

Artificial potential fields with extended Bug algorithm for Mars rover path planning in an unknown environment

Kamil Wyrąbkiewicz, Tomasz Tarczewski
Nicolaus Copernicus University
87-100 Toruń, ul. Grudziądzka 5, e-mail: kamillo@fizyka.umk.pl,
ttarczewski@fizyka.umk.pl

Lech M. Grzesiak
Warsaw University of Technology
00-661 Warszawa, ul. Koszykowa 75, e-mail: lmg@isep.pw.edu.pl

In this paper artificial potential fields method applied to autonomous mobile robot - mars rover is presented. It is assumed that Mars rover operates in an unknown environment. In order to visualize the robot's path in environment Matlab software is used. The object can be inserted by graphic data input interface in top view mode. The method of artificial potential fields is extended by an additional algorithm to avoid a local minimum. The proposed algorithm is implemented as a state machine. In this paper simulations results of the developed algorithm are presented. Extended algorithm is used because in the environment may be located complex obstacles.

KEYWORDS: autonomous mobile robot, mars rover, path planning, artificial potential fields, Bug algorithm

1. Characteristic of mobile robot – Mars rover

Mars rovers are a kind of mobile robot (Autonomous Mobile Robot – Mars Rover), which are designed for exploration and manual tasks. Mobile robots can be classified into remote controlled and autonomous. Control of mobile platforms on the earth gives a choice of controls between the remote control and autonomy. Mobile platforms - Mars rovers due to destination, impose the use of autonomy [1]. Method for controlling of the rover is determined by the delay in the transmission, which is needed to receive signal from the Earth to the potential planet of exploration – Mars.

In addition, this time is different depending on the distance between the planets arising from position on their own orbits. Mars rovers must be designed for exploration task, which includes moving in the unknown area. The construction of the drive system and suspension is determined through the working area of the mobile platform. For manual tasks manipulators are used.

2. Mobile robot control

Autonomous mobile platforms can move on in the known or unknown environment. After analysing move methods in terrain, one can distinguish methods dedicated for the known environment as well as for the unknown environment. The research work concerns an unknown environment [2, 3, 4]. Autonomy in unknown environment can be realized by designing the robot universal properties to operate in the environment or by using a special control algorithm [5]. The artificial potential fields was chosen. It allows work in unknown area [4, 5]. This method is based on physical nature interaction between static charges to determine the direction of mobile platform movement. For this purpose it is necessary to know the current position of the mobile robot and the destination point. This can be obtained from the Global Positioning System GPS or terrain navigation system.

The electrostatic interaction force between particles – Coulomb law is define [6]:

$$F_w = \frac{kq_1q_2}{r^2}, \quad (1)$$

where: k – interactions constant, q_1 – electric charge of the first particle, q_2 – electric charge of the second particle, r – distance between electric charge.

The effect of forces for two positive charges is repel. For different charges Coulomb force causes attracts particles. Assigned negative charge for a mobile robot and obstacles, positive charge for target point. Considering the position of interacting charge we get a mobile robot trajectory (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1. The principle of artificial potential fields algorithm

The algorithm should take into account an interaction between all obstacles and robot. In such a case the force equation (1) takes the following form:

$$\vec{F}_w = \frac{k_{RT}q_Rq_T}{r_{RT}^2} \frac{\vec{r}_{RT}}{r_{RT}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{k_{RO}q_Rq_O}{r_{ROi}^2} \frac{\vec{r}_{ROi}}{r_{ROi}}, \quad (2)$$

where: R – mobile robot, T – target, O – obstacles, k_{RT} – interactions constant between mobile robots and target, k_{RO} – interactions constant between mobile robots and obstacles, q_R – mobile robot charge, q_O – obstacles charge, q_T – target charge, r_{RT} – distance between mobile robot and target, r_{ROi} – distance between mobile robot and obstacles.

In order to obtain simulation results artificial potential field (APF) algorithm was implemented in Matlab environment. As it was described in [4] an APF method is not resistant to the local minima (i.e. AMRMR can stop for the balanced forces of the obstacles). In order to eliminate described drawback, the "Bug" method was used. The idea of this method has been taken from the real world – for example: move worms at the wall. This method involves bypassing obstacles along the side wall [5] (see the next chapter).

3. Extended Bug algorithm

In order to eliminate local minima on the way of a mobile robot uses “Bug” algorithm. In the extended version of the algorithm stage is divided into four states presented in Table. 1 (state 3 to 6). Before starting, the “Bug” algorithm captures current position of the robot, which is needed to determine the actual distance between Robot and Target – $disRT$ (Fig. 2).

Table 1. The states of the robot algorithms

Nr	Variable “state”	Robot state name	Comments
1.	0	Robot stop	
2.	1	Robot move forward	auxiliary state
3.	2	APF algorithm	new robot direction is calculated in artificial potential fields
4.	3	BUG algorithm right side	movement the robot longwise the obstacle on the right side of the robot positions
5.	4	BUG algorithm left side	movement the robot longwise the obstacle on the left side of the robot positions
6.	5	BUG algorithm right side rotate left	movement robot along an arc on the right side of the robot positions
7.	6	BUG algorithm left side rotate right	movement robot along an arc on the left side of the robot positions

The distance is calculated when robot is near obstacles and this value is needed to determine obstacle bypassed. Next step is selects the side to avoid an obstacle. To avoid an obstacle on the left side of the robot is used state = 4 (see Table 1). The robot moves in this state until obstacles are near. The robot corrects angle next step, to keep constant distance robot – obstacle. When the sensors system detect the edge of obstacle then change robot state to 6. The robots in this state avoid edge obstacles along an arc, in each step is rotate the robot about one degree to the right. The robot is working in state 4 and 6 until new distance robot – target $disRT_{new}$ will be less than old value $disRT$. When

disRTnew is less than disRT, the robot state machine comeback to artificial potential fields algorithm (state 2). This condition is useful for bypassing complex obstacles shape, e.g. circle, ball and wheel.

For the right side of the avoid obstacle the machine state have analogous states 3 and 5 (Table 1).




Fig. 2. The flow diagram of Bug algorithm

4. Proposed algorithm

The algorithm is performed by the state machine (Table 1). The basic mode of mobile robot operation is motion based on the artificial potential fields method algorithm.




Fig. 3. The flow diagram of the mobile robot algorithm

If position of the robot doesn't change (check APF and results is the same as the previous, robot is as the local minima) the state machine is switched to the "Bug" algorithm. This mode is active until robot will bypass an obstacle along the wall. When obstacle is bypassed the state machine will change operation mode to the APF algorithm. Mobile robot moves until the desired position (target) is reached. The flow diagram of the proposed algorithm is presented in Fig. 3.

5. Application

5.1. Sensors system

The considered mobile robot is equipped with 9 distance sensors. The output of the each sensor is a linear distance between AMRMR and the obstacle (or obstacles) seen. The angle between (Fig. 4b) neighboring sensors is equal to 30 degrees. Designed application contains an overview of the actual status of all sensors. It should noted, that the range of sensors was limited to 3 meters because of the real sensors

constraints (Fig. 4.b). Obstacles can also be entered into robot environment by using graphical interface. Sensor system described above identifies the obstacles (i.e. the distance and the orientation with respect to mobile platform (Fig. 4b).

5.2. Environment and data input interface

Described in a previous section APF was implemented in a Matlab environment. The graphical visualization was introduced in order to present the actual position as well as the previous moves of the AMRMR. Simulated environment of the robot is limited by the sidewall – the main obstacles of the APF.




Fig. 4. a.) Entering Data – pre-positioning the mobile robot; b.) sensors system

Visualization is performed by using of the Matlab **plot** function. Thanks to the special preparation of the plot function properties, it is possible to watch instantaneous states of the mobile robot. Moreover, the animation was obtained by refreshing the visualization results in the following steps. The destination point (target) is introduced by the cursor. Command **ginput** is used to insert data into graphical interface (Fig. 4a).

6. Simulation results

6.1. Trajectory simulation

The initial conditions for the simulation shown in Figure 5a. At the beginning APF algorithm is a mobile robot start mode (Fig. 5b). The obstacles identification on right and left side of robot in APF algorithm is presented in

Fig. 6. Switching state machine from APF algorithm to Bug algorithm is shown in Fig. 7a, when robot drove to obstacle, behind which is the target. Bypassed obstacle and driving forward to target is presented in Fig. 7b. Additional simulation with a different set of obstacles in environment is shown in Fig. 8.

The use of APF algorithm allows work autonomous robot in the unknown environment. In addition, the Bug algorithm immunizes local minima resulting from area arrangement. The simulation results shown the implemented algorithm in action, it works correctly and achieves the target.




Fig. 5. a) The initial state of simulation; b) Mobile robots starting




Fig. 6. a) and b) Obstacles identification




Fig. 7. a) Bug algorithm: avoidance obstacle b) Move forward to target




Fig. 8. a) and b) Another simulation

6.2. Simulation with interpretation

Environment with few obstacles is shown in Fig. 9. Each critical change machine state of robot has been marked by arrow with the letter. During driving the machine state of robot change control between artificial potential fields APF

and “Bug” algorithm. Indications from the distance sensors are normalized value (measure value – sensor distance range).

For simulation A values from the distance sensors and algorithm state for mobile robot is shown in Fig. 10. The robot avoid first obstacles using APF algorithm – arrows “A” and “B” (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10). When the robot approach to second obstacle (arrow “C”) is selected side avoid obstacle. Then the robot drives along the obstacle in algorithm „Bug”. When sensors system detect the edge of the wall(obstacle) the robot switches algorithm „Bug” to mode avoid edge obstacles along an arc (between arrows “D” and “E” – state = 6, Fig. 10).

When the robot reaches place signed arrow “F” then occur switched state to base algorithm APF because actual distance to target is less than distance calculated in place signed arrow “C”.




Fig. 9. Simulation A with a critical locations description

The different situations of the environment is shows in Simulation B (Fig. 11). The obstacles is composed of several walls connected at different angles. For the second simulation the values obtained from sensors 90^0 and 0^0 is shown in Fig. 12.

Because the distance sensor model is approximated by a straight line, therefore it is possible to values from the sensor system have oscillation. Change the orientation of the robot while driving, gives a cyclic losing obstacles.




Fig. 10. Sensor 90^0 , -90^0 and state diagram - simulation A




Fig. 11. Simulation B with a critical locations description

At the points "X1" and "X2" (Fig. 10) and at the point "E" (Fig. 12) is presented oscillations. An extreme case of total loss of obstacle visibility is zero indication from sensor -90^0 (Fig. 10) and sensor 90^0 (Fig. 12) between the arrows "D" and "E".




Fig. 12. Sensor 90^0 , 0^0 and state diagram - simulation B

7. Summary

In this paper an implementation of artificial potential field method for autonomous mobile robot is presented. The algorithm was extended by the "Bug" method in order to avoid the local minima. Simulation test results obtained in a Matlab environment confirm proper operation of the proposed algorithm. An implementation of the described algorithm on the Mars rover is planned in the future.

Acknowledgment

Research work supported by grant no. 1630-F for the development of young scientists and PhD students at the Faculty of Physics, Astronomy and Informatics Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun.

References

- [1] Lacroix S., Mallet A., Bonnafous D., Bauzil G., Fleury S., Herrb M., Chatila R., Autonomous Rover Navigation on Unknown Terrains: Functions and Integration, *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, Number 21(10), p.917-942, 2002.
- [2] Kala R., Warwick K., Planning Autonomous Vehicles in the Absence of Speed Lanes Using an Elastic Strip, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation system*, vol. 14, no. 4, p. 1743 – 1752, 2013.
- [3] Jiang D. Z., Min W. Z., Mobile Robot Path Tracking in Unknown Dynamic Environment, *Robotics, Automation and Mechatronics*, IEEE Conference, 2008.
- [4] Adeli H., Tabrizi M.H.N., Mazloomian A., Hajipour E., Jahed M., Path Planning for Mobile Robots using Iterative Artificial Potential Field Method, *IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues*, Vol. 8, Issue 4, No 2, p.28-32, July 2011.
- [5] Siegwart R., Nourbakhsh I.R., *Introductions to Autonomous Mobile Robots*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, p.272-274, 2004.
- [6] Halliday D., Resnick R., Walker J., *Podstawy Fizyki*, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN Warszawa, tom 3, p.5-11, 2006.
- [7] Wyrąbkiewicz K., Wykorzystanie interfejsu Ethernet do przesyłania danych pomiarowych między systemami wbudowanymi, *Pomiary Automatyka Kontrola*, vol.59, no.4, p. 333-336, 2013.