# Organic Soils Consolidation Test with Pore Water Pressure Measurements

#### Andrzej Olchawa

Institute for Land Reclamation and Grassland Farming, Trakt Św. Wojciecha 293b, 80-001 Gdańsk, Poland, e-mail: andyolchawa@wp.pl

(Received June 09, 2004; revised September 09, 2004)

#### Abstract

The paper compares the void ratio at the end of primary consolidation obtained from log t and  $\sqrt{t}$  methods with those obtained from pore water measurements. Two organic soils from the Żuławy region ware used for the study. The experimental results indicated that decrepancies between methods can be regarded as negligible for practical purposea.

**Key words**: organic soils, the end of primary consolidation, pore water pressure measurements

### 1. Introduction

The estimation of the compression index requires konwledge of the void ratio at the end of primary consolidation (EOP) (Mesri, Castro 1987). Compression index, designated  $C_c$  is defined as the change in void ratio per  $\log_{10}$  cycle of effective pressure.

The void ratio at the end of primary consolidation may be obtained applying various methods available in literature (Lambe, Whitman 1978, Olson 1986). The square root of time fitting method,  $\sqrt{t}$ , and the logarithm of time fitting metod,  $\log t$ , are the most popular (Whitlow 1990). Both methods use the curve of the time-compression data obtained from one-dimensional consolidation tests.

Most analyses on the adequacy of the above methods concern mineral soils (Crawford 1964, Mesri and Choi 1985, Robinson 1999). On the other hand, data concerning organic soils are rather scarce.

The purpose of this work is to compare the values of the void ratio (estimated for some organic soils) at the end of primary consolidation obtained from the log t and the  $\sqrt{t}$  methods, with those obtained from the pore water measurments.

## 2. Experimental Study

Some soils from the Żuławy region were used for the study. Differences in the organic matter content of these soils constitute the basis for their choice. The physical properties and organic matter content of these soils are depicted in Table 1.

Several series of one-dimensional consolidation tests were conducted using a conventional oedometer (ring size: 6.49 cm in diameter and 2.00 cm in height). The tests were carried out on fully saturated hand-remolded samples of the soils. The soil samples were made into a paste at a water content of 1.20 times the liquid water content limit, and kept in that form for uniform moisture distribution. The consolidation pressure changed from 12.5 to 200 kPa. A load increment ratio of 1.0 was adopted. The ring was smeared with silicone grease to minimise side-friction between the specimen and the ring. Special precautions were undertaken to minimise evaporation of pore water from the top of the cell.

Site	Organic matter	Liquid	Plastic	Mineralogy					
samples	content,	limit,	limit,						
	$I_{om}, \%^{(1)}$	$w_L, \%$	$w_P, \%$						
Markusy (M)	10.2	111.2	43.2	Quartz, illite, feldspar					
Nowe Dolno (ND)	4.8	66.0	34.2	Quartz, illite, kaolinite montmorillonite, feldspar					

 Table 1. Physical properties and organic matter content of the soils used

<sup>1)</sup> determined according to PN-88/B-04481, Building Soils, Laboratory tests.

Only one-way drainage through the top of the cell was permitted during tests. The bottom of the oedometer was connected to a manometer to measure the excess pore water pressure during consolidation. Special care was taken to avoid trapping air in the measuring system.

### 3. Results and Discussion

One of the results obtained for the one-dimensional consolidation tests is depicted in Fig. 1. It shows time-void ratio curves for the soils in the pressure range 100–200 kPa, and corresponding time-pore water pressure data. The maximum pore water pressure and maximum pore water pressure per applied pressure versus applied consolidation pressure is shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. It can be seen that the measured pore water pressure increases to a maximum value before deacreasing. The value of maximum pore water pressure is less than the pressure increment applied to the top of the sample. There is no satisfactory explanation of this observation. Some investigators assign this phenomenon to the "stiffness of the pore water pressure measuring system" which allows partial drainage of pore water from the base of the sample (Whitman et al 1961, Sonpal and Katti 1973).



Fig. 1. Time - compression curves and the corresponding time - pore water pressure curves

It can be seen from Fig. 4, that the time taken for completion of the primary consolidation depends on the soil type. This may be attributed to the coefficient of permeability of the soils. The coefficients of organic soils at sites of Markusy and Nowe Dolno are  $1.99 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $9.6 \times 10^{-8}$  ms<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Olchawa 2003).

The values of void ratio,  $e_{100}$ , at the end of primary consolidation obtained from the log t and the  $\sqrt{t}$  methods and those obtained from the pore water measurments are given in Tables 1 and 2 and shown graphically in Fig. 5.

The  $e_{100}$  values determinated from the  $\sqrt{t}$  method are generally greater than those obtained from the log t method. This is in agreement with earlier findings reported by Olson (1986) and Robinson (1999). Contrary to their results, the  $e_{100}$  values of tested organic soils described in this paper determined from both methods, do not differ very much. Comparison of the  $e_{100}$  values obtained from the A. Olchawa



Fig. 2. Maximum pore water pressure at the base of the samples vs applied consolidation pressure



Fig. 3. Maximum pore water pressure per applied consolidation pressure ratio vs applied consolidation pressure



Fig. 4. The time required for completion of the primary consolidation obtained from pore water pressure dissipation measurements vs consolidation pressure in log scale

Tab	le 2.	The v	values	of th	e void	ratio	at 1	the	end	of p	orimary	con	solidati	on,	$e_{100},$	obtained	from	the
	pore	ewater	press	ure in	ndicati	on an	d fr	rom	the	log	t and $$	$\overline{t}$ m	ethods.	Ma	rkus	y site san	npling	

$e_{100}$					
$u^{2)}$	log t	$\sqrt{t}$			
2.400	2.398	2.440			
2.167	2.169	2.170			
1.972	1.972	1.974			
1.742	1.739	1.742			
1.683	1.691	1.689			
	$ \begin{array}{r} u^{2)} \\ 2.400 \\ 2.167 \\ 1.972 \\ 1.742 \\ 1.683 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c cccc} u^{2)} & \log t \\ \hline 2.400 & 2.398 \\ \hline 2.167 & 2.169 \\ \hline 1.972 & 1.972 \\ \hline 1.742 & 1.739 \\ \hline 1.683 & 1.691 \\ \hline \end{array}$			

<sup>2)</sup> obtained from water pressure indication

**Table 3.** The values of the void ratio at the end of primary consolidation,  $e_{100}$ , obtained from the porewater pressure indication and from the log t and  $\sqrt{t}$  methods. Nowe Dolno site sampling

Consolidation pressure,	$e_{100}$					
$\sigma_{ci}$ in kPa	$u^{(2)}$	log t	$\sqrt{t}$			
12.5	1.498	1.499	1.501			
25	1.371	1.370	1.372			
50	1.282	1.280	1.279			
100	1.187	1.187	1.189			
200	1.072	1.075	1.072			

<sup>2)</sup> obtained from water pressure indication.



Fig. 5. Comparison of the  $e_{100}$  values determinated from the  $\log t$  and the  $\sqrt{t}$  methods with those obtained from pore water measurments. Site sampling a) Markusy, b) Nowe Dolno

 $\log t$  and  $\sqrt{t}$  with those obtained from pore water pressure measurements indicate that both methods are good predictors of the end of primary consolidation (EOP).

Identification of the EOP using the  $\log t$  method requires a longer consolidation period than when using the  $\sqrt{t}$  method. The  $\log t$  method requires sustained pressure over a period of 24 hours, whereas the  $\sqrt{t}$  method only for a period no longer than 90 min. The time needed for dissipation of excess pore water pressure is less than 130 min.

## 4. Conclusions

The main conclusions concerning the laboratory organic soils consolidation test data, taking into account both settlement and pore water measurement can be summarized as follows:

- The maximum pore water pressure in the consolidated cell of organic soil is considerably less than the value of the applied consolidation pressure.
- The discrepancies between void ratio at the end of primary consolidation obtained from log t method and  $\sqrt{t}$  method can be regarded as negligible.
- The log t method, as well as the  $\sqrt{t}$  method, yield comparable values of  $e_{100}$  to those obtained from pore water pressure dissipation measurement.
- For practical purposes the values of  $e_{100}$  of consolidated organic soils obtained from the  $\sqrt{t}$  method, may be considered adequate. The main advantage of the  $\sqrt{t}$  method compared with the log t method lies in its shorter duration of sustained applied consolidation pressure.

#### References

Crawford C. B. (1964), Interpretation of the Consolidation Test, J. Soil Mech. Found. Div., Vol. 90, No. SM 5, 86–102.

Lambe W. T., Whitman R. V. (1978), Soil Mechanics, T. 2, cz. IV, V, Arkady, Warszawa (in Polish).

- Mesri G., Castro A. (1987), C<sub>α</sub>/C<sub>c</sub> Concept and K<sub>o</sub> During Secondary Consolidation, Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, Vol. 113, No. 3, 230–247.
- Mesri G., Choi Y. K. (1985), The Uniqueness of the End-of-Primary (EOP) Void Ratio Effective Stress Relationship, *Proc. 11*<sup>th</sup> *Int. Conf. on Soil Mech. and Found. Eng.*, San Francisco, 587–590.
- Olchawa A. (2003), The Properties of Soil Composites as a Material for Constructing Flood Embankments, *Water-Environmental-Rural Areas*, Wyd. IMUZ, Falenty (in Polish).
- Olson R. E. (1986), Consolidation of Soils: Testing and Evolution, STP 892, Philadelphia: ASTM, 7–70.
- Robinson R. G. (1999), Cosolidation Analysis with Pore Water Pressure Measurements, *Géotechnique*, Vol. 49, No. 1, 127–132.
- Sonpal R. C., Katti R. K. (1973), Cosolidation An Analysis with Pore Pressure Measurements, Proc. 8<sup>th</sup> ICSMFE, 1.2, 385–388.
- Whitlow R. (1990), Basic Soil Mechanics, John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Whitman R. V., Richardson A. M., Healey K. A. (1961), Time-Lags in Pore Water Pessure Measurements, Proc. 5<sup>th</sup> ICSMFE, 1, 407–411.