

Arch. Min. Sci., Vol. 57 (2012), No 2, p. 425-441

Electronic version (in color) of this paper is available: http://mining.archives.pl

DOI 10.2478/v10267-012-0028-z

MACIEJ KALISKI*, MARCIN KRUPA**, ANDRZEJ SIKORA**

FORECASTS AND/OR SCENARIOS, INCLUDING QUANTIFICATION OF THE DISTANCE, TIMING AND COSTS

PROGNOZY I/LUB ICH SCENARIUSZE, W TYM KWANTYFIKACJA OBSZARU PROGNOZOWANIA, CZASU I KOSZTÓW

The paper addresses the problem of the forecasting and possible development of gas production from unconventional plays in Poland. As authors underline the potential of Polish shale gas is quite similar to US shales. Due to geological conditions, stage of development, size and location in more urban areas some experts compare Polish shale plays to Marcellus even. Document stated that from geographical and infrastructural points of view one can identify five different directions for export of natural gas surplus from Poland. It is important to notice that currently none of those routes physically exists – it means, that at present there are no infrastructure (or access to such infrastructure) for exporting of the Polish natural gas.

Keywords: unconventional gas, shale gas, forecast, environmental impact, gas resources, Poland, infrastructure

Dokument adresuje problemy prognozowania i możliwości rozwoju produkcji gazu ze złóż niekonwencjonalnych w Polsce. Autorzy konstatują, że potencjał polskiego gazu łupkowego wydaje się być bardzo podobny do amerykańskiego. Ze względu na warunki geologiczne, etap rozwoju, wielkości i lokalizacje złóż w obszarach bardziej miejskich, niektórzy eksperci porównują polski gaz z łupków nawet do amerykańskiego złoża Marcellus. W artykule stwierdzono, że biorąc pod uwagę warunki geograficzne i infrastrukturalne można zidentyfikować do pięciu różnych ewentualnych kierunków eksportu nadwyżek gazu z Polski. Zauważono, że obecnie żadna z opisanych dróg nie istnieje fizycznie – oznacza to, że obecnie nie ma infrastruktury (a nawet dostępu) do takiej infrastruktury) dla ewentualnego eksportu polskiego gazu ziemnego.

Słowa kluczowe: gaz ze złóż niekonwencjonalnych, gaz z łupków, gaz łupkowy, prognoza, zasoby gazu ziemnego, Polska, infrastruktura

^{*} AGH UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF DRILLING, OIL AND GAS, AL. MICKIEWICZA 30, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

^{**} ENERGY STUDIES INSTITUTE – ISE, UL. ŚNIADECKICH 17, 00-654 WARSZAWA, POLAND

1. Future shale gas production in Poland

Initial estimation of risked technically recoverable shale gas resources were announced at the level of 48.3 TCF or 1.37 TCM (Wood Mackenzie, 2009), 66 TCF or 1.87 TCM (Kuhn & Umbach, 2011), 100 TCF or 2.83 TCM (Kuuskraa & Stevens, 2009) and finally 187 TCF or 5.3 TCM (EIA, 2011).

TABLE 1

Data Source	TCF	ТСМ
Wood Mackenzie Unconventional Gas Service Analysis	18 3	1 37
"Poland/Silurian Shales", August 2009	40.5	1.57
M. Kuhn, F. Umbach EUCERS Strategic Perspectives of Unconventional Gas	66.0	1.87
"A Game Changer with Implication for the EU's Energy Security", May 2011	00.0	1.07
Vello A. Kuuskraa, Scott H. Stevens, Advanced Resources International		
"Worldwide Gas Shales and Unconventional Gas: A Status Report,	100.0	2.83
December 2009		
EIA, World Shale Gas Resources:	197	5 20
An Initial Assessment of 14 Regions Outside the United States, April 2011	10/	5.50
Państwowy Instytut Geologiczny: "Ocena zasobów wydobywalnych		
gazu ziemnego i ropy naftowej w formacjach łupkowych dolnego Palezoiku	12-26,8	0,34-0,76
w Polsce (BASEN BAŁTYCKO – PODLASKO -LUBELSKI)".		

Estimation of risked technically recoverable shale gas resources in various studies

Source: Own data base - various company reports.

Conventional natural gas reserves are equal to 4.94 TCF or 0.14 TCM (Nawrocki, 2010) with current production of 416 MMCFD or 4.3 BCM/year which gives R/P index at the level of 34.6 – one of the highest in the Europe. Assuming similar R/P ratio for unconventional natural gas production we obtain huge, as for Poland, volumes of produced natural gas: from 3.82 BCFD (39.5 BCM/year) for Wood Mackenzie estimation, then 5.23 BCFD (54.0 BCM/year) for EUCERS assessment, 7.92 BCFD (81.9 BCM/year) for ARI forecast and finally 14.81 BCFD (153.1 BCM/year) for recent EIA estimation. Such volumes will be, however, possible to achieve in 15-20 years' time horizon only if all geological, technical, environmental, financial and commercial conditions for full shale gas development are met.

For more precise evaluation of shale gas production potential in Poland we need to refer to estimation of US shale plays production taking into consideration geological and operational (i.e. land accessibility) differences.

As we can see (Table 1) potential of Polish shale gas is quite similar to US shales. Due to geological conditions, stage of development, size and location in more urban areas some experts compare Polish shale plays to Marcellus. Estimated R/P ratio for Marcellus is also considerably higher than for Fayetteville or Haynesville plays: 60-45 in the 2020-2030 period comparing to 30-25 for Fayetteville and 25-15 for Haynesville, and thus similar to Polish conditions.

Therefore we decided to adopt estimated Marcellus production profile as well as Wood Mackenzie, EUCERS, Advanced Resources International (ARI) and EIA resources estimations as the base for assessment of Polish shale production. As a result we received four scenarios starting from the level of 24-26 BCM of annual production (2.3-2.5 BCFD) in 15-20 years (full

426

TABLE 2

Shale play	Area km²	Resource Potential TCF	Depth range m	Shale thickness m	Porosity	Production MMCFD	Expected Rf	GIIP TDF estimated	Total organic carbon TOC	Thermal maturity Ro
Barnett	8840	21	1980-2700	30-183	4-6%	5100	25%	238	2-7%	0.7-3.0
Fayetteville	10350	36	450-2000	6-61	4-8%	2100	36%	253	4.5-9.5%	1.5-4.5
Haynesville	14164	89	3200-3962	61-91	9-12%	3300	25%	650	4%	2.2-3.0
Marcellus NE	105356	113	1500-2590	38	6-7%	640	8%	1628	2-10%	1.0-2.0
Marcellus SW	124519	82	1500-2590	38	6-7%	620	34%	310	2-10%	1.0-3.0
Poland Shale	23816 -29360	48.1-187	1750-5000	30-300	N/D	0	17% -24%	792-844	1.5% -7.0%	1.0-4.0

Comparison of data foe the Gas Shale Plays in United States and Poland

Source: Various company reports, Wood MacKenzie, Deutsche Bank, CERA, EIA, EUCERS



Fig. 1. Estimation of US shale production from Marcellus, Fayetteville and Haynesville plays. Source: Own calculation based on EIA, EUCERS and CERA data

development stage) for Wood Mackenzie resources estimation, then 30-36 BCM/year (2.9-3.5 BCFD) for EUCERS, 47-55 BCM/year (4.5-5.3 BCFD) for ARI and huge 87-102 BCM/year (8.4-9.9 BCFD) for EIA assessment. Scenario based on Wood Mackenzie estimation seems to be too low and on the other hand scenario referred to EIA evaluation appears to be definitely too high, so lower range of our shale gas production forecast is set by EUCERS estimate and upper range by ARI assessment.

In order to obtain total gas supplies we need to add estimation of conventional gas production: 4.6 BCM/year for entire period and import volumes: 8.9-10.5 BCM/year from Russia up to 2022 (end of current Yamal contract) and then decreasing by 2-3 BCM/year up to 2024-2025 (spot or yearly contracts), 1.0-1.6 BCM from Germany up 2016 and 1.4 BCM since 2015 up to 2035 via LNG terminal in Świnoujście (Qatar Gas contract).

Estimation of total natural gas supplies for Polish market is presented on Fig. 3. Within ten years total volume of natural gas available for Polish customers can double or even triple comparing to current level (36-46 BCM vs. 14-15 BCM). In 20 years' time total volume of gas supplies could be 3-4 times larger than today, even though forecasted import will be almost entirely reduced (See also Siemek & Nagy, 2012).



Fig. 2. Estimation of Polish shale production based on Marcellus production profile and as Wood Mackenzie, EUCERS, Advanced Resources International (ARI) and EIA resources estimations. Source: Own calculation based on Wood Mackenzie, ARI, EIA, EUCERS and CERA data



Fig. 3. Estimation of total natural gas supplies for Polish market. *Source:* Own calculation based on ARI, EUCERS and CERA data

2. Potential areas of natural gas demand growth

Talking about natural gas consumption in Poland it is worth to differentiate between consumption of gas as a fuel and as a raw material for further processing, e.g. in the chemical industry.

Such differentiation you may find in a Table 3.

The first column presents total energy consumption converted into equivalent of high methane natural gas, second column its real consumption of natural gas in Poland. As you see the share of natural gas in power and heat generation sector is almost meaningless. This is a result of strong coal lobby in Poland and a lack of large natural gas resources. Polish power sector has always utilized hard coal and lignite as main fuels, and today, although production of steam coal dropped much below 100 million tons (from almost 200 million tons in 1980s coal lobby still has strong support, putting high pressure on the energy security.

However in case that large natural gas reserves are located in Poland, power security could be also built on domestic resources of natural gas. Considering its huge environmental advantage, natural gas can (and we believe it will) have a great future in Poland. Other sectors of industry utilize gas as a fuel in significantly larger amount, both in relative as in real numbers (for details – see tables 4). And there is still huge real and potential demand from dispersed customers – mainly households and services which could increases in pace with the transmission and distribution networks growth.

In MMCM of high methane gas equivalent, 36 MJ/CM [967 BTU/CF])	Total demand for fuel and energy carriers (baseline 2009)*	Natural gas consumption in 2009	Natural gas share in total consumption (%)
Energy sector – power and heat generation (utilities companies)	45 244	1 329	2.9%
Manufacturing industry (fuel purposes)	10 857	4 165	38.4%
Non fuel generation purposes (chemistry)	1 835	1 835	100.0%
Other sectors of the economy	942	544	57.8%
Dispersed customers including households**	19 582	5 725	29.2%
Natural gas industry own consumption	551	551	100.0%
TOTAL	79 010	14 149	17.9%

Consumption of natural gas and other fuels in particular sectors of Polish economy and households

* Excluding all fuels (gasoline, diesel oil, jet-fuel, LPG and bunker oil) for trucking purposes, coking coal, coke, coke oven gas, blast furnace gas and other gaseous waste fuels for coke oven products industry and basic metal production industry, refinery fuel and heavy fuel oil (residue) for refinery industry

** without the heat from heat and power generation plants

Source: Own calculation on the basis of Central Statistical Office data.

Let us now turn attention to a special category of demand for natural gas, which is a production of hydrogen for chemical and petrochemical reactions. The chemical industry, to be more precise, fertilizers manufacturing, uses gas as a raw material to produce ammonia, which is subsequently used for nitrogen fertilizers production. This sector is the main consumer of gas for non-fuel purposes (Table 5).

In Poland there are five large ammonia plants:

- Zakłady Azotowe Puławy (ZA Puławy),
- Zakłady Chemiczne Police (Zch Police),
- Anwil,
- Zakłady Azotowe Kędzierzyn (ZAK),
- Azoty Tarnów.

Ammonia production in all Polish plants is based on natural gas steam reforming technology. Average natural gas consumption for ammonia production in Polish plants is equal to 29.5-32 GJ/ ton of NH₃. Currently¹ domestic capacity of existing ammonia plants is equal to 2.83 million tons of NH₃ per year (8500 tons of NH₃ per day). In 2010 and earlier, it was 2.66 million tons of NH₃ per year (8000 tons of NH₃ per day). Capacity utilization was at the level of 89% in 2007, then dropped to 83% in 2008, 75% in 2009 and 77% in 2010. Due to economic problems of ZCh Police and high natural gas prices comparing to Western Europe (and Eastern Europe) plants, we expect that capacity utilization will remain at the level of 75%-80% in next years, which means 1.83-1.95 BCM of natural gas demand for ammonia production only and 2.1-2.2 BCM of total natural gas consumption in five, above mentioned plants.

¹ After Zakłady Azotowe Puławy expansion in April 2010 from 960 th. tons to 1130 th. tons per year.

_
/ data
yearly
2009
\sim
economy
Polish
÷
articular sectors o
Q
н.
fuel
gas as a
Natural

MDMM ni slout rotto	13	10 320 917	1 544 083	1 462 972	2 907 444	2 783 278	4 361	942 361	85 917	1 761 222	1 050 611	499 889	53 111	50 778	24 944	128 306
MOMM ni sas la 'utural gas	12	3 745 056	1 936 389	1 150 944	778 333	632 306	550 722	469 694	417 750	254 833	145 361	115 028	104 583	103 917	95 750	72 000
% ni ərafa saşı share in %	11	26,6%	55,6%	44,0%	21,1%	18,5%	99,2%	33,3%	82,9%	12,6%	12,2%	18,7%	66,3%	67,2%	79,3%	35,9%
Fuels together in TJ	10	506 375	125 297	94 101	132 688	122 961	19 983	50 834	18 132	72 578	43 055	22 137	5 677	5 569	4 345	7 211
UT ni seg leruteN	6	134 822	69 710	41 434	28 020	22 763	19 826	16 909	15 039	9 174	5 233	4 141	3 765	3 741	3 447	2 592
Coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, refinery gas and gaseous waste fuels in TJ	8	0	0	1 246	44 653	21 214	0	0	2	4 434	155	0	0	0	0	0
LT ni boow bus tes9	L	102 500	6 746	69	0	8	0	73	6	12	7 113	5 953	31	17	0	10
LT ni lio ləuî yısəH	9	0	0	2 003	46 251	163	0	1 270	0	1 398	1 627	669	191	1	0	203
LT ni lio ləuî îdgi.I	5	13 121	16 005	729	5 902	78	18	2 428	727	245	232	53	189	330	89	283
LPG in TJ	4	20 812	3 216	601	6 368	123	102	1 095	0	164	157	135	145	304	90	212
Biogas, biomass and wastes UT nielsin	3	0	0	12 368	1 359	0	37	118	0	3 152	17 979	7 367	89	0	0	0
Steam coal, coking coal, lignite, coke in TJ	2	235 120	29 620	35 651	135	78 612	0	28 941	2 355	53 999	10 559	3 819	1 267	1 176	719	3 911
yıtsubni\noitəəs\tnəmgəZ	1	Households segment	Other consumers segment (administration, public utility, small business, services)	Non-metallic mineral products industry	Refining industry	Basic metal production industry	Oil&gas upstream and gas processing and transportation section	Food industry	Transport segment*	Chemicals industry**	Paper industry	Wooden products industry	Beverages industry	Fabricated metal products industry	Motor vehicles industry	Rubber and plastics industry

TABLE 4

13	7 000	121 167	1 992 000	41 972	270 250	25 250	2 944	121 611	48 500	6 222	17 722	1 167	3 528	9 972	6 083	78 250	14 861	5 972	1 030 083	27 424 750
12	65 139	53 361	43 806	40 250	33 139	30 083	27 639	22 917	17 000	14 667	13 472	12 028	9 722	000 6	6 028	6 028	5 722	3 722	222	10 986 611
11	90,3%	30,6%	2,2%	49,0%	10,9%	54,4%	90,4%	15,9%	26,0%	70,2%	43,2%	91,2%	73,4%	47,4%	49,8%	7,2%	27,8%	38,4%	0,0%	28,6%
10	2 597	6 283	73 289	2 960	10 922	1 992	1 101	5 203	2 358	752	1 123	475	477	683	436	3 034	741	349	37 091	1 382 809
6	2 345	1 921	1 577	1 449	1 193	1 083	995	825	612	528	485	433	350	324	217	217	206	134	~	395 518
8	0	0	0	39	126	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34 388	106 257
7	0	10	$19\ 000$	3	87	0	0	204	109	0	0	0	3	0	0	679	5	7	0	142 948
9	9	397	1 251	9	1	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	42	0	0	0	0	0	55 492
5	51	2 446	4 375	192	198	84	67	123	96	94	107	10	22	160	59	124	95	39	3	48 774
4	48	133	2 129	130	149	43	4	16	13	0	25	23	9	22	5	78	25	5	37	36415
3	0	27	31	22	21	0	0	1 421	830	0	4	0	0	0	0	1 412	1	0	0	46 238
2	147	1 349	44 926	1 119	9 147	782	35	2 601	869	130	502	6	96	135	155	224	409	164	2 655	551 167
I	Electric equipment industry	Construction segment	Agriculture segment	Other machinery and equipment industry	Mining segment	Textiles industry	Pharmaceutical industry	Sewerage section	Water collection treatment and supply section	Tobacco industry	Other transport equipment industry	Printing and reproduction industry	Computer, electronic and optical products industry	Wearing apparel industry	Leather products industry	Furniture industry	Repair and installation of machinery and equipment	Other manufacturing industry	Coke oven products industry***	TOTAL

Excluding LPG (trucking purposes) and bunker oil

** Excluding coking coal

*

*** Excluding natural gas as feedstock (non-fuel purposes)

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office.

TABLE 4. continued

Consumption of natural gas for non-energy purposes:		2007	2008	2009
Total for industry	MMCM/year	2300	2312	1835
	MMCFD	222	224	177
Chamical industry	MMCM/year	2229	2286	1822
Chemical industry	MMCFD	216	221	176
Chemical industry share	%	96.9%	98.9%	99.3%
Ammonia (and nitrogen fertilizer) production share	%	90.0%	83.2%	94.9%

Consumption of natural gas as a feedstock for non-fuel purposes

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office and Annual Report for 2009 of Polish Chamber of Chemical Industry.

TABLE 6

Plant	Ammonia production capacity in thousand tonnes/year	Theoretical gas consumption for NH ₃ in MMCM	Ammonia production in 2010 in thousand tonnes	Ammonia production in 2009 in thousand tonnes	Ammonia production in 2008 in thousand tonnes
Zakłady Azotowe Puławy	1130	927	811	835	680
Zakłady Chemiczne Police	560	498	293	247	470
Anwil	520	455	373	407	502
Zakłady Azotowe Kędzierzyn	384	342	391	333	367
Azoty Tarnów	240	214	191	179	191
TOTAL	2834	2435	2059	2001	2210

Ammonia plants in Poland: ammonia production capacity and production volume in 2008-2010.

Source: Company data, ISE estimations

Except of ZA Puławy we do not expect any major investments in a chemical segment in Poland. In fact, it is quite probable that ammonia plant in Police will be closed or reduced capacity to 280 th. tons per year².

While the mineral fertilizer (NPK³) consumption in Poland per 1 ha of cultivated area amounts to 132.6 kg (comparing with 140 kg in Germany, 200 kg in Netherlands, 115 kg in Czech Republic potential on the domestic market is not impressive and other large volume chemical and petrochemical production in Poland is based mainly on crude oil products as feedstock. Thus, it is rather unlikely that the demand for natural gas as feedstock from chemical industry will soar, the optimistic scenario assumes comeback to 2006-2008 level of consumption. Therefore we see a potential annual consumption growth of approx. 400-500 MMCM [39-48 MMCFD] for natural gas as feedstock for chemical production.

Potential growth of natural gas demand in Poland should be a consequence of two phenomena. First – appearance of new gas consumers; second – acquisition of existing customers, who – at the moment – consume other fuels.

² Due to economic downturn and reduced demand for fertilizers in mid-2009 management board of Police decided to temporary closed one unit of ammonia plant in Police (280 th. tons capacity). This unit was reopened in mid-2010.

³ NPK – nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium.

Let us elaborate on the first group.

The largest potential for a consumption growth is in the energy sector.

At the moment natural gas accounts for only 2.9% of total electric energy and heat generation. And according to Polish Energy Policy this situation will not change radically, because Poland is seeking its security of supply in domestic resources of coal. This way of thinking is additionally supported by a very strong coal mining lobby in the Ministry of Economy and Polish Parliament. What could happen though, if natural gas is a "domestic" as well?

TABLE 7

Energy sector	Total in TJ	Total in th. TOE	Total in MMCM	Structure
Steam coal	997 421	23 823	27 706	61.2%
Lignite	494 694	11 816	13 742	30.4%
Natural gas	47 843	1 143	1 329	2.9%
Peat and wood	24 268	580	674	1.5%
Biogas, biomass and wastes fuels	24 171	577	671	1.5%
Coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, refinery gas and gaseous waste fuels	18 971	453	527	1.2%
Wind and hydro energy	12 421	297	345	0.8%
Crude oil products	8 674	207	241	0.5%
Other	314	7	9	0.0%
TOTAL	1 628 777	38 903	45 244	100%
100% substitution	1 580 934	37 760	43 915	97.1%

Fuels structure in Polish power and heat generation segment in 2009

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office.

TABLE 8

Forecast of Electric energy generation in Poland by fuels (2015-2030)

	1			
Energy production (TWh)	2015	2020	2025	2030
Hard coal	62.9	62.7	58.4	71.8
Lignite	51.1	40	48.4	42.3
Natural gas	5.0	8.4	11.4	13.4
Oil	2.5	2.8	2.9	3.0
Nuclear energy	0.0	10.5	21.1	31.6
Renewables	17.0	30.1	36.5	38
Hydro	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
Wastes	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7
TOTAL	140.1	156.1	180.3	201.8
Natural gas share in total electric generation	3.6%	5.4%	6.3%	6.6%

Source: Polish Energy Policy 2030

TABLE 9

In Table 9 you will find plans of the modernization of Polish base-load energy generation.

Planned close-down of base load power plants (MW)	2011-2015	2016-2020	2021-2025	2026-2030	Total
Hard coal	1 825	2 785	2 805	4 527	11 942
Lignite	240	1 073	1 340	0	2 653
Planned new capacities					
of base load power plants (MW)					
Hard coal	1 380	2 600	0	0	3 980
Lignite	1 380	0	0	0	1 380
Natural gas	200	400	0	0	600
Nuclear energy	0	0	3 000	3 000	6 000

Modernization plans in the Polish power industry (2010-2030; only base-load)

Source: Polish Energy Policy 2030. www.mg.gov.pl

As you can see Poland plans to close down approx. 14.5 GW (out of 35 GW, end of 2010) of existing generating capacity in base load power plants by 2030. Surprisingly, according to Polish Energy Policy natural gas is to replace only 600 MW. However taking into consideration probable influence of CO_2 emission costs gas fired energy generation should be cheaper than coal fired. Therefore we would expect significant growth of natural gas based generation (even replacement of one of the nuclear power plants with a gas fired one).

It is also worth to mention that PEP assumes increase of wind power generation from 173 MW in 2006 to 7 879 MW in 2030. While we expect this prognosis to be far too optimistic (Poland does not even have enough area suitable for such amount of wind farms), it is worth to mention, that wind energy must be balanced by peak power plants. And peak power should mean gas-fired plants, which gives additional incentive for a development of gas fired energy.

What kind of barriers may occur in a development of gas-fired power generation?

In our opinion there may be two such obstacles.

First, decisions regarding the configuration of future power plants should be made today or in the near future, based on today available data and documented primary energy sources. Economically viable shale gas in Poland is so far only a hypothesis, based on strong premises but still hypothesis. Other "secure", domestic gas sources, i.e. conventional gas deposits do not provide enough fuel for large-scale investments in power generation.

Second, price relations between particular fuel types and especially the future level of CO_2 emission fees are a substantial risk factor. Depending on whether the EU climate policy is continued in the same shape or smoothened, natural gas will become very competitive against alternative fuels, especially coal, or will remain too expensive.

Therefore the future scenario could assume a maximum increase of annual natural gas consumption in the energy sector of approx. 6-8 BCM [580-770 MMCFD] by the year 2020. More realistically (probable two-three year delay in construction of last two units) we see the potential of 4-6 BCM/year [390-580 MMCFD] increase in natural gas consumption in the energy sector by 2020.

After 2020 there is a possibility to increase that volume to the level of 9-11 BCM/year [870-1060 MMCFD], however it will depend on the development of shale gas production in Poland and economic outcomes of EU policy on CO_2 emissions implementation.

Let us now turn attention to a potential growth of natural gas consumption in the processing industry.

TABLE 10

Total manufacturing industry	Total in TJ	Total in th. TOE	Total in MMCM	Structure	
Steam coal, coking coal, lignite,	153 722	3 672	4 270	30 3%	
coke	155 722	5072	4270	57.570	
Natural gas	149 950	3 581	4 165	38.4%	
Biogas, biomass and wastes fuels	43 870	1 048	1 219	11.2%	
Crude oil products	29 090	695	808	7.4%	
Peat and wood	8 331	199	231	2.1%	
Coke oven gas, blast furnace gas,	5 972	140	162	1.50/	
refinery gas and gaseous waste fuels	58/5	140	105	1.370	
TOTAL	390 836	9 335	10 857	100.0%	
100% substitution	240 886	5 753	6 691	61.6%	

Fuel and other energy carriers consumption in 2009 in manufacturing industry excluding consumption for non-fuel purposes⁴

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office.

The data presented in Table 10 show the consumption of fuel and energy carriers in manufacturing industry for energy generation purposes. Contrary to the case of power generation industry, the manufacturing industry has already started to use gas as a fuel. The share of natural gas in manufacturing industry, accounted for 38.4% of total energy carriers used for energy generation, being almost equal to consumption of coal and lignite. That is why the future growth potential for gas demand is much smaller in this area in comparison to the energy sector.

While it is not possible to include in this document all calculations that we have taken into consideration analyzing a potential increase of annual gas consumption in the manufacturing industry⁵, we only inform that we assess it in a range of 1-1.5 BCM [98-145 MMCFD] within the next 10-years, provided introduction of new economic incentives (positive such as tax reliefs and European Union surcharges or negative: taxes and fees for CO_2 emission), which will accelerate a substitution of cheaper, but less environmentally friendly, hard coal.

Assuming maintaining a legal status quo it is safe to predict increase of annual gas demand by approx. 400-500 mcm, substituting liquid fuels based on oil and imported LPG which are substantially less competitive than natural gas.

⁴ Also excluding coke and coking coal for coke production, heavy fuel oil (residue) and refinery gas in refining industry, cocking coal, coke and blast furnace gas in metallurgy, wood in wood industry as well as heat and electricity in all branches.

⁵ ISE has been analyzing this issue in details and we may provide such analysis, if necessary.

The breakeven price for emission of CO₂, which makes natural gas and coal equally competitive, is in the range from 31 to 40 \notin /ton, assuming average prices for gas and coal in Poland on the basis of International Energy Agency⁶ data for the first half of 2009⁷.

We also assumed the difference in emissions amounting to 4 tons of CO_2 when burning of 1000 cm of natural gas with calorific value of 36 MJ/CM and its energy equivalent in hard coal. In case of largest consumers, with annual consumption above 150 thousand toe, the breakeven price is lower and amounts approximately 22-23 \in per ton of CO_2 emission.

There are however other barriers for larger substitution besides the price factor, which is availability of the raw material and security of supply. For the natural gas a monopolistic structure of the market and dominant position of POGC and its subsidiaries gives no choice to current and potential customers and forces them to accept unfavorable provisions in the gas sales agreement.

The appearance of large volumes of gas (considering Polish conditions) delivered by independent gas companies will definitely be a huge incentive to accelerate the process of Polish economy gasification. The crucial factor deciding about fuel substitution in a particular establishment will be the profitability analysis, which will be hugely influenced by the future shape of European climate policy and costs of CO_2 emissions (See also Siemek & Nagy, 2012).

Table 11 shows gas and alternative energy carriers consumption in "other" sectors of the economy such as: mining (coal and metal ores excavation), construction, sewerage, water collection treatment and supply, transport (only heating purposes) as well as oil&gas upstream and gas processing and transportation (natural gas industry self-consumption).

TABLE 11

437

Other sectors and segments	Total in TJ	Total in th. TOE	Total in MMCM	Structure
Steam coal, coking coal, lignite, coke	7 003	167	195	20.7%
Natural gas	19 590	468	544	57.8%
Biogas, biomass and wastes fuels	2 336	56	65	6.9%
Crude oil products	4 432	106	123	13.1%
Peat and wood	419	10	12	1.2%
Coke oven gas, blast furnace gas, refinery gas and gaseous waste fuels	128	3	4	0.4%
TOTAL	33 908	810	942	100.0%
100% substitution	14 318	342	398	42.2%

Fuel and energy carriers consumption in 2009 in other sectors of the economy*

* Excluding coal and lignite in mining industry

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office.

Applying the same methodology as for manufacturing industries we received theoretical potential of fuel substitution for natural gas in other segments. However it is not substantial in comparison to energy generation – "only" 398 MMCM/year (38.5 MMCFD) in case of 100% substitution. More realistic scenario (limited switching) gives considerably lower amounts

⁶ Energy Prices & Taxes, Quarterly statistics, Third Quarter 2009.

⁷ We will conclude with the same result,

when taking the average annual values for gas and coal from 2001-2008 period.

- 96 MMCM/year (9.3 MMCFD) in all other segments of economy, out of which construction segment stands for 66 MMCM/year (6.4 MMCFD).

The remaining groups of so called "dispersed" customers consist mainly of small entities (considering volume of gas consumption), often dispersed, so efficient gas delivery to these customers will require an active participation of gas distribution companies. Among them the largest group of natural gas consumers is "households", which are, by definition, the most dispersed group, however with substantial share in total gas consumption. Apart from households in this group we have also public administration, public buildings (schools, hospitals and so on) and small business – so called commercial segment as well as agriculture.

TABLE 12

Dispersed customers segments	Total in TJ	Total in th. TOE	Total in MMCM	Structure
Steam coal, coking coal, lignite, coke	309 666	7 396	8 602	43.9%
Natural gas	206 109	4 923	5 725	29.2%
Biogas, biomass and wastes fuels	31	1	1	0.0%
Crude oil products	60 909	1 455	1 692	8.6%
Peat and wood	128 246	3 063	3 562	18.2%
Coke oven gas, blast furnace gas,	0	0	0	0.0%
refinery gas and gaseous waste fuels				
TOTAL	704 961	16 838	19 582	100%
100% substitution	498 852	11 915	13 857	70.8%

Fuel and energy carriers consumption in 2009 in "dispersed" customers segments of the economy

Source: Own calculations based on Energy Statistics 2008, 2009 published by Central Statistical Office.

Natural gas accounts currently for 29% fuel and energy carriers consumption for heating purposes in dispersed customers segments (Table 12), but there are large differences between segments: natural gas constitutes only 2% of total fuel consumption in agriculture, 27% in households and 56% in commercial sector. Theoretically the largest possible volume of new demand for natural gas due to fuel switching comes from households segment – 10.3 BCM/year (998 MMCFD). Next sector is agriculture 1.99 BCM/year (193 MMCFD), mostly due to very low natural gas share – so far only 2.15%. Commercial segment, although much larger in terms of fuels and total energy consumption, is also more "gasified" – thus conversion potential is lower and equals 1.54 BCM/year (149 MMCFD). Therefore, there is a possibility of even three times increase of gas consumption in these segments⁸). Certainly, it is only theory, as such increase requires substantial investment outlays both from end users (new boilers) and gas companies (development of transmission and distribution networks), which may not be always profitable due to dispersed location of the customers in some areas. Besides investments, the end customers will also have to approve higher bills compared to earlier used coal or wood.

⁸ ISE has been analyzing this issue in details and we may provide such analysis, if necessary.

3. Summarizing

According to our estimations, on the basis of abovementioned assumptions we see a potential of additional natural gas demand on the Polish market by 2020 on the level of (2009 baseline):

- 4.0-6.0 BCM/year [390-580 MMCFD] in power and heat generation sector,
- 1.0-1.5 BCM/year [98-145 MMCFD] in the manufacturing industry,
- 0.4-0.5 BCM [39-48 MMCFD] as feedstock for chemical production (in fertilizers production),
- approx. 2.0 BCM [193 MMCFD] in dispersed customers segments (mainly households and commercial clients),
- 0.1 BCM/year [9.7 MMCFD] in other sectors of economy.

Therefore total annual consumption of natural gas in Poland may grow by approx. 7.5 BCM/year [725 MMCFD] (lower scenario) - 10.1 BCM/year [977 MMCFD] (upper scenario) by 2020. However, we would like to point out that these predictions don't include effect of new gas discoveries.

For longer period – by 2030 we predict potential increase of natural gas demand in Poland on the level of (2009 baseline):

- 9.0-11.0 BCM/year [870-1063 MMCFD] in power and heat generation sector,
- 1.9 BCM/year [184 MMCFD] in the manufacturing industry,
- 0.4-0.5 BCM/year [39-48 MMCFD] as feedstock for chemical production (in fertilizers production) no increase to 2020 level,
- 3.9-4.0 BCM/year [378-387 MMCFD] in dispersed customers segments (mainly house-holds and commercial clients),
- 0.1 BCM/year [9.7 MMCFD] in other sectors of economy no increase to 2020 level.

At the end of 2030 we see potential increase of demand to the level of 15.3 BCM/year [1480 MMCFD] (lower scenario) – 17.5 BCM/year [1692 MMCFD] (upper scenario).

Adding current level to potential increase⁹ of demand we obtain forecast of demand for natural gas in Poland – lower and upper scenarios (Fig. 4) and thus potential natural gas surplus and export requirements (Fig. 5).

Lower scenario of supply and demand predicts about 10 BCM/year [967 MMCFD] surplus of natural gas on Polish market in years 2020-2030 and such volumes need to be exported to neighboring European countries. For upper scenario total volume of surplus (and export requirements) doubles from almost 16 BCM/year [1530 MMCFD] in 2020 to 32 BCM [3090 MMCFD].

4. Export of the gas surplus – directions, routes, amount and physical destination points

From geographical and infrastructural points of view we can identify five different directions for export of natural gas surplus from Poland. It is important to notice that currently none of those routes physically exists – it means, that at present there are no infrastructure (or access to such infrastructure) for exporting even one cu. m of Polish natural gas.

⁹ Including proportional to growing demand increase in own consumption.







Fig. 5. Estimation of potential natural gas surplus (export requirements) on Polish market *Source:* Own calculation based on ARI, EUCERS, CERA and data above mentioned assumptions

Nevertheless, in future we see possibility to export natural gas from Poland to:

- Germany and other Western Europe countries (directly or indirectly via Czech Republic),
- Southern and Central Europe countries: Slovakia, Czech Republic, Hungary and Austria (via Baumgarten hub) with potential extension to Italy and Balkan countries (Serbia, Croatia, Slovenia, Romania),
- Baltic countries: Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia,
- · Scandinavia: Denmark with potential extension to Sweden,
- Former Soviet Union countries in Eastern Europe: Belarus and Ukraine.

Each route has different demand volume, structure and projections, infrastructure investment requirements as well as political and economic conditions thus different probability of success for implementation.

References

- ARE, 2009. Prognoza zapotrzebowania na paliwa i energię do 2030 roku. Załącznik do Polityki Energetycznej Polski do 2030 roku. www.mg.gov.pl
- ARE, 2011. Aktualizacja Prognozy zapotrzebowania na paliwa i energię do roku 2030. Warszawa. www.mg.gov.pl
- EIA, 2011. World Shale Gas Resources; "An Initial Assessment of 14 Regions Outside the United States". April 2011.
- Kaliski M., Krupa M., Sikora A., 2010. Potencjał polskiego rynku elektroenergetyki jako możliwy kierunek monetyzacji polskiego gazu lupkowego. Katedra Ekonomiki i Organizacji Przedsiębiorstw Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie, Kraków, s.792-806.
- Kaliski M., Krupa M., Sikora A., 2011. Wpływ niekonwencjonalnych źródel gazu ziemnego i kryzysu gospodarczego na prognozy rozwoju rynku gazu skroplonego LNG w Europie. [w:] "Gospodarowanie zasobami informacyjnymi z perspektywy zarządzania kryzysowego" Redakcja naukowa Ryszard Borowiecki, Janusz Czekaj, Wydawnictwo Dom Organizatora Toruń.
- Kuhn M., Umbach F., 2011. EUCERS Strategic Perspectives of Unconventional Gas. "A Game Changer with Implication for the EU's Energy Security". May 2011.
- Nagy S., Siemek J., 2010. Wydobycie gazu ziemnego ze skał łupkowych w Europie: stan technologii zagrożenia i możliwości. Archiwum Górnictwa . Vol. 56, No 4, p. 727-760.
- Nawrocki J., 2010. Balance of Natural Gas Resources in Poland. January 2010.
- Siemek J., Nagy S., 2012. Energy Cariers Use in the World: Natural Gas Conventional and Unconventional Gas Resources. Arch. Min. Sci., Vol. 57, No 2, p. 283-312.
- Vello A. Kuuskraa, Scott H. Stevens, 2009. Advanced Resources International , Worldwide Gas Shales and Unconventional Gas: A Status Report". December 2009.
- Polityka Energetyczna Polski PEP2030. www.mg.gov.pl 2009.
- Państwowy Instytut Geologiczny: Ocena zasobów wydobywalnych gazu ziemnego i ropy naftowej w formacjach lupkowych Dolnego Palezoiku w Polsce (BASEN BAŁTYCKO-PODLASKO-LUBELSKI). http://www.pgi.gov.pl/ pl/component/docman/doc_download/771-raport-pl.htmlWood Mackenzie Unconventional Gas Service Analysis "Poland/Silurian Shales", August 2009.

Received: 12 April 2012