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#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a radial version of the Kontorovich-Lebedev transform in the unit ball. Mapping properties and an inversion formula are proved in $L_{p}$.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Kontorovich-Lebedev transform (KL-transform) was introduced by the soviet mathematicians M.I. Kontorovich and N.N. Lebedev in 1938-1939 (see [4]) to solve certain boundary-value problems. The KL-transform arises naturally when one uses the method of separation of variables to solve boundary-value problems formulated in terms of cylindrical coordinate systems. It has been tabulated by Erdelyi et al., (see [3]) and Prudnikov et al., (see [11]). Its applications to the Dirichlet problem for a wedge were given by Lebedev in 1965 (see [5]), while Lowndes in 1959 (see [7]) applied a variant of it to a problem of diffraction of transient electromagnetic waves by a wedge. Some other applications can be found, for instance, in Skalskaya and Lebedev in 1974 (see [6]).

This transform was extended by Zemanian in 1975 (see [13]) to the distributional case, by Buggle in 1977 (see [1]) to some larger spaces of generalized functions. A possible extension to the multidimensional case of this index transform was investigated by the first author in his book (see [12]), where it was introduced the essentially multidimensional KL-transform.

The main goal of this work is to introduce a radial version of the KL-transform for the multidimensional case in the unit ball, prove its mapping properties and establish an inversion formula.

Formally, the one dimensional KL-transform is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} K_{i \tau}(x) f(x) d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{i \tau}$ denotes the modified Bessel function of pure imaginary index $i \tau$ (also called Macdonald's function). The adjoint operator associated to (1.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\frac{2}{\pi^{2} x} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tau \sinh (\pi \tau) K_{i \tau}(x) \mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f] d \tau \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we can see, in expression (1.2) the integration is realized with respect to the index $i \tau$ of the Macdonald's function. This fact, for instance, carries extra difficulties in the deduction of norm estimates in certain function spaces. For more details about the one-dimensional KL-transform and other index transforms see [12].

The Macdonald's function can be represented by the following Fourier integral (see [2])

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i \tau}(x) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-x \cosh u} \cos (\tau u) d u, \quad x>0=  \tag{1.3}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x \cosh u} e^{i \tau u} d u, \quad x>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Making an extension of the previous integral equation to the strip $\delta \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}[\right.$ in the upper half-plane, we have, for $x>0$, the following uniform estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|K_{i \tau}(x)\right| & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-x \cos \delta \cosh u} d u=  \tag{1.5}\\
& =e^{-\delta \tau} K_{0}(x \cos \delta), \quad x>0
\end{align*}
$$

and in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{i \tau}(x)\right| \leq K_{0}(x), \quad x>0, \tau \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modified Bessel function $K_{\nu}(x)$ function has the following asymptotic behavior (see [2] for more details) near the origin

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\nu}(x)=O\left(x^{-|\operatorname{Re}(\nu)|}\right), \quad x \rightarrow 0, \nu \neq 0  \tag{1.7}\\
& K_{0}(x)=O(\log x), \quad x \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Using relation (2.16.52.8) in [11] we have the formulas

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tau & \sinh ((\pi-\epsilon) \tau) K_{i \tau}(x) K_{i \tau}(y) d \tau=  \tag{1.9}\\
& =\frac{\pi x y \sin \epsilon}{2} \frac{K_{1}\left(\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y \cos \epsilon\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y \cos \epsilon\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad x, y>0,0<\epsilon \leq \pi .
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel we will appeal to the following definition of homogeneous functions:

Definition 1.1 (c.f. [8]). Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$. A function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be homogeneous of degree $\alpha$ in $D$ if and only if $f(\lambda x)=\lambda^{\alpha} f(x)$, for all $x \in D, \lambda>0$ and $\lambda x \in D$. Here $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

## 2. DEFINITION, BASIC PROPERTIES AND INVERSION

In this section we introduce the radial KL-transform. Given a function $f$ defined in $B_{+}^{n}$, the radial KL-transform of $f$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]=\int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right) f(x) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|x|^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}, d x=d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}$ and

$$
B_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}:|x| \leq 1\right\} .
$$

We remark that for the case of $n=1$, the index transform (2.1) is a similar one used by Naylor in [9]. From (2.1) and definition of the Macdonald's function (1.3), we conclude that the KL-transform of a function $f$ is an even function of real variable $\tau$ and, without loss of generality, we can consider only nonnegative variable $\tau$. From the asymptotic behavior of the Macdonald's function given by (1.7), (1.8) and the Hölder inequality we observe that (2.1) is absolutely convergent for any function $f \in L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$. We have

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$, with $1<p<+\infty$. Then the following uniform estimate by $\tau \geq 0$ for the KL-transform (2.1) holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right| \leq \mathcal{C}_{1}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute positive constant given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{C}_{1}=\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{2 n-3}}{8 q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $q=\frac{p}{p-1}$.

Proof. To establish (2.2) we appeal to (1.6) and the Hölder inequality in order to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right| & \leq \int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}\left(|x|^{2}\right)|f(x)| d x= \\
& \leq\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}^{q}\left(|x|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}^{q}\left(|x|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

Further, using spherical coordinates, generalized Minkowski inequality and relation (2.5.46.6) in Prudnikov et al., [10], we get, in turn,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}^{q}\left(|x|^{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} e^{-q|x|^{2} \cosh u} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u= \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left((2 \pi)^{n-2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-q \rho^{2} \cosh u} \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left((2 \pi)^{n-2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-q \rho^{2} \cosh u} d \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u= \\
& =\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{n-2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{(\cosh u)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}} d u= \\
& =\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{2 n-3}}{8 q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}=: \mathcal{C}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The previous lemma shows that the KL-transform of a $L_{p}$-function is a continuous function on $\tau$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$in view of uniform convergence in (2.1). Moreover, we can deduce its differential properties. Precisely, performing the differentiation by $\tau$ of arbitrary order $k=0,1, \ldots$ under the integral representation (1.4) by Lebesgue's theorem we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-|x|^{2} \cosh u} e^{i \tau u}(i u)^{k} d u \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right)\right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} e^{-|x|^{2} \cosh u} u^{k} d u \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Under the conditions of Lemma 2.1 the KL-transform (2.1) is an infinitely differentiable function on the nonnegative real axis and for any $k=0,1, \ldots$ we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} \mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right| \leq \mathcal{B}_{k}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}_{k}=\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{n-1}}{4 \sqrt{\pi q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{u^{k}}{(\cosh u)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}} d u, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in Lemma 2.1, making use of the Hölder inequality we derive

$$
\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} \mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right| \leq\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right)\right| d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}
$$

Using estimate (2.6) it gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}}\left|\frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial \tau^{k}} K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right)\right| d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} u^{k}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} e^{-q|x|^{2} \cosh u} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} u^{k}\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{n-2}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{q \cosh u}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u= \\
& =\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{n-1}}{4 \sqrt{\pi q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{u^{k}}{(\cosh u)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}} d u=: \\
& =: \mathcal{B}_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above properties of the KL-transform (2.1) one can discuss its belonging to $L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for some $1<r<+\infty$, investigating only its behavior at infinity.

Lemma 2.3. The $K L$-transform (2.1) is a bounded map from any space $L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$, with $p \geq 1$, into the space $L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, where $r \geq 1$ and parameters $p$ and $r$ have no dependence.

Proof. Taking into account (1.5), with $\delta=\frac{\pi}{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right| & \leq e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}} \int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}\left(\frac{|x|^{2}}{2}\right)|f(x)| d x \leq \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}^{q}\left(\frac{|x|^{2}}{2}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \\
& \leq e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left(\int_{B_{+}^{n}} e^{-\frac{q|x|^{2} \cosh u}{2}} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)} \leq \\
& =e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left((2 \pi)^{n-2} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-\frac{q \rho^{2} \cosh u}{2}} \rho^{n-1} d \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)} \leq  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}\left((2 \pi)^{n-2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{q \rho^{2} \cosh u}{2}} d \rho\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} d u\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}= \\
& =e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}}\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{n-2}}{2} \sqrt{\left.\frac{2 \pi}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{1}{(\cosh u)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}} d u\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}=}\right. \\
& =e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}}\left(\frac{(2 \pi)^{2 n-3}}{4 q}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 q}}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4 q}\right)}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}= \\
& =\mathcal{C}_{2} e^{-\frac{\pi \tau}{3}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right) .}
\end{align*}
$$

Corolary 2.4. The classical $L_{p}$-norm for the $K L$-transform (2.1) in the space $L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, with $r \geq 1$ is finite.
Proof. In fact,

$$
\left\|\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]\right\|_{L_{p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \leq \mathcal{C}_{2}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty} e^{-p \delta \tau} d \tau\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}=\frac{\mathcal{C}_{2}}{(p \delta)^{\frac{1}{p}}}\|f\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}
$$

which proves our result.
Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 show that the KL-transform of an arbitrary $L_{p}$-function is a smooth function with $L_{r}$-properties and furthermore, its range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}\left(L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)\right)=\left\{g: g(\tau)=\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f] ; f \in L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)\right\}, \quad 1<p<+\infty \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not coincides with the space $L_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$.

Our next aim is to obtain an inversion formula for the radial KL-transform (2.1). For this purpose we shall use the regularization operator of type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\epsilon} g\right)(x)=\frac{4|x|^{-n}(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tau \sinh ((\pi-\epsilon) \tau) K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right) g(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in B_{+}^{n}$ and $\left.\epsilon \in\right] 0, \pi[$.

Theorem 2.5. Let $p>1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On functions $g(\tau)=\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]$ which are represented by (2.1) with density function $f \in L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$, operator (2.11) has the following representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\epsilon} g\right)(x)=\frac{|x|^{-n+2}(\sin \epsilon)^{3}}{(2 \pi)^{n-2}} \int_{B_{+}^{n}} \frac{K_{1}\left(\left(|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}-2|x|^{2}|y|^{2} \cos \epsilon\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\left(|x|^{4}+|y|^{4}-2|x|^{2}|y|^{2} \cos \epsilon\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}|y|^{2} f(y) d y \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}(z)$ is the Macdonald's function of index 1 .

Proof. Substituting the value of $g(\tau)$ as the KL-transform (2.1) into (2.11), we change the order of integration by Fubini's theorem taking into account the estimate (1.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left(I_{\epsilon} g\right)(x)\right| \leq & \frac{4 K_{0}\left(|x|^{2 n} \cos \delta_{1}\right)(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{|x|^{n}(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \times \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tau \sinh ((\pi-\epsilon) \tau) e^{-\left(\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}\right) \tau} \int_{B_{+}^{n}} K_{0}\left(|y|^{2} \cos \delta_{2}\right)|f(y)| d y d \tau \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where we choose $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$, such that $\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}+\epsilon>\pi$. Hence with (1.9) we get (2.12).

An inversion formula of the KL-transform (2.1) is established by the following

Theorem 2.6. Let $p>1, g(\tau)=\mathcal{K}_{i \tau}[f]$ and $f \in L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$ be a radial function, i.e., $f(x)=h(|x|)$, where $h$ is a homogeneous of degree $2-n$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{4|x|^{-n}(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \tau \sinh ((\pi-\epsilon) \tau) K_{i \tau}\left(|x|^{2}\right) g(\tau) d \tau \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the latter limit is with respect to $L_{p}$-norm in $L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Considering the integral (2.12) and the classical spherical coordinates multiplied by $|x|(\sin \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(I_{\epsilon} g\right)-f\right\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}= \\
& =\| \frac{(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{(2 \pi)^{n-2}} \underbrace{2 \pi}_{n-2 \text { times }} \ldots \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{0}^{\left[1 \cdot \left\lvert\,(\sin \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.\right]^{-1}} \frac{R(|\cdot|, \rho, \epsilon) \rho^{3}}{\left[\left(\rho^{2}-\cot \epsilon\right)^{2}+1\right]} h(|\cdot|) d \rho \sin \phi d \phi d \theta_{1} \ldots d \theta_{n-2} \\
& =\left\|\frac{(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\left[|\cdot|^{2} \sin \epsilon\right]^{-1}} \frac{\rho(|\cdot|) \|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}}{\left[(\rho-\cot \epsilon)^{2}+1\right]}\left[R(|\cdot|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon) h(|\cdot|)-\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\cdot)} h(|\cdot|)\right] d \rho\right\|_{L_{+}} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{\left[|\cdot|^{2} \sin \epsilon\right]^{-1}} \frac{\rho}{(\rho-\cot \epsilon)^{2}+1}\left\|R(|\cdot|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon) h(|\cdot|)-\frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(\cdot)} h(|\cdot|)\right\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)} d \rho, \epsilon>0, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$R(|x|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon)=|x|^{2} \sin \epsilon\left[(\rho-\cot \epsilon)^{2}+1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{1}\left(|x|^{2} \sin \epsilon\left[(\rho-\cot \epsilon)^{2}+1\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad \epsilon>0$,
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(x)= & \sin \epsilon \int_{0}^{\left[|x|^{2} \sin \epsilon\right]^{-1}} \frac{\rho}{(\rho-\cot \epsilon)^{2}+1} d \rho= \\
= & \cos \epsilon\left[\arctan \left(\frac{\cos \epsilon}{\sin \epsilon}\right)-\arctan \left(\frac{|x|^{2} \cos \epsilon-1}{|x|^{2} \sin \epsilon}\right)\right]+ \\
& +\frac{\sin \epsilon}{2} \ln \left(\frac{\left(\cos \epsilon-|x|^{2}\right)^{2}+(\sin \epsilon)^{2}}{|x|^{4}}\right), \epsilon>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$ we have

$$
0<\pi-O(\epsilon)<\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}(x)<\pi+O(\epsilon)
$$

Taking into account the relations (1.7) and (1.8), we have for $R(|x|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon)$ that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}} R(|x|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon)=1,
$$

and since $x K_{1}(x)<1$, for $x>0$, we conclude that $R(|x|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon)$ is bounded as a function of three variables. Further, since $R(|x|, \sqrt{\rho}, \epsilon)<1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(I_{\epsilon} g\right)-f\right\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\sin \epsilon}{2}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\epsilon}+1\right)\|h\|_{L_{p}\left(B_{+}^{n}\right)}=O(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0, \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which leads to the equality (2.14).
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