

THE APPLICATION OF CRM TYPE SYSTEMS IN SCIENTIFIC MARKETING – PROSPECTS FOR EFFECTIVE COOPERATION OF SCIENCE AND INDUSTRY.

Monika Pietrzyk, Ph.D. Research Center for Einstein Physics Free University, Berlin, Germany Piotr Winiarski, M.Sc. Eng. Poland Business Center Berlin

Introduction

Scientific marketing is an area which supports cooperation of science and industry. In some countries this kind of cooperation is already quite advanced, in other countries, like Poland, it has only started developing. However, a lot can be done to improve the flow of information and technology from Polish science to Polish industry and the other way round, as well as to inspire new scientific research with demand on the national and foreign market (export of services). Insufficient cooperation results above all from from the lack of mutual understanding of the manner of functioning. Scientific units hardly ever have independent marketing units within their structures. At the same time representatives of the industry don't know who they should contact to start cooperation. The managements of industrial companies often don't regard their own marketing departments as significant, even though they generate further orders and can provide information about trends and innovations. In reality, the difference between the cooperation of science and industry and a standard B2B relation (business to business) between companies is not big. Thanks to this similarity it is possible to use the CRM system, which appropriately applied can contribute to raising profits by addressing the needs of the client (understanding market needs by scientific institutions, on the other hand the industry can skillfully present a problem). In this article we suggest paying attention to the application of CRM in the context of scientific marketing. Our current knowledge suggests that such solutions haven't been implemented yet and if they exist, they concern only a small proportion of scientific institutions and the industry. Scientific institutions take advantage of CRM only in the context of databases or the so-called "address lists" used for contact with current, former and future students and scientific employees, but not in the context of cooperation with the industry. Such systems could be modeled and installed at the same time in scientific institutions, in the industry or in consulting companies dealing with establishing cooperation of various organizations with the industry.

This publication is devoted to scientific marketing and the prospects for its effective development thanks to applying a modern CRM system, which manages relations with the client. At the beginning certain definitions and information about scientific marketing will be discussed. Further, CRM system will be discussed. At the end of the article the focus will shift to the possibility of applying CRM system in scientific marketing.

Scientific marketing

Scientific marketing is an area which is aimed at promoting cooperation of science and industry. It is associated with such issues as innovation, commercialization, technological offer and transfer of technology. Below definitions of these terms are presented:

Innovation

Innovation means introducing an invention to the process of production. In the contemporary world innovative activity is regarded as an essential condition of growth, as well as economic and social development. For this reason, it is in the centre of attention right now, especially in countries with the highest rate of economic growth.

Commercialization

The basic goal of commercialization is transferring the results of research or a particular technology to the market. An effective process of commercialization in its most classic form, that is, the sale of research results by scientific units to companies requires cooperation of at least two partners – scientific and research units and companies. Nevertheless, in order to raise the efficiency, a third partner often takes part in the process. The third partner acts as an intermediary in the exchange of knowledge between science and industry. This is the role played by eg. technology transfer institutions representing public institutions or private consulting companies, which have experienced engineers and scientists in their teams.

On the market there are also more and more "spin-off" and "spin-out" companies, which are companies established by scientists in order to commercialize research results. In case of the first kind of companies an employee or employees of a laboratory, a university or another scientific unit become independent and don't take advantage of the resources of their parent institution. "Spin-outs" are companies which, in contrast to "spin-off" ventures, are permanently attached to their parent institutions on the operative or capital level.

Technological Offer

Technological offer serves a very important role both as an element of the market of technology and the innovative and technology transfer process. Its quality, form and content determine, whether the proposed innovation can attract the attention of the recipient and whether it will be accepted and implemented by the recipient. Technological offers are created in the so-called process of innovation and appear at various stages of the project.

In theory, the most often applied models of the innovative process are:

- Traditional model stimulated by science, which illustrates the manner of creating innovations
 resulting from the application of basic research carried out in research and scientific institutions
 in practice.
- Model stimulated by the market, in which the source of innovation are the needs of companies, which are supposed to be satisfied by the results of applied research.
- Combined model (science + market) takes into consideration the interaction between social needs, needs of companies, their technical and technological capacity and the creator of technology. This model is illustrated by the activities of such institutions as: centres of advanced technologies, technology platforms or innovative clusters. In this model, thanks to constant cooperation of science and economy, technological offer can be created at every stage, from the first contact, through development works, to implementation, marketing and sales.

Preparing technological offers allows cataloguing the offer for the industry and gives the opportunity to react faster and easier to the emerging needs of companies. Many universities conduct projects aimed at identifying and publishing offers in a systematic way on their websites and in catalogues. This makes it much easier for potential clients to find the information they are interested in and it allows universities to more effectively manage their research and development works and their results.

Technology transfer

Transfer of technology is exchange of (on defined terms), among others, technological and organizational knowledge, taking place between those who have the knowledge and those who need the knowledge. In every technology transfer process there are two sides – the provider of technology and its buyer – making a certain deal. In the most traditional understanding, the transfer of technology takes place between the scientific-research sphere (universities, universities of technology, research and development units) and the business sphere (industrial companies). However, more and more often there is yet another party – institutions dealing with technology transfer, acting as intermediaries in the exchange of knowledge (eg. technology transfer centres, academic business incubators, science-technology parks, national and international support networks and commercial consulting companies).

Obstacles hampering cooperation

Cooperation of science and industry makes it possible for (usually underfinanced) scientific institutions to obtain additional funds, it creates the possibility of directing scientific research so that it could more realistically model reality, it also gives the opportunity to confirm theoretical presumptions of some technical issues in practice. On the other hand, the economy, in order to develop effectively needs scientific research (this concerns above all companies that don't have their own laboratories, which is typical in Poland). For this reason effective cooperation of science and industry would be the perfect solution. Unfortunately, the experience in cooperation between scientific centres and industrial companies is limited, especially in a post-communist country like Poland (communist system in Poland collapsed in 1989, but the destructive remnants of the system can still be felt in all spheres of life).

Studying statistical data concerning innovative activity, its possible to notice a deep and alarming gap between the European Union and such powerful centres of research and development as USA and Japan (these countries have the best possible regulatory environment supporting the process of commercialization of scientific research and providing the possibilities for protection of intellectual property¹). The indicator of efficiency in USA and Japan, compared to the European Union amounts to 49% and 40%, respectively (data from 2010²). In this respect the leader in the European Union is Sweden (innovation indicator for 2011 is 0.755³), followed by Denmark, Finland, Germany and the UK. Poland which is placed near the bottom of the ranking (innovation indicator of 0.296) is ahead of only Romania, Lithuania, Latvia and Bulgaria. One of the elements influencing innovation is "intellectual capital" (knowledge, experience, technologies, relations with customers and skills) which in case of Poland is alarmingly low (indicator of 0.087), in comparison to the EU average (indicator of 0.306). The only element which can be regarded as positive in this context is "personnel" (indicator of 0.38 in Poland, compared to the EU average of 0.440).

In Poland only about 0.74% of GDP is spent on research activities. In comparison, the average for the whole European Union is 2.09% (the biggest proportion of GDP is spent on science in Finland – 3.87%, the lowest in Romania – 0.47%). In Japan, South Korea and the USA these proportions are even higher and they are 3,45%, 3,36% and 2,79% of GDP, respectively (data for 2010⁴ – here the indicator "R&D expenditure as a percentage of GDP" is used). Also, the scale of national spending on research and development (GERD) in Poland, per inhabitant and per researcher are far from the level typical in highly developed countries⁵: The GERD indicator per inhabitant in Poland is eight times lower than the average indicator for the EU and seven times lower than the average in the European Union. In Poland the proportion of spending on one researcher is the lowest in the whole European Union and four times lower than on average in the European Union.

Moreover, in highly developed countries the biggest share of spending on science comes from nonbudget sources, mainly from companies. At the same time, in Poland funds from the state budget are dominant and constitute almost 2/3 of total spending on science. Unfortunately, such proportions are typical of less developed nations⁶. Moreover, in highly developed countries 90% of funds allocated to science are spent on research and development and 10% on equipment. In Poland this proportion is just the opposit⁷.

¹ BBC, EU sees alarming innovation gap for European firms, 01.02.2011,

http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-12334091.

² Inno Metrics, Innovations Union Scoreboard 2010, EU 2011.

³ Pro Inno Europe, Innovations Union Scoreboard 2011, EU 2012.

⁴ Eurostat, Eurostat pocketbooks: Science, technology and innovation in Europe, 2012 edition, EU 2012.

⁵ J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki i techniki w Polsce, KBN, Warszawa 1999.

⁶ Ibidem.

⁷ P. Musiałek, T. Romanowski, Rozmowa z dr. Tomaszem Geodeckim, wykładowcą Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego

w Krakowie, współautorem raportu pod red. J. Hausnera, Kurs na innowacje. Jak wyprowadzić Polskę z rozwojowego dryftu? 08.11.2012, pressje.salon24.pl/462152,wywiad-o-innowacyjnosci-w-polsce.

With regard to the cooperation of scientific centres with companies, statistical data show that only about 57% of scientific centres in Poland have started cooperation with companies – this is confirmed by the fact that Poland placed 64th (among 136 countries) in the ranking of coperation of universities with the industry - The Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011)⁸. In this respect the position of companies is even worse⁹.

One of the obstacles hampering cooperation of scientific centres and the industry are different languages used by these two spheres. For example, the word "spray" used in science is commonly understood as "a spray container", even though the word denominates "small particles present in the atmosphere", the scientific term "theory" is commonly perceived as "speculation" rather than as a "method of scientific understanding" of a particular problem. The word "error" is understood as "incorrectly done", even though from the scientific point of view it is about the "difference between the actual numerical value and the estimated value" ^{10,11}.

According to the representatives of the scientific sphere in Poland the obstacles hampering cooperation are: lack of interest from companies and the lack of appropriate incentives from the state, limited tradition of cooperation of universities and private companies, shortage of appropriate equipment for commercial research, shortage of people and institutions helpful in commercialization, difficulties associated with unclear and constantly changing law and bureaucracy, as well as the fears of scientific employees associated with starting cooperation with big corporations^{12,13}. In case of companies one of the barriers is the lack of profitability of cooperation and the fact that scientists have little knowledge of the commercial reality.

Even though it can be assumed that the Polish economy has been fully transformed into a free market system, following the fall of communism in 1989, Polish universities have efficiently managed to avoid necessary and imminent changes:

"Polish science is divided into very small pieces, closed to the environment, resistant to reforms, it is not interested in the surrounding environment. Polish institutions do cooperate with the industry, but when you look closer, it turns out that they cooperate not with innovative companies developing new technologies, but with partially state-owned concerns, where certain socialist habits are still common. It is obvious that this kind of cooperation is different in character than developing innovations and new technologies, what is most important here are aspects originating from the time of communism" - Mirosław Miller, Professor of the Wrocław University of Technology, the president of the Wrocław Research Centre EIT+ ¹⁴.

13 D. Markiewicz (ed.), Komercjalizacja wyników badań naukowych krok po kroku, Kraków 2009.

⁸ J. Wolszczak-Derlacz, A. Parteka, Produktywność naukowa wyższych szkół publicznych w Polsce - bibliometryczna analiza porównawcza, Sprawne Państwo, Program Ernst & Young, Warszawa 2010.

⁹ Najlepsze praktyki w zakresie współpracy ośrodków naukowych i biznesu przy wykorzystaniu środków z UE, Warszawa 2008.

¹⁰ T. Dorigo, Getting Science Through: Misunderstood Terms In Science Communication, 24.10.2011.

¹¹ D. E. Simanek, A Glossary of Frequently Misused or Misunderstood Physics Terms and Concepts, 2004, http://www.lhup.edu/~dsimanek/glossary.htm.

¹² Jagiellońskie Centrum Innowacji Sp. z.o.o., Raport nt. barier komercjalizacji wyników badań naukowych w dziedzinie life science w Małopolsce, 2007.

¹⁴ II Kongres Innowacyjnej Gospodarki, Raport o Innowacyjności Polskiej Gospodarki 2011, Rozdział 6, "Osobiste rekomendacje członków zespołu badawczego", Uczelnia Vistula, 2011.

"The current system of support for innovations in Poland is anachronistic and ineffective. It resembles socialist economy. There are no clear criteria for measurement and a strategy/vision of the target. Various interest groups, which don't necessarily create added value for the economy fight for money" - Tomasz Czechowicz, managing partner and main shareholder of MCI Management S.A., remarks¹⁵.

In Poland the scientific sphere itself has to cope with many problems. Recently, the Supreme Audit Office (NIK) investigated the utilization of funds for science in the years 2009-2011¹⁶. The results of this investigation were shocking: in Poland financial assets are not concentrated on major research of essential value for the society, economy and technological development of the country, most of the scientific projects financed by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education are "small research programs not associated with each other, doctoral studies". They are usually targeted at the development and maintenance of scientific staff.

As the results of NIK's investigation¹⁷ show, the effects are not impressive also with regard to the publications in prestigious scientific periodicals from the ISI Master Journal List¹⁸. In terms of the number of publications in these magazines Poland occupies a comparably high 18th position and its share in the global pool amounts to 1.02% (in comparison, in USA it is 37,41%, in UK 9,27%, 8.70% in Japan and 8,07% in Germany), in terms of annual number of publications per 1 million inhabitants (average for the years 1993-1997) Poland is in the same group of nations as Croatia, Bulgaria, Russia and Portugal and occupies 39th place¹⁹. Moreover, even the best universities in Poland, in terms of the number of publications, that is, Jagiellonian University and the Wrocław University of Technology (where the publication ratio for 2008 amounted to 0,54) are no match for the best universities in the European Union, eq. University of Helsinki can boast a ratio of 1,4. In the scientific units surveyed by NIK the average number of publications in scientific magazines distinguished by Journal Citation Reports (JCR) per one scientific employee over a year was very low and ranged from 0,5 to 1 in institutes of the Polish Academy of Sciences (PAN), from 0 to 0,2 in research institutions and scientific and research centres and from 0,1 to 0,5 at universities²⁰. Aggregated bibliometric indicators from SCImago 2007 JCR confirm these observations: the number of publications per number of employees (average value for the years 1996-2008) and the number of citations in Poland is low and amounts to 0,37 and 6,6, respectively. At the same time in the UK these figures are 0,59 and 14,8, respectively and in Switzerland - 1,16 and 18,6, respectively.

Moreover, only few Polish scientific magazines have managed to gain international renown: only 59 magazines edited in Poland (out of a total of 6598) have made it to the database of JCR-Science in 2008 and only 13 among them have an impact factor higher than 1. In the rankings of most often quoted scientists for the years 1981-1999 (according to Thomson Reuters - ISI Highly Cited) only two scientists

19 J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki..., op. cit.

20 M. Kosmulski, "Poprzeczka listy filadelfijskiej," onet.pl / Tygodnik Powszechny, 10.01.2012,

http://tygodnik.onet.pl/1,72518,druk.html.

¹⁵ Ibidem.

¹⁶ Polityka/PAP, TR, "Polska nauka ma się źle, miażdzący raport NIK," 14.11.2012, http://m.onet.pl/wiadomosci/kraj,zw0q8.

¹⁷ J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki..., op. cit.

¹⁸ ISI Master Journal List, http://ip-science.thomsonreuters.com/mjl/.

were from Poland²¹.

Apart from that, according to *The Times Higher Education World University Rankings 2012-2013 powered by Thomson Reuters* no Polish scientific institution is among the top 100 best European universities; University of Warsaw (UW) and the Jagiellonian University (UJ) are located in the 351-400 range in the ranking²². According to another ranking, namely: *Higher Education Evaluation and Accreditation Council of Taiwan Performance Ranking of Scientific Papers for World Universities*, the two above-mentioned Polish universities occupy positions 364 (UW) and 353 (UJ) among 500 best universities²³.

Poland is not doing well with regard to patents either: according to the patent database of OECD for 2007 in Poland there were only 5 patents for every million inhabitants, which is well below the OECD average which amounted to 100 patent applications per million inhabitants. When we compare this result with patenting activities of highly innovative European countries, such as Germany (257 applications per one million inhabitants this year), Finland (242) or Switzerland (369) the difference is staggering²⁴.

Unfortunately, in Poland the level of unemployment among people with higher education is very high (7,1%). This is good evidence of the fact that the potential of educated workforce in Poland is to a large extent wasted²⁵.

With regard to the conditions for doing business, Poland's position is also very poor: in the *Doing Business* ranking, Poland is number 70, Czech Republic is number 63, Hungary 46, Estonia 17 and Lithuania occupies 24th place. Italy and Greece placed lower, but this is mainly due to the economic crisis. The number of procedures and the time needed to launch a company – in Poland it takes 32 days, in the Czech Republic it takes 20 days, in Italy 6 days and in Spain 47 days. These are the barriers that make it impossible for entrepreneurs to run their normal activities and especially introduce innovations. Unfortunately, when we look at other indicators, the situation is even worse. Getting a construction permit - 164th place in the world among 180 countries. In this ranking Poland is behind Burkina Faso and far behind more developed nations. Bulgaria placed 119th, Italy 92nd, Estonia 24th. Another important indicator is the indicator of execution of contracts. It tells us how much time a court needs to satisfy legal claims. In this respect Poland placed 72nd with an average of 830 days of court proceedings²⁶.

One of only few positive phenomena in Poland is comparably young society: the average age in Poland is 37,7 (in the EU the youngest country is Cyprus and the oldest is Germany with average ages of 36,2 and 44,2, respectively²⁷). Poles also constitute one of the most educated societies in Europe. The

22 The World University Rankings,

24 J. Wolszczak-Derlacz, A. Parteka, Produktywność naukowa..., op. cit.

²¹ J. Wolszczak-Derlacz, A. Parteka, Produktywność naukowa..., op. cit.

http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/world-university-rankings/2012-13/world-ranking.

²³ J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki..., op. cit.

²⁵ G. Węgrzyn, Zatrudnieni w nauce i technice a innowacyjność gospodarki," publikacja IV Konferencji Naukowej z serii "wiedza i innowacje pt. "Fundusze unijne i przedsiębiorstwa w rozwoju nauki i gospodarkim," Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Kraków 2008.

²⁶ P. Musiałek, T. Romanowski, Rozmowa z dr. Tomaszem Geodeckim, wykładowcą Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie, współautorem raportu pod red. J. Hausnera, Kurs na innowacje. Jak wyprowadzić Polskę z rozwojowego dryftu? 08.11.2012, pressje.salon24.pl/462152,wywiad-o-innowacyjnosci-w-polsce.

²⁷ Eurostat, European Commission, Population structure and ageing, 2011, http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Population_structure_and_ageing.

share of workforce employed in the science-technology sphere aged 25-34 in the population currently amounts to 43,8 in Poland and only to 30,6 in the European Union. The share of population aged 30-34 with higher education amounts to 37 in Poland and in the EU it amounts to 35, the share of population aged 20-24 with secondary education amounts to 91 and 79, respectively²⁸. The number of scientific employees, in comparison to the total number of employees in Poland is also at a high level, similar as in Italy, Spain and Austria, but higher than in Hungary, Czech Republic, Portugal, Greece, Turkey²⁹. In Poland there are 457 higher education institutions (data for the year 2009/2010³⁰): 131 public schools, 17 universities and 22 higher technical schools (universities of technology, technical academies) as well as 318 non-public universities. They offer over 100,000 jobs for academic teachers.

To sum it up, scientific units in Poland generally don't achieve significant scientific effects in form of publications in prestigious scientific magazines, quotations of their publications, patents for inventions and other intellectual property rights, as well as implementations of scientific research results and revenues derived from this fact³¹. There are comparably few scientific works of fundamental significance, as well as complete technical-technological projects suitable for immediate application in practice³². There are few patent applications. Moreover, very little money is spent on research and development works and out of this 2/3 of funds come from the state budget and the rest comes from the industry. At the same time, this proportion in the most developed nations is just the opposite. What is characteristic for Poland is also the weak level of cooperation of universities with companies in the area of transfer of technology and commercialization of knowledge.

The potential of human resources is actually Poland's only strong point. The level of secondary and higher education in Poland is quite good. This means that in Poland there is still a very strong untapped potential in the area of facilitating cooperation of science and industry. The results of this facilitated flow of information may be very good for both sides: science and business and thus for the whole economy (here the words of Stanisław Staszic, a pioneer of the development of science and technical education in Poland fit in very well: "Skills are a vain invention, merely a construct of the mind or a pointless play, until they are applied to bring benefits to nations" ³³).

The concept of scientific marketing focusing on the client can help build a bridge between science and industry. Here, a well prepared CRM system, which supports managing customer relations, can help. CRM makes it possible to define potential clients from the point of view of service or product that can be the subject of transaction. Preparing it forces both scientific units and companies to define their mission, strategy, operative goals, subject of activity and structuring the most important elements of an institute towards arranging and distinguishing between the most important departments of an institute/products

http://tygodnik.onet.pl/1,72518,druk.html.

²⁸ Pro Inno Europe, Innovations Union Scoreboard 2011, EU 2012.

²⁹ J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki..., op. cit.

³⁰ Wikipedia, Uczelnie w Polsce, 2012, http://pl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uczelnie_w_Polsce.

³¹ M. Kosmulski, Poprzeczka listy filadelfijskiej, onet.pl / Tygodnik Powszechny, 10.01.2012,

³² J. Kozłowski, J. Rychlewski, R. Sławeta, M. Wanke-Jakubowska, M. Wanke-Jerie, Stan nauki..., op. cit.

³³ P. Wolański, Jak zreformować Polską Naukę?, PAUZA, Kraków 2008.

of a company which provide the greatest share of income.

CRM (Customer Relation Management)

CRM is a system supporting management of relations with customers. The basis for the system is the concept of administering a company or an institution based on excellent knowledge about potential customers and adapting the activities of an organization to their needs. CRM is a durable, constantly evolving process, which requires departing from a traditional business model focusing on company organization and focusing on building lasting relations with the client in order to gain his loyalty³⁴. Statistical data show that attracting new clients is five times more expensive than keeping current ones^{35,36}, for this reason, the main rule should be individual approach to contacts with clients, taking care of him, learning about his needs, preferences, his level of satisfaction and plans for the future – everything in order to create a strong connection with the client and gain his loyalty. Company should focus on 20% of its most important clients (that is, those who generate the biggest profits), taking care of them so that they could feel comfortable and trust the company – this way it is possible to achieve savings of 80%-90% on the costs of sales and marketing³⁷.

Thus, in the CRM system the information about clients is very important: it is necessary to know their individual needs and know what they like and what they don't like. For this purpose it is necessary to collect data about them and register the history of contacts, however, doing this in case of a large number of clients is not an easy thing: it is necessary to have intelligent software allowing entry and analysis of data that cannot be presented in form of numbers. CRM is something more than just standard "back office" software: it makes it possible to collect and analyze knowledge concerning clients and the market, group clients and define their preferences. CRM system also makes it possible to react quickly to changing needs of clients and manage these needs efficiently. An important element of the CRM system is the possibility of raising work efficiency in a company. Using the database of a CRM system, a marketing specialist is able to take into consideration more factors than possible in a traditional way and design profits over the time span of many years and not only one campaign. Currently, in the age of globalization, only companies working in real time and which can guess the desires of clients fastest and most efficiently will survive on the market. CRM system guarantees such fast and efficient functioning.

CRM systems appeared on the market of highly industrialized countries in the early 1980's, in Poland due to technological backwardness associated with the communist system, they started functioning only in the second half of the 1990's.

Unfortunately, statistics show that implementations of CRM systems are successful only in 30-50% of cases. This is associated not with the application of CRM itself, but with the flawed process of implementation of the CRM system. In order to avoid such mistakes, it is necessary to understand that CRM is not a technology, but a culture of organization, which is mainly supposed to serve the customers more effecti-

³⁴ MCX Telecom Spółka z ograniczoną odpowiedzialnością S.K.A. White Paper, Co warto wiedzieć o CRM?.

³⁵ A. Binsztok, Obsługi klienta z perspektywy nowoczesnych rozwiązań w zakresie informacyjnych technologii, NTiZ 2006.

³⁶ SPSS White Paper, Badania satysfakcji klientów jako metoda osiągania przewagi konkurencyjnej.

³⁷ A. Stachowicz-Stanusch, M. Stanusch, Zanim zaczniesz wdrożenie CRM, Modern Marketing nr 11-12, 2002.

vely and raise profits. Implementing CRM is not just about installing software, but also about changing the way of thinking of the whole organization. The process of implementation of CRM concerns many internal issues and has a huge impact on the interaction of a company with its external environment. Thus, it is associated with high risk and the implementation requires dilligent preparation and planning all activities.

Factors which determine the failure or success of the implementation of a CRM-class solution:

- understanding that CRM is not only technology and classic software, but also a system introducing changes to the whole company. The decision to choose the system and use it is not a task for the IT department, but for the management of a company.
- defining the needs of a company and the direction of future development deliberately,
- defining the goals of implementation, which must be coherent with the plans of the company
 and its business goals, both short- and long-term goals of implementation, both internal (growth
 of efficiency in sales, marketing and service it is necessary to identify weak points, eg. whether
 employees waste time on unnecessary administrative tasks which could be avoided thanks to good
 organization) and external targets (eg. improving the work on customers' complaints, preparing offers
 more efficiently everything in order to improve the connection with the client, satisfying his needs
 and eventually raising sales) should be taken into consideration,
- declaring what kinds of clients are most important for the company,
- convincing the employees that the introduction of a CRM system makes sense they will also actively
 participate in the implementation of the system,
- thinking about the possibilities and manner of integration of a CRM system ("front-office" type) with the "back-office" (applications for background activities: production, accounting, staff, etc.) applications already existing in a company.

If the above-mentioned requirements are satisfied, it is possible to count on success in implementation of a CRM system:

- · boosting sales and adequate growth of revenues,
- saving on costs of marketing thanks to the possibility to have a look into marketing campaign in real time. Better cost management using great possibilities of analysis.
- Saving time thanks to improved tools for reporting and consolidation of data, as well as automation
 of reporting,
- serving, keeping the current client, satisfying his needs, boosting his loyalty and attracting new clients.

CRM can be used in three different ways. Most often it is used to collect data concerning the client (oCRM – operative segment: sales, marketing, service), which facilitates more efficient operation and insight into the history of contacts with clients. The second and most important thing is that CRM systems are tools for data management (aCRM – analytical segment: bulk databases, processing and analysis of data) which make it easier to identify the preferences of clients and plan future marketing activities on this basis. CRM systems are also a useful system for automation of contacts with clients (kCRM – communication segment: sending series of e-mails or other information to the clients).

CRM system also to a large extent depends on the type of activity pursued by a company. In case of the B2C model (business to customer, eg. mail-order companies, finances, tourism, energy sector, telecommunications) databases are usually highly developed and client service is based mainly on call centres. At the same time B2B (business to business, eg. machine building) requires smaller databases and client service is carried out directly by companies without the participation of call centres.

Company represented by one of the authors (P.W.) is currently at the stage of testing several CRM systems that could help organizations or companies lift management of customer relations and potentially interested from the level of an Excel (MS) table or Outlook (MS) to a more intelligent and effective level as that of CRM, which can be an excellent tool for achieving strategic and operational development of a company, as well as a research unit, which in turn would find it easier to define the potential client/ contractor from the industry and the other way round.

There are a few important elements important for the choice of CRM software31³⁸:

- it is necessary to investigate the identity of the provider of software his experience, history, position, achievements, awards and certificates on the market,
- does the functionality of applications satisfy the priority needs of a company:
 - what size of company is the system designed for,
 - what kind of register does the system have (eg. are there fields important for the company and whether it is possible to add further fields),
 - how flexible is the modeling of sales processes,
 - is it possible to define various scenarios of trade contacts for particular products or sales markets,
 - what possibilities of analysis and sales planning does the system provide,
 - is it possible to measure the effects of a marketing campaign,
 - have such special scenarios, as the emergence of a crisis in the company, or on a local or global market been taken into consideration,
- what are the possibilities of taking burdens off employees,
- are advanced technologies used (eg. the possibility of using the Internet, working out of office),
- can the system cooperate with "back-office" applications already existing in a company,
- · is it a general system or a system focused on a particular industrial branch,
- what technology (operating system, database system, software language) is used,
- · does the system guarantee security of company data,
- · does the provider include maintenance and additional offers,
- price of the product.

³⁸ P. Zakrzewski, Jak się przygotować do wdrożenia CRM?, Modern Marketing nr 7, 2001.

On the German-speaking market there are over 200 service providers such as: SAP, Microsoft, Sage Oracle/Siebel. In Poland the number of offered systems and the number of providers are incomparably lower. An interesting alternative are programs competing with Microsoft's offer, namely the so-called Open Source. Here, some of the examples are sugerCRM, vTiger or openERP, which is well known and popular around the world and which has many language versions, as well as a few hundred modules supporting complicated business processes in both manufacturing and service companies. Excellent marketing modules can effectively support through CRM the people responsible for establishing new contacts as well as arranging and evaluating them in an intelligent way from the point of view of strategic and operating assumptions for the development of a company or a research unit.

Examples of CRM functions in openERP program:

- CRM customer management
 - Managing Customer Provider relations
 - Functional requirements
 - · leads (inquiry about potentially interested parties)
 - chances for sales
 - managing tasks through communication, identification, assigning levels of priority, alloca ting, executing
 - reporting errors
 - complaints
 - campaigns
 - · automatic dispatch of reminders following deadlines
 - identification by users, clients and suppliers
 - · process rule and automatic causal actions
 - · automatic processing through incoming and outgoing e-mails
 - system can be fully configured
 - configuration assistant for the process of creation carried out by the user
 - Requirements associated with integration
 - integration with a company's calendars
 - integration with distribution, purchasing, after-sales services
 - steering company processes through Workflow
 - Marketing
 - Functional requirements
 - marketing module manages and brings automation to creating, handling and control of campaign over most channels
 - tools for managing offers, campaigns, assets, processes and reports
 - mass mailing

- multi-channel: e-mail, text messages, letters, phone
- supporting barcodes for letters
- workflow which can be configured
- dashboards and statistics
- creating offers and orders
- Requirements associated with integration
 - integration with analytical accounting for cost control
 - · integration with help desk and after-sales service
 - automatic purchasing (purchasing, production) for campaign
 - integration with areas of distribution and CRM
- Call Center
 - Functional requirements
 - conducting telephone campaigns
 - differentiating workflow for particular campaigns
 - segmentation of customers
 - automation of rules
 - managing escalation
 - transforming phone conversations into potential chances for sales
 - integration with surveys
 - setting the status of phone conversations: not carried out, carried out, unavailable, conducting a survey
 - · distributing work to most co-workers
 - segmentation tools for the choice and view of filters
 - statistics concerning results, efficiency and productivity of campaigns
 - Requirements associated with integration
 - · integration with e-mail marketing campaigns
 - integration with segmenting tools
 - integration with the marketing module
- Portals
 - Functional requirements
 - access for clients or suppliers to the system as an information or service portal
 - managing access rights, namely which data should be available for clients or suppliers
 - recording tasks for general purposes or for service purposes
 - · secure access to the system eg. for external partners
 - Requirements associated with integration
 - taking into consideration any ERP functions in the portal
 - integration with analytical book-keeping

83

• CRM – project management

Financial project management

- Functional requirements
 - managing revenues and costs concerning a project
 - project budget
 - automatic canceling of issuing invoices for already completed tasks
 - controlling personal costs
 - project control
- Requirements associated with integration
 - planning will be automatically taken into consideration in budgeting finances
 - fully integrated tasks and registration of time/hour list
 - fully integrated orders from customers

• Operating project management

- Functional requirements
 - multi-level system of project management
 - task management
 - short- and long-term planning
 - delegations
 - full integration with sales and purchasing
 - · dynamic view of the Gantt chart for the organization of projects and tasks
 - retroactive planning and evaluation
 - integration of methods of GTD project, Scrum
- · Requirements associated with integration
 - integration with analytical accounting
 - integration of distribution and invoicing with tasks that become automatic
 - · integration with co-worker management for the organization of resources

Time registration

- Functional requirements
 - time registration for employees' work on projects
 - transfer to analytical accounting for the purpose of budget management, planning, costs,
 - further estimation
 - · registering the time for projects or reference to projects
 - · analysis and control by the head of department
 - estimations in time registration
 - fully adaptable Workflow
 - multi-type measuring units: hours, days, weeks

84

- Requirements associated with integration
 - integration with analytical accounting for the purpose of control over time and costs of projects carried out by co-workers
 - automatic clearing
 - · integration with tasks from project management
 - integration with meetings schedule
 - statistics and identification

CRM system – statistical data

According to a report of the Central Statistical Office titled *"Społeczeństwo informacyjne w Polsce. Wyniki badań statystycznych z lat 2006-2010" (Information society in Poland, The results of statistical research for the years 2006-2010*"³⁹ 7 in 2009 less than 18% of all companies took advantage of CRM systems. In the year 2010 the proportion dropped to 16,4%, moreover, only 13,1% used the full, analytical version. CRM system is utilized mainly by big companies (45%), but also by medium companies (26,2%) and small companies (12,7%). With regard to various branches of the economy, the system is most popular in finances, insurance, information and communication sectors. CRM systems are utilized to a much higher extent in highly developed countries: in Germany in 2008 60% of big companies (employing more than 250 people) had the CRM system, among small companies about 30% used the system. Statistics shows that 51% of companies in the USA had CRM in 2011 (31% in the public sector), compared to 34% in 2010. Global data and forecasts concerning the development of the market of business applications suggest that this segment of the market is characterized by the highest dynamics of growth and these trends will continue over the coming years. This is not the case in Poland – the stage of implementation of CRM systems is currently at the level of education⁴⁰.

CRM – scientific institutions

Our current knowledge suggests that CRM systems haven't been effectively applied yet for the purpose of transferring technologies from science to industry. Scientific institutions use CRM systems only in the context of a database or in other words, so-called "address lists" used for contacts with former, current and future students and scientific employees, but not in the context of cooperation with the industry. Higher education institutions resort to CRM technology in order to distinguish themselves from the competition and win the struggle for the best student. For institutions of higher education facilities this is a very big and serious personal decision and thus the decision-making process is long and complicated – building the trust of students and scientific employees is the most important thing. For example, the University of Leicester in the United Kingdom implemented CRM in 2007 and since than has observed a very positive impact on the recruitment of students⁴¹, University of Miami from the USA has been testing such a system

39 Główny Urząd Statystyczny, www.stat.gov.pl/gus.

40 P. Zakrzewski, Jak się przygotować do wdrożenia CRM?, Modern Marketing nr 7, 2001.

⁴¹ University of Leicester, http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/marketing/marcomms/recruitment/crm.

since 2011⁴².

CRM can also be used for the transfer of technology from the science sector to the industry. An important element for the proper choice of program/provider is adequate modeling of "marketing" processes in a scientific unit. This means that catalogues of information involved in the process of creation of new products as results of research have to be established. They should also contain the data concerning the sequence of creation of information. Obviously, institutes of aviation and for example medical institutions operate on completely different types of information. Only on this basis can companies offering CRM systems create a well-matched program – a tailor-made suit. Unfortunately, companies specialized in CRM systems usually don't inform their clients that the condition for success of implementation of CRM is a well-edited description of processes taking place in a company (receiving mail by a company/ institute employee is an example of such a process in administration, defining the sequence of actions in case of work on a new engine is an example of a process in production), because it obviously has to take a particular amount of time and postpones the date for granting order for the implementation of a CRM system. Using NACE⁴³ (system of codes of European services and goods) can help find a common language with the industry.

Conclusion

The subject discussed in this publication is very broad. The authors only wanted to draw attention to the possibilities of applying CRM systems for the purpose of facilitating the transfer of technologies from science to industry. This is especially important in Poland, where statistics concerning the transfer of technology, innovation and patent applications are alarmingly bad. The development of a modern country is possible only thanks to the cooperation of science and industry. Industry should be the driving force behind the development of science and the state should support education and scientific research. Simply investing in Western technologies (the main focus in Poland is on exactly this kind of development) leads to a situation in which all profits go to international corporations and Poland is a country providing cheap, even though often highly educated workforce. Without effective cooperation of Polish science and Polish industry the development of the economy in Poland with the long-term goal of catching up with the most developed nations is impossible.

Bibliography

- II Kongres Innowacyjnej Gospodarki, Raport o Innowacyjności Polskiej Gospodarki 2011, Rozdział 6, Osobiste rekomendacje członków zespołu badawczego, Uczelnia Vistula, 2011,
- Binsztok A., Obsługi klienta z perspektywy nowoczesnych rozwiązań w zakresie informacyjnych technologii, NTiZ 2006,
- 3. Dorigo T., Getting Science Through: Misunderstood Terms In Science Communication, 24.10.2011,

42 L. Meyer, Miami University Implements CRM for Student Recruitment and Communications, Constituent Relationship Management, 20.10.2011.

43 List of NACE codes, http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/index/nace_all.html.

- 4. Eurostat, Eurostat pocketbooks: Science, technology and innovation in Europe, 2012 edition, EU 2012,
- 5. Inno Metrics, Innovations Union Scoreboard 2010, EU 2011,
- 6. Jagiellońskie Centrum Innowacji Sp. z.o.o., Raport nt. barier komercjalizacji wyników badań naukowych w dziedzinie life science w Małopolsce, 2007,
- 7. Markiewicz D. (ed.), Komercjalizacja wyników badań naukowych krok po kroku, Kraków 2009,
- Kozłowski J., Rychlewski J., Sławeta R., Wanke-Jakubowska M., Wanke-Jerie M., Stan nauki i techniki w Polsce, KBN, Warszawa 1999,
- MCX Telecom Spółka z ograniczoną odpowiedzialnością S.K.A. White Paper, Co warto wiedzieć o CRM?,
- 10. Meyer L., Miami University Implements CRM for Student Recruitment and Communications, Constituent Relationship Management, 20.10.2011,
- 11. Najlepsze praktyki w zakresie współpracy ośrodków naukowych i biznesu przy wykorzystaniu środków z UE, Warszawa 2008,
- 12. Pro Inno Europe, Innovations Union Scoreboard 2011, EU 2012,
- 13. SPSS White Paper, Badania satysfakcji klientów jako metoda osiągania przewagi konkurencyjnej,
- 14. Stachowicz-Stanusch A., Stanusch M., Zanim zaczniesz wdrożenie CRM, Modern Marketing nr 11-12, 2002,
- 15. Węgrzyn G., Zatrudnieni w nauce i technice a innowacyjność gospodarki, publikacja IV Konferencji Naukowej z serii, Wiedza i innowacje, pt. Fundusze unijne i przedsiębiorstwa w rozwoju nauki i gospodarkim, Uniwersytet Jagielloński, Kraków 2008,
- 16. Wolański P., Jak zreformować Polską Naukę?, PAUZA, Kraków 2008,
- 17. Wolszczak-Derlacz J., Parteka A., Produktywność naukowa wyższych szkół publicznych w Polsce bibliometryczna analiza porównawcza, Sprawne Państwo, Program Ernst & Young, Warszawa 2010,
- Zachara M., Strategia CRM pomys na biznes czy system informatyczny, Modern Marketing nr 10, 2001,
- 19. Zakrzewski P., Jak się przygotować do wdrożenia CRM?, Modern Marketing nr 7, 2001.

Websites

- BBC, EU sees alarming innovation gap for European firms, 01.02.2011, http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-12334091,
- Eurostat, European Commission, Population structure and ageing, 2011, http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/Population_structure_and_ageing,
- Główny Urząd Statystyczny, www.stat.gov.pl/gus,
- ISI Master Journal List, http://ip-science.thomsonreuters.com/mjl/,
- Kosmulski M., Poprzeczka listy filadelfijskiej, onet.pl / Tygodnik Powszechny, 10.01.2012, http://tygodnik.onet.pl/1,72518,druk.html,

87

- List of NACE codes, http://ec.europa.eu/competition/mergers/cases/index/nace_all.html,
- Musiałek P., Romanowski T., Rozmowa z dr. Tomaszem Geodeckim, wykładowcą Uniwersytetu Ekonomicznego w Krakowie, współautorem raportu pod red. J. Hausnera, Kurs na innowacje. Jak wyprowadzić Polskę z rozwojowego dryftu?', 08.11.2012, pressje.salon24.pl/462152,wywiad-o-innowacyjnosci-w-polsce,
- Polityka/PAP, TR, Polska nauka ma się źle, miażdzący raport NIK, 14.11.2012, http://m.onet.pl/wiadomosci/kraj,zw0q8,
- Simanek D. E, A Glossary of Frequently Misused or Misunderstood Physics Terms and Concepts, 2004, http://www.lhup.edu/~dsimanek/glossary.htm,
- The World University Rankings, http://www.timeshighereducation.co.uk/world-university-rankings/2012-13/world-ranking,
- University of Leicester, http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/marketing/marcomms/recruitment/crm,
- Wikipedia, Uczelnie w Polsce, 2012, http://pl.wikipedia.org/wiki/Uczelnie_w_Polsce.