Electromagnetic Coupling of the Electrical Drive – EMC (Part I)

Irena KOVÁČOVÁ, Dobroslav KOVÁČ

Technical University of Košice, Slovakia

Summary: **The paper deals with the general analysis of one part of the electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) problem - the electromagnetic coupling applied in the field of power electrical systems. Theoretical analysis of above mentioned problem, based on the impact investigation of the electromagnetic wave propagation, is described in part I. Obtained results can be used for predictive stating of EMC quality of individual new electrotechnical products.**

1. INTRODUCTION

The electromagnetic coupling is typical for galvanically separated electrical circuits, between which exists the exchange of the electromagnetic energy in the form of the radiated and absorbed power.

2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

Let the mutual influence of two separated circuits is investigated. We come out from the first two Maxwell's equations in general form, defined by the vector potential. Let it will be supposed, that the energy exchange is done through the air:

$$\overline{E} = -\nabla\varphi - \frac{\partial\overline{A}}{\partial t} \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{B} = \nabla \times \overline{A} \tag{2}$$

The potential φ and magnetic vector potential $\overline{A}$ investigation is leading to the solution of the partial-differential equations of the second order. The volume density of the environ charge is defined by ρ and the current density of conductor surface by $\overline{J}$:

$$\nabla^2\varphi - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2\varphi}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0} \tag{3}$$

$$\nabla^2\overline{A} - \frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial^2\overline{A}}{\partial t^2} = -\frac{\overline{J}}{-\varepsilon_0 c^2} \tag{4}$$

Also next condition must to fulfilled by the searched solution:

$$\nabla.\overline{A} = -\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t} \tag{5}$$

The relations for potential φ and magnetic vector potential $\overline{A}$ expressed for position 1 in dependence on the source parameters at the point 2 can be obtained by mathematical solving. For solution simplification it will be supposed, that the length $\overline{l}_i$ of conductor element is so small in comparison with the vector length $\overline{r}_{12} = \overline{r}_i$, that the distances of the

beginning and finishing points of conductor element of length, measured from the point 1, are the same. Situation is pictured in Figure 1. The direction of current density vector $\overline{J}$ is coincident with the direction of unit conductor vector $\overline{l}^0$:

$$\varphi(1,t) = \int \frac{\rho(2, t - \frac{r_{12}}{c})}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_{12}} dV_2 \tag{6}$$

$$\overline{A}(1,t) = \int \frac{\overline{J}(2, t - \frac{r_{12}}{c})}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 c^2 r_{12}} dV_2 \tag{7}$$

Let for the purpose of electrotechnical equipment EMC investigation the expression for magnetic vector potential $\overline{A}$ will be related to the elementary radiator represented by the electrical dipole with the dipole moment $\overline{p}$. The variables $\overline{p}'$ and $\overline{p}''$ represent first and second derivation of the dipole moment vector according the time:

$$\overline{p}_i = q\overline{l}_i = \int i dt \overline{l}_i \tag{8}$$

$$\overline{p}_i{}' = \frac{dq}{dt}\overline{l}_i = i\overline{l}_i \tag{9}$$

$$\overline{p}_i{}'' = \frac{di}{dt}\overline{l}_i \tag{10}$$

The optional current course can be expressed by Fourier series as sum of the DC component and k components of individual harmonic functions:


Fig. 1. Investigated point and element of the conductor length

$$i = C_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} C_k \, sin(k\omega t + \psi) = \qquad (11)$$

$$= I_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} I_{mk} \, sin(k\omega t + \psi)$$

Dipole moment and its time derivations then have the forms:

$$\overline{p}_i = (\int I_0 dt)\overline{l}_i + \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} (\int I_{mk} \, sin(k\omega t + \psi)dt)\overline{l}_i =$$

$$= I_0 t l_i \overline{l}_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} \frac{l_i I_{mk}}{k\omega} cos(k\omega t + \psi)\overline{l}_i^0 = \qquad (12)$$

$$= I_0 t l_i \overline{l}_i^0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} p_k \, cos(k\omega t + \psi)\overline{l}_i^0$$

$$\overline{p}_i' = I_0 l_i \overline{l}_i^0 + \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} I_{mk} \, sin(k\omega t + \psi)\overline{l}_i = I_0 l_i \overline{l}_i^0 + \qquad (13)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} k\omega p_k \, sin(k\omega t + \psi)\overline{l}_i^0$$

$$\overline{p}_i'' = \sum_{k=1}^{n \to \infty} (k\omega)^2 p_k \, cos(k\omega t + \psi)\overline{l}_i^0 \qquad (14)$$

The next equation describes the magnetic vector potential $\overline{A}_i$ of electric dipole:

$$\overline{A}_i(1,t) = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\overline{p}_i'(t - \frac{r_i}{c})}{r_i} \qquad (15)$$

By its substitution into the equation (2) the resulting relation for magnetic intensity vector $\overline{H}_i$ of the electromagnetic field will be obtained. It can be expressed by the components of the 3D Cartesian system:

$$\overline{H}_i = \frac{\overline{B}_i}{\mu} = \frac{\nabla \times \overline{A}_i}{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu} \begin{vmatrix} \overline{i}^0 & \overline{j}^0 & \overline{k}^0 \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ A_{ix} & A_{iy} & A_{iz} \end{vmatrix} = \qquad (16)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu}\left[\left(\frac{\partial A_{iz}}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_{iy}}{\partial z}\right)i + \left(\frac{\partial A_{ix}}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_{iz}}{\partial x}\right)j + \left(\frac{\partial A_{iy}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_{ix}}{\partial y}\right)k \right]$$

$$H_{ix} = \frac{v^2}{4\pi c^2}\left[-\frac{y_i p_{iz}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} - \frac{y_i p_{iz}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{z_i p_{iy}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} + \frac{z_i p_{iy}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} \right] \qquad (17)$$

$$H_{iy} = \frac{v^2}{4\pi c^2}\left[-\frac{z_i p_{ix}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} - \frac{z_i p_{ix}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{x_i p_{iz}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} + \frac{x_i p_{iz}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} \right] \qquad (18)$$

$$H_{iz} = \frac{v^2}{4\pi . c^2}\left[-\frac{x_i p_{iy}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} - \frac{x_i p_{iy}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{y_i p_{ix}'\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{r_i^3} + \frac{y_i p_{ix}''\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right)}{cr_i^2} \right] \qquad (19)$$

where:

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon\mu}} \quad c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}} \qquad (20)$$

are the velocities of electromagnetic wave extension inside the investigated environs and inside the vacuum. If we will suppose in following that the electromagnetic wave is air-borne spread then the next relations can be simplified. In such case $v = c$. The magnetic intensity vector $\overline{H}$, as one component of the electromagnetic wave, is possible to express according the equation (21). Where for the conductor length, the electromagnetic field of which is investigated, the relation is valid, equation (22):

$$\overline{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} \overline{H}_i = \overline{i}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} H_{ix} + \overline{j}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} H_{iy} + \overline{k}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} H_{iz} =$$

(21)

$$= \overline{H}_x + \overline{H}_y + \overline{H}_z = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\left[\overline{p}_i^{\,'} + \left(\dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,''} \right]_{t-\frac{r_i}{c}} \times \overline{r}_i}{r_i^3}$$

$$l = \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} l_i \qquad (22)$$

Individual axis components of the magnetic vector intensity $\overline{H}_i$, expressed by harmonic and DC components of dipole moment, have the following forms after modifications:

$$H_{ix\,sin} = \frac{I_{mk}}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[\big((z_i - z_{i0})(y_{i2} - y_{i1}) - (y_i - y_{i0})(z_{i2} - z_{i1})\big)$$

(23)

$$\left(sin\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right) + \frac{r_i}{c}k\omega\,cos\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right)\right) \Big]$$

$$H_{iy\,sin} = \frac{I_{mk}}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[\big((x_i - x_{i0})(z_{i2} - z_{i1}) - (z_i - z_{i0})(x_{i2} - x_{i1})\big)$$

(24)

$$\left(sin\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right) + \frac{r_i}{c}k\omega\,cos\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right)\right) \Big]$$

$$H_{iz\,sin} = \frac{I_{mk}}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[\big((y_i - y_{i0})(x_{i2} - x_{i1}) - (x_i - x_{i0})(y_{i2} - y_{i1})\big)$$

(25)

$$\left(sin\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right) + \frac{r_i}{c}k\omega\,cos\left(k\omega t - \frac{k\omega r_i}{c} + \psi\right)\right) \Big]$$

$$H_{ix0} = \frac{I_0}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[(z_i - z_{i0})(y_{i2} - y_{i1}) - (y_i - y_{i0})(z_{i2} - z_{i1}) \Big] \tag{26}$$

$$H_{iy0} = \frac{I_0}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[(x_i - x_{i0})(z_{i2} - z_{i1}) - (z_i - z_{i0})(x_{i2} - x_{i1}) \Big] \tag{27}$$

$$H_{iz0} = \frac{I_0}{4\pi r_i^3}\Big[(y_i - y_{i0})(x_{i2} - x_{i1}) - (x_i - x_{i0})(y_{i2} - y_{i1}) \Big] \tag{28}$$

where:

$$x_{i0} = \frac{x_{i2} + x_{i1}}{2} \quad y_{i0} = \frac{y_{i2} + y_{i1}}{2} \quad z_{i0} = \frac{z_{i2} + z_{i1}}{2}$$

(29)

$$r_i = \sqrt{(x_i - x_{i0})^2 + (y_i - y_{i0})^2 + (z_i - z_{i0})^2}$$

Electric intensity $\overline{E}_i$ vector calculation, as the second component of electromagnetic field, will be deduced from condition (5). The found solution of the partial-differential equation of the second order for magnetic vector potential $\overline{H}$ must fulfill this condition:

$$\nabla . \overline{A}_i = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 c^2} \frac{\left(\overline{p}_i^{\,'}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right) + \left(\dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,''}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\overline{r}_i}{r_i^3} =$$

(30)

$$= -\frac{1}{c^2}\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial t}$$

The time gradient of potential at the investigated place is necessary to express from the above-mentioned equation:

$$\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\left(\overline{p}_i^{\,'}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right) + \left(\dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,''}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\overline{r}_i}{r_i^3} \quad (31)$$

The relation for potential will be received after the integration:

$$\varphi_i = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\left(\overline{p}_i\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right) + \left(\dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,'}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\overline{r}_i}{r_i^3} + K \quad (32)$$

Integral constant K expresses a possible existence of the electrostatic field. It should be omitted during the investigation of electromagnetic wave spreading and so the final formula can be simplified.

By substitution of the obtained dependencies for potential φ and magnetic vector potential $\overline{A}$ into the equation (1) and by its modification the searched formula for electric field intensity $\overline{E}$ vector caused by harmonic pulsating dipole can be expressed:

$$\overline{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} \overline{E}_i = \overline{i}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} E_{ix} + \overline{j}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} E_{iy} + \overline{k}^{\,0} \sum_{i=1}^{m\to\infty} E_{iz} =$$

$$= \overline{E}_x + \overline{E}_y + \overline{E}_z = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\Bigg[-\overline{p}_i\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right) - \left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,'}\left(t - \frac{r_i}{c}\right) -$$

(33)

$$-3\frac{\left(\left(\overline{p}_i\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right) + \left(\dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\overline{p}_i^{\,'}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\overline{r}_i\right)\overline{r}_i}{r_i^2} + \frac{\overline{p}_i^{\,''}\left(t - \dfrac{r_i}{c}\right)}{c^2}\left(r_i^2 - \overline{r}_i\overline{r}_i\right)\Bigg]$$

Note, that above-mentioned condition (22) for total length of the investigated current conductor is valid, too. The individual axis components of electric intensity $\bar{E}$ vector of electromagnetic wave can be calculated on the basis of following relations:

$$E_{ix} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(p_{ix}\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)+\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)p_{ix}'\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\left(1-3\frac{x_i^2}{r_i^2}\right)+ \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(\frac{r_i^2-x_i^2}{c^2}p_{ix}''\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\right] \tag{34}$$

$$E_{iy} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(p_{iy}\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)+\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)p_{iy}'\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\left(1-3\frac{y_i^2}{r_i^2}\right)+ \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(\frac{r_i^2-y_i^2}{c^2}p_{iy}''\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\right] \tag{35}$$

$$E_{iz} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(p_{iz}\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)+\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)p_{iz}'\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\left(1-3\frac{z_i^2}{r_i^2}\right)+ \right.$$
$$\left. + \left(\frac{r_i^2-z_i^2}{c^2}p_{iz}''\left(t-\frac{r_i}{c}\right)\right)\right] \tag{36}$$

Their concrete expressions are given by the next equations for individual harmonics and DC component of dipole moment:

$$E_{ix\,sin} = -\frac{(x_{i2}-x_{i1})}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(\frac{I_m}{k\omega}cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)-\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)I_m sin\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.\left(1-3\frac{(x_i-x_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right)+\frac{k\omega I_m cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)}{c^2}\left(r_i^2-(x_i-x_{i0})^2\right)\right] \tag{37}$$

$$E_{iy\,sin} = -\frac{(y_{i2}-y_{i1})}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(\frac{I_m}{k\omega}cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)-\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)I_m sin\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.\left(1-3\frac{(y_i-y_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right)+\frac{k\omega I_m cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)}{c^2}\left(r_i^2-(y_i-y_{i0})^2\right)\right] \tag{38}$$

$$E_{iz\,sin} = -\frac{(z_{i2}-z_{i1})}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left[\left(\frac{I_m}{k\omega}cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)-\left(\frac{r_i}{c}\right)I_m sin\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)\right)\right.$$
$$\left.\left(1-3\frac{(z_i-z_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right)+\frac{k\omega I_m cos\left(k\omega t-\frac{k\omega r_i}{c}+\psi\right)}{c^2}\left(r_i^2-(z_i-z_{i0})^2\right)\right] \tag{39}$$

$$E_{ix0} = -\frac{(x_{i2}-x_{i1})I_0 T}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left(1-\frac{3(x_i-x_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right) \tag{40}$$

$$E_{iy0} = -\frac{(y_{i2}-y_{i1})I_0 T}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left(1-\frac{3(y_i-y_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right) \tag{41}$$

$$E_{iz0} = -\frac{(z_{i2}-z_{i1})I_0 T}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_i^3}\left(1-\frac{3(z_i-z_{i0})^2}{r_i^2}\right) \tag{42}$$

Also equation (29) is valid again. From the electromagnetic field theory it is known, that the harmonic electromagnetic wave, by means of which the electromagnetic impact to the other electrotechnical equipments is giving out, is characterized by the phase constant α, damping coefficient β, certain velocity v, wave length λ and depth of penetration δ for given environs, as it is listed in Table 1.

Characteristic impedance defines the environs, inside which the harmonic wave is propagated.

$$\dot{Z}_v = \sqrt{\frac{j\omega\mu}{j\omega\varepsilon+\gamma}} = \frac{\dot{E}}{\dot{H}} \tag{43}$$

Radiated electromagnetic wave falls on cover of the impacted equipment during its way. The penetration, refraction and reflection of electromagnetic wave occur here in dependence on the interface parameters. Such a way the resulting impact wave effect on the investigated equipment can be reduced. Situation, during the wave incidence, shows Figure 2.

For the angles of falling and reflected waves the next relation is valid:

Table 1.

|	Optional environs	Ideal dielectric environs ($\gamma = 0$)
	$\alpha = \omega\sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+(\frac{\gamma}{\omega\varepsilon})^2}\right)}$	$\alpha = \omega\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}$
	$\beta = \omega\sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2}\left(-1+\sqrt{1+(\frac{\gamma}{\omega\varepsilon})^2}\right)}$	$\beta = 0$
	$v = \frac{\omega}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+(\frac{\gamma}{\omega\varepsilon})^2}\right)}}$	$v = \frac{\omega}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}}$
	$\lambda = \frac{v}{f} = \frac{1}{f\sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+(\frac{\gamma}{\omega\varepsilon})^2}\right)}}$	$\lambda = \frac{v}{f} = \frac{1}{f\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}}$
	$\delta = \frac{1}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\omega\sqrt{\frac{\mu\varepsilon}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1+(\frac{\gamma}{\omega\varepsilon})^2}\right)}}$	$\delta = \frac{1}{\beta} = \infty$

Fig. 2. Electromagnetic wave falling on the interface

If the vector $\overline{H}$ is perpendicular to the plane of incidence and parallel with the interface plane, as it is shown in Figure 2b, then:

$$\overline{H}_o = \frac{Z_{v1}\cos v^{'} - Z_{v2}\cos\xi}{Z_{v1}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v2}\cos\xi}\,\overline{H} \qquad (52)$$

$$\overline{H}_p = \frac{2Z_{v1}\cos v^{'}}{Z_{v1}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v2}\cos\xi}\,\overline{H} \qquad (53)$$

$$\overline{E}_o = \frac{Z_{v1}\cos v^{'} - Z_{v2}\cos\xi}{\cos v^{'} + \dfrac{Z_{v2}}{Z_{v1}}\cos\xi}\,\overline{H} \qquad (54)$$

$$\overline{E}_p = \frac{2Z_{v2}Z_{v1}\cos v^{'}}{Z_{v1}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v2}\cos\xi}\,\overline{H} \qquad (55)$$

The vector amplitudes of the falling $\overline{E}$ and $\overline{H}$, reflected ($\overline{E}_o$, $\overline{H}_o$) and penetrating ($\overline{E}_p$, $\overline{H}_p$) planar harmonic waves are expressed by the next equations in the case of its perpendicular falling to the interface plane:

$$E_o = \frac{Z_{v2} - Z_{v1}}{Z_{v2} + Z_{v1}}\,E \qquad (56)$$

$$E_p = \frac{2Z_{v2}}{Z_{v2} + Z_{v1}}\,E \qquad (57)$$

$$H_o = \frac{Z_{v1} - Z_{v2}}{Z_{v2} + Z_{v1}}\,H \qquad (58)$$

$$H_p = \frac{2Z_{v1}}{Z_{v2} + Z_{v1}}\,H \qquad (59)$$

The ratio of the reflected and falling wave amounts is defined by the reflection coefficient $\overset{\bullet}{r}$ in the electromagnetic field theory:

$$\overset{\bullet}{r} = \frac{\overset{\bullet}{Z}_{v2} - \overset{\bullet}{Z}_{v1}}{\overset{\bullet}{Z}_{v2} + \overset{\bullet}{Z}_{v1}} \qquad (60)$$

This coefficient is very important parameter from the EMC viewpoint, because it determines the value of electromagnetic penetrating wave passing through the cover into investigated environs. By its suitable practical utilizing it is possible to achieve improved shielding of the radiated source or impacted equipment.

The ratio of penetrating and falling waves defines the shielding coefficient K_t in the electromagnetic field theory:

$$K_{tE} = \frac{E_p}{E} \quad \text{or} \quad K_{tH} = \frac{H_p}{H} \qquad (61)$$

$$v = v' \qquad (44)$$

Also the Snell's law describes relation valid for angles of falling and penetrating waves:

$$\frac{\sin\xi}{\sin v^{'}} = \frac{\mu_1 Z_{v2}}{\mu_2 Z_{v1}} \qquad (45)$$

The normal vector $\overline{n}_2$ defines interface plain. The pair of vectors $\overline{n}$ and $\overline{n}_2$ define falling plain.

In the case, when the vector $\overline{E}$ is perpendicular to the falling plane and parallel with the interface plane (Figure 2a), then:

$$E + E_o = E_p \qquad (46)$$

$$H\cos v' = H_p\cos\xi + H_o\cos v' \qquad (47)$$

If we know that relations $H = E/Z_{v1}$, $H_o = E_o/Z_{v1}$, $H_p = E_p/Z_{v2}$ are valid, then:

$$\overline{E}_o = \frac{Z_{v2}\cos v^{'} - Z_{v1}\cos\xi}{Z_{v2}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v1}\cos\xi}\,\overline{E} \qquad (48)$$

$$\overline{E}_p = \frac{2Z_{v2}\cos v^{'}}{Z_{v2}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v1}\cos\xi}\,\overline{E} \qquad (49)$$

$$\overline{H}_o = \frac{\dfrac{Z_{v2}}{Z_{v1}}\cos v^{'} - \cos\xi}{Z_{v2}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v1}\cos\xi}\,\overline{E} \qquad (50)$$

$$\overline{H}_p = \frac{2\cos v^{'}}{Z_{v2}\cos v^{'} + Z_{v1}\cos\xi}\,\overline{E} \qquad (51)$$

The coefficient, which is the most used in practice, is labeled as shielding effectiveness *SE*:

$$SE_E = 20 \log \frac{1}{|K_{tE}|} = 20 \log \left| \frac{E}{E_p} \right| \qquad (62)$$

$$SE_H = 20 \log \frac{1}{|K_{tH}|} = 20 \log \left| \frac{H}{H_p} \right| \qquad (63)$$

Besides the knowledge about depth of electromagnetic wave penetration, the application of knowledge from electromagnetic field theory is also based on reason about the value reduction of penetrating electromagnetic wave as consequence of its multiple reflections. Such example is shown in Figure 3. However, the main effort should be focused on such state reaching, that the depth of penetration δ would be smaller than the shielding material thickness *d*.

For securing of required shielding efficiency it is possible to derive relation for the value of shielding material wave impedance $Z_T = |\dot{Z}|$ calculation:

$$Z_T = 10^{-\frac{SE}{20}} Z_0 \qquad (64)$$

where Z_0 is the wave impedance value of air.

Poyting's relation can state the total amount of energy power transferred from the source to the impacted equipment. The *S* represents covering surface of affected system.

$$p = \oint_S (\bar{E}_p \times \bar{H}_p) d\bar{S} \qquad (65)$$

3. CONCLUSION

The electromagnetic coupling implication between the two electrical circuits is manifested by the parasitic induced voltage creation at the loop of impacted electrical circuit. This voltage consists of the magnetic and electric components. The induced voltage generated by the magnetic component of electromagnetic wave can be stated in such a way that the values of axis components of magnetic intensity vector will be calculated at the position in the center of impacted loop by the equations (23) up to (28). The induced voltage generated by the electric component of electromagnetic wave can be stated in such a way that the values of axis components of


Fig. 3. Multiple reflections of electromagnetic wave

electric intensity vector at the investigated positions will be found by the equations (37) up to (42). If the equations (62) up to (63), describing the shielding efficiency, are taken into consideration then one can find out that the intensity of electromagnetic coupling is directly proportional to the amount and the time change slope of circuit current, which is radiating the electromagnetic energy and to the surface and the length values of disturbed circuit. In the same way electromagnetic coupling intensity depends on the length of this circuit. It is indirectly proportional to the distance between the interference source and the disturbed circuits, to the reflection coefficient and to the permeability and permittivity values of space between the both circuits.

The simulation and measurement results, published in part II, will confirm the correctness of derived equations. Even though they can be used for predictive stating of EMC quality of individual new electrotechnical products. However, the fact is necessary to remind, that if higher number of Fourier series will be used, then the better coincidence with the reality will be obtained. It means that during the electromagnetic coupling investigation, as one part of general EMC investigation, it is necessary to reconsider the compromise between the number of Fourier series components and the required calculation precision.

REFERENCES

1. Kováčová I., Kováč D., Kaňuch J.: *EMC from the look of the theory and practice.* BEN technical literature Publisher, s.r.o., Praha 2006, 220 pages.
2. Kováč K., Lenková A.: *Electromagnetic Compatibility.* Bratislava 1999.
3. Kováčová I.: *EMC of Power DC Electrical Drives.* Journal of Electrical Engineering, Vol. 5, No.1, 2005, pp. 61–66.
4. Kováčová I., Kaňuch J., Kováč D.: *The EMC of Electrical Systems – Galvanic Coupling (Part I.).* Acta Electrotechnica et Informatica, 2005, Vol.5, pp.22–28.
5. Kováč D., Kováčová I.: *Influence of Utilizing Static Power Semiconductor Converters on Quality of Electrical Power Line Parameters.* Quality Innovation Prosperity, 2001, No.1, pp. 74–84.
6. Kováčová I.: *EMC of Power DC Electrical Drives.* Journal of Electrical Engineering, Romania, 2005, Vol. 5, No.1, pp. 61–66.
7. Kováč D., Kováčová I., Šimko V.: *Analysis of Electric Circuits I.* Akris s.r.o. Publisher 2001, 168 Pages.
8. Mayer D., Ulrych B., Škopek M.: *Electromagnetic Field Analysis by Modern Software Products.* Journal of Eletrical Engineering, 2001, Vol. 7, No.1.
9. Göksu H., Wunsh D.C: *Neural Networks Applied to Electromagnetic Compatibility Simulations.* Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer – Verlag GmbH, 2003, Vol. 2714, pp. 1057–1063.
10. Rybár R., Kudelas D., Fischer G.: *Alternative sources of energy III – Winding energy.* 2004. Textbook, Košice.
11. Kováčová I., Kováč D.: *Electrical Drive's Inductive Coupling.* Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science — Electrotechnical Review, 2006, No. 1, pp. 25–30.
12. Kováčová I., Kaňuch J., Kováč D.: *EMC Power Electrotechnic's System`s.* Eqilibria Publisher, s.r.o., Košice 2005, 182 pages.
13. Gallová Š.: *A Progressive Manufacturing Operation, International Congress MATAR.* Prague 2004, pp.141–146.
14. Kováčová I., Kováč D.: *Electric Power Systems – EMC,* Advances in Electrical and Electronic Engineering, 2006, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 392–395.

15. Ruddle A.R., Parmantier J.P., Ferrieres X., Ward D.D.: *Experimental Varidation of Time – Domain Electromagnetic Models for Field Coupling Into the Interior of a Vehicle from a Nearby Broadband Anntena.* Proceedings of IEE International Conference on Comunication in Electromagnetic CEM 2004, Stratford- Upon-Avon, pp. 133–134.
16. Kováč D., Kováčová I.: *Power transistors MOSFET and IGBT.* Elfa Publisher, s.r.o., Košice 1996, 168 pages.
17. Kováčová I., Kováč D.: *Capacitive Coupling and Parasitic Capacitances of Converter's – EMC.* Eletrical Power Quality and Utilisation, 2006, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 89–96.
18. Kováčová I., Kováč D.: *EMC Compatibility of Power Semiconductor Converters and Inverters.* Acta Electrotechnica et Informatica, 2003, No.2, Vol. 3, pp. 12–14.
19. Kůs V.: *The influence of power semiconductor converters on power distribution net.* BEN – technical literature Publisher, 2002, Praha, 184 pages.
20. Kováčová I., Kováč D., Kaňuch J., Gallová Š.: *EMC electrical drives Part VII.*, AT&P Journal, 2006, No.8, pp. 53–54.
21. Novák P., Babarík P., Janoščík J.: *Surface Effects Impact on Conductor Impedance.* Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation, 2003, No. 1., Vol. 9., pp. 75–80.

Irena Kováčová (Assoc. Prof., eng., CSc.)
Born in 1958. She finished her studies in 1982 at the Technical University of Košice, Department of Electrical Drives, direction – Power electronics with excellent evaluation. From this time she has worked at the Department of Electrical Drives, first as assistant lecturer and now as associate professor. In 1988 she has got her doctoral diploma. In 1991 she has got the Award of Minister of Education for Development of Science and Technology. Her working interest is mainly focused to the field of power electronics, especially to the construction of converters and inverters with new perspective elements and computer simulation of new power semiconductor parts and devices.
Address:
Department of Electrotechnics, Mechatronics and Industrial Engineering, Technical University of Košice,
Letná 9, 042 00 Košice, Slovak Republic
e-mail: irena.kovacova@tuke.sk

Dobroslav Kováč (Prof., eng., CSc.)
Born in 1961. He finished his studies in 1985 at the Technical University of Košice, Department of Electrical Drives, direction – Power electronics with excellent evaluation. Then he worked as research worker at the Department of Electrical Drives. His research work was focused to the practical application of new power semiconductor devices. In 1989 he has got the Award of Minister of Education for Development of Science and Technology. From 1991 he has worked as assistant lecturer at the Department of Theoretical electrical engineering and Electrical measurement. He got his doctoral diploma in 1992 for the work on the field of power electronics. From 2000 he worked as professor and his working interest is now focused mainly to the field of computer simulation of power electronic circuits and automated computer measuring. From 1999 he is also working as Vice-dean of faculty.
Address:
Department of Theoretical Electrical Engineering and Electrical Measurement
Park Komenského 3, 042 00 Košice, Slovak Republic
phone: (+421) 602 2516
e-mail: dobroslav.kovac@tuke.sk