## A STOCHASTIC GRAPH AS A SPECIFIC TOOL OF MATHEMATIZATION AND ARGUMENTATION

## Ireneusz Krech

Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University of Cracow ul. Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Cracow, Poland e-mail: irekre@tlen.pl

**Abstract.** The article presents a stochastic graph as a tool enabling us to show the equality of the event probability without calculating the probability as such. A very important factor here is that the discussed events come from different probabilistic spaces being models of specific Markov chains.

**Introduction.** Let us consider a random board game  $g_{x-y}$ . The board consists of two circles:  $o_v$  and  $o_w$ . At the beginning, there are x coins inside the circle  $o_v$  and y coins inside the circle  $o_w$ . Let us assume that x + y = 3 and  $y \neq 3$ . There are two players,  $G_a$  and  $G_b$ , in the game. They take turns and toss 3 coins placed on the game board. The coins that show heads stay in the circle they were originally placed in. The coins that show tails change their circle. If all the coins end up in the circle  $o_w$  after the toss, the player who tossed them wins. Let us assume that the player  $G_a$  takes the first run (see [2]).

Later, in the article we will answer the question: which of the games  $g_{3-0}$ ,  $g_{2-1}$  and  $g_{1-2}$  is the best (gives the best chance to win) for the player  $G_a$  and which is the best for the player  $G_b$ .

We will mark the experiment conducted in the game as  $\delta_{x-y}$ . Let  $A_{x-y}$  means that the player  $G_a$  wins and  $B_{x-y}$  means that the player  $G_b$  wins.

The random experiment  $\delta_{x-y}$  is conducted in several phases. Each single phase consists of a coins toss and placing them in the circles. The experiment status after the *n*th phase is a pair  $(v_n, w_n)$ , where  $v_n$  means the number of coins placed in the circle  $o_v$  and  $w_n$  means the number of coins placed in the circle  $o_w$  after this phase. As  $v_n + w_n = 3$ , the experiment status after the *n*th phase is defined by the number  $v_n$ . The possibilities here make a set  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . We can interpret them as the graph loops. The beginning of the game becomes the start loop and the experiment status before the game (the 0 stage) becomes the edge loop (see [1]). Let us mark the probability of the experiment going from the *j* to the *k* status as  $p_{jk}$ . If  $p_{jk} > 0$ , we connect the *j* and *k* loop points on the graph with a line. Then we write the number  $p_{jk}$  next to the line. This way we get a stochastic graph and a game board simultaneously.

**I.** Let us start with the game  $g_{3-0}$ . The graph and the stages of constructing it are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1.

This graph is a particularly useful tool of argumentation while calculating the probability of a certain player victory in the game.

We call the phases 0,1 and 2 the inner ones. We can notice that once the experiment  $\delta_{3-0}$  gets to one of the inner stages, the next toss will lead it either to the 0 state with the probability  $\frac{1}{8}$  or to another inner state with the probability  $\frac{7}{8}$ . These symmetries prove that the graph from Figure 1 reduces to that from Figure 2.



Figure 2.

The course of the game and its result can be registered if we include the time which it takes. Figure 3 shows the graph of the experiment  $\delta_{3-0}$  after this modification.



Figure 3.

The player  $G_a$  can win only if the experiment after an even toss takes the  $\boxed{0}$  stage of the graph from Figure 2 or, which is really the same, the  $\boxed{0_1}$ stage of the graph from Figure 3. The player  $G_b$  can win if the experiment after an odd toss takes the  $\boxed{0}$  stage of the graph from Figure 2 or, which is really the same, the  $\boxed{0_2}$  stage of the graph from Figure 3. From the above interpretations we can see that:

1) In the case of the graph from Figure 2 we get

$$P(A_{3-0}) = \frac{1}{8} + \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{8} + \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^4 \cdot \frac{1}{8} + \dots = \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{1 - \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^2} = \frac{8}{15} \text{ and } P(B_{3-0}) = \frac{7}{15}.$$

2) Let  $P(A_{3-0}) = x$  and  $P(B_{3-0}) = y = 1 - x$ . We know from the graph shown in Figure 3 that

$$x = \frac{1}{8} + x \cdot \left(\frac{7}{8}\right)^2$$
, so  $x = \frac{8}{15}$  and  $y = \frac{7}{15}$ .

So the player who starts the game has a better chance to win it.



Figure 4.

II. Let us consider the game  $g_{2-1}$ . The  $\delta_{2-1}$  experiment status at the beginning of the game is 2. Figure 4 shows the stochastic graph of the experiment  $\delta_{2-1}$ .

Just like in the previous game  $g_{3-0}$ , once the experiment  $\delta_{2-1}$  gets to one of the inner stages, the next coins toss leads it either to the 0 stage with the probability  $\frac{1}{8}$  (the player who started the game wins it) or to another inner stage with the probability  $\frac{7}{8}$ . So we can see that the graph of this experiment is isomorphic to the one from Figure 2 (and, considering the time, to the graph from Figure 3), so

$$P(A_{2-1}) = \frac{8}{15}$$
 and  $P(B_{2-1}) = \frac{7}{15}$ .

**III.** It is easy to see that when we consider the game  $g_{1-2}$  we get a graph (as its board) isomorphic to the graphs of the games  $g_{3-0}$  and  $g_{2-1}$ . Hence,

$$P(A_{1-2}) = \frac{8}{15}$$
 and  $P(B_{1-2}) = \frac{7}{15}$ .

Finally we get:

$$P(A_{3-0}) = P(A_{2-1}) = P(A_{1-2}) = \frac{8}{15}$$

and

$$P(B_{3-0}) = P(B_{2-1}) = P(B_{1-2}) = \frac{7}{15}$$

**Summary.** The final conclusion of our deliberation is surprising: the players chance to win does not depend on the experiment status at the beginning of the game, the player who starts the game wins it with the probability  $\frac{8}{15}$  and the player who takes the second turn tossing the coins wins it with the probability  $\frac{7}{15}$ .

## References

- A. Płocki. Stochastyka dla nauczyciela. Wydawnictwo Naukowe NOVUM, Płock 2010.
- [2] A. Engel, T. Varga, W. Walser. Strategia czy przypadek? Gry kombinatoryczne i probabilistyczne. WSiP, Warszawa 1979.