[*]**Adrian Młot, [**]Mariusz Korkosz, [*]Marian Łukaniszyn**
[*]**Opole University of Technology; [**]Rzeszow University of Technology**

ANALYSIS OF IRON AND EDDY-CURRENT LOSS IN LOW-POWER BLDC MOTOR WITH MAGNET SEGMENTATION

ANALIZA STRAT W ŻELAZIE I MAGNESACH W SILNIKU BLDC MALEJ MOCY Z MAGNESAMI SEGMENTOWYMI

Abstract: This paper considers a Brushless Direct Current (BLDC) machine prototype with six poles and 36 stator slots including a three phase double-layered distributed winding. The permanent magnet (PM) eddy-current loss is relatively small compared with the iron loss; it may cause significant heating of the PMs, due to the relatively poor heat dissipation from the rotor and results in partial irreversible demagnetization. A reduction in loss is achieved by magnet segmentation mounted on the rotor. Different number of magnet segmentation is analysed. The presented work concerns the computation of the no-load iron loss in the stator, rotor yoke and eddy-current loss in the magnets. It is shown that the construction of the rotor with segmented magnets can significantly reduce PM loss (eddy-current loss). Eddy-current loss in PMs is caused by several machine features; the winding structure and large stator slot openings cause flux density variations that induce eddy-currents in the PMs. The effect of these changes to the BLDC motor design is examined in order to improve machine performance. 3-D finite-element analysis (FEA) is used to investigate the electromagnetic behaviour of the BLDC motor.

1. Introduction

Certain industrial applications require BLDC machines. When cost constraints are imperative, designers are forced to use the standard laminations, available on the market. Different magnetic materials cause different amounts of loss. Knowledge of this loss is important in the analysis of PM machines, due to their complicated structure and the rotational behaviour of their magnetic fields [1, 2, 3]. When designing a motor an appropriate combination of the stator teeth per pole and per phase should be used. A bad choice can a reason of significant iron loss even in the rotor.

The BLDC motor losses are mainly the winding copper loss and iron loss. The winding loss due to eddy-currents are difficult to analyse due to the complex distribution of 3-phase windings. Hence, winding loss is not considered in this paper. Furthermore, the stator teeth and back iron can also have significant loss due to flux reversals. Knowledge of the iron flux density and its harmonic spectrum allows the loss of the stator core be estimated.

The permanent magnets and rotor back iron experience little variation in flux and therefore do not generate significant loss compared with the loss generated by the stator core. Nevertheless, this paper presents 3-D computations of the no-load magnet loss and the iron loss of a prototype BLDC machine. Modifications are based on dividing the PM-poles into multiple magnet seg-

ments. This construction of the rotor reduces the eddy-current loss in the PMs and changes slightly the quantities such as electromagnetic torque and ripple torque compared with a conventional rotor with no magnets' segmentation.

2. Prototype of BLDC motor

A 6-pole BLDC motor with a modified rotor is considered. The motor has a low mechanical power, 0.55 kW at the rated speed of 1000 rpm. The rated nominal current and voltage is 10 A and 45 V, respectively. Figure 1 shows the test bench including a prototype with the non-segmented magnets.


Fig. 1. Test bench of 6-pole 36-slot BLDC motor. The BLDC 0.55kW machine is coupled to a generator to simulate a loaded operation

The structure of this prototype with calculation and measurements of the no-load torque, cogging torque and EMF were presented in [4, 5]. The stator of the prototype was made of laminated iron (sheet type EP 600-50A). The rotor

is made of solid iron (ST-3 B-H characteristic) with surface mounted PMs which have the shape of cylindrical sections and are magnetized radially.

A finite element model which considers two modifications to the prototype is presented in the next sections. The sheet type EP 600-50A used previously in the prototype is used rather in transformers than in rotating machines. Hence, FEA of the BLDC motor uses a different kind of stator sheet material, 0.55 mm M470-50A steel laminations that is typically used in induction motors (Fig.2). The second modification is to use different numbers of rotor magnet segments.


Fig. 2. B-H curve of stator core (M470-50A) and rotor core (ST3) materials

The winding used in the BLDC motor is fed with a rectangular current waveform in the 120 deg. conduction mode, thus only two phases can be supplied simultaneously. Fig.3 shows the distributed integral three-phase double-layer winding used in the motor.


Fig. 3. Diagram of the three phase double-layer distributed winding

The presented prototype (Fig.1) is constructed for low-speed operation with a low number of turns per phase (N_t=5). Additionally, the stator has small slot openings. The structure of the BLDC motor produces low eddy – current loss in the magnets and in the rotor of up to several percent relative to mechanical power.

3. Losses determination

3.1. Iron losses

Iron loss determination requires knowledge of the magnetic material characteristics for all of the different magnetic motor components. Iron losses comprise three components: eddy-current loss, hysteresis loss and excess loss [2, 3, 6]. Hysteresis loss is an effect that occurs within the ferromagnetic materials. Hence, material selection is minimising core loss.

Various methods have been proposed in the literature to calculate iron loss [2, 3, 6-9]. One of these methods is the modified Steinmetz equation presented in [7, 8], that predicts losses when waveforms are non-sinusoidal. In the case of sinusoidal excitation (which is typical for form-factor-controlled Epstein frame measurements), the specific core losses W_{Fe} in W/m3 can be expressed by the theory of the modified Bertotti equation [9]:

$$W_{Fe} = \left(\begin{array}{l} k_h B_m^2 f + \sigma \dfrac{d^2}{12}\left(\dfrac{dB}{dt}(t)\right)^2 ... \\ + k_e \left(\dfrac{dB}{dt}(t)\right)^{3/2} \end{array} \right) k_f \quad (1)$$

where f is the fundamental frequency, B_m the peak value of the magnetic flux density, σ the conductivity of the material, d the thickness of the lamination, k_h the hysteresis coefficient, k_e is the excess loss and k_f the fill factor coefficient.

Generally, the manufacturer of the magnetic sheets provides the value of iron loss in watts per kilogram for given values of magnetic flux density and frequency. Based on this knowledge the loss coefficients (k_e, k_h) can be identified. These coefficients are used to compute iron losses and are reported in Table I for M470-50A lamination.

Table I. Material Coefficients for M470-50A Lamination.

Hysteresis coefficient	k_h	143	Ws/T^2/m^3
Electric conductivity	σ	2.5641e6	$(\Omega m)^{-1}$
Lamination thickness	d	0.5e-3	m
Excess loss coefficient	k_e	2.6	W/(Ts^{-1})$^{3/2}$/m^3
Packing factor	k_f	0.96	-
Mass density	ρ	7760	kg/m^3

3.2. Eddy-current loss in rotor and PMs

In BLDC motors, iron loss appears not only in the stator but also in the rotor. The rotor of the analysed machine is made of a solid iron. In this case the iron losses are purely the eddy-current losses.

Calculations of the eddy-currents in the PMs is based on the calculation of the distribution of magnetic flux density. Hence, this loss is caused by: winding structure, slot opening size and converter switching frequency. A concentrated winding produces a large amount of current linkage harmonics generated by flux densities travelling across the PMs, causing eddy-currents [10]. This effect can be reduced if the machine uses distributed windings such as the analysed motor. In addition, large stator slot openings cause flux density variations that induce eddy-currents in the PMs. In this paper the rotor iron and magnet loss is computed separately and the Joule losses of PMs and rotor yoke are calculated by Cedrat's Flux3D [11] and is expressed as:

$$W_{PM} = \iiint_V \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J} dV = \rho \iiint_V J^2 dV \ [\text{W}] \qquad (2)$$

Where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric field strength, J is the current density within the PM and ρ is the resistivity of the PM ($1.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ Ωm).

Building the rotor and magnets into multiple segments has been shown to significantly reduce eddy-current loss [12]. In the analysis performed here segmentation into up to six segments is considered (Fig. 3).

The magnetic parts can be glued together. The thickness of the glue is assumed be 0.1mm in each bond.

Fig.3. Magnet pole segmented into 6 pieces over the active length

4. Investigation of BLDC motor with magnet segmentations

The considered motor has a rotational symmetry. Based on analysis of magnetic flux distribution, it is sufficient to limit the model to one-sixth of the whole motor volume due to the inherent symmetries in both the rotor and stator. Additionally, the 3D mathematical model of a BLDC motor, takes into account the end-winding region giving a more accurate solution with regards to loss computing (Fig.4). The end-winding leakage has influence on magnetic field distribution in the ends of active length. The influence of the end-winding leakage can be weakened, if the rotor length is smaller than the stator length (such as in analysed machine).

Fig.4. The 3D FE model, 1/6 of the machine is modelled, by polar and rotational symmetry

In Fig. 5, the no-load voltage waveform is computed without magnet segmentation and when magnet segmentation is employed. The EMF is slightly lower owing to the smaller amount of magnets mass. As the number of segments decreases, the maximum decrease in

value of EMF only about 0.7%. The ripples in the EMF waveforms are due to the interaction of the rotor design with the stator teeth. Magnet segmentations cause the EMF ripple to increase, accordingly the torque ripple behaves in the same manner.


Fig. 5. EMF vs. rotor position in mechanical degree due to segmentation magnet at 1000 rpm

Calculation of the torque developed by the motor is performed by the virtual work method [11]. The motor is requiring low level of the torque ripple for low vibration and noise. As it is shown in Fig. 6 the analysed machine produces significant torque pulsations.

a)

b)

Fig. 6. Electromagnetic torque (a), cogging torque (b) vs. rotor position

Cogging torque minimization of BLDC motors is becoming necessary since its low torque is required in industrial application. In papers [4, 5] the authors proposed methods to reduce cogging torque. Electromagnetic torque and cogging torque is only slightly influenced by the segmentation of the magnets (Fig. 6a-b). The difference in the peak value of cogging torque between non segmented magnets and when the magnets are segmented into 6-pieces is approximately 5%. The cogging torque has a tendency to increase due to the rotor construction, and that is affected by the magnet segmentation. Hence, the air-gap between segmented magnets should be as small as possible. The iron loss generated in the laminated core pack and in the rotor yoke are presented in Fig. 7. Eddy-current loss generated in the rotor yoke is the result of small circulating currents that are induced when the flux density changes in the magnetic material.


Fig. 7. Iron loss of stator and rotor core vs. number of magnet segments at open circuit and short circuit

The short-circuit iron losses are less than the open-circuit losses due to the weakening at the electromagnetic field by the current flowing in the winding. This effect can be seen in Fig. 8 which presents the flux in the air-gap. The air-gap flux under open circuit conditions keeps the flux density profile more rectangular,. Fig. 8 shows also that under short circuit the flux density form is extremely deformed. Hence, the iron losses under short circuit are less than under open circuit. Table II presents a comparison of the calculated iron loss and magnet loss under open-circuit and short-circuit conditions for a solid magnet (N=1), two (N=2), four (N=4) and six magnet segments (N=6).

Fig. 8. Flux density in the air-gap for non segmented magnet

Table II. Average no-load iron loss of the modified machine at 1000rpm.

Motor version	Rotor iron loss [W]		Stator iron loss [W]	
	Short-circuit	Open-circuit	Short-circuit	Open-circuit
N=1 (prototype)	2.06	2.84	7.16	8.38
N=2	2.18	2.75	7.47	8.56
N=4	2.15	2.71	7.42	8.51
N=6	2.12	2.65	7.38	8.46

In general the rotor eddy-current loss in PMs is relatively small compared to the iron loss. However it may cause significant heating of the PMs, due to the relatively poor heat dissipation of the rotor that may result in partial irreversible demagnetisation of PMs.

As in Fig. 9, the PM no-load eddy-current loss is not the dominant part of PM eddy-current losses in the discussed machine with small slot openings and distributed windings. For the maximum number of magnet segments (N=6), the loss is reduced by 66% under short-circuit operation. Hence a reduction in eddy-current loss due to magnet segmentation can be more beneficial for machines which tend to produce more eddy-current loss [1, 8], such as: machines using a high numbers of turns per phase especially machines with concentrated windings and/or machines operated at high speed etc.

Table III and Fig. 10 show the calculated eddy-current distribution due to the number of segments. The eddy-current losses in the BLDC motor are reduced by dividing the magnets into segments.


*Fig. 9. Eddy-current loss in the PMs vs. segmentation number*

Fig. 10. Eddy-current loss distribution in the PMs for N=1 (a), N=2 (b), N=4 (c) and N=6 (d) at 1000rpm and short-circuit

Table III. Average no-load PM loss of the modified machine at 1000rpm

Motor version	PM loss [%] relative to non-segmented magnets		PM loss [W]	
	Short-circuit	Open-circuit	Short-circuit	Open-circuit
N=1 (prototype)	100%	100%	0.0452	0.0122
N=2	77%	100%	0.0349	0.0126
N=4	51%	92%	0.0223	0.0112
N=6	34%	81%	0.0162	0.0098

5. Conclusion

The computed PM eddy-current loss in the considered machine with the segmented PM poles is significantly lower (about 66% under short and 19% under open circuit) compared to the machine without the segmentation used; however the effect of segmentation is to generate almost the same range of iron losses (the loss at short and open circuit was separated by calculating the stator loss and PMs eddy-current losses). The proposed approach to reduce eddy-current loss is most beneficial for BLDC machines with high number of turns per phase, concentrated windings or machines with a high fundamental frequency, e.g. high speed operation and/or high pole number, machines with large slot openings and a high power density. In this case, axial-segmentation of the magnet reduced loss, and kept the same range of EMF value and did not increase significantly a cogging torque.

6. References

[1] Chen Y., Zhu Z.Q., Howe D., Gliemann J.H.: *Rotor eddy current loss in single-phase permanent magnet brushless DC motor*. Industry Applications Conference, 2007, 42nd IAS Annual Meeting, Conference Record of the 2007 IEEE, pp. 537-543.

[2] Ionel D.M., Popescu M., Cossar C., McGlip M.I., Boglietti A., Cavagnino A.: *A general model for estimating the laminated steel losses under PWM voltage supply.* IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, Vol. 46, No. 4, July/August 2010, pp. 1389-1396.

[3] Boglietti A., Cavagnino A., Ionel D.M., Popescu M., Staton D.A, Vaschetto S.: *A general model to predict the iron losses in inverter fed induction motors.* Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition, ECCE 2009, IEEE, September 2009, pp. 1067-1074.

[4] Mlot A., Lukaniszyn M.: *Optimization of the PM array of brushless DC motor for minimum cogging toque.* Przegląd Elektrotechniczny, 12/2008, pp. 68-70.

[5] Lukaniszyn M. Mlot A.: *Torque characteristics of BLDC motor with multipolar excitation*. The International Journal for Computation and Mathematics in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, COMPEL, vol. 28, no. 1, 2009, pp. 178-187.

[6] Cassat A., Espanet C., Wavre N.: *BLDC motor stator and rotor iron losses and thermal behavior based on lumped schemes and 3D FEM analysis*. Industry Applications Conference, vol. 4, 2002, pp. 2469-2476.

[7] Kohan N.A., Abbaszadeh K.: *Influence of nonsinusoidal flux waveform on transformer design methodology*. 1st Power Electronic & Drive System & Technologies Conference, 2010, pp. 57-62.

[8] Chen Y., Pillay P.: *An improved formula for lamination core loss calculations in machines operating with high frequency and high flux density excitation*. Industry Applications Conference, 2002, Vol 2, pp. 759-766.

[9] Bertotti G.: *General properties of power losses in soft ferromagnetic materials*. IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, vol. 24, no. 1, January 1988, pp. 621-630.

[10] Benarous M.: *Investigation of rotor loss due to current communication in a permanent magnet brushless DC motor*. Power Electronics, Machines and Drives. The 3rd IET International Conference, March 2006, pp. 546-550.

[11] Cedrat, Flux3D, User's Guide, Vol. 3, 2008.

[12] Yamazaki K., Fukushima Yu.: *Effect of eddy-current loss reduction by magnet segmentation in synchronous motors with concentrated windings*. Electrical Machines and System, ICEMS, 15-18 November 2009, pp. 1-6.

Authors

Dr inż. Adrian Młot, Politechnika Opolska, Wydzial Elektryczny, ul. Luboszycka 7, 45-036, Opole, (77)4538447, amlot@po.opole.pl.

Prof. dr hab. inż., Marian Łukaniszyn, Politechnika Opolska, Wydzial Elektrotechniki Automatyki i Informatyki, ul. Luboszycka 7, 45-036, Opole, (77)4538447, marian.lukaniszyn@po.opole.pl.

Dr inż. Mariusz Korkosz, Politechnika Rzeszowska, 35-959 Rzeszów, ul. W. Pola 2, (17)8544777, mkorkosz@prz.edu.pl.

Recenzent

Prof. dr hab. inż. Lech Nowak