VARIATIONAL CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR EIGENFUNCTIONS OF ANALYTIC SELF-ADJOINT OPERATOR FUNCTIONS

Georgios Katsouleas and John Maroulas

Communicated by Andrei Shkalikov

Abstract. In this paper we consider Rellich's diagonalization theorem for analytic self-adjoint operator functions and investigate variational principles for their eigenfunctions and interlacing statements. As an application, we present a characterization for the eigenvalues of hyperbolic operator polynomials.

Keywords: operator functions, eigenfunctions, eigenvalues, variational principles.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A22, 15A18, 47A56, 47A75, 49R50.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let an operator function $P(\lambda)$ defined on an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, whose values are linear operators acting in a Hilbert space H. Operator functions in general may be analytic, smooth or nonsmooth. Special classes include polynomial functions $P(\lambda)$ $\sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} A_{j}$, where A_{j} , $j = 0, \ldots, m$, are operators.

In this paper we are concerned with the development of variational theory for analytic self-adjoint operator functions $P(\lambda)$, i.e. $P(\lambda) = P^*(\lambda)$, of the spectral parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ in a Hilbert space of finite dimension $(dim\mathcal{H}=n)$ with domain $\mathcal{D}(P)=\mathcal{H}$. It is well known by Rellich's theorem [5, p.394] that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $P(\lambda)$ is diagonalizable for all λ and precisely that there exists scalar analytic functions $\mu_1(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_n(\lambda)$ and a unitary operator function $U(\lambda)$ in H , which possess the property

$$
P(\lambda) = U(\lambda) diag(\mu_1(\lambda), \dots, \mu_n(\lambda)) U^*(\lambda).
$$
 (1.1)

In (1.1), the *eigenfunctions* $\mu_k(\lambda)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, are the roots of the equation

$$
det (I\mu - P(\lambda)) = \mu^{n} + p_{1}(\lambda)\mu^{n-1} + \ldots + p_{n-1}(\lambda)\mu + p_{n}(\lambda) = 0,
$$
 (1.2)

c AGH University of Science and Technology Press, Krakow 2013 307

where the coefficients $p_k(\lambda)$ are functions of the real variable λ and the columns $u_k(\lambda)$ of $U(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} u_1(\lambda) & \dots & u_n(\lambda) \end{bmatrix}$ are eigenvectors of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to $\mu_k(\lambda)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Due to $P(\lambda)$ being self-adjoint, the analytic eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$ are real and are written as power series of $\lambda - \lambda_0$ in a neighbourhood of λ_0 :

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = a_{k,0} + a_{k,1}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + a_{k,2}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + \dots,
$$
\n(1.3)

where $a_{k,i} \in \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k = 1, \ldots, n$. In the case where $\mu_k(\lambda)$ are polynomials of degree 1 at most, the pencil $P(\lambda)$ has the property L (see [14]) and several results for this case are presented in [4, 12] and [13].

Our approach is to study variational principles for the eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$ according to a suitable order for real analytic functions, which lead to corresponding properties of the spectrum $\sigma(P) = {\lambda : P(\lambda)$ not invertible ${\lambda : \mu_k(\lambda) = 0}$ for some k of $P(\lambda)$. These characterizations have not been presented in the subject's literature. despite the fact that Binding et al. [2] and more recently Eschwe-M. Langer [3] studied the roots $\lambda = \rho(x)$ of the functions $\pi_x(\lambda) = \langle P(\lambda)x, x \rangle$, when these are unique for each nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and led to the characterization of the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ through min-max expressions. In our paper we consider forms $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle$, where $x(\lambda)$ is an analytic vector valued function of the real variable λ . It is clear that this approach is more general, since it does not include only constant vectors $x \in \mathcal{H}$. In particular, an eigenvector $u(\lambda)$ in Rellich's Theorem is independent of λ only in the trivial case where $\mu(\lambda) \equiv 0$. Moreover, if $u(\lambda)$ is a unit eigenvector of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to eigenfunction $\mu(\lambda)$, and $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(P)$, then $\langle P(\lambda_0)u(\lambda_0), u(\lambda_0)\rangle = \mu(\lambda_0) = 0$, where upon according to the theory in [2,3] we have $\pi_{x_0}(\lambda_0) = 0$ for $x_0 = u(\lambda_0)$, or equivalently that $\lambda_0 = u^{-1}(x_0) \equiv \rho(x_0)$. This gives an important motivation for consideration and study of the eigenfunctions, which we characterize through variational principles.

It is necessary to introduce an order for the eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$. This can be attained via the lexicographic ordering of the infinite series of coefficients $\mu_k =$ $(a_{k,0}, a_{k,1}, \ldots), k = 1, \ldots, n$, in the analytic expressions (1.3) of $\mu_k(\lambda)$ in a neighbourhood of λ_0 . More specifically we say:

$$
\mu_i(\lambda) \prec \mu_j(\lambda) \Leftrightarrow \mu_i \stackrel{l}{\prec} \mu_j \Leftrightarrow
$$

\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \text{ there exists } \sigma \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that for all } \ell \in \{0, 1, \dots, \sigma - 1\} \qquad (1.4)
$$

\nwe have $a_{i,\ell} = a_{j,\ell} \text{ and } a_{i,\sigma} < a_{j,\sigma}.$

At this point it should be stressed that a clear distinction between the symbols \preceq and \leq should be made. The relation $\mu_i(\lambda) \leq \mu_i(\lambda)$ holds independently of λ and does not imply $\mu_i(\lambda) \leq \mu_j(\lambda)$ for arbitrary λ . For example, the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(\lambda) = \lambda$ and $\mu_2(\lambda) = 3 - \lambda$ satisfy $\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda)$, but $\mu_1(\lambda) \leq \mu_2(\lambda)$ is not true for all λ .

Notice that the above mentioned ordering of the coefficients yields a *total* order on the set of analytic functions. Indeed, suppose that $f(\lambda) = \sum a_k(\lambda - \lambda_0)^k$ is a nonzero analytic function with $a_p > 0$ being the first nonzero coefficient in the series. Apparently, as $\lambda \to \lambda_0^+$, the limit of $f(\lambda)/(\lambda - \lambda_0)^p$ is positive and f is positive in some right neighbourhood of λ_0 . Therefore for two distinct real analytic functions $f(\lambda)$ and $g(\lambda)$ of a real variable λ the relation $f \prec g$ in the lexicographic sense for their power series means that f is below g in a right open neighbourhood of λ_0 , i.e. that $f(\lambda) < g(\lambda)$ in (λ_0, ϵ) for some $\epsilon > 0$. If two analytic functions f and g coincide on any interval, then they must coincide over the whole real axis. So, given two real analytic functions that do not coincide, one is greater than the other on a right neighbourhood of λ_0 . Hence, by (1.4) we may have an order of eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, of the operator function $P(\lambda)$ in a neighbourhood of λ_0 and let

$$
\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \preceq \mu_n(\lambda). \tag{1.5}
$$

In the next section we provide the necessary theoretical background on the spectral analysis of operator functions and, more specifically, polynomial functions in a finite dimensional space H . The main aim of this paper is to generalize in Section 3 the variational principles for the analytic eigenfunctions of self-adjoint operator functions, according to the lexicographic order. Then we may reform known interlacing inequalities for eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators in [1,10]. This is attained showing a relation of the lexicographic order to the convexity and a characteristic expression of eigenfunctions as sup or inf of the quantity $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle$ for suitable unit vectors $x(\lambda)$. The variational principles for eigenfunctions are then connected with the classical Courant-Fischer principle for eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators and are applied to prove variational formulae for the eigenvalues of hyperbolic polynomial operators.

In Section 4 an interaction of the eigenfunctions of $P(\lambda)$ and those of its restriction on a (closed) subspace is presented, as well as some relations between the eigenfunctions of operator functions $P_1(\lambda)$ and $P_2(\lambda)$ and those of their difference $R(\lambda) = P_1(\lambda) - P_2(\lambda).$

2. SOME PRELIMINARIES ON THE SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF OPERATOR POLYNOMIALS

Let the operator polynomial of the form $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} A_j$, where A_j , $j = 0, \ldots, m$, are operators in H and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. A scalar $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an *eigenvalue* of $P(\lambda)$ if $P(z_0)x_0 = 0$ for some nonzero $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$. This vector x_0 is called *right eigenvector* of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to z_0 . The set of all eigenvalues of the operator function $P(\lambda)$ is the spectrum $\sigma(P)$, i.e. $\sigma(P) = {\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \in \sigma(P(\lambda))}$, where $\sigma(P(\lambda))$ denotes the spectrum of the matrix $P(\lambda)$ for the value λ . In the finite dimensional case we are concerned with, the above definition is equivalent to $\sigma(P) = {\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : detP(\lambda) = 0}.$

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \sigma(P)$ be the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$. Suppose also that for a $\lambda_i \in \sigma(P)$ there exist vectors $x_{i,0}, x_{i,1}, \ldots, x_{i,s_i-1} \in \mathcal{H}$ with $x_{i,0} \neq 0$ that satisfy

$$
P(\lambda_i)x_{i,0} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\frac{P'(\lambda_i)}{1!}x_{i,0} + P(\lambda_i)x_{i,1} = 0,
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
\frac{P^{(s_i-1)}(\lambda_i)}{(s_i-1)!}x_{i,0} + \frac{P^{(s_i-2)}(\lambda_i)}{(s_i-2)!}x_{i,1} + \ldots + \frac{P'(\lambda_i)}{1!}x_{i,(s_i-2)} + P(\lambda_i)x_{i,(s_i-1)} = 0,
$$

\n(2.1)

where the indices denote the derivatives of $P(\lambda)$ and s_i is less than or equal to the algebraic multiplicity of λ_i . Then the vector $x_{i,0}$ is an eigenvector of λ_i and $x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \ldots, x_{i,(s_i-1)}$ are the *generalized eigenvectors* and constitute a *Jordan chain* of length s_i of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to λ_i (see [5]).

Hyperbolic polynomials form a widely studied class of self-adjoint polynomial functions (see [11]). These are defined by the conditions that the leading coefficient satisfies $A_m > 0$ and that the scalar polynomial $\pi_x(\lambda) := \langle P(\lambda)x, x \rangle$ defined for any nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$ has m real and distinct roots. Denote by $\{\rho_j(x)\}_{j=1}^m$ the roots of the polynomial $\pi_x(\lambda)$ indexed in nondecreasing order. The sets $\Delta_j := {\rho_j(x) : x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}}$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, are called *root zones*. Clearly each Δ_j is just the range of the functional $\rho_i(x)$ and is a nonempty interval. In this context, the notion of "eigenvalue types" is fundamental. A real number z_0 is said to have *definite (positive or negative) type* if the quadratic form $\pi'_x(z_0) = \langle P'(z_0)x, x \rangle$ is definite (positive or negative definite, respectively) on the kernel $KerP(\lambda_0)$. Equivalently, z_0 is of positive or negative type, if the function $\pi_x(\lambda)$ increases or decreases through z_0 respectively.

It is well known [11] that the root zones of hyperbolic polynomials are disjoint, i.e. $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. Therefore, there are some real eigenvalues $a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \ldots < a_m \leq b_m$ of $P(\lambda)$ such that each interval $\Delta_i = [a_i, b_i]$ contains exactly *n* eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ (including multiplicities) all of which are of the same (positive or negative) type. The eigenvalues in adjacent zones Δ_i , Δ_{i+1} $(j = 1, \ldots, m - 1)$ are of opposite type [9].

3. VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES FOR EIGENFUNCTIONS

In the following we consider the eigenfunctions $\{\mu_j(\lambda)\}_{j=1}^n$ ordered lexicographically according to their expansion around $\lambda_0 = 0$ in (1.3) and in nondecreasing order as in (1.5). We begin with a Lemma related to the convexity of a finite set of eigenfunctions, with respect to the lexicographic order. Denoting by $co\{... \}$ the convex hull of a set, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let the eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$ in (1.5) and $\mu(\lambda) \in co\{\mu_i(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_i(\lambda)\}\$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Then $\mu_i(\lambda) \preceq \mu(\lambda) \preceq \mu_i(\lambda)$.

Proof. We begin by proving that $\mu(\lambda) \preceq \mu_i(\lambda)$ for every $1 < j \leq n$. By induction, for j = 2 we have $\mu(\lambda) = t\mu_1(\lambda) + (1-t)\mu_2(\lambda)$, for $t \in [0,1]$. Then by (1.3) we obtain

$$
\mu(\lambda) = (ta_{1,0} + (1-t)a_{2,0}) + \lambda (ta_{1,1} + (1-t)a_{2,1}) + \ldots + \lambda^{\tau} (ta_{1,\tau} + (1-t)a_{2,\tau}) + \ldots
$$

If $\mu_1(\lambda) = \mu_2(\lambda)$ there is nothing to prove, so we may assume that $\mu_1(\lambda) \prec \mu_2(\lambda)$. Then by definition there exists an index $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_{1,p} < a_{2,p}$ and $a_{1,j} = a_{2,j}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, p - 1)$, so obviously $a_{1,j} = ta_{1,j} + (1 - t)a_{2,j} = a_{2,j}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, p - 1)$ and also

$$
a_{1,p} < ta_{1,p} + (1-t)a_{2,p} < a_{2,p}.
$$

Thus, $\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda)$. Following, we assume that for every $2 \leq j - 1 < n$, the relation

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} t_k \mu_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_{j-1}(\lambda),\tag{3.1}
$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} t_k = 1$, $t_k \in [0,1]$ holds true. If $\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} s_k \mu_k(\lambda)$ with $s_1, \ldots, s_j \in$ [0,1] and $\sum_{k=1}^{j} s_k = 1$, letting $t_k = s_k$ $(k = 1, \ldots, j - 2)$ and $t_{j-1} = s_{j-1} + s_j$, by (3.1) , we have

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} s_k \mu_k(\lambda) \preceq (1 - s_j) \mu_{j-1}(\lambda) \preceq (1 - s_j) \mu_j(\lambda).
$$

Therefore, we receive $\mu(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{j} s_k \mu_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_j(\lambda)$.

Similarly, we conclude that $\mu(\lambda) \succeq \mu_i(\lambda)$, which completes the proof.

$$
\Box
$$

Since any unit vector $x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{H}$ is expressed as $x(\lambda) = U(\lambda)[x_1 \dots x_n]^T$, where $U(\lambda)$ is the unitary matrix with columns the eigenvectors of $P(\lambda)$, then $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k(\lambda)|^2 \mu_k(\lambda)$ holds and clearly for the quantity $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle$ we have

$$
\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \preceq \mu_n(\lambda),
$$

i.e. the set $\{\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle : x(\lambda)\in \mathcal{H}, ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1\}$ is bounded according to the lexicographic order.

The ordering for eigenfunctions $\mu_k(\lambda)$ and the remark above lead to the clarification of $\mu_k(\lambda)$ as sup-inf expressions, generalizing thus the variational principles for the eigenvalues of self-adjoint operators [1, 8].

Theorem 3.2. Let $P(\lambda)$ be an analytic self-adjoint operator function in Hilbert space H with dim $\mathcal{H} = n$ and let $\mu_k(\lambda)$ $(k = 1, ..., n)$ be its eigenfunctions arranged in nondecreasing order as in (1.5) according to their expansion in a neighbourhood of $\lambda_0 = 0$ in (1.3). Then

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\substack{S(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S(\lambda) = k}} \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda) \\ ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle =
$$
\n
$$
= \sup_{\substack{T(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim T(\lambda) = n - k + 1}} \inf_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in T(\lambda) \\ ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle.
$$
\n(3.2)

Proof. We follow analogue ideas as in the Courant-Fischer theorem. Let $\mathcal J$ be a subspace of H of dimension k and $\mathcal{T}_k(\lambda) \equiv span\{u_k(\lambda), \ldots, u_n(\lambda)\}\)$, where $u_i(\lambda)$ are the orthonormal eigenvectors of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenfunctions $\mu_i(\lambda)$, $(j = k, \ldots, n)$. Since $\mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T}_k(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ for every λ , let $x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J} \cap \mathcal{T}_k(\lambda)$, with $||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1$. Hence, $x(\lambda)$ may be expressed as

$$
x(\lambda) = \sum_{j=k}^{n} c_j u_j(\lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j=k}^{n} |c_j|^2 = 1
$$

and then

$$
\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle =
$$
\n
$$
= [\overline{c}_k \dots \overline{c}_n] \begin{bmatrix} u_k^*(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ u_n^*(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} P(\lambda) [u_k(\lambda) \dots u_n(\lambda)] \begin{bmatrix} c_k \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} =
$$
\n
$$
= [\overline{c}_k \dots \overline{c}_n] \begin{bmatrix} u_k^*(\lambda) \\ \vdots \\ u_n^*(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} U(\lambda) diag(\mu_1(\lambda), \dots, \mu_n(\lambda)) U^*(\lambda) [u_k(\lambda) \dots u_n(\lambda)] \begin{bmatrix} c_k \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} =
$$
\n
$$
= [\overline{c}_k \dots \overline{c}_n] [0_{n-k+1,k-1} I_{n-k+1}] diag(\mu_1(\lambda), \dots, \mu_n(\lambda)) \begin{bmatrix} 0_{k-1,n-k+1} \\ I_{n-k+1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_k \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} =
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=k}^n |c_j|^2 \mu_j(\lambda) \in co{\mu_k(\lambda), \dots, \mu_n(\lambda)}.
$$
\n(3.3)

Thus, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain $\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle$ and then

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J} \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle,
$$

whereupon, due to the subspace $\mathcal{J}(dim \mathcal{J} = k)$ being arbitrary,

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq \inf_{\substack{\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{J} = k}} \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J} \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda) x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle . \tag{3.4}
$$

A k-dimensional subspace is also $S_k(\lambda) \equiv span\{u_1(\lambda), \ldots, u_k(\lambda)\}\)$, i.e. we may have $\mathcal{J} \equiv \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda)$. Then for any unit vector $x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda)$ as before holds $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^k |c_j|^2 \mu_j(\lambda) \in co\{\mu_1(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_k(\lambda)\}.$ Thus, Lemma 3.1 implies $\langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle \preceq \mu_k(\lambda)$ and then

$$
\sup_{\substack{x(\lambda)\in S_k(\lambda)\\||x(\lambda)||_2=1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle \preceq \mu_k(\lambda).
$$

Choosing $x(\lambda) = u_k(\lambda)$, clearly we deduce that

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S_k(\lambda) \\ ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda) x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle.
$$

For this particular choice of subspace S_k , we get the equality in (3.4), i.e.

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\substack{S(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S(\lambda) = k}} \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda) x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle.
$$

We proceed in a similar way for the sup-inf characterization of $\mu_k(\lambda)$.

 \Box

Notice that the above proof shows that for the subspaces $S_k(\lambda)$ = $span\{u_1(\lambda),\ldots,u_k(\lambda)\}\$ and $\mathcal{T}_k(\lambda) = span\{u_k(\lambda),\ldots,u_n(\lambda)\}\$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$, actually holds

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle = \inf_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T}_k(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle. \tag{3.5}
$$

Remark 3.3. It is clear that Theorem 3.2 remains valid for the ordering of the eigenfunctions according to their expansion in a neighbourhood of any $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The intersections of the graphs of the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_n(\lambda)$ with the line $\lambda = \lambda_0$ define the eigenvalues $\{\mu_j(\lambda_0)\}_{j=1}^n$ of the self-adjoint operator $P(\lambda_0)$. In this case, by the lexicographic order of the eigenfunctions according to their power series expressions around λ_0

$$
\mu_j(\lambda) = a_{j,0} + a_{j,1}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + a_{j,2}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + \dots, \quad j = 1, \dots, n
$$

we get $\mu_j(\lambda_0) = \alpha_{j,0}$ and clearly the lexicographic order $\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \preceq \mu_n(\lambda)$ is compatible with the order $\mu_1(\lambda_0) \leq \mu_2(\lambda_0) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n(\lambda_0)$ of the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda_0)$. Hence, setting $\lambda = \lambda_0$ and substituting min for inf and max for sup in the variational principles of Theorem 3.2, turns these lexicographic equalities into arithmetic ones, i.e. to the classical variational principles for the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator $P(\lambda_0)$.

In the case when $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} A_j \lambda^j$ is a selfadjoint operator polynomial with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, an alternate description of the spectrum in terms of the eigenfunctions is

$$
\sigma(P) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ such that } \mu_j(\lambda) = 0 \},
$$

since all eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ are defined as the intersection of the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(\lambda), \mu_2(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_n(\lambda)$ with the real axis. With respect to the eigenvector $u_k(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenfunction $\mu_k(\lambda)$ according to the analytic property in R (Rellich's theorem), we may consider the power series expansion around λ_0 :

$$
u_k(\lambda) = u_{k,0} + u_{k,1}(\lambda - \lambda_0) + u_{k,2}(\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 + \dots
$$
 (3.6)

We recall that a vector-valued function $x(\lambda)$ which is analytic in a neighbourhood of λ_0 should be called [6] *generating function* for $P(\lambda)$ of order p at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ if $P(\lambda)x(\lambda) = O(|\lambda - \lambda_0|^p).$

Proposition 3.4. Let $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} A_j \lambda^j$ be a self-adjoint operator polynomial with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and its eigenvalue $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(P)$ be a root of the eigenfunction $\mu_k(\lambda)$ for some $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with algebraic multiplicity s. Then $u_k(\lambda)$ is a generating function of $P(\lambda)$ of order s at λ_0 .

Proof. A vector-valued function $x(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_k(\lambda - \lambda_0)^j$ is a generating function for $P(\lambda)$ of order p at λ_0 [11, Lemma 11.3] if and only if x_0, \ldots, x_{p-1} constitute a Jordan chain of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to $\lambda = \lambda_0$. Therefore, it is enough to show that the coefficients $u_{k,0}, u_{k,1}, \ldots, u_{k,(s-1)}$ in (3.6) constitute a Jordan chain corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 of $P(\lambda)$. Differentiating $u_k(\lambda)$ in (3.6) at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ we get

$$
u_k^{(t)}(\lambda_0) = t!u_{k,t}, \quad 0 \le t \le s - 1. \tag{3.7}
$$

Moreover, differentiating t times the equation $P(\lambda)u_k(\lambda) = \mu_k(\lambda)u_k(\lambda)$ at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ we have

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{t} {t \choose j} P^{(t-j)}(\lambda_0) u_k^{(j)}(\lambda_0) = \sum_{j=0}^{t} {t \choose j} \mu^{(t-j)}(\lambda_0) u_k^{(j)}(\lambda_0) = 0,
$$

since $\mu_k(\lambda_0) = \mu'_k(\lambda_0) = \ldots = \mu_k^{(s-1)}$ $\binom{(s-1)}{k}$ (λ_0) = 0. A combination of this relation with (3.7) shows that

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{t} \frac{t!}{(t-j)!} P^{(t-j)}(\lambda_0) u_{k,j} = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le s - 1.
$$
 (3.8)

Recalling the formula (2.1) for generalized eigenvectors, clearly by (3.8) we conclude that $u_{k,t} = x_t$ $(t = 0, 1, \ldots, s-1)$, where $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{s-1}\}$ is a Jordan chain corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 . П

Apparently by Proposition 3.4, if λ_i is a root of eigenfunctions $\mu_{i_1}(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_{i_k}(\lambda)$ with multiplicities s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_k} , then the generalized eigenvectors $x_{i,0} \in$ $span\{u_{i_1,0},\ldots,u_{i_k,0}\},\ldots,x_{i,s_r}\in span\{u_{i_1,s_r},\ldots,u_{i_k,s_r}\}\text{ with }s_r=\min\{s_{i_1},\ldots,s_{i_k}\}.$

We next turn our attention to hyperbolic operator polynomials $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} A_{j}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and use Theorem 3.2 to derive variational principles for their eigenvalues in terms of the roots $\{\rho_j(x)\}_{j=1}^m$ of the polynomials $\pi_x(\lambda) = \langle P(\lambda)x, x \rangle$. The characterizations in Proposition 3.6 extend those of Theorem 2.1 in [3] to include eigenvalues of hyperbolic operator polynomials. Here the polynomial $\pi_x(\lambda)$ has m distinct real roots and does not fulfill the assumptions in [3], where the authors consider that $\pi_x(\lambda)$ has at most a unique root for each nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$ or none at all. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Let the hyperbolic operator polynomial $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} A_{j}$ with root zones $\{\Delta_j^{\pm}\}_{j=1}^m$, where the sign denotes the type of the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ contained in each zone. Then for $\lambda \in \Delta_j^+$ (Δ_j^-) we have

$$
\lambda > \rho_j(x) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x(\lambda) = \langle P(\lambda)x, x \rangle > \langle < \rangle 0,
$$
\n
$$
\lambda < \rho_j(x) \Leftrightarrow \pi_x(\lambda) = \langle P(\lambda)x, x \rangle < \langle > \rangle 0,
$$

for every nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since $P(\lambda)$ is a hyperbolic operator polynomial, the leading coefficient $\langle A_m x, x \rangle$ of the scalar polynomial $\pi_x(\lambda)$ is positive for every nonzero $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, lim_{$\lambda \to -\infty$} $\pi_x(\lambda) = -\infty$, if m is odd and lim $\lambda \to -\infty$ $\pi_x(\lambda) = \infty$, if m is even. Hence, in the case m is odd (even), $\pi_x(\lambda)$ is increasing (decreasing) at $\rho_1(x)$ and moreover Δ_1^+ (Δ_1^-) contains eigenvalues of positive (negative) type. Since the eigenvalue types alternate, the general result follows in any case. \Box

We note that the above considerations allow us to specify the types of eigenvalues in adjacent root zones, i.e. if $m = 2k$, then Δ_j^- for $j = 2\ell + 1$ $(\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, k - 1)$ contain eigenvalues of negative type, while Δ_j^{\ddagger} for $j = 2\ell$ ($\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, k$) contain eigenvalues of positive type. For $m = 2k + 1$, the signs in the zones are interchanged. This characterization allows us to determine eigenvalues λ_i in each root zone Δ_j^{\pm} through min-max expressions.

Proposition 3.6. Let the hyperbolic operator polynomial $P(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} \lambda^{j} A_{j}$ with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{mn}$ in nondecreasing order. Then for an eigenvalue $\lambda_i \in \Delta_j^{\pm}$ $(j \in \{1, \ldots, m\})$ we have

$$
\lambda_i = \max_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{T} = n - k + 1}} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x) = \min_{\substack{S \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S = k}} \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S} \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x), \tag{3.9}
$$

where $i \equiv k \pmod{n}$ and $\rho_i(x)$ is the root of the polynomial $\pi_x(\lambda)$ that defines the root zone $\Delta_j^{\pm} = \{ \rho_j(x) : x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\} \}.$

Proof. For the characterization of λ_i in some root zone Δ_j^+ ($j \in \{1, ..., m\}$), consider the order of the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \preceq \mu_n(\lambda)$ according to their analytic expressions around λ_i . Recall that this order coincides with that of the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda_i)$, that is $\mu_1(\lambda_i) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n(\lambda_i)$. Since $i \equiv k \pmod{n}$, then the eigenvalues in nondecreasing order of the operator polynomial $P(\lambda)$ in Δ_j^+ that are not greater than $\lambda_i \equiv \lambda_{(j-1)n+k}$ (i.e. $\lambda_{(j-1)n+1} \leq \lambda_{(j-1)n+2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{(j-1)n+k-1}$) are roots of the eigenfunctions $\{\mu_{n-k+2}(\lambda), \ldots, \mu_n(\lambda)\}\$, since these are the only eigenfunctions that assume positive values at the point $\lambda = \lambda_i$. Clearly λ_i is root of $\mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda)$ and in particular

$$
\mu_1(\lambda_i) \leq \mu_2(\lambda_i) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_{n-k}(\lambda_i) \leq \mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) = 0 \leq \mu_{n-k+2}(\lambda_i) \leq \ldots \leq \mu_n(\lambda_i).
$$

As seen in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have the expression

$$
\mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda) = \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S_{n-k+1}(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle,
$$

where $S_{n-k+1}(\lambda) = span\{u_1(\lambda), \ldots, u_{n-k+1}(\lambda)\}\)$. Substituting $\lambda = \lambda_i$ yields

$$
0 = \mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) = \max_{\substack{x(\lambda_i) \in S_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) \\ ||x(\lambda_i)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda_i)x(\lambda_i), x(\lambda_i) \rangle = \max_{\substack{x \in S_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) \\ ||x||_2 = 1}} \pi_x(\lambda_i),
$$

which implies that $0 \geq \pi_x(\lambda_i)$ for every $x \in S_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i)$. Application of Lemma 3.5 shows that

$$
\lambda_i \le \rho_j(x) \text{ for every } x \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) \implies \lambda_i \le \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S}_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x)
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\lambda_i \le \max_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{T} = n - k + 1}} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x). \tag{3.10}
$$

 \Box

On the other hand, since for every $(n-k+1)$ -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H}$ we have that $\mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}_{n-k+1}(\lambda) \neq \{0\}$ for $\mathcal{T}_{n-k+1}(\lambda) = span\{u_{n-k+1}(\lambda), \ldots, u_n(\lambda)\}\$ and there exists some unit vector $\tilde{x}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{T}_{n-k+1}(\lambda)$ for which $\mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda) \preceq \langle P(\lambda)\tilde{x}(\lambda), \tilde{x}(\lambda)\rangle$ clearly holds. Hence, for $\lambda = \lambda_i$ we get

$$
0 = \mu_{n-k+1}(\lambda_i) \leq \langle P(\lambda_i)\tilde{x}(\lambda_i),\tilde{x}(\lambda_i)\rangle \leq \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ \|x\|_2 = 1}} \pi_x(\lambda_i).
$$

If for $x_0 \in \mathcal{T}$, max $x \in \mathcal{T}$ $||x||_2=1$ $\pi_x(\lambda_i)$ is attained, then Lemma 3.5 implies that $\lambda_i \ge \rho_j(x_0)$, whence we reach the conclusion

$$
\lambda_i \ge \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x) \implies \lambda_i \ge \max_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{T} = n - k + 1}} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ x \neq 0}} \rho_j(x). \tag{3.11}
$$

Clearly, by (3.10) and (3.11) , we have the first equality in (3.9) .

 $P(\lambda) = A - \lambda B$ (hence $B < 0$) yields the following corollary.

We proceed in a similar fashion for the remaining assertions.

Specialization of the previous Proposition 3.6 for hyperbolic linear polynomials

Corollary 3.7. For the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n$ of a hyperbolic pencil $P(\lambda) = A - \lambda B$ (where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $B < 0$) hold

$$
\lambda_i = \max_{\substack{\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{T} = n - i + 1}} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{T} \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle} = \min_{\substack{S \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S = i}} \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S} \\ x \neq 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,
$$

independently of their type.

Similarly, for a linear polynomial $P(\lambda) = A - \lambda B$ on R, with $B \ge 0$, A self-adjoint operators in the *n*-dimensional Hilbert space H , the variational principles in Theorem 3.2 may be applied to yield the following Proposition. For a self-adjoint operator A and each interval I we denote

 $\mathcal{L}_I(A) = span\{x : x \text{ is an eigenvector of } A \text{ corresponding to } \lambda \in \sigma(A) \cap I\}.$

Proposition 3.8. For the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_r$ of $P(\lambda) = A - \lambda B$, where A and $B \geq 0$ are self-adjoint operators in the n-dimensional Hilbert space H , hold

$$
\lambda_i = \min_{\substack{S \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S = k_i}} \max_{\substack{x \in S \\ \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle} = \max_{\substack{T \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim \mathcal{T} = n - k_i + 1}} \min_{\substack{x \in T \\ \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle},\tag{3.12}
$$

where $k_i = dim\mathcal{L}_{(-\infty,0]}(P(\lambda_i)).$

Proof. For the eigenvalue λ_i $(i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\})$ of $P(\lambda) = A - \lambda B$, consider the order of the eigenfunctions $\mu_1(\lambda) \preceq \mu_2(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \preceq \mu_n(\lambda)$ according to their analytic expressions around λ_i and supposing that λ_i is a root of $\mu_k(\lambda)$ for some

 $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. As before, for the subspace $\mathcal{S}_k(\lambda) = span\{u_1(\lambda), \ldots, u_k(\lambda)\}\$ we get that $0 = \mu_k(\lambda_i) = \max_{x \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda_i)}$ $||x||_2=1$ $\pi_x(\lambda_i) = \max_{x \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda_i)}$ $||x||_2=1$ $[\langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle Bx, x \rangle \lambda_i],$ whereby

$$
\lambda_i = \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda_i) \\ \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle}.
$$
\n(3.13)

Also, for every k-dimensional subspace $S \subset \mathcal{H}$, $0 = \mu_k(\lambda_i) \leq \max_{x \in S}$ $||x||_2=1$ $\pi_x(\lambda_i)$ and solving for λ_i ,

$$
\lambda_i \le \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S} \\ \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle} \Rightarrow \lambda_i \le \min_{\substack{S \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S = k}} \max_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{S} \\ \langle Bx, x \rangle > 0}} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\langle Bx, x \rangle}.
$$
\n
$$
(3.14)
$$

Now the equality (3.12) follows from (3.13) and (3.14) .

Similarly for the other implication.

$$
\Box
$$

Proposition 2 of [3] is an analogue for unbounded operators A, B in an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal H$ using a different proof.

4. RELATED RESULTS

Let \mathcal{H}_0 be an r-dimensional (closed) subspace of \mathcal{H} , with corresponding orthogonal projection $R = VV^*$, where $V : H_0 \to H$ is an isometry. For any operator function $P(\lambda)$ in $\mathcal{H}, Q(\lambda) = RP(\lambda)R$ is called the *orthogonal projection* of $P(\lambda)$ on \mathcal{H}_0 . The subspace \mathcal{H}_0 is invariant under $Q(\lambda)$ and therefore we may speak of the eigenfunctions of $Q(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{H}_0 , i.e. of the eigenfunctions of the part $Q_r(\lambda) = V^*P(\lambda)V$ of $Q(\lambda)$ in \mathcal{H}_0 . If $P(\lambda)$ is analytic and self-adjoint, then obviously so are $Q(\lambda)$ and $Q_r(\lambda)$. The characterizations of Theorem 3.2 have as a consequence the following interlacing results for eigenfunctions, as in [1] and [10]. They are proved in an analogous way as for the eigenvalues in the self-adjoint case.

Proposition 4.1. Let \mathcal{H}_0 be a (closed) subspace of H of dimension dim $\mathcal{H}_0 = r(\leq n)$ and $Q_r(\lambda)$ the part of the orthogonal projection $Q(\lambda)$ of the analytic and self-adjoint operator function $P(\lambda)$ on $H_0(\lambda)$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If

$$
t_1(\lambda) \preceq t_2(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \prec t_r(\lambda)
$$

are the eigenfunctions of $Q_r(\lambda)$, then for $1 \leq k \leq r$,

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq t_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_{k+n-r}(\lambda).
$$

Proof. By Rellich's theorem for $Q_r(\lambda)$, we have

$$
Q_r(\lambda) = W(\lambda) diag(t_1(\lambda), \dots, t_r(\lambda)) W^*(\lambda), \qquad (4.1)
$$

where $W(\lambda)$ is a unitary operator function in \mathcal{H}_0 , for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $1 \leq k \leq r$ and the k-dimensional subspace $\tilde{S}(\lambda)$ of H, with orthonormal basis the first k columns of the isometry $V W(\lambda) : \mathcal{H}_0 \to \mathcal{H}$. Denoting by $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^r$ the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^r , Theorem 3.2 yields

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\substack{S(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S(\lambda) = k}} \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda) \\ ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \preceq \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \tilde{S}(\lambda) \\ ||x(\lambda)||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle =
$$

\n
$$
= \sup_{\substack{\xi \in span\{e_1, \dots, e_k\} \in \mathbb{C}^r \\ ||\xi||_2 = 1}} \langle P(\lambda) V W(\lambda) \xi, V W(\lambda) \xi \rangle =
$$

\n
$$
= \sup_{\substack{\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^r \\ ||\xi||_2 = 1}} \langle diag(t_1(\lambda), \dots, t_r(\lambda)) \xi, \xi \rangle = t_k(\lambda).
$$

For the second inequality we use the sup-inf characterization of μ_{k+n-r} in Theorem 3.2, considering the subspace \tilde{T} spanned by the last $r - k + 1$ columns of the isometry $V W(\lambda)$ and proceeding in a similar way. \Box

Proposition 4.2. Let the self-adjoint operator functions $P_1(\lambda)$, $P_2(\lambda)$ in a Hilbert space H with dim $\mathcal{H} = n$ and $R(\lambda) = P_1(\lambda) - P_2(\lambda)$. Denoting by $(\mu_i(\lambda), u_i(\lambda))$, $(t_i(\lambda), v_i(\lambda))$ and $(s_i(\lambda), w_i(\lambda)), j = 1, \ldots, n$, the corresponding eigenpairs of $P_1(\lambda)$, $P_2(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$ and considering that each set of eigenfunctions is arranged in increasing order, then

$$
s_k(\lambda) \succeq \mu_i(\lambda) - t_n(\lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad i \leq k,
$$

$$
s_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_i(\lambda) - t_1(\lambda) \quad \text{for} \quad i \geq k.
$$

More specifically for $i = k$,

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) - t_n(\lambda) \preceq s_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_k(\lambda) - t_1(\lambda).
$$

Proof. For $k \geq i$ we consider the subspaces $\mathcal{J}_1(\lambda) = span \{u_i(\lambda), \ldots, u_n(\lambda)\},$ $\mathcal{J}_2(\lambda) = \text{span}\{v_{k-i+1}(\lambda), \ldots, v_n(\lambda)\}, \mathcal{J}_3(\lambda) = \text{span}\{w_1(\lambda), \ldots, w_k(\lambda)\}\$ and the unit vector $y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_1(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{J}_2(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{J}_3(\lambda)$, since the intersection of these subspaces is nontrivial. Then since $y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_1(\lambda)$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have that $\mu_i(\lambda) \preceq \langle P_1(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle \preceq \mu_n(\lambda)$ and since $y(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_2(\lambda)$ then $t_{k-i+1}(\lambda) \preceq \langle P_2(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda)\rangle \preceq t_n(\lambda)$. Hence, we obtain

$$
s_k(\lambda) = \sup_{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{J}_3(\lambda)} \langle R(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \succeq \langle R(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle =
$$

= $\langle P_1(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle - \langle P_2(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle \succeq \mu_i(\lambda) - t_n(\lambda).$

For $k \leq i$, let $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1(\lambda) = span\{u_1(\lambda), \ldots, u_i(\lambda)\}, \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2(\lambda) = span\{v_1(\lambda), \ldots, v_{n-i+k}(\lambda)\}\$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_3(\lambda) = span \{w_k(\lambda), \ldots, w_n(\lambda)\}\.$ Similarly for the unit vector $y(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_1(\lambda) \cap$ $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_2(\lambda) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_3(\lambda)$ we have

$$
s_k(\lambda) = \inf_{x(\lambda) \in \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_3(\lambda)} \langle R(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \preceq \langle R(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle \preceq \mu_i(\lambda) - t_1(\lambda). \qquad \Box
$$

Using the same notation as in Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. Let $\mu_k(\lambda)$, $t_k(\lambda)$ ($k = 1, ..., n$) be the ordered eigenfunctions of the self-adjoint operator functions $P_1(\lambda)$ and $P_2(\lambda)$ respectively. If for the smallest eigenfunction of the operator $R(\lambda) = P_2(\lambda) - P_1(\lambda)$ holds $s_1(\lambda) \succeq 0$, then $\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq$ $t_k(\lambda)$, for $k = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. By the eigenvectors $v_j(\lambda)$ of $P_2(\lambda)$ we consider the subspace $S_k(\lambda) = span$ $\{v_1(\lambda), \ldots, v_k(\lambda)\}\.$ As in Theorem 3.2, for every k-dimensional subspace $\mathcal{S}(\lambda)$ the set

$$
\{x^*(\lambda)P_1(\lambda)x(\lambda):x(\lambda)\in\mathcal{S}(\lambda),||x(\lambda)||_2=1\}
$$

is bounded according to the lexicographic order. Hence, let the unit vector $y(\lambda) \in$ $\mathcal{S}_k(\lambda)$ such that

$$
\langle P_1(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda)\rangle = \sup_{x(\lambda)\in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda)} \langle P_1(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle.
$$

Then by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) = \inf_{\substack{S(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{H} \\ \dim S(\lambda) = k}} \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P_1(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \preceq
$$

$$
\preceq \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in S_k(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P_1(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle = \langle P_1(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle
$$

and also by (3.5) ,

$$
t_k(\lambda) = \sup_{\substack{x(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}_k(\lambda) \\ \|x(\lambda)\|_2 = 1}} \langle P_2(\lambda) x(\lambda), x(\lambda) \rangle \succeq \langle P_2(\lambda) y(\lambda), y(\lambda) \rangle.
$$

Since $s_1(\lambda) \geq 0$ and $\langle R(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle \in co$ { $s_1(\lambda), s_n(\lambda)$ } for every unit vector $x(\lambda) \in$ H, clearly $\langle R(\lambda)x(\lambda), x(\lambda)\rangle \geq 0$, which implies in particular that $\langle P_2(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda)\rangle \geq 0$ $\langle P_1(\lambda)y(\lambda), y(\lambda)\rangle$. Consequently, $\mu_k(\lambda) \preceq t_k(\lambda)$. \Box

Let

$$
s_j(\lambda) = s_{j,0} + \lambda s_{j,1} + \lambda^2 s_{j,2} + \dots, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,
$$

be the analytic expressions of the eigenfunctions of the self-adjoint operator function $R(\lambda) = P_2(\lambda) - P_1(\lambda)$ in a neighbourhood of $\lambda_0 = 0$. Obviously for $\lambda = 0$, the coefficients $s_{i,0}$, $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$ are the eigenvalues of the self-adjoint operator $R(0)$ in nondecreasing order, i.e.

$$
-\left\|R(0)\right\|_2 \leq s_{1,0} \leq s_{2,0} \leq \ldots \leq s_{n,0} \leq \left\|R(0)\right\|_2.
$$

Using Proposition 4.3 we formulate the next corollary.

Corollary 4.4. Let the self-adjoint operator functions $P_1(\lambda)$ and $P_2(\lambda)$ and $R(\lambda)$ = $P_2(\lambda) - P_1(\lambda)$. If $R(\lambda)$ has eigenfunctions

$$
-d \preceq s_1(\lambda) \preceq \ldots \preceq s_n(\lambda) \preceq d,
$$

where $d = ||R(0)||_2$, then

$$
\mu_k(\lambda) - d \preceq t_k(\lambda) \preceq \mu_k(\lambda) + d.
$$

Proof. Clearly $P_2(\lambda) - P_1(\lambda) + dI$ has eigenfunctions $s_i(\lambda) + d$ and $0 \leq s_1(\lambda) + d$. Then, by Proposition 4.3 we have $\mu_k(\lambda) - d \preceq t_k(\lambda)$.

Similarly, the eigenfunctions of $P_1(\lambda) - P_2(\lambda) + dI$ are $-s_j(\lambda) + d$ and for the smallest of which, $-s_n(\lambda) + d$, holds $0 \leq -s_n(\lambda) + d$. Thus, by Proposition 4.3 the asserted relation is obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Bhatia, Matrix Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York 1997.
- [2] P. Binding, D. Eschwe, H. Langer, Variational principles for real eigenvalues of self-adjoint operator pencils, Integral Equations Operator Theory 38 (2000), 190–206.
- [3] D. Eschwe, M. Langer, Variational principles for eigenvalues of self-adjoint operator functions, Integral Equations Operator Theory 49 (2004), 287–321.
- [4] S. Frieland, A generalization of the Motzkin-Taussky theorem, Linear Algebra Appl. 36 (1981), 103–109.
- [5] I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster, L. Rodman, Matrix Polynomials, Academic Press, New York 1982.
- [6] R.O. Hryniv, P. Lancaster, On the perturbation of analytic matrix functions, Integral Equations Operator Theory 34 (1999), 325–338.
- [7] Y. Ikebe, T. Inagaki, S. Miyamoto, The monotonicity theorem, Cauchy's interlace theorem, and the Courant-Fischer theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 94 (1987), 352–354.
- [8] T. Kato, Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [9] P. Lancaster, J. Maroulas, P. Zizler, The numerical range of selfadjoint matrix polynomials, Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl. 106 (1998) 291–304.
- [10] P. Lax, *Linear Algebra*, J. Wiley and Sons Inc., N.Y., 1997.
- [11] A.S. Markus, Introduction to the Spectral Theory of Polynomial Operator Pencils, vol. 71, Translations of Math. Monographs, American Math. Soc., Providence, 1988.
- [12] J. Maroulas, P. Psarrakos, M. Tsatsomeros, Separable characteristic polynomials of pencils and property L^* , Electron. J. of Linear Algebra 7 (2000), 182-190.
- [13] N. Moiseyev, S. Frieland, The association of resonant states with incomplete spectrum of finite complex scaled Hamiltonian matrices, Phys. Rev. 22 (1980), 619–624.
- [14] T.S. Motzkin, O. Taussky, Pairs of matrices with property L, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1952), 108–114.

Georgios Katsouleas

National Technical University of Athens Dept. of Mathematics Zografou Campus Athens 15780, Greece

John Maroulas maroulas@math.ntua.gr

National Technical University of Athens Dept. of Mathematics Zografou Campus Athens 15780, Greece

Received: March 20, 2012. Revised: August 1, 2012. Accepted: October 25, 2012.