

ABDELKADER HAMTAT  (Tipaza)

An exponential Diophantine equation on Triangular numbers

Abstract Looking to the two remarkable identities concerning triangular numbers $T_{n+1} - T_n = n + 1$ and $T_{n+1}^2 - T_n^2 = (n + 1)^3$, we can extend these equations to the exponential Diophantine equation $T_{n+1}^x - T_n^x = (n + 1)^y$ for some positive integers x, y . In this paper, we show that the above equation has only the solutions $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ or $(2, 3)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: [11D61].

Key words and phrases: Triangular numbers, Exponential Diophantine equation.

1. Introduction. By a triangular number we call the number of the form

$$T_n = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \binom{n+1}{2}$$

when n is a natural number. The number T_n can be interpreted as a number of circles necessary to build an equilateral triangle with side of length n . Diophantine equations related to Triangular numbers has a long story. Walcaw Sierpinski in the booklet [12] and in the papers [10, 11, 12] gave many interesting results concerning the problem of solvability of diophantine equations related to triangular numbers. Hamtat and Behloul (2017) had used matrix theory to give some families of integer solutions to the equation

$$T_x + T_y = T_z,$$

when x, y and z are positive integers.

In triangular numbers, we have some remarkable identities such

$$T_{n+1} - T_n = n + 1,$$

and

$$T_{n+1}^2 - T_n^2 = (n + 1)^3.$$

So, a natural question can be asked, for which values of positive integers (x, y) , the exponential Diophantine equation

$$T_{n+1}^x - T_n^x = (n + 1)^y. \tag{1}$$

holds for all positive integers n ?

An exponential Diophantine equation is an equation of the form

$$a^x + b^y = c^z. \quad (2)$$

where a, b and c are pairwise coprime positive integers. The equation (2) has a very rich story. In 1933, K. Mehler [8] has proved that the equation (2) has only many finitely of integer solutions, under the hypothesis that $a, b, c > 1$. His method is a p -adic analogue of that given by Thue-Siegel, so it is ineffective in the sense that it gives no indication on the number of possible solutions. A few years later, Gel'fond [4] gave an effective result for solutions of (2). His method was based on Baker's theory, which uses linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers. In 1956, L. J esmanowicz [6] conjectured that if a, b, c are Pythagorean numbers, i.e., positive integers satisfying $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, then the Diophantine equation $a^x + b^y = c^z$ has only the positive integral solution $(x, y, z) = (2, 2, 2)$. This conjecture have been solved for many special cases. Different conjectures concerning (2) were identified and discussed. Terai [13] proposed that if a, b, c, p, q, r are fixed positive integers satisfying $a^p + b^q = c^r$ with $a, b, c, p, q, r \geq 2$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then equation (2) has only the trivial solution $(x, y, z) = (p, q, r)$ except for a handful of triples (a, b, c) . This conjecture has been proved to be true in many special cases. However, it is still unsolved in its full generality.

The generalisation of the two above identities of triangular numbers give us an exponential Diophantine equation of the form of (2). (1) considred as an exponential Diophantine equation, we shall prove the following result

Theorem 1 (*Main theorem*) *Equation (1) has only the positive integer solutions $(x, y) = (1, 1), (2, 3)$. \square*

The organisation of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, we recall a result due to W.J. LeVesque [7]. Also we prove a result by the means of Lucas sequences. These results are useful for the proof of our main theorem that will be shown in Section 3. First, for $n = 1$, LeVeque's result helps to find the solutions (1, 1) and (2, 3). Then we use an elementary method to prove that equation (1) has only the solutions (1, 1) and (2, 3), for $n \geq 2$.

2. Some Lemmas

First, we recall a simplified result due to LeVesque [7]

LEMMA 2.1 *For a fixed integers $a > 1$ and $b > 1$, the Diophantine equation*

$$a^x - b^y = 1.$$

has just the two solutions (1, 1) and (2, 3) if $a, b = 2$. In all other cases, it has at most one solution.

In 2016, Miyazaki, Togbé and Yuan [9] gave the following result in :

LEMMA 2.2 *Suppose that $a > 1$ is an odd positive integer. Then the Diophantine equation*

$$a^x + 2^y = (a + 2)^z. \quad (3)$$

has only the positive solution $(x, y, z) = (1, 1, 1)$, whenever neither $a = 2^{k-1} - 1$ with an integer $k \geq 3$ nor $a = 89$. If $a = 2^{k-1} - 1$ or $a = 89$, then the additional solutions are given by $(2, k + 1, 2), (1, 13, 2)$, respectively.

Let α, β be algebraic integers. If $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$ are non-zero coprime rational integers and $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$ is not a root of unity, then (α, β) is called a Lucas pair. Given a Lucas pair (α, β) , one defines the corresponding sequence of Lucas numbers by

$$U_n(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

A prime p is called a primitive divisor of $U_n(\alpha, \beta)$ if $p|U_n$ and

$$p \nmid (\alpha^2, \beta^2)U_1U_2\dots U_{n-1}.$$

An important problem is the existence of primitive divisor of Lucas numbers. Bilu et al. (2001) solved the problem. The remaining cases were solved by Abouzaid (2006). The case when α, β are integers was solved by Birkhoff–Vandiver [3] and Zsigmondy [14] in 1904 and 1892, independently. They proved that $U_n(\alpha, \beta)$ has a primitive divisor if $n > 6$. Following Lemma is an early version of the primitive divisor theorem for integers which is known as Zsigmondy's theorem [14].

LEMMA 2.3 *Let $a > b \geq 1$ be a relatively prime integers and let $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence defined as*

$$U_n(a, b) = a^n - b^n$$

If $n > 1$ then U_n has a primitive divisor, except for $(a, b, n) = (2, 1, 6)$ or $n = 2$ and $a + b = 2^k$ for some positive integer k .

3. Proof of our Theorem

In this section, we prove our theorem, we distinguish two cases from the parity of n .

When $n = 1$, the equation (1) becomes

$$3^x - 1 = 2^y$$

it has only two positive solutions $(x, y) = (1, 1), (2, 3)$ by Lemma 2.1 . Now we suppose that $n \geq 2$.

Case 1: n is even.

Lets put $n = 2m$ with $m \geq 1$ We rewrite the equation (1) into the form

$$((2m + 1)(m + 1))^x - (m(2m + 1))^x = (2m + 1)^y, \quad (4)$$

1- If $x = y$, the the above equation (4) becomes

$$(m + 1)^x - m^x = 1,$$

if $x \geq 2$, then we get

$$1 = (m + 1)^x - m^x \geq (m + 1)^2 - m^2 = 2m + 1,$$

and this is a contradiction since $m \geq 1$, then we get $x = y = 1$. Therefore we obtain the first solution of (1).

2- If $x > y$, then the equation (4) becomes

$$(2m + 1)^{x-y}((m + 1)^x - m^x) = 1,$$

one can see that is equivalent to

$$(2m + 1)^{x-y} = 1,$$

and this is impossible since $x > y$.

3- If $x < y$, in this case the equation (4) becomes

$$(m + 1)^x - m^x = (2m + 1)^z, z = y - x \geq 1 \quad (5)$$

Let assume that $z = 1$, in this case the equation (5) is equivalent to

$$(m + 1)^x - m^x = (2m + 1),$$

for $x \geq 3$, we have

$$2m + 1 = (m + 1)^x - m^x \geq (m + 1)^3 - m^3 = 3m^2 + 3m + 1$$

and this is impossible since $m \geq 1$. Then we get $x = 1$ or $x = 2$. For $x = 1$, we get $z = 0$ which is impossible. So $x = 2$ and $y = 3$. Therefore we obtain the second solution of (1). Now we assume that $z > 1$, we rewrite the equation (5) as

$$(2m + 1)^z = (m + 1)^x - m^x = U_x(m + 1, m).$$

Clearly $m + 1$ and m are relatively prime . Since $m > 1$, from (5), we see that the sequence U_x has no primitive divisor since $x \geq 1$. From Lemma 2.3, we have two possibilities: either $m + 1 = 2$, which is impossible since $m > 1$, or $x = 2$ and $2m + 1 = 2^k$ for some positive integer k . But if $x = 2$, we get $z = 1$, which is impossible since $z > 1$.

Case 2: n is odd.

Assuming now, that n is odd, let $n = 2m - 1$, with $m \geq 2$. Equation (1) becomes

$$(m(2m + 1))^x - (m(2m - 1))^x = (2m)^y, \quad (6)$$

and we distinguish as always three subcases :

- If $x = y$, then equation (6) is equivalent to

$$(2m + 1)^x - (2m - 1)^x = 2^y, \quad (7)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, equation (7) has as first solution $x = y = 1$. For the additional solutions, we have $(x, y) = (2, k + 1)$ when $a = 2m - 1 = 2^{k-1} - 1$, so $m = 2^{k-2}$ for some integer $k \geq 3$. In this case, we must have $2 = k + 1$ because $x = y$, so we get $k = 1$, which is impossible since $k \geq 3$. The second possibility is absurde. It follows that the equation (7) does not have a solution with $x \geq 2$.

- If $x > y$, equation (6) is equivalent to

$$m^{x-y}((2m + 1)^x - (2m - 1)^x) = 2^y, .$$

it is easy to see that the case when $y = 1$ leads us to the first solution of (1). Then we take $y \geq 2$. Using the factorisation method, since 2 is prime then, we get

$$m^{x-y} = 2^s,$$

and

$$(2m + 1)^x - (2m - 1)^x = 2^t, \quad (8)$$

for some positive integers $s > 0$, $t > 0$ such that $s + t = y$. Similary as in case of equation (6), the equation (8) has a solution in positive integers only if $x = t = 1$. Contradiction since $y \geq 2$, so (8) does not have solutions.

- If $x < y$, equation (6) becomes

$$(2m + 1)^x - (2m - 1)^x = 2^y m^{y-x} \quad (9)$$

Abviously, $x = 1$ implies $y = 1$. Then we take $y > x \geq 2$, consider the equation (9) modulo 4, we get

$$1 - (-1)^x \equiv (0 \pmod{4})$$

it follows that x is even, let put $x = 2X$, with $X \geq 1$, equation (9) is equivalent to

$$(2m + 1)^{2X} - (2m - 1)^{2X} = 2^y m^{y-2X} \quad (10)$$

we factor the above expression to obtain

$$((2m + 1)^X + (2m - 1)^X)((2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X) = 2^y m^{y-2X} \quad (11)$$

From equation (11) , we introduce two even positive integers P and Q as follows:

$$P \cdot Q = 2^y m^{y-2X},$$

where

$$P = (2m + 1)^X + (2m - 1)^X, \quad (12)$$

and

$$Q = (2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X. \quad (13)$$

We note that

$$P \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$$

and

$$Q \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$$

By expressions (12) and (13), we see that $\text{pgcd}(P, Q) = 2$ and $P + Q \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{4}$, so $P/2$ and $Q/2$ are integers of different parities, we distinguish two cases :

1. m is odd: in this case ,we have $\text{pgcd}(Q/2, m) = 1$. Since

$$2^{y-2} \cdot m^{y-2X} = (P/2)(Q/2),$$

and $Q/2$ is coprime to m , it follows that only $Q/2 = 1$ or $Q/2 = 2^{y-2}$ are possible, and we have

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2,$$

it is follows that $X = 1$, so $x = 2$, substuting this value in (11), we get

$$4m = 2^y m^{y-2},$$

but m is odd, so $m^{y-2} = 1$ which implies that $y = 2$. Contradiction since $x > y$. The second possibility give

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2^{y-1}.$$

However, we have already shown that the above equation does not have a solutions in positive integers only if $X = 1$ and $y - 1 = 1$, it follows that $x = y = 2$. Contradiction.

2. m is even: We will prove this case in two steps :

a. $y - x \geq 2$. From the equation (10) one can see that

$$1 + 2mX + (-1)^X - 2mX \equiv 0 \pmod{4m^2},$$

it follows that

$$1 + (-1)^X \equiv 0 \pmod{4m^2}. \quad (14)$$

Suppose that X is even, we get from (14), that

$$2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4m^2},$$

contradiction since $m \geq 2$. So, X must be odd, it follows that

$$Q/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4m^2},$$

only the case when $Q = 2$ is possible. Hence, one has

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2,$$

and

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2^{y-1}m^{y-2X}.$$

from the first equation, we get $X = 1$, so $x = 2$, and

$$4m = 2^{y-1}m^{y-2},$$

which implies that $y = 3$. Therefore we obtain the second solution of our equation (1).

b. Now, we consider the equation (9) for $y - x = 1$, then we get

$$(2m + 1)^x - (2m - 1)^x = 2^y m,$$

by the same argument, one can see that

$$Q/2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2m},$$

only the case when $Q = 2$ is possible. Hence, we can deduce that

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2,$$

and

$$(2m + 1)^X - (2m - 1)^X = 2^{y-1}m.$$

but the first equation gives us $X = 1$, so $x = 2$, it follows that $y = 3$. This completes the proof of our main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abouzaid. Les nombres de lucas et lehmer sans diviseur primitif. *Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux*, 18(2):299–313, 2006. Cited on p. 101.
- [2] Y. Bilu, G. Hanrot, and P. M. Voutier. Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers (with an appendix by M. Mignotte). *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:75–122, 2001. ISSN 0075-4102. doi: [10.1515/crll.2001.080](https://doi.org/10.1515/crll.2001.080). Zbl 0995.11010. Cited on p. 101.

- [3] G. D. Birkhoff and H. S. Vandiver. On the integral divisors of $a^n - b^n$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 5(4):173–180, 1904. Cited on p. 101.
- [4] A. Gelfond. Sur la divisibilité de la différence des puissances de deux nombres entiers par une puissance d'un idéal premier. *Математический сборник*, 7(1):7–25, 1940. Cited on p. 100.
- [5] A. Hamtat and D. Behloul. On a Diophantine equation on triangular numbers. *Miskolc Math. Notes*, 18(2):779–786, 2017. ISSN 1787-2405. doi: [10.18514/MMN.2017.1536](https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2017.1536). Zbl [1399.11091](https://zbmath.org/journal/Zbl1399.11091). Cited on p. 99.
- [6] L. Jesmanowicz. Several remarks on pythagorean numbers. *Wiadom. Mat*, 1(2):196–202, 1955. Cited on p. 100.
- [7] W. J. LeVeque. On the equation $a^x - b^y = 1$. *American Journal of Mathematics*, pages 325–331, 1952. Cited on p. 100.
- [8] K. Mahler. Zur Approximation algebraischer Zahlen. I: Über den grössten Primteiler binärer Formen. *Math. Ann.*, 107:691–730, 1933. ISSN 0025-5831. doi: [10.1007/BF01448915](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01448915). Zbl [0006.10502](https://zbmath.org/journal/Zbl0006.10502). Cited on p. 100.
- [9] T. Miyazaki, A. Togbé, and P. Yuan. On the Diophantine equation $a^x + b^y = (a+2)^z$. *Acta Math. Hung.*, 149(1):1–9, 2016. ISSN 0236-5294. doi: [10.1007/s10474-016-0608-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-016-0608-z). Zbl [1389.11088](https://zbmath.org/journal/Zbl1389.11088). Cited on p. 101.
- [10] A. Schinzel and W. Sierpinski. Sur les triangles rectangulaires dont les deux cotes sont des nombres triangulaires. *Matematički Vesnik*, 13(24):145–147, 1961. Cited on p. 99.
- [11] W. Sierpinski. *Liczby trójkątne (Eng. trans. "Triangular numbers")*, volume 12 of *Biblioteczka Matematyczna*. Państwowy Zakład Wydawnictw Szkolnych, Warszawa, 1961. Cited on p. 99.
- [12] W. Sierpinski. Trois nombres tétraédraux en progression arithmétique. *Elemente der Mathematik*, 18:54–55, 1963. Cited on p. 99.
- [13] N. Terai. On jesmanowicz'conjecture concerning primitive pythagorean triples. *Journal of Number Theory*, 141:316–323, 2014. Cited on p. 100.
- [14] K. Zsigmondy. Zur theorie der potenzreste. *Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik*, 3:265–284, 1892. Cited on p. 101.

Wykładnicze równanie diofantyczne na liczbach trójkątnych. Abdelkader HAMDAT

Streszczenie Liczby trójkątne mają tę właściwość, że różnica dwóch kolejnych wyrazów ciągu liczb trójkątnych jest równa indeksowi pierwszego. Mają też tę właściwość, że różnica kwadratów kolejnych liczb jest równa trzeciej potędze indeksu pierwszej liczby. Celem pracy jest zbadanie analogicznego diofantycznego równania wykładniczego. Rozważmy równanie: różnica x -tych potęg ciągu liczb trójkątnych jest równa potędze y indeksu pierwszej, dla pewnych dodatnich liczb całkowitych x, y . Pokazujemy, że powyższe równanie ma tylko rozwiązania $(x, y) = (1, 1)$ i $(2, 3)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* [11D61].

Key words and phrases: Triangular numbers, Exponential Diophantine equation.



Abdelkader HAMDAT is a lecturer at the institute of sciences, University Center of Tipaza, Algeria, He completed his Ph.D on pure mathematics, Algebra and number theory at Houari Boumediene University of Sciences and Technology, USTHB, Algiers, Algeria. His research areas are Algebra, number theory, especially on the resolution of Diophantine equations.

ABDELKADER HAMDAT 

UNIVERSITY CENTRE OF TIPAZA MORSLI ABDELLAH, TIPAZA, ALGERIA
LABORATORY OF ALGEBRA AND NUMBER THEORY
USTHB, ALGIERS, ALGERIA

E-mail: hamtat.abdelkader@cu-tipaza.dz

Communicated by: Prof. Dr. Grzegorz Graff

(Received: 18th of January 2023; revised: 11th of June 2023)
