## Stanisław Formella

# A NOTE ON GEODESIC AND ALMOST GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF HOMOGENEOUS RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Abstract. Let M be a differentiable manifold and denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  two linear connections on M.  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  are said to be geodesically equivalent if and only if they have the same geodesics. A Riemannian manifold (M,g) is a naturally reductive homogeneous manifold if and only if  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$  are geodesically equivalent, where T is a homogeneous structure on (M,g) ([7]). In the present paper we prove that if it is possible to map geodesically a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M,g) onto  $(M,\widetilde{\nabla})$ , then the map is affine. If a naturally reductive manifold (M,g) admits a nontrivial geodesic mapping onto a Riemannian manifold  $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$  then both manifolds are of constant cutvature. We also give some results for almost geodesic mappings  $(M,g) \to (M,\widetilde{\nabla})$ .

Keywords: homogeneous Riemannian manifold, geodesic, almost geodesic, geodesic mapping, almost geodesic mapping.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B30, 53C25.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold of class  $C^{\infty}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}(M)$  be the ring of differentiable functions and  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the  $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -module of differentiable vector fields on M. A complete and simply connected manifold (M, g) is homogeneous if there exists a transitive and effective group G of isometries of M. Ambrose and Singer proved (see [7]) that a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) is homogeneous if and only if there exists a tensor field T of type (1, 2) such that:

- (i)  $g(T_XY, Z) + g(Y, T_XZ) = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $(\nabla_X R)_{YZ} = [T_X, R_{YZ}] R_{T_X YZ} R_{YT_X Z},$  (1.1)
- (iii)  $(\nabla_X T)_Y = [T_X, T_Y] T_{T_X Y},$

for  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Here  $\nabla$  and R denote the Levi–Civita connection and the Riemannian tensor field, respectively. A tensor field T satisfying the conditions (1.1) on M is called a homogeneous structure on (M, g). It is easy to see that the conditions (1.1) are equivalent to

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_X g\right)(Y,Z) = 0, \quad \left(\widetilde{\nabla}_X R\right)(Y,Z) = 0, \quad \left(\widetilde{\nabla}_X T\right)(Y,Z) = 0$$
 (1.2)

where  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  is the connection determined by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - T(X, Y).$$
(1.3)

where  $T(X, Y) = T_X Y, X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

In [7] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke studied the decomposition of the space of all the tensors T satisfying the conditions (1.1) into the irreducible components under the action of orthogonal group. As is well-known, a geodesic in a Riemannian manifold M is a curve of  $c: I \to M$  whose tangent vector field  $\dot{c}$  is parallel along c (Iis an open interval in the real line  $R^1$ ). A curve c is almost geodesic in a Riemannian manifold M if there exists a 2- dimensional distribution  $E^2$  complanar along c, to which the tangent vector  $\dot{c}$  of this curve belongs at every point. Let  $(\overline{M}, \overline{\nabla})$  be a differentiable manifold with a linear symmetric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$ . A mapping f: (M, g) $\to (\overline{M}, \overline{\nabla})$  is called geodesic or projective if f carries geodesics in M to geodesics in  $\overline{M}$ . The mapping f is an almost geodesic mapping if, as a result of f, every geodesic in the manifold M and f is a diffeomorphism, f is called a geodesic or an almost geodesic transformation of M.

It is well known, that the identity transformation is geodesic if and only the connection deformation tensor  $P(X, Y) = \overline{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$  has the form ([1, 2, 3, 5])

$$P(X,Y) = \psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X, \qquad (1.4)$$

where  $\psi$  is a certain 1-form and  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi–Civita connection of (M, g),  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

In this case,  $\overline{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  are said to be geodesically (or projectively) equivalent or geodesically (projectively) related. Two such connections define the same system of geodesics. Obviously ~ is an equivalence relation and an equivalence class  $[\nabla]$ containing  $\nabla$  is called a projective structure on M.

Sinyukow [5] defined three kinds of almost geodesic mappings, namely  $\pi_1$ ,  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  which are characterized, respectively, by the conditions:

$$\pi_1: \underset{X,Y,Z}{\mathfrak{S}} [(\nabla_X P)(Y,Z) + P(P(X,Y),Z) - a(X,Y)Z - P(X,Y)b(Z)] = 0$$
( $\mathfrak{S}$  is cyclic sum),
(1.5)

$$\pi_{2} \colon P(X,Y) = \psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X + F(X)\varphi(Y) + F(Y)\varphi(X),$$

$$(\nabla_{X}F)(Y) + (\nabla_{Y}F)(X) + F(F(X))\varphi(Y) + F(F(Y))\varphi(X) = (1.6)$$

$$= \mu(X)F(Y) + \mu(Y)F(X) + \rho(X)Y + \rho(Y)X;$$

$$\pi_{3} \colon P(X,Y) = \psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X + a(X,Y)\nu,$$

$$\nabla_{X}\nu = \theta(X)\nu + \lambda X, \qquad \lambda \in \mathfrak{F}(M); \ X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M),$$

$$(1.7)$$

where  $P(X,Y) = \overline{\nabla}_X Y - \nabla_X Y$  is the connection deformation tensor and  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$ , b,  $\theta$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\nu$ , a, F are tensors of the corresponding types.

In the present paper we shall study a geodesic and an almost geodesic related connections  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$ , where T is a homogeneous structure on (M, g).

## 2. GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF HOMOGENEOUS RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

By [7], Theorem 6.8, a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) is naturally reductive homogeneous manifold if and only if there exists a tensor field T of type (1, 2) satisfying the conditions (1.1) and such that  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  and  $\nabla$  are geodesically equivalent.

Now we shall prove

**Lemma 2.1.** If it is possible to map geodesically a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (M,g) onto a manifold  $(M,\widetilde{\nabla})$ , then the map is affine.

*Proof.* The connections  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  are geodesically equivalent if and only if the connection deformation D have the form

$$D(X,Y) = -T(X,Y) = \psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X + S(X,Y)$$
(2.1)

where  $\psi$  is a 1-form and the tensor field S satisfies

$$S(X,Y) + S(Y,X) = 0$$

We put

$${}^{0}_{P}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( T(X,Y) + T(Y,X) \right)$$

and

$${\stackrel{0}{P}}(X,Y,Z) = g({\stackrel{0}{P}}(X,Y),Z).$$

From (1.1(i)) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z} \overset{0}{P}(X,Y,Z) = 0$$

Hence and from (2.1) we have

$$\psi(X)g(Y,Z) + \psi(Y)g(X,Z) + \psi(Z)g(X,Y) = 0$$

Therefore  $\psi(X) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . This completes the proof.

If T = 0, then (1.1) implies that (M, g) is a symmetric manifold. In view of the Sinyukov theorem we obtain: if it is possible to map geodesically a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold with the homogeneous structure T = 0 into a manifold  $(\overline{M}, \overline{q})$  then both manifolds are of constant sectional curvature.

Let (M,g) be a connected Riemannian manifold and suppose M admits a non-trivial homogeneous structure T by

$$T(X, Y, Z) + T(Y, X, Z) = 0,$$
 (2.2)

where  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

From (1.1) and (2.2) we get easily.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let (M, g) be a connected Riemannian manifold with the homogeneous structure of type (2.2). Then Ricci tensor on M satisfies

$$\underset{X,Y,Z}{\mathfrak{S}}(\nabla_X Ric)(Y,Z) = 0.$$
(2.3)

Now we shall prove

**Theorem 2.1.** If it is possible to map geodesically on (M, g) satysfying (2.3) onto a manifold  $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ , then both manifolds are of constant curvature.

*Proof.* As is well-known a manifold (M, g) admits a geodesic mapping if and only if there exists a function  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$  and a symmetric non-singular bilinear form a on M satisfying

$$(\nabla_X a) (Y, Z) = (Y\varphi)g (X, Z) + (Z\varphi)g (X, Y)$$
(2.4)

for all  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  ([5]).

Let  $p \in M$  be such that  $d\varphi \neq 0$  and (2.4) hold at p. Choose a local coordinate system (U, x) so that  $p \in U$ . By  $R_{ijk}^l$ ,  $R_{ik}$ ,  $g_{ik}$ ,  $a_{ik}$ ,  $\varphi_{ik}$  we denote the components of the tensors R, Ric, g, a and the Hessian  $H\varphi$  of  $\varphi$  in this coordinate system. Differentiating covariantly (2.4) and applying the Ricci identity we get

$$a_{it}R_{jkl}^t + a_{tj}R_{ikl}^t = \varphi_{li}g_{jk} + \varphi_{lj}g_{ik} - \varphi_{ki}g_{jl} - \varphi_{kj}g_{il}.$$
(2.5)

Differentiating covariantly (2.5) with respect to  $x^m$ , contracting with  $g^{lm}$  and applying the Ricci identity, by (2.4) and (2.3), we obtain

$$4\varphi_t R_{jki}^t = 3R_k^t \varphi_t g_{ji} - 4\varphi_k R_{ji} + 4\psi_i R_{jk} - 3g_{jk} R_i^t \varphi_t + a_j g_{ik} - a_k g_{ji}, \qquad (2.6)$$

where  $a_i = \nabla_s \varphi_{it} g^{ts}$ . Transvecting (2.6) with  $g^{jk}$  we get  $R_i^t \varphi_t = \rho \varphi_i, \ \rho \in \mathfrak{F}(U)$ . Following considerations made in [6] we get

$$a_i^t \varphi_t = \tau \varphi_i, \qquad \varphi_i^t \varphi_t = \lambda \varphi_i, \qquad \tau, \lambda \in \mathfrak{F}(U),$$

and finally we obtain

$$H_{\varphi}(X,Y) = \Phi(\varphi)g(X,Y) \tag{2.7}$$

where  $H_{\varphi}$  is the Hessian of  $\varphi$  and  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{F}(M)$ .

By [7] if a complete and simply connected manifold with homogeneous structure T admits condition (2.7), then the manifold (M,g) is of constant curvature. This completes the proof.

From Lemmas 2.1 i 2.2 and Theorem 2.1 we obtain

**Theorem 2.2.** On a homogeneous manifold the geodesic of  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla} = \nabla - T$  are the same if and only if M is naturally reductive. The geodesic mapping  $(M,g) \to (M,\widetilde{\nabla})$  is affine. If a naturally reductive manifold (M,g) admits a non-trivial geodesic mapping onto a Riemannian manifold  $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ , then both manifolds are of constant curvature.

## 3. ALMOST GEODESIC MAPPINGS OF HOMOGENEOUS MANIFOLDS

On the basis [7] the most general form of the structure tensor T is following

$$T(X,Y) = g(X,Y)\Phi - g(\Phi,Y)X + \overset{2}{T}(X,Y)$$
(3.1)

185

where  $\Phi$  is a given vector field on (M,g) and T is a tensor field such that

$$g(\tilde{T}(X,Y),Z) + g(Y,\tilde{T}(X,Z)) = 0, \qquad (3.2)$$
$$\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{T}^{2} = 0, \\C_{12}(\tilde{T}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{T}(X_{i},X_{i}) = 0,$$

where  $X_i$  is the base vector of the natural frame.

We put

$$\stackrel{1}{P}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} (\psi(X)Y + \psi(Y)X)) - g(X,Y),$$

$$\stackrel{1}{S}(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} (\psi(X)Y - \psi(Y)X)),$$

$$\stackrel{2}{P}(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \stackrel{2}{T}(X,Y) + \stackrel{2}{T}(Y,X) \right),$$

$$\stackrel{2}{S}(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \stackrel{2}{T}(X,Y) - \stackrel{2}{T}(Y,X) \right),$$
(3.3)

and

$$P(X,Y) = \overset{1}{P}(X,Y) + \overset{2}{P}(X,Y)$$
$$S(X,Y) = \overset{1}{S}(X,Y) + \overset{2}{S}(X,Y)$$

where  $\psi(X) = g(X, \Phi)$ .

Here P denotes the symmetric part of the tensor field T and S – the skew-symmetric one.

Then we have

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + P(X, Y) + S(X, Y).$$
(3.4)

and the connection deformation tensor D have the form

$$D(X,Y) = P(X,Y) + S(X,Y)$$
(3.5)

for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ .

We shall prove

**Theorem 3.1.** On the homogeneous Riemannian manifold the connections  $\nabla$  and  $\widetilde{\nabla}$  defined by (3.3) and (3.4) are almost geodesically related if and only if the tensor fields  $\overset{2}{P}$  and  $\overset{2}{S}$  satisfy the relations

$$\mathfrak{S}_{X,Y,Z}\left[ (\nabla_X \overset{2}{P})(Y,Z) + \overset{2}{P}(\overset{2}{P}(X,Y),Z) - \overset{2}{P}(X,Y)b(Z) - \overset{2}{P}(X,\psi)g(Y,Z) + \\ - \overset{2}{P}(\overset{2}{S}(X,Y),Z) - \overset{2}{S}(X,\psi)g(Y,Z) + \\ - h(X,Y)\nabla_Z\psi + k(X,Y,Z)\psi + q(X,Y)Z \right] = 0,$$
(3.6)

where: b, d, h, k, q are tensors of the corresponding types.

*Proof.* By [5] the mapping  $\nabla \to \widetilde{\nabla}$  is almost geodesic if and only if the connection deformation tensor D satisfies the relations

$$\left(\nabla_{\gamma} D^{h}_{\alpha\beta} + D^{h}_{\delta\alpha} D^{\delta}_{\beta\gamma}\right) \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} \lambda^{\gamma} = b D^{h}_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^{\alpha} \lambda^{\beta} + a \lambda^{h}$$
(3.7)

where  $\lambda^i = \frac{dc^i}{dt}$  denotes the vector tangent to the geodesic  $c(t) = (c^i(t))$ . We conclude from (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.7) that (3.6) holds. This proves the theorem.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $\stackrel{2}{P} = 0$  and  $\stackrel{2}{S} = 0$  then the almost geodesic mapping is of the kind (1.7).

**Corollary 3.2.** If  $\stackrel{2}{P} = 0$  and  $\stackrel{2}{S} = 0$  then a homogeneous Riemannian manifold is a manifold of constant curvature (see [7]).

**Corollary 3.3.** If  $g(X,Y)\nabla_Z\psi + g(X,Y)\overset{2}{P}(Z,\psi) + g(X,Y)\overset{2}{S}(Z,\psi) + k(X,Y,Z)\psi = 0$ then the almost geodesic mapping (3.6) is of the kind (1.5).

## REFERENCES

 Formella S.: On geodesic mappings in some Riemannian and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. Tensor (N.S.), 46 (1987), 311–115.  Formella S.: On some class of nearly conformally symmetric manifolds. Coll. Math. Vol. LXVIII 1995. Fasc. 1 149–164.

187

- [3] Mikeš J.: Geodesic mappings of affine-connected and Riemannian spaces. New York, J. Math. Sci. 1996, 311–333.
- [4] Mikeš J.: Holomorphically projective mappings and their generalizations. New York, J. of Math. Sci. 89 (1998) 3, 1334–1353.
- [5] Sinyukov N.S.: Geodesic mappings of Riemannian Spaces. Moscow, Nauka, 1979 (in Russian).
- [6] Sobchuk V.S.: On geodesic mappings of generalized Ricci symmetric Riemannian manifolds. Univ. Chernovtsy, 1981.
- [7] Tricerri F., Vanhecke L., Homogeneous Structure on Riemannian Manifolds. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, vol. 83, Cambridge Univ. Press 1983.
- [8] Yablonskaya N.V.: On certain classes of almost geodesic mappings of general affine-connected spaces. Ukr. Geom. Sb. (1984) 27, 120–124

Stanisław Formella stanislaw.formella@ps.pl

Technical University Institute of Mathematics al. Piastów 48/49, 70-310 Szczecin, Poland

Received: September 27, 2004.