

Time Correlated Single Photon Counting System for Optical Measurements

Artur Trybuła, Grzegorz Domański, Bogumił Konarzewski, Janusz Marzec, Krzysztof Zaremba, Robert Kurjata, Michał Dziewiecki, Andrzej Smolnik, and Marcin Ziembicki

Abstract—The high sensitivity time correlated single photon counting system (with photomultiplier tube) for biomedical measurements was designed and tested. Photon time of flight through a paraffine wax phantom was modeled by Monte Carlo simulation and next measured by the device. Mean time delay introduced by a phantom is in a good agreement with simulation results. The results presented in this paper demonstrate the feasibility of measuring the optical response with the device.

Keywords—Time correlated single photon counting, photomultiplier tube, optical techniques.

I. INTRODUCTION

OPTICAL tomography is a quite well established method for medical and biological imaging, especially for functional imaging [3]. Visible light and near-infrared (NIR) light interact with biological tissue in absorption and scattering processes. There are many physiologically interesting molecules, which have characteristic absorption spectra at these wavelengths.

Unlike X rays, near infrared photons do not cause tissue damage. The main stream of progress in optical tomography field has focused on developing time of flight devices, which can measure time response of examined objects. In biological and medical measurement, the differing absorption characteristics of oxy- and deoxy- haemoglobin in this wavelength range can then be exploited to yield information about spatial variations in oxygenation and blood volume deep within the tissue. The distinctive scatter properties of tissues such as tumors, gray matter and white matter in the NIR range also provide diagnostic information. NIR techniques can be used to monitor the progression of conditions and potentially allow new treatments to be developed, and the response to treatment to be monitored. Detecting abnormalities in the function of tissues should allow earlier intervention than most other imaging modalities, which are unable to detect events that do not manifest as a gross change in tissue structure or composition. Optical technique offers a number of important advantages over both MEG and fMRI, both of which involve the use of large, heavy, and expensive equipment. Often, an entire portion of a building must be dedicated to these measurements, since elaborate magnetically shielded

enclosures must be constructed to both shield the equipment from ambient magnetic fluctuations and in certain cases (high-field MRI) to protect the outside environment from the emanation of stray magnetic fields. Both MEG and fMRI also require that the subject remains motionless to within the order of a voxel over the duration of the experiment, or else spatial resolution could be severely compromised. This makes both MRI and MEG measurements of uncooperative subjects (animals and infants, for example) nearly impossible without pharmacologic intervention. Although anesthesia will render a subject motionless for many hours, it can also severely affect normal neural function, while making voluntary response measurements nearly impossible.

Breast imaging using NIR light would reveal information about the functional, absorbing and scattering properties of suspicious lesions. Current breast screening and diagnostic techniques are either invasive, uncomfortable or provide little specificity. Optical tomography offers the opportunity to identify the nature of a lesion without the need for compression of the breast or the use of ionizing radiation or biopsy. Arm imaging allows the efficacy of optical tomography methods to be evaluated since functional changes in the arm can be readily induced and its size is similar to that of an infant's head.

Creating an imaging system that uses NIR light is not straightforward due to the scattering properties of tissue. Because the light quickly becomes diffuse as it traverses tissue, it is not possible to deduce the internal absorbing structure by simply looking at a number of projections (as is the case in x-ray imaging).

Time correlation single photon counting can be used in time of flight measurement, especially in optical tomography [1, 4]. In this work a system for photon time of flight measurement by means of photon counting was developed.

II. THEORY

Photon propagation in turbid media can be approximately described by diffusion equation, which in infinitive homogenous media with radial symmetry takes form [5]:

$$\frac{1}{cD} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial r^2} - \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r} + \frac{\mu_a}{D} \Phi = \frac{S_0 \delta(r, t)}{D}, \quad (1)$$

where:

r - distance from a source, t - time, c - speed of light, Φ - photon fluence rate, μ_a - absorption coefficient, D - diffusion constant, μ_s - scattering coefficient, g - scattering anisotropy coefficient, S_0 - energy of light pulse, δ - Dirac delta function.

A. Trybuła, G. Domański, B. Konarzewski, J. Marzec, K. Zaremba, R. Kurjata, M. Dziewiecki, A. Smolnik, and M. Ziembicki are with Institute of Radioelectronics, Warsaw University of Technology, Nowowiejska 15/19, 00-665 Warsaw, Poland (e-mail: {A.Trybula; G.Domanski; B.Konarzewski; J.Marzec; K.Zaremba; R.Kurjata; M.Dziewiecki; A.Smolnik; M.Ziembicki}@ire.pw.edu.pl).

Relation between diffusion constant and the other optical parameters is given by:

$$D = \frac{1}{3(\mu_a + \mu_s(1-g))}. \quad (2)$$

The solution of equation (1) is [4]:

$$\Phi(r, t) = \frac{cS_0}{(4\pi Dct)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4Dct} - \mu_a ct\right). \quad (3)$$

Full physical model of photon transport in media requires Monte Carlo simulation [6]. The block schema of simple Monte Carlo program for photon migration in infinite media is shown in the Figure 1. At the start of the program all variables are initialized and new photon is launched. Its starting position is, for example, vector $(x=0, y=0, z=0)$. The direction of photon move is sampled from isotropic distribution. Then distance s to interaction point is sampled from the formula:

$$s = \frac{1}{\mu_a + \mu_s} \ln \xi, \quad (4)$$

where:

ξ is random variable with uniform distribution in the range $(0, 1)$. The photon is moved from its starting position (x, y, z) to the new one (x', y', z') :

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= x + s\mu_x \\ y' &= y + s\mu_y, \\ z' &= z + s\mu_z \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where:

(μ_x, μ_y, μ_z) - the direction of photon move. Internal photon time is increased by:

$$t' = t + \frac{s}{c}. \quad (6)$$

After photon is moved, a kind of interaction: absorption or scattering, is sampled.

The probability of absorption P_a is equal $\frac{\mu_a}{\mu_a + \mu_s}$ and probability of scattering is $1 - P_a$. If scattering is sampled then the new photon direction of move is calculated from:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'_x &= \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 - \mu_z^2}} (\mu_x \mu_y \cos \varphi - \mu_y \sin \varphi) + \mu_x \cos \theta \\ \mu'_y &= \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{1 - \mu_z^2}} (\mu_y \mu_z \cos \varphi + \mu_x \sin \varphi) + \mu_y \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\mu'_z = -\sin \theta \cos \varphi \sqrt{1 - \mu_z^2} + \mu_z \cos \theta$$

Here θ denotes polar angle, calculated from the formula:

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2g} \left\{ 1 + g^2 - \left[\frac{1 - g^2}{1 - g + 2g\xi} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (8)$$

for $g > 0$ and from the formula:




Fig. 1. The block schema of simple Monte Carlo program for photon migration in infinite media.

$$\cos \theta = 2\xi - 1, \quad (9)$$

for $g=0$.

In the above equations ξ denotes a random variable with uniform distribution in the $(0, 1)$ range. Azimuth angle φ is sampled from the uniform distribution in the $(0, 2\pi)$ range. When absorption is sampled then the photon position is checked. If it is in the $(r, r+dr)$ range, where r is source-detector distance and dr is the width of detection layer, the number of detected photon is increased by one in the corresponding time bin.

III. DESIGNED TIME CORRELATED SINGLE PHOTON COUNTING SYSTEM

The high sensitivity time correlated single photon counting system for biomedical purposes was designed and tested. The block schema of developed system is shown in the Figure 2.

Light photons emitted from the source, after transmission through an examined object (phantom), are detected by photomultiplier tube. The system measures the time interval between photon emission moment (START signal) and photon detection moment (STOP signal). Every count is added to the time interval histogram, which creates time response curve.

Laser sources with very short pulses were not available for the authors, so a generator of short light pulses, with duration length about 1 ns, based on LED diode (wavelength 660 nm), was made in our laboratory. It was an inexpensive compact nanosecond LED pulser, proposed by J. S. Kapustinsky and his colleagues [2]. The pulser is based on a fast discharge of a small capacitor via a complementary pair of RF transistors. One of the most important advantages of the pulser is a




Fig. 5. Theoretical time response for various light scattering coefficient.




Fig. 6. Theoretical time response for various light absorption coefficient.




Fig. 7. Theoretical and simulated by Monte Carlo method time response.

Amplitude of time response decreases with increasing of light absorption coefficient. Peaking time is increased with increasing absorption coefficient.




Fig. 8. The geometry of the time of flight measurements for paraffin wax cylinder phantom (height 5.7 cm).




Fig. 9. Measured time response with and without a phantom.

The another simulation was done with the following parameter values: $\mu_a=0.04 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\mu_s=1.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $g=0$, $n=1.47$. These values were chosen by measurement of optical parameters by means of dc signal amplitude [3]. The combination of such values of $\mu_s=1.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $g=0$ was used instead of unknown value of μ_s and g , which yields the same value of reduced scattering coefficient $(1-g) \mu_s$. The number of simulated photons was 2000000. Theoretical time response curve was calculated from the equation (1). Both time responses: theoretical and simulated were shown in the Figure 7.

A quite good fit between theory and Monte Carlo simulation is visible.

V. THE RESULTS OF DEVICE TESTS

The time response distribution was measured for two cases: with and without a paraffin wax phantom. The phantom was cylindrical: height was 5.7 cm and radius was 3.15 cm. The light source was located on the phantom axis as well as the detector. The measurement geometry is shown in the Figure 8.

Measured time response with and without a phantom is shown in the Figure 9. Delay line was used in the measurement system, so there was the shift about 44 ns in time axis. Only

time differences between two responses: with and without phantom are important.

These experimental results were confirmed by both Monte Carlo simulation and analytical calculation. The tests of developed device confirmed its usefulness for single photon counting measurements.

There is clearly visible the time shift (of order 0.8 – 0.9 ns) between two time responses: with and without paraffin wax phantom. The predicted from simulations first moment shift in the distribution (mean time) is approximately 0.8 ns.

Fluctuations in the curves are caused by poor photon counting statistics.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Analytical computations, based on simplified diffusion theory, were made, as well as Monte Carlo simulation. The results of the computations confirmed that time response curve depends on optical parameters of examined object. It enables determination light scattering and absorption coefficients by time of flight measurements.

The digital TDC-GP2 chip seemed to be very suitable for time correlated single photon counting system. Its time resolution is sufficient for assumed precision in optical systems.

The experimental results presented in this paper demonstrate the feasibility of measuring the optical response with the device. The device parameters are sufficient for noninvasive optical parameters of tissue measurement.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. M. C. Hillman, J. C. Hebden, M. Schweiger, H. Dehghani, F. E. W. Schmidt, D. T. Delpy, and S. R. Arridge. "Time resolved optical tomography of the human forearm", *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 46, 2001, pp. 1117–1130.
- [2] J.S. Kapustinsky et al, *Nuclear Instruments and Methods A*, vol. 241, 1985, p. 612.
- [3] A. B. Milstein, "Three-dimensional optical diffusion tomography with experimental data", *Optics Letters*, vol. 27, no. 2, 2002, pp. 95-97.
- [4] F. E. W. Schmidt, M. E. Fry, E. M. C. Hillman, J. C. Hebden, and D. T. Delpy, "A 32-channel time-resolved instrument for medical optical tomography", *Review of Scientific Instruments*, vol. 71, no. 1, Jan. 2000.
- [5] A. Trybuła, G. Domański, B. Konarzewski, J. Marzec, and K. Zaremba, "System do pomiaru czasu przelotu fotonów przez tkankę", *Elektronika* vol. 11, 2009, pp. 143-145, [in polish].
- [6] L. Wang, S. Jacques, and L. Zheng, "MCML – Monte Carlo modeling of light transport in multi-layered tissues", *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, vol. 47, 1995, pp. 131-146.

