

Eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems

TADEUSZ KACZOREK and KAMIL BORAWSKI

The problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems is considered. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the problem are established. A procedure for computation of the gain matrices is given and illustrated by a numerical example.

Key words: eigenvalue assignment, fractional, descriptor, discrete-time linear system, gain matrix.

1. Introduction

A dynamical system is called a fractional-order system if its state equations are given by fractional-order derivative of state vector. Mathematical fundamentals of the fractional calculus are given in the [23, 25, 26]. The standard and positive fractional linear systems have been investigated in [18, 24] and the positive fractional linear electrical circuits in [20]. Some recent interesting results in the fractional systems theory and its applications can be found in [8, 27, 28, 30].

Descriptor (singular) linear systems were considered in many papers and books [1-7, 9-11, 17, 18, 22, 29, 31]. The positive standard and descriptor systems and their stability have been analyzed in [13-16, 28]. Descriptor positive discrete-time and continuous-time nonlinear systems have been analyzed in [10] and the positivity and linearization of nonlinear discrete-time systems by state-feedbacks in [14]. New stability tests of positive standard and fractional linear systems have been investigated in [12]. The controllability of dynamical systems has been investigated in [21].

In this paper the eigenvalue assignment problem for fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems will be investigated and procedure for computation of the state-feedback gain matrices will be presented.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems is formulated. In section 3 the

The authors is with Bialystok University of Technology, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Wiejska 45D, 15-351 Bialystok, Poland. E-mail: kaczonek@ee.pw.edu.pl

This work was supported by National Science Centre in Poland under work No. 2014/13/B/ST7/03467. Received 18.08.2016.

problem is solved and procedure for computation of the state-feedback gain matrices is presented. Concluding remarks are given in section 4.

The following notation will be used: \mathfrak{R} — the set of real numbers, $\mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$ — the set of $n \times m$ real matrices and $\mathfrak{R}^n = \mathfrak{R}^{n \times 1}$, I_n — the $n \times n$ identity matrix, Z_+ — the set of nonnegative integers.

2. Problem formulation

Consider the descriptor discrete-time linear system

$$E\Delta^\alpha x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, \quad k \in Z_+ = \{0, 1, \dots\} \quad (1)$$

where $x_k \in \mathfrak{R}^n$, $u_k \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ are the state and input vectors and $E, A \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times n}$, $B \in \mathfrak{R}^{n \times m}$. The fractional difference of the order α is defined by

$$\Delta^\alpha x_k = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \binom{\alpha}{i} x_{k-i}, \quad \binom{\alpha}{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i=0, \\ \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\dots(\alpha-i+1)}{i!} & \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Substituting (2) into (1) yields

$$Ex_{k+1} = A_\alpha x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} c_i Ex_{k-i+1} + Bu_k \quad (3)$$

where

$$A_\alpha = A + \alpha E, \quad c_i = (-1)^i \binom{\alpha}{i+1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4)$$

It is assumed that $\text{rank } E = r < n$ and $\text{rank } B = m$. In practical problems it is also assumed that i is bounded by natural number $h = k + 1 > n$. We may write the equation (3) in the form

$$\bar{E}\bar{x}_{k+1} = \bar{A}\bar{x}_k + \bar{B}u_k, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} A_\alpha & c_1 E & c_2 E & \cdots & c_{h-1} E & c_h E \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times \bar{n}}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times m},$$

$$\bar{E} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n} \times \bar{n}}, \quad \bar{x}_k = \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ x_{k-1} \\ x_{k-2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{k-h} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathfrak{R}^{\bar{n}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \bar{n} = n(h+1).$$

Let us consider the system (1) with the state-feedback

$$\bar{u}_k = K_1 \bar{x}_{k+1} + K_2 \bar{x}_k \quad (7)$$

where $\bar{u}_k \in \mathfrak{R}^m$ is a new input vector and $K_1, K_2 \in \mathfrak{R}^{m \times \bar{n}}$ are gain matrices. Substitution of (7) into (5) yields

$$(\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1)\bar{x}_{k+1} = (\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2)\bar{x}_k. \quad (8)$$

The problem can be stated as follows. Given $E, A, B, \alpha \in (0, 1)$ find K_1, K_2 such that the closed-loop system has desired eigenvalues $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, |z_k| < 1, k = 1, \dots, n$.

3. Problem solution

The problem will be solved by the use of the following two steps procedure.

Step 1. (Subproblem 1) Find K_1 such that $\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_{\bar{n}}$.

Step 2. (Subproblem 2) Find K_2 such that $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$ has desired eigenvalues.

The first subproblem has a solution if and only if [3]

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{E} & \bar{B} \end{bmatrix} = \bar{n}, \quad \text{rank} \bar{B} = m. \quad (9)$$

Theorem 8 *If the conditions (9) are satisfied then the equation*

$$\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_{\bar{n}} \quad (10)$$

has the solution

$$K_1 = \{[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T]\}(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}), \quad (11)$$

where K is an arbitrary matrix.

Proof From (10) we have

$$\bar{B}K_1 = \bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}. \quad (12)$$

If conditions (9) are met then there exists the left pseudoinverse of the matrix \bar{B} given by the formula [19]

$$\bar{B}_L = [\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T] \quad (13)$$

and

$$K_1 = \bar{B}_L(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}) = \{[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T + K[I_{\bar{n}} - \bar{B}[\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T]\}(\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}), \quad (14)$$

which is equivalent to (11). \square

Remark 1 In particular case when $K = 0$ we have

$$K_1 = [\bar{B}^T \bar{B}]^{-1} \bar{B}^T (\bar{E} - I_{\bar{n}}) = \begin{bmatrix} [B^T B]^{-1} B^T (E - I_n) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

and then

$$K_1 \bar{x}_{k+1} = [B^T B]^{-1} B^T (E - I_n) x_{k+1}. \quad (16)$$

The second subproblem will be solved substituting (10) into (8). Thus we have

$$\bar{x}_{k+1} = (\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2) \bar{x}_k. \quad (17)$$

Theorem 9 *There exists a matrix K_2 such that the matrix $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$ has the desired eigenvalues λ_k , $k = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$ if and only if the pair (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) is controllable.*

Proof The proof is given in [11].

To solve the problem one of the well-known methods [11] can be applied. To simplify the notation we consider the single-input system (17) with a controllable pair (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) . Following [11] there exists a matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} p_1 \\ p_1 \bar{A} \\ \vdots \\ p_1 \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

that transforms every controllable pair (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) to the canonical form

$$\tilde{A} = P\bar{A}P^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -\tilde{a}_0 & -\tilde{a}_1 & -\tilde{a}_2 & \cdots & -\tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{B} = P\bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

The vector p_1 in (18) is the \bar{n} -th row of the matrix

$$[\bar{B} \quad \bar{A}\bar{B} \quad \cdots \quad \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1}\bar{B}]^{-1}. \quad (20)$$

The characteristic polynomial of the matrix \tilde{A} has the form

$$\det[I_{\bar{n}}z - \tilde{A}] = z^{\bar{n}} + \tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1}z^{\bar{n}-1} + \cdots + \tilde{a}_1z + \tilde{a}_0 \quad (21)$$

and the characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system matrix $\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}K_2$ has the form

$$\det[I_{\bar{n}}z - \tilde{A} - \tilde{B}K_2] = z^{\bar{n}} + \tilde{d}_{\bar{n}-1}z^{\bar{n}-1} + \cdots + \tilde{d}_1z + \tilde{d}_0. \quad (22)$$

The matrix satisfying (22) is given by

$$K_2 = [\tilde{d}_0 - \tilde{a}_0 \quad \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{a}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \tilde{d}_{\bar{n}-1} - \tilde{a}_{\bar{n}-1}]. \quad (23)$$

The considerations can be easily extended to multi-input systems [11].

From the above we have the following procedure.

Procedure 1.

- Step 1.** Knowing A, B, E, α choose $h > n$ and compute the matrices $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{E}$ defined by (6).
- Step 2.** Check the conditions (9), then using \bar{E} and \bar{B} compute K_1 defined by (11). In particular case when $K = 0$ we can use matrices E and B (see (15)).
- Step 3.** Applying one of the well-known methods [11] and using \bar{A}, \bar{B} compute K_2 such that the matrix $\bar{A} + \bar{B}K_2$ has the desired eigenvalues $\lambda_k, k = 1, \dots, \bar{n}$, $\text{Re} \lambda_k < 0$. The method for single-input systems presented above can be used.

Example 1 Consider the fractional descriptor discrete-time linear system (1) with the matrices

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

and $\alpha = 0.5$. Find K_1 and K_2 such that the closed-loop system has the eigenvalues $\lambda_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, 9$. Using the Procedure 1 we obtain the following.

Step 1. Step 1. We choose $h = 2$. From (6) we have

$$\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0.0625 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0.125 & 0 & 0 & 0.0625 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

$$\bar{E} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Step 2. The conditions (9) are satisfied. Using (25) with (11) for

$K = [1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]$ we obtain the first gain matrix

$$K_1 = [0 \ 0 \ -1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0]. \quad (26)$$

It is easy to check that $\bar{E} - \bar{B}K_1 = I_9$.

Step 3. Step 3. Using the presented algorithm for single-input systems we compute the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\bar{B} \quad \bar{A}\bar{B} \quad \dots \quad \bar{A}^{\bar{n}-1}\bar{B}]^{-1} = \quad (27) \\
 = & \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & -0.5 \\
 -13.5 & 0.5 & 0 & 5.5 & 10.5 & -0.5 & 2.4 & 3.1 & 5.4 \\
 54 & 52 & 0 & -48 & -96 & -52 & 3.3 & 22.7 & 9.2 \\
 82 & 370 & 0 & -2 & -248 & -370 & -49.3 & -104.8 & -29.2 \\
 -688 & -1656 & 0 & 376 & 1776 & 1656 & 114 & 84 & -174 \\
 384 & 1056 & 0 & -160 & -984 & -1056 & -104 & -156 & 24 \\
 -1024 & -2368 & 0 & 576 & 2560 & 2368 & 160 & 112 & 224 \\
 640 & 1408 & 0 & -384 & -1600 & -1408 & -80 & -16 & 176
 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

The vector has the form

$$p_1 = [640 \quad 1408 \quad 0 \quad -384 \quad -1600 \quad -1408 \quad -80 \quad -16 \quad 176]. \quad (28)$$

Using (18) we compute the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix}
 640 & 1408 & 0 & -384 & -1600 & -1408 & -80 & -16 & 176 \\
 -64 & -256 & 0 & 0 & 160 & 256 & 40 & -88 & 40 \\
 -32 & -32 & 0 & 32 & 56 & 32 & -4 & -16 & -4 \\
 16 & 8 & 0 & -8 & -20 & -8 & -2 & -2 & -2 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 1 \\
 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0.5 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 & 0 & -0.1 & 0 \\
 0.5 & 0.9 & 0 & 0.1 & -0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 \\
 0.4 & 0.9 & 1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.1 & 0
 \end{bmatrix} \quad (29)$$

which transforms the pair (\bar{A}, \bar{B}) to the canonical form (see (19))

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{bmatrix}
 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & -0.002 & -0.0117 & -0.0234 & -0.0781 & 1.125 & -0.5 & 1.5 & 0
 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\tilde{B} = [0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1]^T. \quad (30)$$

Using (23) we have the second gain matrix

$$K_2 = [0 \ 0 \ -0.002 \ -0.0117 \ -0.0234 \ -0.0781 \ 1.125 \ -0.5 \ 1.5]. \quad (31)$$

The closed-loop system matrix is given by

$$\tilde{A} + \tilde{B}K_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (32)$$

and has desired eigenvalues $\lambda_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, 9$.

4. Concluding remarks

The problem of eigenvalue assignment in fractional descriptor discrete-time linear systems has been considered. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a solution to the problem have been established. A procedure for computation of the gain matrices has been given and illustrated by a numerical example.

The considerations can be extended to fractional descriptor continuous-time linear systems.

References

- [1] R. BRU, C. COLL and E. SANCHEZ: About positively discrete-time singular systems. In Mastorakis M.E. (Ed.), *System and Control: Theory and Applications*, World Scientific and Engineering Society, Athens, 2000, 44-48.
- [2] R. BRU, C. COLL, S. ROMERO-VIVO and E. SANCHEZ: Some problems about structural properties of positive descriptor systems. In Benvenuti A., de Santis A. and Farina L. (Eds.), *Positive Systems, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, **294** (2003), Springer, Berlin, 233-240.

- [3] A. BUNSE-GERSTNER, N. NICHOLS and V. MEHRMANN: Regularization of descriptor systems by derivative and proportional state feedback. *SIAM J. on Matrix Analysis and Applications*, **13**(1), (1992), 46-67.
- [4] S.L. CAMPBELL, C.D. MEYER AND N.J. ROSE: Applications of the Drazin inverse to linear systems of differential equations with singular constant coefficients. *SIAM J. on Applied Mathematics*, **31**(3), (1976), 411-425.
- [5] L. DAI: Singular Control Systems. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] M. DODIG and M. STOSIC: Singular systems state feedbacks problems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **431**(8), (2009), 1267-1292.
- [7] G.R. DUAN: Analysis and Design of Descriptor Linear Systems. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [8] A. DZIELIŃSKI, D. SIEROCIUK and G. SARWAS: Ultracapacitor parameters identification based on fractional order model. *Proc. 10th European Control Conference ECC'09*, Budapest, (2009).
- [9] M.M. FAHMY and J. O'REILL: Matrix pencil of closed-loop descriptor systems: Infinite-eigenvalues assignment. *Int. J. of Control*, **49**(4), (1989), 1421-1431.
- [10] T. KACZOREK: Descriptor positive discrete-time and continuous-time nonlinear systems. *Proc. of SPIE*, **9290**(2014), 1-11.
- [11] T. KACZOREK: Linear Control Systems vol. 1, Research Studies Press, J. Wiley, New York, 1992.
- [12] T. KACZOREK: New stability tests of positive standard and fractional linear systems. *Circuits and Systems*, **2**(4), (2011), 261-268.
- [13] T. KACZOREK: Positive 1D and 2D Systems, Springer-Verlag, London, 2001.
- [14] T. KACZOREK: Positivity and linearization of a class of nonlinear discrete-time systems by state feedbacks. *Logistyka*, **6** (2014), 5078-5083.
- [15] T. KACZOREK: Positive descriptor discrete-time linear systems. *Problems of Non-linear Analysis in Engineering Systems*, **1**(7), (1998), 38-54.
- [16] T. KACZOREK: Positivity and stability of discrete-time nonlinear systems. *Proc. of 2nd IEEE Intern. Conf. on Cybernetics CYBCONF*, (2015), Gdynia, Poland.
- [17] T. KACZOREK: Positive singular discrete time linear systems. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, **45**(4), (1997), 619-631.

- [18] T. KACZOREK: Selected Problems of Fractional Systems Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2012.
- [19] T. KACZOREK: Vectors and Matrices in Automation and Electrotechnics, WNT, Warszawa, 1998 (in Polish).
- [20] T. KACZOREK and K. ROGOWSKI: Fractional Linear Systems and Electrical Circuits. Studies in Systems, Decision and Control, **13** Springer, 2015.
- [21] J. KLAMKA: Controllability of dynamical systems. A survey. *Bull. Pol. Acad. Techn. Sci.*, **61**(2), (2013), 221-229.
- [22] KUCERA and P. ZAGALAK: Fundamental theorem of state feedback for singular systems. *Automatica*, **24**(5), (1988), 653-658.
- [23] K.B. OLDHAM and J. SPANIER: The Fractional Calculus. Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [24] P. OSTALCZYK: Discrete Fractional Calculus. World Scientific Publ. Co., New Jersey 2016.
- [25] P. OSTALCZYK: Epitome of the fractional calculus: Theory and its Applications in Automatics. Wydawnictwo Politechniki Łódzkiej, Łódź, 2008 (in Polish).
- [26] I. PODLUBNY: Fractional Differential Equations. Academic Press, San Diego 1999.
- [27] A.G. RADWAN, A.M. SOLIMAN, A.S. ELWAKIL and A. SEDEEK: On the stability of linear systems with fractional-order elements. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, **40**(5), (2009), 2317-2328.
- [28] E.J. SOLTEIRO PIRES, J.A. TENREIRO MACHADO and P.B. MOURA OLIVEIRA: Fractional dynamics in genetic algorithms. *Workshop on Fractional Differentiation and its Application*, **2** (2006), 414-419.
- [29] P. VAN DOOREN: The computation of Kronecker's canonical form of a singular pencil. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **27** (1979), 103-140.
- [30] B.M. VINAGRE, C.A. MONJE and A.J. CALDERON: Fractional order systems and fractional order control actions. Lecture 3 IEEE CDC'02 TW#2: Fractional calculus Applications in Automatic Control and Robotics, (2002).
- [31] E. VIRNIK: Stability analysis of positive descriptor systems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, **429**(10), (2008), 2640-2659.