 2.1 mm Accucore C18 column (particle size 2.6 µm) (Thermo Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, USA) using a 38 min gradient of 80% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid (1-45%). The mass spectrometer was operated in standard MS/MS mode with simultaneous fragmentation of most intensive precursor ions with CID and ETD. The resulting lists of peaks were used to search against the SwissProt protein database with a taxonomy restricted to Fungi, using an in-house Mascot server (v.3.0, Matrix Science, London, UK). The following search parameters were applied: enzyme specificity - trypsin, permitted number of missed cleavages - 1, fixed modification - carbamidomethylation (C), variable modifications – oxidation (M), protein mass – unrestricted, peptide mass tolerance – ± 0.3 Da, and fragment mass tolerance - ±1.3 Da. Accepted proteins were those which had a Mascot score above 100. Assignment to the specific functional categories was made with the use of information included in the Candida Genome Database (CGD).

Results

Candida albicans yeasts in their unicellular forms were mildly treated for one hour with β -1,3-glucanase, which resulted in the release of a collection of proteins derived from cell walls. This enzyme allowed the hydrolysis of the glycosidic bonds in the β -1,3-glucan component of the fungal cell wall without prejudice to the proteins, while β mercaptoethanol facilitated the release of proteins from the polysaccharide network, and the mixture of protease inhibitors protected proteins from proteolytic degradation. The staining with SYTOX[®] Green showed that almost all cells remained viable after this treatment, without any adverse permeability of cellular membranes. The concentration of proteins obtained from 3.8 g of wet cells was 800 μ g/ml. Fig. 1 shows the scheme of the applied approach.

After electrophoretic separation of 16 μ g of CWPs in the Laemmli system and staining with Coomasie Brilliant Blue, ten pieces of gel with different protein bands were excised and subjected to digestion with trypsin (A-J). The range of the molecular masses of the tested proteins was from ca.15 kDa up to 200 kDa, as shown in Fig. 2.

The two most frequently used methods in the proteomic approach are the "top-down" procedure, in which direct analysis of intact proteins is performed, and the "bottom-up" procedure, used in this work, which consists of an analysis of the separated mixture of peptides after tryptic



Fig. 1. The workflow of the procedure used for the identification of cell wall-connected proteins from C. *albicans* yeast cells



Fig. 2. The SDS-PAGE separation of a protein mixture obtained from *C. albicans* cell walls after treatment with β -1,3-glucanase. Proteins once extracted were separated on 12% gel of the Laemmli SDS-PAGE system and visualized by Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining. Excised bands were marked as A-J (Lane 2). Lane 1 presents the separation of molecular mass standards

digestion. Although one of the weaknesses of the second method is its relatively low sequence coverage, its high sensitivity in terms of peptide detection is its main advantage (Wu, Hühmer, Hao, and Karger, 2007). Prepared digested proteins were analysed using on-line LC-MS/MS treatment. Use of formic acid during chromatographic separation allowed the performance of positive, multiple ionization of distributed peptides in an electrospray ion source, which, thus, enabled the analysis of fragment spectra of the tested compounds in two different modes: CID and ETD, which can be simultaneously performed in the ion trap analyser. Conventional CID fragmentation enables collisions between precursor ions and helium atoms and prefers weak bond cleavages mostly generating b- and yions (peptide backbone cleaved between peptide bonds). This type of fragmentation is best suited for fragment spectra of the 2+ ions and the identification of peptides with a molecular mass up to 2.5 kDa. Thus, the analysis was greatly aided by the ETD module, which allowed for the identification of a number of peptides with a molecular mass larger than 3 kDa, as this type of fragmentation prefers charge stages above two. The main assumption of the fragmentation of peptides via ETD is the generation of an excess of radical anions from fluoranthene $(C_{16}H_{10})$ in a negative chemical ionization (nCI) mode. These accumulated anions are introduced to multiply charged peptide cations, previously isolated in the ion trap. In contrast to CID fragmentation, the ETD reaction strongly correlates to the charge state, but not to m/z ranges. The faster the reaction, the higher the charge multiplicity is. In consequence, this reaction generates large stretches of c- and z-type fragment ions (peptide backbones cleaved between a nitrogen atom and a carbon atom, but not at the peptide bond), which in combination with CID fragmentation results in an improved sequence alignment of proteins. It is noteworthy that as the molecular mass of the peptide be-



Fig. 3. The functional classification of identified cell wall proteins. The functions were assigned on the basis of information from the Candida Genome Database (CGD)

comes larger, the required charge state of the peptide for effective ETD fragmentation increases (Wu et al., 2007).

After efficient separation of peptides, 24 proteins were identified with Mascot scores higher than 100 and an additional two with lower scores, but with a high sequence coverage or a high number of identified peptides. Table 1 presents a list of identified proteins. The listed proteins possess different functions, starting from cell wall biogenesis and organization, metabolism and cell rescue, up to virulence and interactions with the host. Some are equipped

Table 1. Proteins from the cell wall of *C. albicans* yeast cells, identified via LC-MS/MS. After SDS-PAGE, particular protein bands (A-J, as marked in fig. 2) were excised and analysed with a Dionex UltiMate 3000 UHPLC coupled with a Bruker HCT Ultra ETD II mass spectrometer, and identified by searching the SwissProt protein database through a Mascot server.

MM – molecular mass. SC – sequence coverage. The functional classification was based on CGD: M – metabolism, CW – cell wall biogenesis and maintenance, V – virulence and interactions with the host, P – protein fate.

A V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Agglutinin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 132.22 4.8 2 CW CHI2_CANAL CHT2 Chianase 2 61.6 4.5 79.28 24.7 1 B M METE_CANAL METO S-methyleterahydroperoyltriglutamate- honocystein enchyletandense 85.8 5.3 1802.6 73 35 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aninopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 1232.78 56.1 30 V PIB_CANAL PHE2 pH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.85 31.8 3 C M METE_CANAL METG S-methyleterahydroproprojtriglutamate- homocystein enchyletandylopperoprojtriglutamate- homocystein enchyletandylopperopytriglutamate- homocystein enchyletandylopperopytri	Band/ protein role	Accesion	Gene name	Protein	ММ	pI	Scores	SC (%)	# peptides
V ALS2_CINAL ALS2 Aggluinin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 132.22 4.8 2 CW CHI2_CANAL CHT2 Chinase 2 61.6 4.5 79.28 24.7 1 M METE_CANAL METG 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutanate- homocysteine methyltanaferaae 85.8 5.3 1802.6 73 35 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 1232.78 56.1 30 CW PHBL_CANAL PHB Lypophospholipase 1 66.9 4.5 224.65 14.2 3 CW PHR2_CANAL PHE Pheresponsive protein 2 95.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 C C C C C S.8 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 6529.23 48.8 18 V AIS2_ACANAL APE1 Lopophospholipose 10 188.4	Α					-		-	
CW CHI2_CANAL CH12 Chifinase 2 61.6 4.5 79.28 24.7 1 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylterahydropteroyfrigluzanate- bomocysteine methylterahydrage 85.8 5.3 1802.6 73 35 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 1232.78 56.1 30 V PLB1_CANAL PIR2 PL-responsive protein 2 50.5 4.3 87.845 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 CW PHR2_CANAL PHR2 PL-responsive protein 2 106.9 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipuse 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipuse 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 M G6P1_CANAL PCB1 Glucose-6-phosphate inserase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M DUG1_CANAL MET	V	ALS2_CANAL	ALS2	Agglutinin-like protein 2	188.4	4.2	132.22	4.8	2
B M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltcarahydopteroytriglutamat- homocysteine methyltansfersse 85.8 5.3 1802.6 7.3 35 M APE2_CANAL PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Japphospholipae 1 66.9 4.5 224.65 14.2 3 CW PLB1_CANAL PLB1 p-Hresponive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 CW PHR2_CANAL PHB1 p-Hresponive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 M METE_CANAL PEB1 Aminopeptidase 2 106.9 5.1 6502.3 4.88 18 V PLB1_CANAL PEE2 Aminopeptidase 2 106.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 D GGPT_CANAL PEG1 Glacose-ophosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 152.92 55.5 24 M METE_CANAL PG1 Glacose-ophosphate isomerase 85.8 5.3 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M	CW	CHI2_CANAL	CHT2	Chitinase 2	61.6	4.5	79.28	24.7	1
M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylterahydropteroytrightamate- homocysteine methyltanaferase 85.8 5.3 1802.6 7.3 35 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Anninopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 1232.78 56.1 30 V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipas 1 66.9 4.5 224.65 14.2 3 C V PLB2_CANAL PHR2 PH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.8 20.8 3 48 M APE2_CANAL PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipae 1 66.6 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipae 1 66.6 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 M GGPL_CANAL PLB1 Somethylterahydropteroytrightamate- homocystein methyltranaferase 85.8 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M GGPL_CANAL PGT Somethylterahydropteroytrightamate- homocystein methyltranaferase 85.8 5.3 288.7 32.2	В							-	
M APE2_CANAL APE2 Anninopeptidase 2 104.9 51.1 132.7.8 56.1 30 CW PIHR_LCANAL PIHR PIHR2 pH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 CW PHR2_CANAL PIHR2 pH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 C	М	METE_CANAL	MET6	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase	85.8	5.3	1802.6	73	35
V PIBL_CANAL PIR2 PHresponsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 C M METE_CANAL PHR2 pH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylternshydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopoptidas 2 104.9 5.1 659.23 48.8 18 V PLBL_CANAL PLB Lysophospholipas 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Aminopoptidas 2 1188.4 4.2 124.44 5.8 3 M G6PL_CANAL PGI1 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Cays-Gy metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 4.9 763.65 39.2 13 M HXRD_CANAL HXK2	М	APE2_CANAL	APE2	Aminopeptidase 2	104.9	5.1	1232.78	56.1	30
CW PHR2_CANAL PHR2 pH-responsive protein 2 59.5 4.3 87.83 20.8 3 C METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetralydropteroyltrightamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 659.23 48.8 18 V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipase 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V ALS2_CANAL AB2 Agglutnin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 12.4/4 5.8 3 M GefP_CANAL PEI1 Chucose-Cephosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M MET6_CANAL MET6 S-methyltetralydropteroyltightamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 53.8 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Cys-Cd1 metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 5.3 2288 5 4 M FIMSB_TGANAL </td <td>V</td> <td>PLB1_CANAL</td> <td>PLB1</td> <td>Lysophospholipase 1</td> <td>66.9</td> <td>4.5</td> <td>224.65</td> <td>14.2</td> <td>3</td>	V	PLB1_CANAL	PLB1	Lysophospholipase 1	66.9	4.5	224.65	14.2	3
C METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylterrahydropteroyltriglatamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidae 2 104.9 5.1 659.23 48.8 18 V PLBL_CANAL PLB1 Lyophospholpas 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Agglutnin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 124.44 5.8 3 M GGPI_CANAL PGI1 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M METE_CANAL DUG1 Cyr-Gly metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 4.9 763.65 39.2 13 M HIMB_YEAST SAC6 Fimbrin (by homology) 71.9 5.3 228 5 4 M EIFBB_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 B	CW	PHR2_CANAL	PHR2	pH-responsive protein 2	59.5	4.3	87.83	20.8	3
M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylterabydropteroptrightamate- homocystein methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 1602.74 73.5 34 M APE2_CANAL APE2 Aminopeptidase 2 104.9 5.1 659.23 48.8 18 V PLBI_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipas 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 5.0 3 V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 ALS2 AASA Agglutnin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 124.92 55.5 24 M G6PL_CANAL PGI1 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Somethyltetrahydropterotydrigituramate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M EIF3B_CANAL HXK Hesokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M EIF3B_CANAL HXK Hesokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M	С								
M APE2_CANAL API2 Aminopepidas 2 104.9 5.1 659.23 48.8 18 V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipase 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Agglutinin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 124.44 5.8 3 D	М	METE_CANAL	MET6	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase	85.8	5.3	1602.74	73.5	34
V PLB1_CANAL PLB1 Lysophospholipses 1 66.9 4.5 325.88 30.2 8 V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Agglutinin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 124.44 5.8 3 D G6P1_CANAL PG11 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M METE_CANAL PG11 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M DUG1_CANAL PG11 Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase 61.6 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M DUG1_CANAL HXC Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 288.71 20.5 8 M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 E M HXKB_CANAL MKZ Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M MET6_CANAL MET6 Smetrhylte	М	APE2_CANAL	APE2	Aminopeptidase 2	104.9	5.1	659.23	48.8	18
V ALS2_CANAL ALS2 Aggluinin-like protein 2 188.4 4.2 124.44 5.8 3 D	V	PLB1_CANAL	PLB1	Lysophospholipase 1	66.9	4.5	325.88	30.2	8
D Operation Operating and the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the sta	V	ALS2_CANAL	ALS2	Agglutinin-like protein 2	188.4	4.2	124.44	5.8	3
M GCPI_CANAL PGI1 Glucose-ophosphate isomerase 61.6 6.0 1529.92 55.5 24 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutanate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Cys-Gly metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 4.9 763.65 39.2 13 M HXBL_CANAL HXC2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 288.71 20.5 8 M EIFBA_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 M EIFSB_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 M METE_CANAL MXE2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M METE_CANAL MET6 S-methylternsferase 85.8 5.3 343.07 12.5 8 M TS1_CANAL TS1 <td>D</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	D								
M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methylterahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 794.54 28.8 17 M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Cys-Gly metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 4.9 763.65 39.2 13 M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 288.71 20.5 8 M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eklaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 E	М	G6PI_CANAL	PGI1	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	61.6	6.0	1529.92	55.5	24
M DUG1_CANAL DUG1 Cys-Gly metallodipeptidase DUG1 53.8 4.9 763.65 39.2 13 M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hecokinase-2 53.8 5.3 288.71 20.5 8 M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 E	М	METE_CANAL	MET6	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase	85.8	5.3	794.54	28.8	17
M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 288.71 20.5 8 M FIMB_YEAST SAC6 Fimbrin (by homology) 71.9 5.3 228 5 4 M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 E M HXKB_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 143.07 12.5 8 M TPS1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EF12 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH H	М	DUG1_CANAL	DUG1	Cys-Gly metallodipeptidase DUG1	53.8	4.9	763.65	39.2	13
M FIMB_YEAST SAC6 Fimbrin (by homology) 71.9 5.3 228 5 4 M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryoti translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 E	М	HXKB_CANAL	HXK2	Hexokinase-2	53.8	5.3	288.71	20.5	8
M EIF3B_CANAL PRT1 Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B 84.4 6.0 104.39 10.4 4 B M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 343.07 12.5 8 M TPS1_CANAL TPS1 Alpha.alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 54.7 5.8 207.99 17.6 6 F M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAHI Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TK1_CANAL SKI1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW </td <td>М</td> <td>FIMB_YEAST</td> <td>SAC6</td> <td>Fimbrin (by homology)</td> <td>71.9</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>228</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td>	М	FIMB_YEAST	SAC6	Fimbrin (by homology)	71.9	5.3	228	5	4
E M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 343.07 12.5 8 M TPS1_CANAL TPS1 Alpha.alpha-trchalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 54.7 5.8 207.99 17.6 6 F M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP71_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL E	М	EIF3B_CANAL	PRT1	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3 subunit B	84.4	6.0	104.39	10.4	4
M HXKB_CANAL HXK2 Hexokinase-2 53.8 5.3 1657.64 63.2 20 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 343.07 12.5 8 M TPS1_CANAL TPS1 Alpha.alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 54.7 5.8 207.99 17.6 6 F M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P ES_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP7_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL SSA2	Е								
M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 343.07 12.5 8 M TPS1_CANAL TPS1 Alpha.alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 54.7 5.8 207.99 17.6 6 F M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G Enolase 1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA2	М	HXKB_CANAL	HXK2	Hexokinase-2	53.8	5.3	1657.64	63.2	20
M TPS1_CANAL TPS1 Alpha.alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming] 54.7 5.8 207.99 17.6 6 F M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EF12 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G M ENO1_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP71_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2	М	METE_CANAL	MET6	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase	85.8	5.3	343.07	12.5	8
F And ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G	М	TPS1_CANAL	TPS1	Alpha.alpha-trehalose-phosphate synthase [UDP-forming]	54.7	5.8	207.99	17.6	6
M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 986.45 55 14 P EF2_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G	F								
P EF2_CANAL EFT2 Elongation factor 2 93.9 6.0 848.15 30 20 M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G	М	ENO1_CANAL	ENO1	Enolase 1	47.2	5.4	986.45	55	14
M SAHH_CANAL SAH1 Adenosylhomocysteinase 49.7 5.3 496.76 25.3 8 M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G F HSP71_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltet	Р	EF2_CANAL	EFT2	Elongation factor 2	93.9	6.0	848.15	30	20
M TKT1_CANAX TKT1 Transketolase 1 73.8 5.5 329.79 18.6 6 P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G	М	SAHH_CANAL	SAH1	Adenosylhomocysteinase	49.7	5.3	496.76	25.3	8
P HSP75_CANAW SSB1 Heat shock protein SSB1 66.6 5.3 132 6 2 G P HSP71_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M <t< td=""><td>М</td><td>TKT1_CANAX</td><td>TKT1</td><td>Transketolase 1</td><td>73.8</td><td>5.5</td><td>329.79</td><td>18.6</td><td>6</td></t<>	М	TKT1_CANAX	TKT1	Transketolase 1	73.8	5.5	329.79	18.6	6
G P HSP71_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	Р	HSP75_CANAW	SSB1	Heat shock protein SSB1	66.6	5.3	132	6	2
P HSP71_CANAL SSA1 Heat shock protein SSA1 70.5 4.9 1234.63 40.1 21 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	G								
M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 1213.70 55 15 P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	Р	HSP71_CANAL	SSA1	Heat shock protein SSA1	70.5	4.9	1234.63	40.1	21
P HSP72_CANAL SSA2 Heat shock protein SSA2 70.2 4.8 1144.94 36.9 19 H H H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M ALF_CANAL GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	М	ENO1_CANAL	ENO1	Enolase 1	47.2	5.4	1213.70	55	15
H M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	Р	HSP72_CANAL	SSA2	Heat shock protein SSA2	70.2	4.8	1144.94	36.9	19
M ALF_CANAL FBA1 Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase 39.4 5.7 1053.19 67.1 13 M GRP2_CANAL GRP2 Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase 37.6 6.0 679.31 73 16 M METE_CANAL MET6 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase 85.8 5.3 334.46 18.3 6 M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	Н								
MGRP2_CANALGRP2Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase37.66.0679.317316MMETE_CANALMET65-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase85.85.3334.4618.36MIPYR_CANALIPP1Inorganic pyrophosphatase32.25.0322.6953.59MENO1_CANALENO1Enolase 147.25.4319.9820.76	М	ALF_CANAL	FBA1	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	39.4	5.7	1053.19	67.1	13
MMETE_CANALMET65-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase85.85.3334.4618.36MIPYR_CANALIPP1Inorganic pyrophosphatase32.25.0322.6953.59MENO1_CANALENO1Enolase 147.25.4319.9820.76	М	GRP2_CANAL	GRP2	Putative NADPH-dependent methylglyoxalreductase	37.6	6.0	679.31	73	16
M IPYR_CANAL IPP1 Inorganic pyrophosphatase 32.2 5.0 322.69 53.5 9 M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	М	METE_CANAL	MET6	5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate- homocysteine methyltransferase	85.8	5.3	334.46	18.3	6
M ENO1_CANAL ENO1 Enolase 1 47.2 5.4 319.98 20.7 6	М	IPYR_CANAL	IPP1	Inorganic pyrophosphatase	32.2	5.0	322.69	53.5	9
	М	ENO1_CANAL	ENO1	Enolase 1	47.2	5.4	319.98	20.7	6

Ι									
М	ENO1_CANAL	ENO1	Enolase 1	47.2	5.4	1630.2	54.8	17	
Р	EF2_CANAL	EFT2	Elongation factor 2	93.9	6.0	901.60	20.4	13	
М	EBP1_CANAX	EBP1	Probable NADPH dehydrogenase	46.1	6.0	357.44	19.9	6	
М	G6PI_CANAL	PGI1	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	61.1	6.0	339.31	18.4	6	
CW	BGL2_CANAX	BGL2	Glucan 1,3-beta-glucosidase	33.7	4.4	317.77	23.4	5	
J									
М	PMGY_CANAL	GPM1	Phosphoglycerate mutase	27.2	5.8	1046.77	64.1	16	
М	ENO1_CANAL	ENO1	Enolase 1	47.2	5.4	1040.89	40.9	11	
М	TPIS_CANAL	TPI1	Triosephosphate isomerase	26.9	5.7	701.58	63.7	10	
М	TKT1_CANAX	TKT1	Transketolase 1	73.8	5.5	454.48	14.8	6	
М	HXKB_CANAL	HXK2	Hexokinase-2	53.8	5.3	346.53	17.8	6	

with the signal peptide responsible for participation in the classical pathway of secretion through the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus, and some have the glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) attached during post-translational modification, which anchors the protein to the cell membrane (Chaffin, 2008). Example of proteins which possess both a signal peptide and a GPI anchor include Cht2p, an important enzyme for the remodelling of chitin (McCreath, Specht, and Robbins, 1995), and glycosidase Phr2p. Both these proteins are involved in fungal cell wall biosynthesis and maintenance. Another identified protein with a similar function is 1,3-beta-glucosyltransferase Bgl2p; however, this protein has the signal peptide, but is devoid of the GPI anchor. The typical fungal adhesin Als2p, which is a GPI-linked protein (De Groot, Hellingwerf, and Klis, 2003), plays a significant role in adhesion and biofilm formation (Hoyer, 2001); therefore, it is significantly involved in fungal virulence. The last, but not least identified virulence factor was Plb1p, an enzyme secreted from the hyphal tip during the infection of host tissues and involved in host cell penetration (Ghannoum, 2000).

The most abundantly represented group contained proteins responsible for cellular metabolism, often with the expected cytoplasmic localization and evolutionarily conserved functions in terms of glycolysis and gluconeogenesis or other metabolic cycles (Fig. 3). Nevertheless, they are also frequently localized on the cell surface with quite different assigned functions. Therefore, this group of proteins is often called "moonlighting proteins" (Chaffin, 2008). Their secretion occurs through still unrecognized export pathways (Nombela, Gil, and Chaffin, 2006). It should be emphasized that within this group many proteins possessing antigenic functions have been observed, e.g., Eno1p, Met6p, Pgi1p, Hxk2p, Sah1p, Tkt1p, Fba1p, Grp2p, Ipp2p, Gpm1p, and Tpi1p (Fernández-Arenas et al., 2004; Pardo et al., 2000; Pitarch et al., 2004).

The second largest identified group contained proteins involved in protein synthesis and folding. In addition to translation and elongation factors, this group also comprised the heat shock proteins from the Hsp70 family: Ssb1p, Ssa1p, and Ssa2p. The latter is present at the cell surface as well as at the outer surface of the plasma membrane, extending through the cell wall and, occasionally, appearing to reach the cell surface via channels (López-Ribot, Alloush, Masten, and Chaffin, 1996).

Finally, the two last excised bands (I, J) contained products of the proteolytic degradation of larger identified proteins. Proteolytic processing can also be proposed as an explanation of the presence of proteins with a theoretically larger molecular mass in bands of smaller apparent molecular mass, although it is conceivable that some proteins might be composed of subunits. On the other hand, some proteins of lower molecular mass can be identified in higher molecular mass bands, possibly owing to the formation of poorly dissociable aggregates.

Discussion

Among currently used antifungal drugs, four main groups can be distinguished, based on their mechanism of action and specific target localization within the fungal cell. Polyene and azole antifungals target ergosterol located in the cell membrane, echinocandins inhibit the synthesis of glucan in the cell wall and 5-fluorocytosine inhibits the synthesis of nucleic acids in the nucleus (Sanglard & White, 2006). At the moment, no commonly used drug is directed against proteins exposed at the fungal cell surface, which often play a substantial role in interactions with the host by spreading pathogens within an organism and developing infection. The most recent suggestions for new proteinaceous targets in the candidial cell wall have emerged from studies on the effects of potential drugs on the expression and exposition at the hyphal surface of a few important proteins responsible for biofilm formation and adhesion to the host. These mainly include Hwp1p (hyphal cell wall protein) and Als3p proteins. For instance, it has been shown that phorbasin H, a diterpene acid of a bisabolanerelated skeletal class isolated from the marine sponge Phorbas sp., is able to decrease hypha-specific HWP1 and ALS3 mRNAs (Lee et al., 2013). Furthermore, strategies based on either suppressing the expression of these proteins via the activity of cis-2-dodecenoic acid (BDSF, a diffusible signal factor from Burkholderia cenocepacia) and trans-2dodecenoic acid (trans-BDSF) (Zhang et al., 2011) or the down-regulation of ALS3 genes in response to the addition of EFG1-specific 19-nucleotide siRNA (Efg1p is the main positive regulating transcription factor) (Moazeni et al.,

2012) offer a promising approach to prevent fungal adhesion and further development of infections. In contrast, there is a lack of corresponding data in relation to Als2p, another protein from the agglutinin-like sequence protein family identified in this work at the cell surface of unicellular forms of *C. albicans* and engaged in interactions with human epithelial and endothelial cells (Sandovsky-Losica, Chauhan, Calde-rone and Segal, 2006; Zhao, Oh, Yeater and Hoyer, 2005). Therefore, further investigations and search for substances capable of interfering with Als2p functions might be a promising way to counter disseminated candidiasis, since unicellular forms of yeasts are responsible for the spread of pathogens within a host organism.

Discovering safe, fast and effective methods for the prevention of fungal infections, especially in the field of vaccinations, is another problem. Advanced technologies, such as the LC-MS/MS technique with proteomic tools, may be useful instruments in the search for new potential targets of immunization. Nowadays, a few major proteinaceous candidates for vaccines are under consideration, e.g., secreted aspartic proteinase 2 (Sap2p), Als3p, Hsp90, Eno1p and Tpi1p (Vecchiarelli et al., 2012; Fernández-Arenas et al., 2004). However, continued identification of new proteins exposed at the fungal cell surface is the key challenge in the development of novel methods for the treatment of candidiasis. Preclinical studies in mice and on-going clinical trials have established that Als3 (the main antigen in the NDV-3 investigational vaccine) can induce a protective immune response against C. albicans. As has been mentioned, several proteins identified in our study, including Eno1p, Met6p, Pgi1p, Hxk2p, Sah1p, and Fba1p, show antigenic functions, so they might act as potential vaccination targets to be tested in the future. A quite promising candidate seems to be enolase, identified at the surface of C. albicans single cells, which could potentially be used as a construct for an oral vaccine against candidiasis (Montagnoli et al., 2004; Shibasaki et al., 2013).

A number of proteomic studies have already been conducted for the above-mentioned purposes, although with the use of different extraction methods than the one used in this work, in which native and functional proteins are obtained, enabling their further functional analysis. The total number of proteins extracted from fungal cell walls reported by different groups varies greatly depending not only on the protein isolation method employed, but also on the method and type of mass spectrometer used to analyse peptides obtained after tryptic digestion. One previously used approach comprised the direct digestion of exposed fungal proteins during a short treatment of intact cells with trypsin, followed by peptide separation and identification with the use of nano-LC, off-line MS/MS and MALDI TOF/TOF instruments (Hernáez et al., 2010; Vialás et al., 2012). Vialás et al. identified a total of 131 proteins among all tested conditions and different morphological forms: 38 proteins specific only to yeast cell forms,

proteins for biofilm. In these groups of proteins, some proteins were also found in our study, e.g., Cht2p, Bgl2p, Eno1p, Gpm1p and Met6p, which definitely confirms their presence in the cell wall. Interestingly, among the proteins identified by Vialás et al., there was a large group, including ribosomal proteins, involved in the synthesis of other proteins. However, their role on the cell surface is so far unclear. Another approach involves the preparation of cell walls by breaking the whole hyphal cells or unicellular yeast forms with glass beads, followed by extraction of cytoplasmic contaminants and tryptic digestion of the proteins remaining within the cell wall and analysis with an ApexQ FT ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer equipped with a 7T magnet and a CombiSource coupled to an Ultimate 3000 HPLC system (Heilman et al., 2011). This assay allowed for the identification of 21 GPI-linked proteins, including Cht2p and Als2p. In this study, adhesin Als2p was also identified at the surface of yeast cells. Given that adhesins are significant in terms of the C. albicans virulence mechanisms, identification of their localization and function appears to be essential for the discussion and design of their further use in the treatment of candidiasis. It is worth pointing out that many proteins which were originally assigned to the group responsible for metabolism ("moonlighting proteins") and non-covalently attached to the cell wall are also involved in the adherence to host proteins and cells and particular ligands are identified for them. A good example of these proteins is enolase, which is abundant at the surface of many types of prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells and is responsible for plasminogen and kininogen binding (Jong et al., 2003; Seweryn, Pietkiewicz, Szamborska, and Gamian, 2007; Karkowska-Kuleta et al., 2011). Moreover, another protein we identified, Fba1p, was indicated in a screening for putative cell wall proteins that bind to human plasminogen (Crowe et al., 2003). In addition, Gpm1p is described as a cell surface protein that binds host complement Factor H, FHL-1, plasminogen and kininogen (Poltermann et al., 2007; Karkowska-Kuleta et al., 2011). The ability possessed by pathogens to hijack and activate important proteolytic cascades responsible for homeostasis in a host organism, i.e. the fibrinolysis system and the contact (kinin-forming) system, and to affect this directly through their secreted proteinases or other surfaceconnected components involved in the adhesion to different host proteins can facilitate invasion and promote disease. Thus, our results shed some light on the important problem of the identification of native and functional proteinaceous components of cell walls derived from unicellular morphological forms of *Candida* spp., participating in the first stages of infection and invasion. This study is also part of a current trend in the search for and identification of the individual constituents of the cell wall in order to help create a complete picture of this important compartment of fungal cells.

26 specific proteins for hyphae forms and three specific

In conclusion, we have established a strategy to study the cell wall proteome of Candida albicans yeast cells, but this approach could be successfully applied to other, "nonalbicans" Candida species and different morphological forms, e.g. hyphae and pseudohyphae. A major advantage and novelty of this method is that it offers a possibility to perform further studies on the relationships between particular cell wall proteins and their functions through simultaneous analyses with the use of other techniques. After fractionation of cell wall proteins with different chromatographic techniques, particular sets of identified proteins originating from the same sample and from the same cell culture might be useful for testing its ability to interact with a variety of host proteins, i.e. kininogen or extracellular matrix proteins. For these purposes different experimental methods can be employed, e.g. enzyme-linked ligand sorbent assays (ELLSA), ligand blotting or label-free interaction analyses via surface plasmon resonance measurement systems. This enables a major current challenge to be tackled, i.e. the discovery of new targets for the development of antifungal drugs and effective vaccines.

References

- 1. Bradford, M.M. (1976). A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgramquantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, *72*, 248–54.
- Castillo, L., Calvo, E., Martínez, A.I., Ruiz-Herrera, J., Valentín, E., Lopez, J.A., & Sentandreu, R. (2008). A study of the *Candida albicans* cell wall proteome. *Proteomics*, 8(18), 3871-3881. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200800110.
- Chaffin, W.L. (2008). *Candida albicans* cell wall proteins. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 72(3), 495–544. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00032-07.
- Crowe, J.D., Sievwright, I.K., Auld, G.C., Moore, N.R., Gow, N.A., & Booth, N.A. (2003). *Candida albicans* binds human plasminogen: identification of eight plasminogen-binding proteins. *Molecular Microbiology*, 47(6), 1637-1651.
- De Groot, P.W., Hellingwerf, K.J., & Klis, F.M. (2003). Genome-wide identification of fungal GPI proteins. *Yeast, 20*(9), 781-796.
- Fernández-Arenas, E., Molero, G., Nombela, C., Diez-Orejas, R., & Gil, C. (2004). Low virulent strains of *Candida albicans*: unravelling the antigens for a future vaccine. *Proteomics*, 4(10), 3007-3020.
- 7. Ghannoum, M.A. (2000). Potential role of phospholipases in virulence and fungal pathogenesis. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *13*(1), 122-143.
- Heilmann, C.J., Sorgo, A.G., Siliakus, A.R., Dekker, H.L., Brul, S., de Koster, C.G., de Koning, L.J., & Klis, F.M. (2011). Hyphal induction in the human fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* reveals a characteristic wall protein profile. *Microbiology*, 157(Pt 8), 2297-2307. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.049395-0.
- Hernáez, M.L., Ximénez-Embún, P., Martínez-Gomariz, M., Gutiérrez-Blázquez, M.D., Nombela, C., & Gil, C. (2010). Identification of *Candida albicans* exposed surface proteins in vivo by a rapid proteomic approach. *Journal of Proteomics*, 73(7), 1404-1409. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2010.02.008.
- 10. Hoyer, L.L. (2001). The ALS gene family of *Candida albicans*. *Trends in Microbiology*, *9*(4), 176-180.

- Jong, A.Y., Chen, S.H., Stins, M.F., Kim, K.S., Tuan, T.L., & Huang, S.H. (2003). Binding of *Candida albicans* enolase to plasmin(ogen) results in enhanced invasion of human brain microvascular endothelial cells. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 52(Pt 8), 615-622.
- Karkowska-Kuleta, J., Kedracka-Krok, S., Rapala-Kozik, M., Kamysz, W., Bielinska, S., Karafova, A., & Kozik, A. (2011). Molecular determinants of the interaction between human high molecular weight kininogen and *Candida albicans* cell wall: Identification of kininogen-binding proteins on fungal cell wall and mapping the cell wall-binding regions on kininogen molecule. *Peptides*, 32(12), 2488-2496. doi: 10.1016/ j.peptides.2011.10.021.
- Karkowska-Kuleta, J., Kozik, A., & Rapala-Kozik, M. (2010). Binding and activation of the human plasma kinin-forming system on the cell walls of *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis. Biological Chemistry*, 391(1), 97–103. doi: 10.1515/BC. 2009.145.
- Laemmli, U.K. (1970). Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. *Nature*, 227 (5259), 680 – 685.
- Lee S.H., Jeon J.E., Ahn C.H., Chung S.C., Shin J., Oh K.B. (2013). Inhibition of yeast-to-hypha transition in *Candida albicans* by phorbasin H isolated from *Phorbas* sp. *Applied Microbiological Biotechnology*, 97(7), 3141-3148. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-4549-3.
- López-Ribot, J.L., Alloush, H.M., Masten, B.J., & Chaffin, W.L. (1996). Evidence for presence in the cell wall of Candida albicans of a protein related to the hsp70 family. *Infection and Immunity*, 64(8), 3333-3340.
- McCreath, K.J., Specht, C.A., & Robbins, P.W. (1995). Molecular cloning and characterization of chitinase genes from *Candida albicans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* of the United States of America, 92(7), 2544-2448.
- Moazeni M., Khorramizadeh M.R., Teimoori-Toolabi L., Noorbakhsh F., Fallahi A.A., Rezaie S. (2012). Down-regulation of the ALS3 gene as a consequent effect of RNA-mediated silencing of the EFG1 gene in *Candida albicans. Iran Biomedical Journal*, 16(4): 172-178.
- Montagnoli C., Sandini S., Bacci A., Romani L., La Valle R. 2004. Immunogenicity and protective effect of recombinant enolase of *Candida albicans* in a murine model of systemic candidiasis. *Medical Mycology* 42:319-324.
- Nawrot, U., Pajączkowska, M., Fleischer, M., Przondo-Mordarska, H., Samet, A., Piasecka-Pazik, D., Komarnicka, J., Sulik-Tyszka, B., Swoboda-Kopeć, E., Cieślik, J., Mikucka, A. Gospodarek, E., Ozorowski, T., Mól, A., Tryniszewska, E., Kłosowska, W., Krawczyk, M., Golec, K., Szymaniak, L., Giedrys-Kalemba, S., Bilska, I., Prawda-Zołotar, J., Juszczyk-Grudzińska, M., Wróblewska, M., & Burdynowski, K. (2013). Candidaemia in polish hospitals - a multicentre survey. *Mycoses*, 56(5), 576-81. doi: 10.1111/myc.12077.
- 21. Nombela, C., Gil, C., & Chaffin, W.L. (2006). Nonconventional protein secretion in yeast. *Trends in Microbiology*, 14(1), 15–21.
- Pardo, M., Ward, M., Pitarch, A., Sánchez, M., Nombela, C., Blackstock, W., & Gil, C. (2000). Cross-species identification of novel *Candida albicans* immunogenic proteins by combination of two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and mass spectrometry. *Electrophoresis*, 21(13), 2651-2659.
- 23. Pitarch, A., Abian, J., Carrascal, M., Sánchez, M., Nombela, C., & Gil, C. (2004). Proteomics-based identification of novel *Candida albicans* antigens for diagnosis of systemic candidiasis

in patients with underlying hematological malignancies. *Proteomics*, 4(10), 3084-3106.

- Pitarch, A., Nombela, C., & Gil, C. (2008). Cell wall fractionation for yeast and fungal proteomics. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 425, 217-239. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-210-0_19.
- Poltermann, S., Kunert, A., von der Heide, M., Eck, R., Hartmann, A., & Zipfel, P.F. (2007). Gpm1p is a factor H-, FHL-1-, and plasminogen-binding surface protein of *Candida albicans. Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282(52), 37537-37544.
- Sandovsky-Losica H., Chauhan N., Calderone R., Segal E. (2006) Gene transcription studies of *Candida albicans* following infection of HEp2 epithelial cells. *Medical Mycology*, 44(4):329-334.
- Sanglard, D., & White, T.C. (2006). Molecular principles of antifungal drug resistance. In J. Heitman, S.G. Filler, J.E. Jr Edwards & A.P. Mitchell (Eds.), *Molecular principles of fungal pathogenesis* (pp.197-212). Washington DC, USA: ASM Press.
- Seweryn, E., Pietkiewicz, J., Szamborska, A., & Gamian, A. (2007). [Enolase on the surface of prockaryotic and eukaryotic cells is a receptor for human plasminogen]. *Postepy Higieny i Medycyny Doswiadczalnej (Online)*, 15, 672-682.
- Shibasaki S., Aoki W., Nomura T., Miyoshi A., Tafuku S., Sewaki T., Ueda M. (2013). An oral vaccine against candidiasis generated by a yeast molecular display system. *Pathogens and Disease*, 69(3):262-268. doi: 10.1111/2049-632X.12068.

- Vecchiarelli, A., Pericolini, E., Gabrielli, E., & Pietrella, D. (2012). New approaches in the development of a vaccine for mucosal candidiasis: progress and challenges. *Frontiers of Microbiology*, *3*, 294. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00294. eCollection 2012.
- Vialás, V., Perumal, P., Gutierrez, D., Ximénez-Embún, P., Nombela, C., Gil, C., & Chaffin, W.L. (2012). Cell surface shaving of *Candida albicans* biofilms, hyphae, and yeast form cells. *Proteomics*, 12(14), 2331-2339, doi: 10.1002/pmic. 201100588.
- 32. Wu, S.L., Hühmer, A.F., Hao, Z., & Karger, B.L. (2007). Online LC-MS approach combining collision-induced dissociation (CID), electron-transfer dissociation (ETD), and CID of an isolated charge-reduced species for the trace-level characterization of proteins with post-translational modifications. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 6(11), 4230-4244.
- Zhang Y., Cai C., Yang Y., Weng L., Wang L. (2011) Blocking of *Candida albicans* biofilm formation by cis-2-dodecenoic acid and trans-2-dodecenoic acid. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, *60*(Pt 11):1643-1650. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.029058-0.
- Zhao X., Oh S.H., Yeater K.M., Hoyer L.L. (2005) Analysis of the *Candida albicans* Als2p and Als4p adhesins suggests the potential for compensatory function within the Als family. *Microbiology, 151*(Pt 5):1619-1630.