International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy

ISSN 2299-3843

# $\Pi(G,x)$ polynomial and $\Pi(G)$ index of Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes $TUAC_6[m,n]$

#### Mohammad Reza Farahani

Department of Applied Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology (IUST), Narmak, Tehran 16844, Iran

E-mail address: Mr\_Farahani@Mathdep.iust.ac.ir, MrFarahani88@Gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Let *G* be a simple connected graph with the vertex set V = V(G) and the edge set E = E(G), without loops and multiple edges. For counting *qoc* strips in *G*, Omega *polynomial* was introduced by *Diudea* and was defined as  $\Omega(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c)x^{c}$ , where m(G,c) be the number of *qoc* strips of length *c* in the graph *G*. Following Omega polynomial, the Sadhana polynomial was defined by *Ashrafi* et al as  $Sd(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c)x^{|E(G)|-c}$ . In this paper we compute the *Pi* polynomial  $\Pi(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c).c.x^{|E(G)|-c}$  and *Pi* index  $\Pi(G) = \sum_{c} c \times m(G,c) (|E(G)|-c)$  of an infinite class of "Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC<sub>6</sub>[m,n]".

*Keywords*: Molecular Graph; Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes and Nanotori; Omega polynomial; Pi polynomial; Pi index.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Let *G* be a simple molecular graph without directed and multiple edges and without loops, the vertex and edge-sets of which being denoted by V(G) and E(G), respectively. Suppose *G* is a connected molecular graph and  $u, v \in V(G)$ . The distance d(u,v) between *u* and *v* is defined as the length of a minimum path between *u* and *v*. Two edges e = uv and f = xy of *G e* co *f* if and only if d(u,x) = d(v,y) = k and d(u,y) = d(v,x) = k+1 or vice versa, for a non-negative integer *k*.

The relation "*co*" is reflexive and symmetric but it is not necessary to be transitive, obviously. Set C(e): = { $f \in E(G) | e \ co \ f$ }, denote the subset of edges in *G*, co-distant to the edge *e*. If the relation "*co*" is transitive on C(e) then C(e) is called an *orthogonal cut* (denoted by *oc*) of *G* [1-10]. The graph *G* is called *co-graph* if and only if the edge set E(G) a union of disjoint orthogonal cuts. Observe *co* is a theta  $\Theta$  relation, (*Djoković* [11], and *Winkler* [12]):

$$d(x,u) + d(y,v) \neq d(x,v) + d(y,u)$$

Theta  $\Theta$  is a *co*-relation if and only if G is a partial cube, as *Klavžar* [13] correctly stated in a recent paper. Relation  $\Theta$  is reflexive and symmetric but need not be transitive.

If any two consecutive edges of an edge-cut sequence are topologically parallel within the same face of the covering, such a sequence is called a *quasi-orthogonal cut qoc* strip.

Let m(G,c) be the number of *qoc* strips of length *c* in the graph *G*. For counting "opposite edge strips" qocs of E(G), *M.V. Diudea* introduced the Omega *polynomial* of *G* [1-10] and was defined as

$$\Omega(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c) x^{c}$$

It is easy to see that the first derivative of Omega polynomial  $\Omega(G, x)$  (in x = 1) equals the number of edges in the graph. Following Omega polynomial, the Sadhana polynomial was defined by *Ashrafi* and co-authors [14] in 2008, as

$$Sd(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c) x^{|E(G)|-c}.$$

The Sadhana index Sd(G) for counting *qoc* strips in *G* was defined by *Khadikar et. al* [15-17] as first derivative of sadhana polynomial evaluated at x=1

$$Sd(G) = Sd'(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|E(G)| - c_i)$$

Another polynomial also related to the Sadhana polynomial is the *Pi* polynomial  $\Pi(G, x)$  and defined as:

$$\Pi(G,x) = \sum_{c} m(G,c) c x^{|E(G)|-C}$$

The first derivative (computed at x=1) of this counting polynomial provide its topological index:

$$\Pi(G) = \Pi'(G, x) = \sum_{c} c \times m(G, c) (|E(G)| - c)$$

 $\Omega(G,x)$  polynomial count codistant edges in *G* while Sd(G,x) and  $\Pi(G,x)$ , non-codistant edges.

In chemical, physics and nano sciences, we have the appealing structure, especially symmetric structure with chemical constitution purporting [18,19]. One of the nanotube is *Polyhex Nanotubes*, that the structure of polyhex nanotubes is consisting of the cycles with length six  $C_6$  in columns. Since polyhex nanotubes have more practical in the chemical, physics and nano science (see Figure 1). In Figures 1 and 2, one can see that the 3-dimentional and 2-dimentional graph of Armchair polyhex nanotubes  $TUAC_6[m,n]$ , where m,n are the numbers of rows/columns of hexagon ( $C_6$ ) in 2-dimentional perception  $TUAC_6[m,n]$ . In a series of papers [18-30], some properties and applications and more historical details of nanotubes are presented and studed.

In the present work we compute the *Pi* polynomial  $\Pi(G,x)$  and *Pi* index  $\Pi(G)$  for an infinite class of Nano-structure "*Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC*<sub>6</sub>". Throughout this paper our notation is standard and mainly taken from standard book of graph theory such as [31-36].



Figure 1. A 3-dimentional lattice of Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC<sub>6</sub>.



**Figure 2.** A 2-dimentional lattice of *Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC*<sub>6</sub>[m,n] and its hotizontal edge  $e_i$  and oblique edges  $f_i$  and  $h_i$ .

# 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section we present explicit formulas for the Pi polynomial  $\Pi(G,x)$  and Pi index  $\Pi(G)$  of an Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC<sub>6</sub>.

**Theorem 1**. Consider the Armchair polyhex nanotubes  $TUAC_6[m,n] \forall m,n \in N$ ; the Pi polynomials and its index are calculated by formulas:

$$\Pi(TUAC_6[m,n], x) = 2m[(n+1)x^{6mn+4m-n-1} + (2n+1)x^{6mn+4m-2n-1}]$$

and 
$$\Pi(TUAC_6[m,n]) = 23[18mn^2 + 24mn - 5n^2 + 8m - 6n - 2]$$

*Proof.* Let  $G = TUAC_6[m,n]$  be the the Armchair polyhex nanotubes and *m* and *n* be the number of hexagons in rows and columns of *G*.

From Figures 1 and 2, it is easy to see that the number of vertices/carbon atoms and edges/chemical bonds of  $TUAC_6[m,n]$ , are equal to  $|V(TUAC_6[m,n])| = 4m(n+1)$  and  $|E(TUAC_6[m,n])| = 6mn+4m$ .

By according to Figure 2, we denote all hotizontal edge in  $i^{th}$  column by  $e_i$  and all oblique edges in  $i^{th}$  column by  $f_i$  (right) and  $h_i$  (left), then one can see that for all *quasi-orthogonal cuts*  $C(e_i)$ ,  $C(f_i)$  and  $C(h_i)$ :

There are 2m number of  $C(e_i)$  with size  $|C(e_i)| = n+1$  and m number of  $C(f_j)$  and  $C(h_l)$  with same size  $|C(f_i)| = |C(h_i)| = 2n+1$ . So we have the following relations for Armchair polyhex nanotubes  $G = TUAC_6[m,n]$ :

$$\Pi(TUAC_{6}[m,n],x) = \sum_{c} c \times m(TUAC_{6}[m,n],c) x^{[E(TUAC_{6}[m,n])]-c}$$

$$= \sum_{c} 2m \times |C(e_{i})| x^{6mn+4m-|C(e_{i})|} + \sum_{c} m \times |C(f_{i})| x^{6mn+4m-|C(f_{i})|} + \sum_{c} m \times |C(h_{i})| x^{6mn+4m-|C(h_{i})|}$$

$$= 2m \times (n+1) x^{6mn+4m-n-1} + m \times (2n+1) x^{6mn+4m-2n-1} + m \times (2n+1) x^{6mn+4m-2n-1}$$

$$= 2m [(n+1) x^{6mn+4m-n-1} + (2n+1) x^{6mn+4m-2n-1}]$$

The first derivative (computed at x=1) of  $\Pi(TUAC_6[m,n],x)$  polynomial provide the *Pi* index of an *Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC*<sub>6</sub> as follows:

$$\Pi(TUAC_{6}[m,n]) = \Pi'(TUAC_{6}[m,n],1)$$

$$= \sum_{c} c \times m(TUAC_{6}[m,n],c) (|E(TUAC_{6}[m,n])| - c)$$

$$= 2m[(n+1)(6mn + 4m - n - 1) + (2n + 1)(6mn + 4m - 2n - 1)]$$

$$= 23[18mn^{2} + 24mn - 5n^{2} + 8m - 6n - 2]$$

and this completes the proof.

## **3. CONCLUSION**

In this paper, I was counting a new counting topological polynomial and its index for a family of carbon nanotubes" Armchair polyhex nanotubes  $TUAC_6[m,n]$ ".  $\Pi(G,x)$  polynomial and its index are useful for counting the *quasi-orthogonal cut qoc* strip in structure of connected nanotubes and connected nanostructures.

## References

- [1] M.V. Diudea, Carpath. J. Math. 22 (2006) 43--47.
- [2] M.V. Diudea, S. Cigher, A.E. Vizitiu, O. Ursu, P.E. John. Croat. Chem. Acta 79(3), (2006) 445-448.
- [3] P.E. John, A.E. Vizitiu, S. Cigher, M.V. Diudea, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 57 (2007) 479-484.
- [4] M.V. Diudea, S. Cigher, P.E. John. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 60 (2008) 237-250.
- [5] M.V. Diudea, S. Cigher, P.E. John, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 60 (2008) 237-250.
- [6] M.V. Diudea, I. Gutman, L. Jäntschi, Molecular Topology, NOVA, New York, 2002.
- [7] A.E. Vizitiu, S. Cigher, M.V. Diudea, M.S. Florescu, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 57(2) (2007) 479-484.
- [8] M.V. Diudea, A. Ilić, Carpath. J. Math. 20(1) (2009) 177-185.
- [9] M.V. Diudea, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 2010, 64, 569.
- [10] A.R. Ashrafi, M. Jalali, M. Ghorbani, M.V. Diudea. MATCH, Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008), 905-916.
- [11] D.Ž. Djoković, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 14 (1973) 263.
- [12] P.M. Winkler, Discrete Appl. Math. 8 (1984) 209.
- [13] S. Klavžar, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 59 (2008) 217.
- [14] A.R. Ashrafi, M. Ghorbani, M. Jalali, Int. J. Chem. 47A(4) (2008) 535-537.
- [15] P.V. Khadikar, S. Joshi, A. V. Bajaj, D. Mandloi, Med. Chem. Lett. 14 (2004) 1187-1191.
- [16] P.V. Khadikar, V. K. Agrawal, S. Karmarkar. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2(10) (2002) 3499-3507.
- [17] P.V. Khadikar, D. Mandoli, Sadhana, *Bioinformatics Trends* 1 (2006) 51-63.
- [18] S. Iijima, Nature 354 (1001) 56.
- [19] D.S. Bethune, C.H. Kiang, M.S. Devries, G. Gorman, R. Savoy, J. Vazquez, A. Beyers, *IBID* 363 (1993) 605.
- [20] I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, ACH Models Chem. 133 (1996) 389-399.
- [21] M.V. Diudea, MATCH, Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 45 (2002) 109-122.
- [22] A.R. Ashrafi, G. R. Vakili-Nezhaad, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 29 (2006) 181-184.
- [23] S. Yousefi, H. Yousefi-Azari, A.R. Ashrafi, M. H. Khalifeh, JSUT 33(3) (2008) 7-11.
- [24] A. Iranmanesh, Y. Alizadeh, Digest. J. Nanomater. Bios 4 (2009) 607-611.
- [25] H. Shabani, A.R. Ashrafi, Digest. J. Nanomater. Bios 4 (2009) 423-428.

- [26] S. Alikhani, M.A. Iranmanesh, Digest. J. Nanomater. Bios 5 (2010) 1-7.
- [27] M.R. Farahani. Proceedings of the Romanian Academy Series B Chemistry 15(1) (2013) 3-6.
- [28] M.R. Farahani, Journal of Advances in Physics 3(1) (2013) 191-196.
- [29] M.R. Farahani, Acta Chim. Slov. 59 (2012) 779-783.
- [30] M.R. Farahani, *Le Matematiche* 69(2) (2014).
- [31] N. Trinajstić, Chemical Graph Theory, (second ed.) CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (1992).
- [32] R. Todeschini and V. Consonni, Handbook of Molecular Descriptors, Weinheim, Wiley-VCH, (2000).
- [33] N. Trinajstić, I. Gutman, Croat. Chem. Acta 75 (2002) 329-356.
- [34] Mohammad Reza Farahani, International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy 12 (2014) 56-62.
- [35] Mohammad Reza Farahani, International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy 12 (2014) 63-68.
- [36] Mohammad Reza Farahani, *International Letters of Chemistry, Physics and Astronomy* 13(1) (2014) 71-76.

(Received 17 June 2014; accepted 27 June 2014)