http://dx.doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2011.31.3.317

## **STABILITY** OF THE POPOVICIU TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS ON GROUPS

Małgorzata Chudziak

Abstract. We consider the stability problem for a class of functional equations related to the Popoviciu equation.

Keywords: stability, Popoviciu equation, quadratic equation, additive function.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B52, 39B82.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Dealing with some inequality for convex functions, T. Popoviciu [9] has introduced the functional equation

$$
3f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{3}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = 2\left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{2}\right)\right]. \tag{1.1}
$$

The solution and stability of  $(1.1)$  have been investigated by J. Brzdęk  $[2]$ , W. Smajdor [12] and T. Trif [10]. The analogous problems for the following generalization of (1.1)

$$
m^{2} f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = n^{2} \left[ f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right) \right], (1.2)
$$

where m and n are nonzero integers, have been studied in [7] (in the case where  $m = 3$ and  $n = 2$ ) and [8] (in the case where  $m + 1 = 2n$ ). Solutions of the particular case of  $(1.2)$ , namely

$$
f(x + y + z) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = f(x + y) + f(x + z) + f(y + z),
$$
 (1.3)

have been considered by P. Kannappan [6]. Stability of (1.3) has been investigated by S.-M. Jung [5] and W. Fechner [4]. Solutions and stability of some further generalization of (1.1) have been investigated by T. Trif [11]. In [3] the general solution of the following functional equation

$$
Mf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) = N\left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right)\right], \tag{1.4}
$$

317

where  $m, n, M, N$  are arbitrary positive integers, has been determined in the case where the unknown function  $f$  maps a commutative group uniquely divisible by  $m$ and  $n$  into a commutative group uniquely divisible by 2. Let us recall that a group  $(X, +)$  is said to be *uniquely divisible* by a given positive integer k provided, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a unique  $y \in X$  such that  $x = ky$ ; such an element will be denoted in the sequel by  $\frac{x}{k}$ .

In the present paper we study the stability problem for (1.4) in a similar setting. Our work is inspired by the recent paper [1]. In the sequel we assume that  $m, n, M, N$ are positive integers,  $(G,+)$  and  $(H,+)$  are commutative groups,  $(G,+)$  is uniquely divisible by m and n,  $(H, +)$  is uniquely divisible by 2 and d is a metric on H such that:

(i) d is invariant with respect to  $+$ , that is

$$
d(u + w, v + w) = d(u, v) \text{ for } u, v, w \in H;
$$
 (1.5)

(ii) there exists a  $\xi \in (0,1)$  such that

$$
d\left(\frac{u}{2},\frac{v}{2}\right) \le \xi d(u,v) \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in H; \tag{1.6}
$$

(iii)  $(H, d)$  is a complete metric space.

## 2. RESULTS

We begin this section with the following simple observation.

Remark 2.1. Note that condition (1.5) implies the following two inequalities:

$$
d(u + w, v + r) \le d(u, v) + d(w, r) \quad \text{for} \quad u, v, w, r \in H; \tag{2.1}
$$

$$
d(-u, -v) = d(u, v) \text{ for } u, v \in H.
$$
 (2.2)

In fact, for every  $u, v, w, r \in H$ , we have

$$
d(u + w, v + r) = d(u - v, r - w) \le d(u - v, 0) + d(0, r - w) = d(u, v) + d(w, r)
$$

and

$$
d(-u, -v) = d(-u + (u + v), -v + (u + v)) = d(v, u) = d(u, v).
$$

Note also that, in view of  $(2.1)$ , by induction we get

$$
d(ku, kv) \le kd(u, v) \quad \text{for} \quad u, v \in H, \ k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$
 (2.3)

In order to prove the stability result for (1.4) we need to recall that a function  $Q: G \to H$  is said to be *quadratic* provided

$$
Q(x + y) + Q(x - y) = 2Q(x) + 2Q(y)
$$
 for  $x, y \in G$ ;

and a function  $A: G \to H$  is said to be *additive* provided

$$
A(x + y) = A(x) + A(y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in G.
$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that a function  $f : G \to H$  satisfies inequality

$$
d\left(Mf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right)+f(x)+f(y)+f(z),\left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right)+f\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right)\right]\right)\leq
$$
  

$$
\leq \delta(x,y,z) \quad \text{for} \quad x,y,z \in G,
$$
 (2.4)

where  $\delta: G^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is an arbitrary function such that, for some  $0 < \eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$ , it holds

$$
\delta(2x, 2y, 2z) \le \eta \delta(x, y, z) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G. \tag{2.5}
$$

Then there exists a uniquely determined quadratic function  $Q: G \rightarrow H$  and an additive  $function\ A:G\longrightarrow H\ such\ that$ 

$$
(N - n2)Q(x) = (M - m2)Q(x) = 0 \quad for \quad x \in G,
$$
 (2.6)

$$
(Mn + mn - 2mN)A(x) = 0 \quad for \quad x \in G \tag{2.7}
$$

and

$$
d(f(x), Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)) \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\xi^4}{1 - \xi \eta} [\delta(x, x, 0) + \delta(-x, -x, 0) + \delta(2x, 0, 0) + \delta(-2x, 0, 0) +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \delta(x, x, -2x) + \delta(-x, -x, 2x)] + \frac{\xi^3}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} [\delta(x, x, -x) + \delta(-x, -x, x) + (2.8)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \delta(x, 0, -x) + \delta(-x, 0, x) + \delta(0, x, 0) + \delta(0, -x, 0)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{\xi^4}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} [\delta(2x, 0, -2x) + \delta(-2x, 0, 2x)] + \frac{\xi^3}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} (3 + 4\xi) \delta(0, 0, 0)
$$

for  $x \in G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_e: G \to H$  and  $f_o: G \to H$  be given by

$$
f_e(x) := \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} - f(0)
$$
 for  $x \in G$ 

and

$$
f_o(x) := \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}
$$
 for  $x \in G$ ,

respectively. Then  $f_e$  is even,  $f_o$  is odd,  $f_o(0) = f_e(0) = 0$  and

$$
f(x) = f_e(x) + f_o(x) + f(0) \text{ for } x \in G.
$$
 (2.9)

Applying (2.4) with  $x = y = z = 0$ , we obtain

$$
d((M+3)f(0),3Nf(0)) \le \delta(0,0,0). \tag{2.10}
$$

Furthermore, by (2.4), we get

$$
d\left(Mf\left(\frac{-(x+y+z)}{m}\right) + f(-x) + f(-y) + f(-z),\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
N\left[f\left(\frac{-(x+y)}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{-(x+z)}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{-(y+z)}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le \delta(-x, -y, -z)
$$
\n(2.11)

for  $x, y, z \in G$ . Therefore, taking into account  $(1.6)$ – $(2.1)$  and  $(2.10)$ , from  $(2.4)$  and (2.11) we derive that

$$
d\left(Mf_o\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right) + f_o(x) + f_o(y) + f_o(z),\right)
$$
  

$$
N\left[f_o\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f_o\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right) + f_o\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le \xi \Delta(x, y, z) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G
$$
\n(2.12)

and

$$
d\left(Mf_e\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right) + f_e(x) + f_e(y) + f_e(z),\right)
$$
  

$$
N\left[f_e\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f_e\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right) + f_e\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le \xi\left(\Delta(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(0, 0, 0)\right)
$$
 (2.13)

for  $x, y, z \in G$ , where  $\Delta: G^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is given by

$$
\Delta(x, y, z) = \delta(x, y, z) + \delta(-x, -y, -z) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G. \tag{2.14}
$$

Obviously, by (2.5) and (2.14), we get

$$
\Delta(2x, 2y, 2z) \le \eta \Delta(x, y, z) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G. \tag{2.15}
$$

Moreover, since  $f_o(0) = 0$ , taking in (2.12)  $z = 0$  and then  $y = z = 0$ , we obtain

$$
d\left(Mf_o\left(\frac{x+y}{m}\right) + f_0(x) + f_0(y),\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
N\left[f_0\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f_0\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + f_0\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le \xi \Delta(x, y, 0) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in G
$$
\n(2.16)

and

$$
d\left(Mf_o\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) + f_0(x), 2Nf_0\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) \le \xi \Delta(x, 0, 0) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G,
$$
\n
$$
(2.17)
$$

respectively. Making use of  $(2.2)$ , from  $(2.17)$  we derive that

$$
d\Big(-Mf_o\Big(\frac{x+y}{m}\Big)-f_0(x+y), -2Nf_0\Big(\frac{x+y}{n}\Big)\Big) \le \xi \Delta(x+y,0,0) \quad \text{for } x,y \in G.
$$

Hence, taking into account  $(2.16)$ , by  $(2.1)$ , we get

$$
d\left(f_o(x) + f_o(y) - f_0(x+y), N\left[f_0\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) + f_0\left(\frac{y}{n}\right) - f_0\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le
$$
  
\$\leq \xi(\Delta(x, y, 0) + \Delta(x+y, 0, 0))\$ for  $x, y \in G.$ 

On the other hand, as  $f_0$  is odd, from  $(2.12)$  we derive that

$$
d\left(f_o(x) + f_o(y) - f_o(x+y), N\left[f_o\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) - f_o\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) - f_o\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right]\right) =
$$
  
=  $d\left(Mf_o\left(\frac{x+y-(x+y)}{m}\right) + f_o(x) + f_o(y) + f_o(-(x+y)),$   
 $N\left[f_o\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f_o\left(\frac{y-(x+y)}{n}\right) + f_o\left(\frac{x-(x+y)}{n}\right)\right]\right) \le$   
 $\le \xi \Delta(x, y, -(x+y))$  for  $x, y \in G$ .

Therefore, using (2.1), we get

$$
d(2(f_o(x) + f_o(y) - f_0(x + y)), 0) \le \xi[\Delta(x, y, 0) + \Delta(x + y, 0, 0) + \Delta(x, y, -(x + y))]
$$

for  $x, y \in G$ , whence by (1.5) and (1.6), we obtain

$$
d(f_0(x+y), f_o(x) + f_o(y)) \le \xi^2[\Delta(x, y, 0) + \Delta(x + y, 0, 0) + \Delta(x, y, -(x + y))]
$$

for  $x, y \in G$ . Note also that in view of (2.15), the function  $\chi_0 : G^2 \to [0, \infty)$  given by

$$
\chi_0(x, y) := \xi^2[\Delta(x, y, 0) + \Delta(x + y, 0, 0) + \Delta(x, y, -(x + y))]
$$
 for  $x, y \in G$  (2.18)

satisfies

$$
\chi_0(2x, 2y) \le \eta \chi_0(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in G. \tag{2.19}
$$

So, applying [1, Corollary 3.2], we conclude that there exists a unique additive function  $A:G\longrightarrow H$  such that

$$
d(f_o(x), A(x)) \le \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi \eta} \chi_0(x, x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G. \tag{2.20}
$$

Moreover, taking into account (2.3), from (2.20) we deduce that

$$
d(f_o(mnx), A(mnx)) \le \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi\eta} \chi_0(mnx, mnx) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G,\tag{2.21}
$$

$$
d(Mf_o(nx), MA(nx)) \le \frac{M\xi^2}{1 - \xi\eta} \chi_0(nx, nx) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G \tag{2.22}
$$

and

$$
d(2Nf_o(mx), 2NA(mx)) \le \frac{2N\xi^2}{1 - \xi\eta}\chi_0(mx, mx) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G. \tag{2.23}
$$

Making use of  $(2.1)$ , from  $(2.21)$  and  $(2.22)$  we derive that

$$
d(Mf_o(nx) + f_o(mnx), MA(nx) + A(mnx)) \le
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi \eta} (\chi_0(mnx, mnx) + M\chi_0(nx, nx)) \text{ for } x \in G.
$$

On the other hand, by (2.17), for every  $x \in G$ , we get

$$
d(Mf_o(nx) + f_o(mnx), 2Nf_o(mx)) \leq \xi \Delta(mnx, 0, 0).
$$

Therefore, in view of  $(2.22)$ , for every  $x \in G$ , we obtain

$$
d((Mn + mn - 2Nm)A(x), 0) = d(MA(nx) + A(mnx), 2NA(mx)) \le
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi\eta} [\chi_0(mnx, mnx) + M\chi_0(nx, nx) + 2N\chi_0(mx, mx)] + \xi\Delta(mnx, 0, 0).
$$

Thus, as A is additive,  $\Delta$  satisfies (2.15) and  $\chi_0$  satisfies (2.19), using (1.5), we get

$$
d((Mn + mn - 2Nm)A(x), 0) = d(2^{-k}(Mn + mn - 2Nm)A(2^{k}x), 0) \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (\xi \eta)^{k} \left[ \frac{\xi^{2}}{1 - \xi \eta} (\chi_{0}(mnx, mnx) + M\chi_{0}(nx, nx) + 2N\chi_{0}(mx, mx)) + \xi \Delta(mnx, 0, 0) \right]
$$
 for  $x \in G, k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\eta < \frac{1}{\xi}$ , this yields (2.7).

Next consider inequality (2.13). Since  $f_e$  is even and  $f_e(0) = 0$ , taking into account  $(2.13) z = -x$ , we obtain

$$
d\left(Mf_e\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) + 2f_e(x) + f_e(y),\right)
$$
  

$$
N\left[f_e\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f_e\left(\frac{x-y}{n}\right)\right] \le \xi(\Delta(x, y, -x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(0, 0, 0))
$$
 (2.24)

for  $x, y \in G$ . Applying (2.24), with  $y = 0$  and next with  $x = 0$ , we get

$$
d\left(2f_e(x), 2Nf_e\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) \le \xi\left(\Delta(x, 0, -x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(0, 0, 0)\right) \tag{2.25}
$$

for  $y \in G$  and

$$
d\left(Mf_e\left(\frac{y}{m}\right) + f_e(y), 2Nf_e\left(\frac{y}{n}\right)\right) \le \xi \left(\Delta(0, y, 0) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(0, 0, 0)\right)
$$

for  $x \in G$ , respectively. In view of (1.5), the last two inequalities imply that

$$
d\left(f_e(y), Mf_e\left(\frac{y}{m}\right)\right) \le \xi\left(\Delta(y, 0, -y) + \Delta(0, y, 0) + \Delta(0, 0, 0)\right) \tag{2.26}
$$

for  $y \in G$ . Note also that, by (1.6), from (2.25) we deduce that

$$
d\left(f_e(x), Nf_e\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right) \le \xi^2 \left(\Delta(x, 0, -x) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(0, 0, 0)\right) \tag{2.27}
$$

for  $x \in G$ . Therefore, using (1.5) and (2.1), from (2.24), (2.26) and (2.27), we obtain

$$
d(f_e(x + y) + f_e(x - y), 2f_e(x) + 2f_e(y)) \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \xi(\Delta(x, y, -x) + \Delta(y, 0, -y) + \Delta(0, y, 0)) +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \xi^2(\Delta(x + y, 0, -(x + y)) + \Delta(x - y, 0, -(x - y))) +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (\frac{3}{2}\xi + \xi^2)\Delta(0, 0, 0) \text{ for } x, y \in G.
$$

Furthermore, in view of (2.15), the function  $\chi_1 : G^2 \to [0, \infty)$  given by

$$
\chi_1(x,y) := \xi(\Delta(x,y,-x) + \Delta(y,0,-y) + \Delta(0,y,0)) ++ \xi^2(\Delta(x+y,0,-(x+y)) + \Delta(x-y,0,-(x-y))) ++ \left(\frac{3}{2}\xi + \xi^2\right)\Delta(0,0,0)
$$
\n(2.28)

for  $x, y \in G$ , satisfies

$$
\chi_1(2x, 2y) \le \eta \chi_1(x, y) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y \in G. \tag{2.29}
$$

Thus, as  $f_e(0) = 0$ , applying [1, Corollary 5.2], we obtain that there exists a unique quadratic function  $Q: G \to H$  such that

$$
d(f_e(x), Q(x)) \le \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} \chi_1(x, x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G. \tag{2.30}
$$

Moreover, taking into account (2.3), from (2.26) we derive that

$$
d(Q(mx),MQ(x)) \le d(f_e(mx), Q(mx)) + d\left(f_e(mx), Mf_e\left(\frac{mx}{m}\right)\right) ++ d(Mf_e(x), MQ(x)) \le \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} [\chi_1(mx, mx) + M\chi_1(x, x)] ++ \xi[\Delta(mx, 0, -mx) + \Delta(0, mx, 0) + \Delta(0, 0, 0)] \text{ for } x \in G.
$$

Since Q is quadratic and the functions  $\Delta$  and  $\chi_1$  satisfy (2.15) and (2.29), respectively, making use of  $(1.5)$  and  $(1.6)$ , from the latter inequality we obtain that

$$
d((M-m^2)Q(x),0) = d(4^{-k}(M-m^2)Q(2^kx),0) \le
$$
  

$$
\leq (\xi^2 \eta)^k \Big[ \frac{\xi^2}{1-\xi^2 \eta} (\chi_1(mx,mx) + M\chi_1(x,x)) +
$$
  

$$
+ \xi[\Delta(mx,0,-mx) + \Delta(0,mx,0) + \Delta(0,0,0)] \Big]
$$

for every  $x \in G$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $\eta < \frac{1}{\xi} < \frac{1}{\xi^2}$ , this means that  $(M - m^2)Q(x) = 0$  for  $x \in G$ . In a similar way, using  $(2.27)$ , we obtain that  $(N - n^2)Q(x) = 0$  for  $x \in G$ . So, (2.6) holds.

Finally, (1.5), (2.1), (2.9), (2.20) and (2.30) imply that

$$
d(f(x), Q(x) + A(x) + f(0)) \le \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi \eta} \chi_0(x, x) + \frac{\xi^2}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} \chi_1(x, x)
$$

for  $x \in G$ . Thus, taking into account (2.14), (2.18) and (2.28), after straightforward calculations, we get (2.8).  $\Box$ 

From Theorem 2.2 and [3, Theorem 1] we obtain the following stability result for (1.4).

Corollary 2.3. If  $f : G \to H$  satisfies (2.4) with  $\delta$  satisfying (2.5), then there exists a unique solution  $F: G \to H$  of (1.4) such that

$$
d(f(x), F(x)) \le
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{\xi^4}{1 - \xi \eta} [\delta(x, x, 0) + \delta(-x, -x, 0) + \delta(2x, 0, 0) + \delta(-2x, 0, 0) +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \delta(x, x, -2x) + \delta(-x, -x, 2x)] + \frac{\xi^3}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} [\delta(x, x, -x) + \delta(-x, -x, x) +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \delta(x, 0, -x) + \delta(-x, 0, x) + \delta(0, x, 0) + \delta(0, -x, 0)] +
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \frac{\xi^4}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} [\delta(2x, 0, -2x) + \delta(-2x, 0, 2x)] + \frac{\xi^3}{1 - \xi^2 \eta} (3 + 4\xi) \delta(0, 0, 0)
$$

for  $x \in G$ .

In the case where  $(H, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space and  $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ , conditions (1.5), (1.6) and (2.5) hold with  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\eta = 1$ . Therefore, from Theorem 2.2 we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** Assume that  $(H, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space,  $\delta \in [0, \infty)$  and the function  $f: G \to H$  satisfies inequality

$$
\left\| Mf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right) + f(x) + f(y) + f(z) - \right\|
$$
  
- N  $\left[ f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right) + f\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right) \right] \right\| \le \delta \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in G.$ 

Then there exists a uniquely determined quadratic function  $Q: G \to H$  and an additive function  $A: G \to H$  such that (2.6), (2.7) hold and

$$
||f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)|| \le \frac{11}{4}\delta
$$
 for  $x \in G$ .

Finally note that if  $(H, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space and  $\delta: G^3 \to [0, \infty)$  is given by

$$
\delta(x, y, z) = \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p + \|z\|^p) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G,
$$

where  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$  are fixed, then conditions (1.5), (1.6) and (2.5) hold with  $\xi = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\eta = 2^p$ . Therefore, applying Theorem 2.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.5. Assume that  $(H, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space,  $\varepsilon \in [0, \infty)$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ are fixed and a function  $f: G \to H$  satisfies inequality

$$
\left\|Mf\left(\frac{x+y+z}{m}\right)+f(x)+f(y)+f(z)-\right.
$$
  

$$
-N\left[f\left(\frac{x+y}{n}\right)+\left(\frac{x+z}{n}\right)+f\left(\frac{y+z}{n}\right)\right]\right\| \le
$$
  

$$
\leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p+\|y\|^p+\|z\|^p) \quad \text{for} \quad x, y, z \in G.
$$

Then there exists a uniquely determined quadratic function  $Q: G \to H$  and an additive function  $A: G \to H$  such that (2.6), (2.7) hold and

$$
||f(x) - Q(x) - A(x) - f(0)|| \le \left(\frac{2+2^p}{4-2^{p+1}} + \frac{6+2^p}{4-2^p}\right) \varepsilon ||x||^p \quad \text{for} \quad x \in G.
$$

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] J. Brzdęk, On a method of proving the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations on restricted domains, Austr. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6, Article 4 (2009), 1–10.
- [2] J. Brzdęk, A note on stability of the Popoviciu functional equation on restricted domain, Demonstratio Math. 43 (2010), 635–641.
- [3] M. Chudziak, On a generalization of the Popoviciu equation on groups, Ann. Acad. Paedagog. Cracov., Stud. Math., 9 (2010), 49–53.
- [4] W. Fechner, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of functional equations connected with additive and quadratic mappings, J. Math. Anal Appl. 322 (2006), 774–786.
- [5] S.-M. Jung, On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 222 (1998), 126–137.
- [6] P. Kannappan, Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces, Results Math. 27 (1995), 368–372.
- [7] Y.W. Lee, On the stability on a quadratic Jensen type functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), 590–601.
- [8] Y.W. Lee, Stability of a generalized quadratic functional equation with Jensen type, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005), 57–73.
- [9] T. Popoviciu, Sur certaines inégalités qui caractérisent les fonctions convexes, An. Ştiinţt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi Secţ. I a Mat. (N.S.) 11 (1965), 155–164.
- [10] T. Trif, Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a Jensen type functional equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 250 (2000), 579–588.
- [11] T. Trif, On the stability of a functional equation deriving from an inequality of Popoviciu for convex functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **272** (2002), 604–616.
- [12] W. Smajdor, Note on a Jensen type functional equation, Publ. Math. Debrecen 63 (2003), 703–714.

Małgorzata Chudziak mchudziak@univ.rzeszow.pl

University of Rzeszów Department of Mathematics Rejtana 16 C, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

Received: June 18, 2010. Revised: September 7, 2010. Accepted: October 10, 2010.