

Kołowrocki Krzysztof

Kuligowska Ewa

Soszyńska-Budny Joanna

Gdynia Maritime University, Gdynia, Poland

Optimization of critical infrastructure operation and safety with considering climate-weather change influence – maximizing safety lifetime

Keywords

critical infrastructure, safety, operation process, climate-weather change, optimization, safety lifetime

Abstract

The method based on the results of the joint model linking a semi-Markov modelling of the critical infrastructure operation process with a multistate approach to critical infrastructure safety and linear programming are proposed to the critical infrastructure operation and safety optimization. This method determining the optimal values of limit transient probabilities at the critical infrastructure operation states that maximize the critical infrastructure safety lifetime in the safety state subsets is proposed.

1. Introduction

The critical infrastructure operating at a fixed area may be vulnerable to damage caused by external threats and on the other hand, it may cause threats to other critical infrastructures [Lauge et al., 2015]. This fact should be considered to construct a global network of interconnected and interdependent critical infrastructure networks existing at this operating area what is highly reasonable as usually the critical infrastructures are not isolated and they create a system of interconnected and interdependent critical infrastructures. The proposed approach, taking into account threats associated with critical infrastructure and its components / assets operation and with natural climatic hazards [Caldwel et al., 2002], [HELCOM, 2009] can help to indicate which of critical infrastructures can be affected by and which ones can affect other critical infrastructures in their operating area. In this context, the safety analysis and prediction of a single critical infrastructure composed of a number of assets impacted by its operation process and climate-weather change is very important [Kołowrocki, 2014], [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2011], [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2012a-b]. Therefore, in the report, the method of a single critical infrastructure related to its

operation process safety optimization with considering climate-weather change process influence is proposed.

2. Critical infrastructure operation process related to climate-weather change process

We consider the critical infrastructure impacted by the operation process related to the climate-weather change process $ZC(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, in a various way at this process states $z_{c_{bl}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$.

We assume that the changes of the states of operation process related to the climate-weather change process $ZC(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, at the critical infrastructure operating area have an influence on the critical infrastructure safety structure and on the safety of the critical infrastructure assets A_i , $i=1,2,\dots,n$, as well [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017].

We assume, as in [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017], that the critical infrastructure during its operation process is taking ν , $\nu \in N$, different operation states z_1, z_2, \dots, z_ν . We define the critical infrastructure operation process $Z(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, with discrete operation states

from the set $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_\nu\}$. Further, we assume that we have either calculated analytically or evaluated approximately by experts the vector

$$[p_b]_{1 \times \nu} = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\nu] \quad (1)$$

of limit values of transient probabilities (OPC1)

$$p_b(t) = P(Z(t) = z_b), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu,$$

of the critical infrastructure operation process $Z(t)$ at the particular operation states $z_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$.

Moreover, as in [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017], we assume that the climate-weather change process $C(t), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, at the critical infrastructure operating area is taking $w, w \in N$, different climate-weather states c_1, c_2, \dots, c_w . We assume that we have either calculated analytically or evaluated approximately by experts the vector

$$[q_l]_{1 \times w} = [q_1, q_2, \dots, q_w] \quad (2)$$

of limit values of transient probabilities (C-WCPC1)

$$q_l(t) = P(C(t) = c_l), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the particular climate-weather states $c_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$.

Under the above assumptions about the critical infrastructure operation process $Z(t), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, and the climate-weather change process $C(t)$, we introduce the joint process of critical infrastructure operation process and climate-weather change process called the critical infrastructure operation process related to climate-weather change marked by

$$ZC(t), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle,$$

and we assume that it can take $\nu w, \nu, w \in N$, different operation states related to the climate-weather change

$$z_{c_{11}}, z_{c_{12}}, \dots, z_{c_{\nu w}},$$

We assume that the critical infrastructure operation process related to climate-weather change $ZC(t)$, at the moment $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, is at the state $z_{c_{bl}}, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, if and only if at that moment, the operation process $Z(t)$ is at the

operation states $z_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, and the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ is at the climate-weather state $c_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, what we express as follows:

$$(ZC(t) = z_{c_{bl}}) \Leftrightarrow (Z(t) = z_b \cap C(t) = c_l), \\ t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w.$$

Further, the transient probabilities of the critical infrastructure operation process related to climate-weather change $ZC(t)$ at the operation states $z_{c_{bl}}, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, can be defined by

$$pq_{bl}(t) = P(ZC(t) = z_{c_{bl}}), t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, \\ l = 1, 2, \dots, w.$$

In the case when the processes $Z(t)$ and $C(t)$ are independent the expression for the transient probabilities can be expressed in the following way

$$pq_{bl}(t) = P(ZC(t) = z_{c_{bl}}) = P(Z(t) = z_b \cap C(t) = c_l) \\ = P(Z(t) = z_b) \cdot P(C(t) = c_l) = p_b(t) \cdot q_l(t), \\ t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

where $p_b(t), b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, are the transient probabilities of the operation process $Z(t)$ at the particular operation states $z_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, and $q_l(t), l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, are the transient probabilities of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the particular climate-weather states $c_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$.

Hence the limit values of the transient probabilities of the critical infrastructure operation process related to climate-weather change $ZC(t)$ at the operation states $z_{c_{bl}}, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$,

$$pq_{bl} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} pq_{bl}(t), b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w, \quad (3)$$

can be found from [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017]

$$pq_{bl} = p_b q_l, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, l = 1, 2, \dots, w, \quad (4)$$

where $p_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, are the limit transient probabilities of the operation process $Z(t)$ at the particular operation states $z_b, b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, and $q_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, are the limit transient probabilities of the climate-weather change process $C(t)$ at the particular climate-weather states $c_l, l = 1, 2, \dots, w$.

Other interesting characteristics of the critical infrastructure operation process $ZC_{bl}(t)$ are its total sojourn times $\hat{\theta}_{bl}^{\hat{C}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, at the particular operation states $z_{c_{bl}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, during the fixed sufficiently large critical infrastructure operation time θ . They have approximately normal distributions with the expected values given by

$$\hat{M}\hat{N}_{bl} = E[\hat{\theta}_{bl}^{\hat{C}}] = pq_{bl}\theta, \quad b=1,2,\dots,\nu, \\ l=1,2,\dots,w, \quad (5)$$

where pq_{bl} , $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, are defined by (3) and given by (4) in the case the processes $Z(t)$ and $C(t)$ are independent.

3. Optimization of operation and safety of critical infrastructure

3.1. Optimal transient probabilities of critical infrastructure operation process at operation states related to climate-weather change process

Considering that the coordinates of the unconditional safety function of the critical infrastructure impacted by the operation process related to the climate-weather change process $ZC(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$,

$$S^4(t, \cdot) = [1, S^4(t, 1), \dots, S^4(t, z)], \quad t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle,$$

are given by

$$S^4(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w pq_{bl} [S^4(t, u)]^{(bl)} \quad \text{for } t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (6)$$

where

$$[S^4(t, u)]^{(bl)}, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, \\ l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

are the coordinates of the critical infrastructure impacted by the operation process related to the climate-weather change process $ZC(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, conditional safety functions [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017]

$$[S^4(t, \cdot)]^{(bl)} = [1, [S^4(t, 1)]^{(bl)}, \dots, [S^4(t, z)]^{(bl)}], \\ t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

and pq_{bl} , $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, are the operation process related to the climate-weather change process $ZC(t)$, $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$, at the critical infrastructure operating area limit transient probabilities at the states $z_{c_{bl}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, defined by (3), it is natural to assume that the critical infrastructure operation process has a significant influence on the critical infrastructure safety.

This influence is also clearly expressed in the equation for the mean lifetime of the critical infrastructure in the safety state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, given by

$$\mu^4(u) = \int_0^{\infty} [S^4(t, u)] dt \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w pq_{bl} [\mu^4(u)]^{(bl)}, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (7)$$

where $[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, are the mean values of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes $[T^4(u)]^{(bl)}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, in the safety state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ at the critical infrastructure operating process related to the climate-weather change state $z_{c_{bl}}$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, given by

$$[\mu^4(u)]^{(bl)} = \int_0^{\infty} [S^4(t, u)]^{(bl)} dt, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \\ b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, w. \quad (8)$$

From the linear equation

$$\mu^4(u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w pq_{bl} [\mu^4(u)]^{(bl)}, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (9)$$

we can see that the mean value of the critical infrastructure unconditional lifetime $\mu^4(u)$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, is determined by the limit values of transient probabilities pq_{bl} , $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, of the critical infrastructure operation process at the operation states given by (3) and the mean values $[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, are the mean values of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes in the safety state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ at the critical infrastructure operating process related to the climate-weather change process $z_{c_{bl}}$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, given by (8).

Therefore, the critical infrastructure lifetime optimization approach based on the linear

programming [Klabjan, Adelman, 2006], [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2011] can be proposed. Namely, we may look for the corresponding optimal values $\dot{p}q_{bl}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the limit transient probabilities pq_{bl} , $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the critical infrastructure operation process at the operation states $z_{C_{bl}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, to maximize the mean value $\mu^4(u)$, $u=1,2,\dots,z$, of the unconditional critical infrastructure lifetimes in the safety state subsets $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$, $u=1,2,\dots,z$, under the assumption that the mean values $[\mu^4(u)]^{(bl)}$, $u=1,2,\dots,z$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes in the safety state subsets $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$, $u=1,2,\dots,z$, are fixed. As a special case of the above formulated critical infrastructure lifetime optimization problem, if r , $r=1,2,\dots,z$, is a critical infrastructure critical safety state, we want to find the optimal values $\dot{p}q_{bl}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the critical infrastructure operation process limit transient probabilities $\dot{p}q_{bl}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, at the operation states $z_{C_{bl}}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, to maximize the mean value $\mu^4(r)$ of the unconditional critical infrastructure lifetimes in the safety state subset $\{r, r+1, \dots, z\}$, under the assumption that the mean values $[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes in the safety state subset $\{r, r+1, \dots, z\}$ are fixed. More exactly, we formulate the optimization problem as a linear programming model with the objective function of the following form

$$\mu^4(r) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w pq_{bl} [\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}, \quad (10)$$

for a fixed $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, z\}$ and with the following bound constraints

$$\check{p}q_{bl} \leq pq_{bl} \leq \widehat{p}q_{bl}, \quad b=1,2,\dots,\nu, \quad l=1,2,\dots,w, \quad (11)$$

$$\sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w pq_{bl} = 1, \quad (12)$$

where

$$[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}, [\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)} \geq 0, \quad b=1,2,\dots,\nu, \quad l=1,2,\dots,w, \quad (13)$$

are fixed mean values of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes in the safety state subset $\{r, r+1, \dots, z\}$ and

$$\check{p}q_{bl}, 0 \leq \check{p}q_{bl} \leq 1 \text{ and } \widehat{p}q_{bl}, 0 \leq \widehat{p}q_{bl} \leq 1, \quad \check{p}q_{bl} \leq \widehat{p}q_{bl}, \quad b=1,2,\dots,\nu, \quad l=1,2,\dots,w, \quad (14)$$

are lower and upper bounds of the transient probabilities pq_{bl} , $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, respectively.

Now, we can obtain the optimal solution of the formulated by (10)-(14) the linear programming problem, i.e. we can find the optimal values $\dot{p}q_{bl}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, of the transient probabilities pq_{bl} , $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, that maximize the objective function given by (10).

First, we arrange the critical infrastructure conditional lifetime mean values $[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)}$, $b=1,2,\dots,\nu$, $l=1,2,\dots,w$, in non-increasing order

$$[\mu^4(r)]^{(bl_1)} \geq [\mu^4(r)]^{(bl_2)} \geq \dots \geq [\mu^4(r)]^{(bl_{\nu w})}$$

where $bl_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \nu w\}$ for $i=1, 2, \dots, \nu w$.

Next, we substitute

$$x_i = p_{bl_i}, \quad \check{x}_i = \check{p}_{bl_i}, \quad \widehat{x}_i = \widehat{p}_{bl_i} \text{ for } i=1, 2, \dots, \nu w \quad (15)$$

and we maximize with respect to x_i , $i=1, 2, \dots, \nu w$, the linear form (10) that after this transformation takes the form

$$\mu^4(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu w} x_i [\mu^4(r)]^{bl_i} \quad (16)$$

for a fixed $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, z\}$ with the following bound constraints

$$\check{x}_i \leq x_i \leq \widehat{x}_i, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, \nu w, \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\nu w} x_i = 1, \quad (18)$$

where

$$\mu_{bl_i}(r), \mu_{bl_i}(r) \geq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, \nu w,$$

are fixed mean values of the critical infrastructure conditional lifetimes in the safety state subset $\{r, r+1, \dots, z\}$ arranged in non-increasing order and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_i, \quad 0 \leq \bar{x}_i \leq 1 \text{ and } \hat{x}_i, \quad 0 \leq \hat{x}_i \leq 1, \quad \bar{x}_i \leq \hat{x}_i, \\ i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

are lower and upper bounds of the unknown probabilities x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w$, respectively.

To find the optimal values of x_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w$, we define

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{\nu w} \bar{x}_i, \quad \hat{y} = 1 - \bar{x} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}^0 = 0, \quad \hat{x}^0 = 0 \text{ and } \bar{x}^I = \sum_{i=1}^I \bar{x}_i, \quad \hat{x}^I = \sum_{i=1}^I \hat{x}_i \\ \text{for } I = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Next, we find the largest value $I \in \{0, 1, \dots, \nu w\}$ such that

$$\bar{x}^I - \bar{x}^I < \hat{y} \quad (22)$$

and we fix the optimal solution that maximize (16) in the following way:

i) if $I = 0$, the optimal solution is

$$\dot{x}_1 = \hat{y} + \bar{x}_1 \text{ and } \dot{x}_i = \bar{x}_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w; \quad (23)$$

ii) if $0 < I < \nu w$, the optimal solution is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i = \hat{x}_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, I, \quad \dot{x}_{I+1} = \hat{y} - \bar{x}^I + \bar{x}^I + \bar{x}_{I+1} \\ \text{and } \dot{x}_i = \bar{x}_i \text{ for } i = I + 2, I + 3, \dots, \nu w; \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

iii) if $I = \nu w$, the optimal solution is

$$\dot{x}_i = \hat{x}_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w. \quad (25)$$

Finally, after making the inverse to (15) substitution, we get the optimal limit transient probabilities

$$\dot{p}q_{bl} = \dot{x}_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu w, \quad (26)$$

that maximize the critical infrastructure mean lifetime in the safety state subset $\{r, r + 1, \dots, z\}$, defined by the linear form (10), giving its maximum value in the following form

$$\dot{\mu}^4(r) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w \dot{p}q_{bl} [\mu^4(r)]^{(bl)} \quad (27)$$

for a fixed $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, z\}$.

3.2. Critical infrastructure optimal safety and resilience indicators

From the expression (27) for the maximum mean value $\dot{\mu}^4(r)$ of the critical infrastructure unconditional lifetime in the safety state subset $\{r, r + 1, \dots, z\}$, replacing in it the critical safety state r by the safety state u , $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, we obtain the corresponding optimal solutions for the mean values of the critical infrastructure unconditional lifetimes in the safety state subsets $\{u, u + 1, \dots, z\}$ of the form

$$\dot{\mu}^4(u) = \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w \dot{p}q_{bl} [\mu^4(u)]^{(bl)} \text{ for } u = 1, 2, \dots, z. \quad (28)$$

Further, according to (6), the corresponding optimal unconditional multistate safety function of the critical infrastructure is the vector

$$\dot{S}(t, \cdot) = [1, \dot{S}(t, 1), \dots, \dot{S}(t, z)], \quad (29)$$

with the coordinates given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{S}^4(t, u) \cong \sum_{b=1}^{\nu} \sum_{l=1}^w \dot{p}q_{bl} [S^4(t, u)]^{(bl)} \text{ for } t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By applying (7.23) from [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017], the corresponding optimal values of the variances of the critical infrastructure unconditional lifetimes in the critical infrastructure safety state subsets are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}^{4^2}(u) = 2 \int_0^{\infty} t \dot{S}^4(t, u) dt - [\dot{\mu}^4(u)]^2, \\ u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where $\dot{\mu}^4(u)$ is given by (28) and $\dot{S}^4(t, u)$ is given by (30).

And, by (7.25) from [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017], the optimal solutions for the mean values of the critical infrastructure unconditional lifetimes in the particular safety states are

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mu}^4(u) = \dot{\mu}^4(u) - \dot{\mu}^4(u + 1), \quad u = 1, \dots, z - 1, \\ \dot{\mu}^4(z) = \dot{\mu}^4(z). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Moreover, considering (7.7) and (7.12) from [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017], the corresponding optimal critical infrastructure risk function and the optimal moment

when the risk exceeds a permitted level δ , respectively are given by

$$\dot{r}^4(t) = 1 - \dot{S}^4(t, r), \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad (33)$$

and

$$\dot{t}^4 = \dot{r}^{4-1}(\delta), \quad (34)$$

where $\dot{S}^4(t, r)$ is given by (30) for $u=r$ and $\dot{r}^{4-1}(t)$, if it exists, is the inverse function of the optimal risk function $\dot{r}^4(t)$.

The optimal intensities of degradation of the critical infrastructure / the optimal intensities of critical infrastructure departure from the safety state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, impacted by the operation process related to the climate-weather change (SafI9), i.e. the coordinates of the vector

$$\dot{\lambda}^4(t, \cdot) = [0, \dot{\lambda}^4(t, 1), \dots, \dot{\lambda}^4(t, z)], \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad (35)$$

are given by

$$\dot{\lambda}^4(t, u) = \frac{d\dot{S}^4(t, u)}{\dot{S}^4(t, u)}, \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z. \quad (36)$$

The optimal coefficients of the operation process related to the climate-weather change impact on the critical infrastructure intensities of degradation / the coefficients of the operation process related to the climate-weather change impact on critical infrastructure intensities of departure from the safety state subset $\{u, u+1, \dots, z\}$ (SI10), i.e. the coordinates of the vector are given by

$$\dot{p}^4(t, \cdot) = [0, \dot{p}^4(t, 1), \dots, \dot{p}^4(t, z)], \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad (37)$$

where

$$\dot{\lambda}^4(t, u) = \dot{p}^4(t, u) \cdot \dot{\lambda}^0(t, u), \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (38)$$

i.e.

$$\dot{p}^4(t, u) = \frac{\dot{\lambda}^4(t, u)}{\dot{\lambda}^0(t, u)}, \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad u = 1, 2, \dots, z, \quad (39)$$

and $\dot{\lambda}^0(t, u)$, $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, are the intensities of degradation of the critical infrastructure without of the operation process related to the climate-weather change impact, i.e. the coordinate of the vector [Kołowrocki et al., EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3-Part3, 2017]

$$\dot{\lambda}^0(t, \cdot) = [0, \dot{\lambda}^0(t, 1), \dots, \dot{\lambda}^0(t, z)], \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad (40)$$

and $\dot{\lambda}^4(t, u)$, $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, $u = 1, 2, \dots, z$, are the optimal intensities of degradation of the critical infrastructure impacted by the operation process related to the climate-weather change, i.e. the coordinate of the vector

$$\dot{\lambda}^4(t, \cdot) = [0, \dot{\lambda}^4(t, 1), \dots, \dot{\lambda}^4(t, z)], \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle. \quad (41)$$

The optimal indicator of critical infrastructure resilience to operation process related to climate-weather change impact (ResI4) is given by

$$\dot{R}I^4(t, r) = \frac{1}{\dot{p}^4(t, r)}, \quad t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle, \quad (42)$$

where $\dot{p}^4(t, r)$, $t \in \langle 0, +\infty \rangle$, is the optimal coefficients of operation process related to climate-weather change impact on the critical infrastructure intensities of degradation given by (39) for $u=r$.

3.3. Optimal sojourn times of critical infrastructure operation process at operation states related to climate-weather change process and operation strategy

Assuming that the critical infrastructure operation process and the climate-weather change process are independent, i.e.

$$\dot{p}q_{bl} = \dot{p}_b \cdot q_l, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

and replacing in (2.22) from [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2011], the limit transient probabilities p_b of the critical infrastructure operation process at the operation states by

$$\dot{p}_b = \frac{\dot{p}b_{bl}}{b_l} = \frac{\dot{p}_b \cdot b_l}{b_l} = \dot{p}_b, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

$$b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad (43)$$

where

$$\dot{p}q_{bl} = \dot{p}_b \cdot q_l, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, w,$$

are the optimal values of transient probabilities pq_{bl} , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, found by the equations (26) in Section 2.1 and the mean values M_b of the unconditional sojourn times at the operation states z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, defined by (2.21) in [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2011] by their corresponding unknown optimal values \dot{M}_b maximizing the mean value of the critical infrastructure lifetime in the safety states subset $\{r, r+1, \dots, z\}$ defined by (10), we get the critical infrastructure of equations

$$\dot{p}_b = \frac{\pi_b \dot{M}_b}{\sum_{l=1}^v \pi_l \dot{M}_l}, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v. \quad (44)$$

Another very useful and much easier to be applied in practice tool that can help in planning more reliable and safe operation process of the critical infrastructures are the optimal mean values of the total critical infrastructure operation process sojourn times $\hat{\theta}_b$ at the particular operation states z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, during the fixed critical infrastructure operation time θ , that can be obtained by the replacing in the formula (2.24) from [Kołowrocki, Soszyńska-Budny, 2011], the transient probabilities p_b at the operation states z_b by their optimal values \dot{p}_b and resulting in the following expression

$$\dot{M}_b = \dot{E}[\hat{\theta}_b] = \dot{p}_b \theta, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v. \quad (45)$$

Similarly, replacing in the formula (5) the transient probabilities pq_{bl} at the operation states z_{bl} by their optimal values $\dot{p}q_{bl}$, we get the optimal mean values of the total critical infrastructure operation process sojourn times $\hat{\theta}_{C_{bl}}$ at the particular operation states $z_{C_{bl}}$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, during the fixed critical infrastructure operation time θ , given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{M}\hat{N}_{bl} &= \dot{E}[\hat{\theta}_{C_{bl}}] = \dot{p}q_{bl} \theta, \quad b = 1, 2, \dots, v, \\ l &= 1, 2, \dots, w. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The knowledge of the optimal values \dot{M}_b of the mean values of the unconditional sojourn times and the optimal values \dot{M}_{bl} of the mean values of the conditional sojourn times at the operation states and the optimal mean values \dot{M}_b of the total sojourn times at the particular operation states z_b , $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, and the optimal mean values $\dot{M}\hat{N}_{bl}$ of the total sojourn times at the particular operation states related to climate-weather change $z_{C_{bl}}$, $b = 1, 2, \dots, v$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, w$, during the fixed critical infrastructure operation time may be the basis for changing the critical infrastructures operation processes in order to ensure these critical infrastructures operation more safe. Their knowledge may also be useful in these critical infrastructures operation cost analysis.

4. Conclusions

The proposed optimization method presented in this paper can be used in critical infrastructure operation and safety optimization with considering climate-weather change impact. The optimization method application can be the basis for the elaboration of practical procedures of critical infrastructure safety improvement. The optimization model will be applied in Case Study 2 supported by suitable computer software that is placed at the GMU Safety Interactive Platform <http://gmu.safety.am.gdynia.pl/>. The GMU platform can be linked with CIRP and SimICI platforms of EU-CIRCLE project.

Acknowledgments



The paper presents the results developed in the scope of the EU-CIRCLE project titled “A pan – European framework for strengthening Critical Infrastructure resilience to climate change” that has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 653824. <http://www.eu-circle.eu/>.

References

- Barbu V., Limnios N., Empirical estimation for discrete-time semi-Markov processes with applications in reliability. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, Vol. 18, No. 7-8, 483-498, 2006
- Blokus-Roszkowska A., Kolowrocki K. Modeling safety of interconnected critical infrastructure network cascading. *Journal of Polish Safety and*

- Reliability Association, Summer Safety and Reliability Seminars, Vol 8, No 3, 97-114, 2017
- Caldwell H., Quinn K.H., Meunier J., Suhrbier J., Grenzeback L., Potential impacts of climate change on freight transport. In: U.S. DOT, 2002. The Potential Impacts of Climate Change on Transportation, Summary and Discussion Papers. U.S. Department of Transportation, 2002.
- Collet J., Some remarks on rare-event approximation. IEEE Transactions on Reliability 45, 106-108, 1996
- EU-CIRCLE Report D1.1, EU-CIRCLE Taxonomy, 2015
- EU-CIRCLE Report D3.3, Integrated Model of Critical Infrastructure Safety (IMCIS) Related to Its Operation Process – IMCIS Model 1, 2016
- HELCOM, ensuring safe shipping in the Baltic, Helsinki Commission (HELCOM) – Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission, 2009
- Ferreira F., Pacheco A., Comparison of level-crossing times for Markov and semi-Markov processes. Statistics and Probability Letters, Vol. 7, No 2, 151-157, 2007
- Glynn P.W., Haas P.J., Laws of large numbers and functional central limit theorems for generalized semi-Markov processes. Stochastic Models, Vol. 22, No 2, 201-231, 2006
- Grabski F., (2002) Semi-Markov Models of Systems Reliability and Operations Analysis. System Research Institute, Polish Academy of Science, 2002 (*in Polish*)
- Guze S., Kołowrocki K.: Modelling Operation Process of Baltic Port, Shipping and Ship Traffic and Operation Information Critical Infrastructure Network. TransNav 2016, the International Journal on Marine Navigation and Safety of Sea Transportation, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 275-284, 2016
- Guze S., Kołowrocki K., Safety Modeling of Port, Shipping and Ship Traffic and Port Operation Information Critical Infrastructure Join Network related to Its Operation Process, ESREL 2017, 2017 (submitted)
- Hryniewicz O., Lifetime tests for imprecise data and fuzzy reliability requirements. Reliability and Safety Analyses under Fuzziness. Onisawa T. and Kacprzyk J., Eds., Physica Verlag, Heidelberg, 169-182, 1995
- Klabjan D., Adelman D., Existence of optimal policies for semi-Markov decision processes using duality for infinite linear programming. Siam Journal on Control and Optimization, Vol. 44, No. 6, 2104-2122, 2006
- Kołowrocki K., Reliability of Large and Complex Systems, Amsterdam, Boston, Heidelberg, London, New York, Oxford, Paris, San Diego, San Francisco, Singapore, Sidney, Tokyo, Elsevier, 2014a
- Kołowrocki K., Safety of critical infrastructures – An overall approach, Keynote Speech. International Conference on Safety and Reliability - KONBiN 2013
- Kołowrocki K., et al., EU-CIRCLE Report WP3-Task3.4 & Task3.5-D3.3-Part3, Critical Infrastructure Safety and Resilience Indicators, 2017
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska J., A general model of industrial systems operation processes related to their environment and infrastructure. Summer Safety & Reliability Seminars. Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Issue 2, Vol. 2, 223-226, 2008
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska J., Methods and algorithms for evaluating unknown parameters of operation processes of complex technical systems. Summer Safety & Reliability Seminars. Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Issue 3, Vol. 1, 2, 211-222, 2009a
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska J., Modeling environment and infrastructure influence on reliability and operation process of port oil transportation system. Electronic Journal Reliability & Risk Analysis: Theory & Applications, Vol. 2, No 3, 131-142, 2009b
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska J., Reliability modeling of a port oil transportation system's operation processes. International Journal of Performability Engineering, Vol. 6, No. 1, 77-87, 2010a
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska-Budny J., Introduction to safety analysis of critical infrastructures. Proc. International Conference on Quality, Reliability, Risk, Maintenance and Safety Engineering - QR2MSE-2012, Chendgu, China, 1-6, 2012a
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska-Budny J., Introduction to safety analysis of critical infrastructures. Proc. International Conference on Quality, Reliability, Risk, Maintenance and Safety Engineering - QR2MSE-2012, Chendgu, China, 1-6, 2012c
- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska-Budny J., Prediction of Critical Infrastructures Safety. Proc. 10th International Conference on Digital Technologies – DT 2014, Zilina, Slovakia, 141-149, 2014b

- Kołowrocki K., Soszyńska-Budny J., Reliability and Safety of Complex Technical Systems and Processes: Modeling - Identification - Prediction - Optimization, London, Dordrecht, Heildeberg, New York, Springer, 2011
- Kołowrocki K., Soszynska-Budny J., Modeling safety of multistate ageing systems. Journal of Polish Safety and Reliability Association, Summer Safety and Reliability Seminars, Vol 8, No 3, 9-22, 2017
- Kuo W., Prasad V. R., An annotated overview of system-reliability optimization. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 49(2), 176-187, 2000
- Kuo W., Zuo M. J., Optimal Reliability Modeling: Principles and Applications. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2003
- Lauge A., Hernantes J., Sarrieri J.M., Critical infrastructure dependences: A holistic, dynamic and quantita approach. Int J Crit Infrastruct Prot, Vol 8, 16-23,2015
- Levitin G., Lisnianski A., Optimisation of imperfect preventive maintenance for multistate systems. Reliability Engineering and System Safety 67, 193-203, 2000
- Levitin G., Lisnianski A., Optimal replacement scheduling in multi-state series-parallel systems. Quality and Reliability Engineering International 16, 157-162, 2000
- Lisnianski A., Levitin G., Multi-State System Reliability. Assessment, Optimisation and Applications. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2003
- Limnios N., Oprisan G., Semi-Markov Processes and Reliability. Birkhauser, Boston, 2005
- Limnios N., Ouhbi B., Sadek A., Empirical estimator of stationary distribution for semi-Markov processes. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, Vol. 34, No. 4, 987-995 12, 2005
- Merrick J. R. W., van Dorp R., Speaking the truth in maritime risk assessment. Risk Analysis, Vol. 26, No. 1, 223-237, 2006
- Macci C., Large deviations for empirical estimators of the stationary distribution of a semi-Markov process with finite state space. Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods, Vol. 37, No. 19,3077-3089, 2008
- Mercier S., Numerical bounds for semi-Markovian quantities and application to reliability. Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability, Vol. 10, No. 2, 179-198, 2008
- Rice J. A., Mathematical statistics and data analysis. Duxbury. Thomson Brooks/Cole. University of California. Berkeley, 2007
- Tang H., Yin B. Q., Xi H. S., Error bounds of optimization algorithms for semi-Markov decision processes. International Journal of Systems Science, Vol. 38, No. 9,725-736, 2007
- Suich R.C., Patterson R.L., k-out-of-n:G system: Some cost considerations. IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 40(3), 259-264, 1991
- Vercellis S., Data mining and optimization for decision making. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2009
- Xue J., On multi-state system analysis, IEEE Transaction on Reliability, 34, 329-337, 1985
- Xue J., Yang K., Dynamic reliability analysis of coherent multi-stste systems, IEEE Transaction on Reliability, 4(44), 683-688, 1995
- Zio E., An introduction to the basics of reliability and risk analysis. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2006

