



Structure and some magnetic properties of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions prepared by solid-state sintering

Karol Kowal,
Maciej Kowalczyk,
Dionizy Czekaj,
Elżbieta Jartych

Abstract. This paper presents the results of the study on structure and magnetic properties of the perovskite-type $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions. The samples differing in the chemical composition ($x = 0.9, 0.8,$ and 0.7) were produced according to the conventional solid-state sintering method from the mixture of powders. Moreover, three different variants of the fabrication process differing in the temperatures and soaking time were applied. The results of X-ray diffraction (XRD), Mössbauer spectroscopy (MS), and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) were collected and compared for the set of the investigated materials. The structural transformation from rhombohedral to cubic symmetry was observed for the samples with $x = 0.7$. With increasing of BaTiO_3 concentration Mössbauer spectra become broadened reflecting various configurations of atoms around ^{57}Fe probes. Moreover, gradual decreasing of the average hyperfine magnetic field and macroscopic magnetization were observed with x decreasing.

Key words: hyperfine interactions • magnetization • Mössbauer spectroscopy • multiferroics

K. Kowal[✉]
Institute of Electronics and Information Technology,
Lublin University of Technology,
38A Nadbystrzycka Str., 20-618 Lublin, Poland
and Nuclear Energy Division,
National Centre for Nuclear Research,
7 A. Sołtana Str., 05-400 Otwock/Świerk, Poland,
Tel.: +48 22 273 1307, Fax: +48 22 779 3481,
E-mail: k.kowal@ncbj.gov.pl

M. Kowalczyk
Faculty of Materials Science and Engineering,
Warsaw University of Technology,
141 Wołoska Str., 02-507 Warsaw, Poland

D. Czekaj
Department of Materials Science,
University of Silesia,
2 Śnieżna Str., 41-200 Sosnowiec, Poland

E. Jartych
Institute of Electronics and Information Technology,
Lublin University of Technology,
38A Nadbystrzycka Str., 20-618 Lublin, Poland

Received: 18 June 2014
Accepted: 2 November 2014

Introduction


The rapidly growing interest in $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions is caused by their multiferroic properties, that is, coexistence of both the electric and magnetic ordering. It creates possibility for many innovative applications, for example, in steering the magnetic memory by electric field and *vice versa*. In $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions the room-temperature magnetoelectric coupling exhibit significant magnitude in the narrow composition window ($x = 0.71\text{--}0.80$) where the crystalline structure is rhombohedral. As reported in [1], the maximum value of magnetoelectric voltage coefficient $\alpha_{\text{ME}} = 0.87 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{Oe}^{-1}$ was obtained for $0.725\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.275\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution what provides a possibility of developing electrically or magnetically tunable thin-film devices. Our latest investigations proved that initial electric poling of the samples allowed to enhancing magnetoelectric effect up to $\alpha_{\text{ME}} = 1.53 \text{ mV}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}\cdot\text{Oe}^{-1}$ for $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$ sintered at 1153 K [2].

In spite of an intense effort directed at creating and probing BiFeO_3 -based solid solutions, a fundamental understanding of structure-property correlations in these systems is still lacking. Many parameters, like crystallite sizes, morphology, purity, and precise chemical composition may have the influence on the ferroic ordering and the associated magnetic response. The aim of the present work

is a deeper insight in the structure and magnetic behavior of the investigated materials as well as the hyperfine interactions of ^{57}Fe nuclei located in $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions. The materials were prepared by the conventional solid-state sintering method under various technological conditions. X-ray diffraction (XRD), ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy (MS), and vibrating sample magnetometry (VSM) were applied as complementary methods to investigate the materials.

Experimental details

Three different variants of conventional solid-state sintering method differing in the applied temperatures and soaking time have been used to prepare three series of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ samples of various chemical compositions, that is, $x = 0.7, 0.8, 0.9$. The investigated materials were obtained from precursor oxides Bi_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , TiO_2 , and barium carbonate (BaCO_3) according to the following formula:



The solid-state sintering method used in this experiment included double synthesis, final sintering, and annealing in the air. The three-stage process of thermal treatment was applied to obtain better phase formation. After the first heat-treatment (synthesis I) the material was ground into powder and homogenized. Then the second heat-treatment process (synthesis II) was performed and the resultant material was powdered and homogenized once again. Finally, the obtained ceramics was sintered. Technological parameters of the samples fabrication are presented in Table 1, the details of that process have been described elsewhere in [3, 4].

X-ray diffraction analysis was performed in order to examine the crystalline structure of as-prepared solid solutions. The measurements were carried out at room temperature by usage of Philips PW3710 diffractometer with $\text{CoK}\alpha$ radiation, operating in the Bragg–Brentano geometry in the range of 2θ angle from 10° to 90° with a step of 2θ equal to 0.008° . The obtained XRD patterns were analyzed with the help of X'Pert High Score Plus computer program.

The hyperfine interactions of ^{57}Fe located in $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions were investigated by application of the Mössbauer spectroscopy as a method complementary to XRD. The Mössbauer spectra were collected at room temperature in transmission geometry using a source of ^{57}Co in a chromium matrix. The investigated solid solutions in tablets were crushed and powdered to prepare ab-

sorbers. The spectrometer was calibrated before each measurement using a $25\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ -thick metallic iron foil.

Magnetic measurements at room temperature were performed on Vibrating Sample Magnetometer device produced by Lake Shore (model 7440). Magnetic field was produced by current in the electromagnetic coils and its value varied in the range of $-1600 \div 1600$ kA/m ($-20 \div 20$ kOe). The field was changed continuously in the regime: $0 - H_{\text{max}} - H_{\text{min}} - H_{\text{max}} - 0$. Magnetic response of material was collected with an accuracy of $5 \times 10^{-6} \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{f.u.}$ (Bohr magneton per formula unit). Mass of the sample was in the range of ten milligrams measured on precise balance with an accuracy of 0.1 mg.

Results and discussion

The crystalline structure of the $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions was examined by powder XRD measurements. In Fig. 1, XRD patterns are collected for each composition in dependence on the sintering temperature (i.e. for each variant of technological process). For $0.9\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.1\text{BaTiO}_3$ (Fig. 1a) and $0.8\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.2\text{BaTiO}_3$ (Fig. 1b) solid solutions all diffraction lines can be indexed to the rhombohedral structure of BiFeO_3 (space group $R3c$, card number ICSD 01-071-2494). In the case of $0.9\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.1\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution sintered at 1103 K small amount (about 6 wt%) of impurity by $\text{Bi}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{O}_9$ compound (space group $Pbam$, card number JCPDS 00-025-0090) was detected. For $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$ diffraction lines can be indexed to the cubic lattice of BaTiO_3 (space group $Pm3m$, card number ICSD 01-074-1961). However, the asymmetry of the lines corresponding to 2θ about 67° indicates coexistence of a small amount of the rhombohedral phase as well (Fig. 1d). The observed structural transformation from rhombohedral to cubic for $x = 0.7$ has been reported many times in the literature [1, 5–7].

Room-temperature Mössbauer spectra for the investigated solid solutions are presented in Fig. 2. For each composition the spectra are six-line patterns and the doublet component with higher or smaller amplitude may be observed in the central part of the spectrum. The hyperfine interaction parameters of the quadrupolar component for $0.9\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.1\text{BaTiO}_3$ and $0.8\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.2\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solutions are as follows: the isomer shift $\delta = 0.26 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ and the quadrupole splitting $\Delta = 0.52 \div 0.74 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ (in dependence on the composition and sintering temperature). In these two cases the doublet may be attributed to the paramagnetic $\text{Bi}_2\text{Fe}_4\text{O}_9$ impurity phase, the obtained hyperfine interactions parameters are in good agreement with the literature data [8]. In the case of $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solu-

Table 1. Technological parameters of the synthesis of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions [3]

Methods	Synthesis I	Synthesis II	Sintering	Annealing
Variant I	1023 K/20 h	1023 K/20 h	1053 K/40 h	823 K/10 h
Variant II	1073 K/10 h	1073 K/10 h	1103 K/20 h	823 K/10 h
Variant III	1123 K/2 h	1123 K/2 h	1153 K/4 h	823 K/10 h




Fig. 1. X-ray diffraction patterns of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions in dependence on sintering temperature; (a) $x = 0.9$, (b) $x = 0.8$, (c) $x = 0.7$, (d) $x = 0.7$ – expanded view of XRD for selected values of 2θ .




Fig. 2. Room-temperature Mössbauer spectra of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions in dependence on sintering temperature; (a) $x = 0.9$, (b) $x = 0.8$, (c) $x = 0.7$.

tion, the doublet with parameters $\delta = 0.35 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta = 0.52 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ may be connected with cubic phase. The obtained parameters are similar to those for $0.5\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.5\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution prepared by molten salt method (i.e. $\delta = 0.31 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta = 0.50 \text{ mm}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) [7].

As the content of BaTiO_3 increases, the spectra become broadened because of random distribution of Fe^{3+} ions which substitute Ti^{4+} ions within the structure of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions. The best numerical fitting of the spectra was obtained with applying a probability distribution of hyperfine magnetic field (HMF) at ^{57}Fe with the fixed quadrupole doublet component. The HMF probability distributions for the studied solid solutions are presented in Fig. 3. The values of hyperfine magnetic fields for $0.9\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.1\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution are in the range of 38–53 T and the most




Fig. 3. Probability distribution for hyperfine magnetic field at ^{57}Fe nuclei located in $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions in dependence on sintering temperature; (a) $x = 0.9$, (b) $x = 0.8$, (c) $x = 0.7$.

probable field is about 48.0 T independently on the sintering temperature. In the case of $0.8\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.2\text{BaTiO}_3$ and $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution fields with lower values appear in the distributions (27–33 T). The most probable HMF is about 44.7 T for $x = 0.8$ for all the sintering temperatures. In the case of $x = 0.7$, the largest discrepancies in the HMF distributions may be observed. The average values of the hyperfine magnetic field determined from the distributions are presented in Fig. 3. Independently on the sintering temperature the average HMF value decreases with increase of BaTiO_3 concentration. Our results are in good agreement with the data reported for the $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions prepared by a molten salt method (i.e. $\langle B_{\text{hf}} \rangle = 47.7 \text{ T}$ for $x = 0.9$, $\langle B_{\text{hf}} \rangle = 43.9 \text{ T}$ for $x = 0.8$ and $\langle B_{\text{hf}} \rangle = 40.6 \text{ T}$ for $x = 0.7$) [7].

On the basis of XRD and MS results it may be stated that the structural transformation of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions is accompanied by the change of magnetic order. Pure BiFeO_3 compound is both antiferromagnetic and ferroelectric at room temperature. Adding of BaTiO_3 destroy the spiral magnetic structure of the BiFeO_3 leading to the release of the inherent magnetization of this canted antiferromagnet. In a consequence, the multiferroic properties of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions are improved and the linear magnetoelectric effect may be observed [9]. To investigate the macroscopic magnetic properties of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions room-temperature magnetic measurements were performed using VSM. The obtained hysteresis loops are shown in Fig. 4 for the samples sintered at 1103 K. The largest magnetic response, that is, maximum magnetic moment μ_{max} , was registered at the applied magnetic field of 1600 kA/m. It may be observed that increasing the content of BaTiO_3 caused a decreasing of μ_{max} from about $7.9 \times 10^{-2} \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{f.u.}$ (equivalent of saturation magnetization $M_s \sim 1.46 \text{ emu/g}$) for $0.9\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.1\text{BaTiO}_3$ solid solution by $7.1 \times 10^{-2} \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{f.u.}$ ($M_s \sim 1.34 \text{ emu/g}$) for $0.8\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.2\text{BaTiO}_3$ down to $5.4 \times 10^{-2} \mu_{\text{B}}/\text{f.u.}$ ($M_s \sim 1.04 \text{ emu/g}$) for $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$, respectively. The obtained values are slightly different than those reported for nanostructural $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions ($M_s \sim 1.04 \text{ emu/g}$ for $x = 0.9$, $M_s \sim 1.88 \text{ emu/g}$ for $x = 0.8$ and $M_s \sim 1.61 \text{ emu/g}$ for $x = 0.7$) [7]; however,




Fig. 4. Room-temperature hysteresis loops of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions sintered at 1103 K.

these discrepancies may be due to the preparation method. It may be added that the remnant magnetization ~ 0.5 emu/g corresponding to $\mu \sim 2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mu_B/\text{f.u.}$ measured for our solid solutions is almost an order of magnitude higher than that from literature (~ 0.08 emu/g) [10]. Moreover, coercivity ~ 400 kA/m (5 kOe) is significantly higher than that measured for typical $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions (1.3–3.4 kOe) [7].

Conclusions

XRD, MS, and VSM techniques indicated the relationship between the content of BiFeO_3 and the magnetic properties of the investigated $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions. Decrease of BiFeO_3 concentration causes structural transformation from rhombohedral to cubic symmetry. The decreasing of the average hyperfine magnetic field as well as macroscopic magnetization was observed with x decreasing. This reflects the weakening of the inherent strength of the magnetic interactions and increasing disorder in magnetic moments arrangement. The structure and magnetic properties of the investigated materials for a given x value are very similar despite the different sintering conditions. Thus, the third variant of the synthesis process involving the temperature rising of 100 degrees seems to be the most efficient one due to the significantly shorter time of the samples preparation.

References

1. Yang, S. -Ch., Kumar, A., Petkov, V., & Priya, S. (2013). Room-temperature magnetoelectric coupling in single-phase $\text{BaTiO}_3\text{-BiFeO}_3$ system. *J. Appl. Phys.*, *113*, 144101-1-5. DOI: 10.1063/1.4799591.
2. Jartych, E., Pikula, T., Kowal, K., Lisińska-Czekaj, A., & Czekaj, D. (2014). Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetoelectric effect studies of multiferroic ceramics based on BiFeO_3 . *Key Eng. Mater.*, *602/603*, 936–941. DOI: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.602-603.936.
3. Wodecka-Duś, B., & Czekaj, D. (2011). Synthesis of $0.7\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}0.3\text{BaTiO}_3$ ceramics: thermal, structural, and AC impedance studies. *Arch. Metal. Mater.*, *56*(4), 1127–1136. DOI: 10.2478/v10172-011-0126-5.
4. Kowal, K., Jartych, E., Guzdek, P., Stoch, P., Wodecka-Duś, B., Lisińska-Czekaj, A., & Czekaj, D. (2013). X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy and magnetoelectric effect studies of $(\text{BiFeO}_3)_x\text{-(BaTiO}_3)_{1-x}$ solid solutions. *Nukleonika*, *58*(1), 57–61.
5. Chandarak, S., Unruan, M., Sareein, T., Ngamjarurojana, A., Maensiri, S., Laoratanakul, P., Ananta, S., & Yimnirun, R. (2009). Fabrication and characterization of $(1-x)\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-}x\text{BaTiO}_3$ ceramics prepared by a solid state reaction method. *J. Magn.*, *14*(3), 120–123. DOI: 10.4283/JMAG.2009.14.3.120.
6. Ismailzade, I. H., Ismailov, R. M., Alekberov, A. I., & Salaev, F. M. (1981). Investigation of the magnetoelectric (ME)H effect in solid solutions of the systems $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-BaTiO}_3$ and $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-PbTiO}_3$. *Phys. Status Solidi A-Appl. Mat.*, *68*, K81–K85. DOI: 10.1002/pssa.2210680160.
7. Park, T. J., Papaefthymiou, G. D., Viescas, A. J., Lee, Y., Zhou, H., & Wong, S. S. (2010). Composition-dependent magnetic properties of $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-BaTiO}_3$ solid solution nanostructures. *Phys. Rev. B*, *82*, 024431-1-24431-10. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024431.
8. MacKenzie, K. J. D., Dougherty, T., & Barrell, J. (2008). The electronic properties of complex oxides of bismuth with the mullite structure. *J. Eur. Ceram. Soc.*, *28*, 499–504. DOI: 10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2007.03.012.
9. Mahesh Kumar, M., Srinivas, A., Kumar, G. S., & Suryanarayana, S. V. (1999). Investigation of the magnetoelectric effect in $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-BaTiO}_3$ solid solutions. *J. Phys.-Condens. Matter*, *11*, 8131–8139. DOI: 10.1088/0953-8984/11/41/315.
10. Kim, J. S., Cheon, C. I., Lee, C. H., & Jang, P. W. (2004). Weak ferromagnetism in the ferroelectric $\text{BiFeO}_3\text{-ReFeO}_3\text{-BaTiO}_3$ solid solutions (Re=Dy,La). *J. Appl. Phys.*, *96*, 468–474. DOI: 10.1063/1.1755430.