# M2**-EDGE COLORINGS OF DENSE GRAPHS**

## Jaroslav Ivančo

#### *Communicated by Mariusz Meszka*

**Abstract.** An edge coloring  $\varphi$  of a graph *G* is called an  $M_i$ -edge coloring if  $|\varphi(v)| \leq i$  for every vertex *v* of *G*, where  $\varphi(v)$  is the set of colors of edges incident with *v*. Let  $\mathcal{K}_i(G)$  denote the maximum number of colors used in an  $M_i$ -edge coloring of  $G$ . In this paper we establish some bounds of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$ , present some graphs achieving the bounds and determine exact values of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  for dense graphs.

**Keywords:** edge coloring, dominating set, dense graphs.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C15.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. If *G* is a graph, then  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  stand for the vertex set and edge set of  $G$ , respectively. The subgraph of a graph *G* induced by  $U \subseteq V(G)$  is denoted by  $G[U]$ . The set of vertices of *G* adjacent to a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ . The cardinality of this set, denoted deg<sub>*G*</sub>(*v*), is called the degree of *v*. As usual  $\Delta(G)$  and  $\delta(G)$  stand for the maximum and minimum degree among vertices of *G*.

An edge coloring of a graph *G* is an assignment of colors to the edges of *G*, one color to each edge. So, any mapping  $\varphi$  from  $E(G)$  onto a non-empty set is an edge coloring of *G*. The set of colors used in an edge coloring  $\varphi$  of *G* is denoted by  $\varphi$ (*G*), i.e.,  $\varphi(G) := {\varphi(e) : e \in E(G)}$ . For any vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $\varphi(v)$  denote the set of colors of edges incident with *v*, i.e.,  $\varphi(v) := {\varphi(vu) : vu \in E(G)}$ . An edge coloring  $\varphi$  of *G* is an  $M_i$ *-edge coloring* if at most *i* colors appears at any vertex of *G*, i.e.,  $|\varphi(v)| \leq i$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . The maximum number of colors used in an  $M_i$ -edge coloring of *G* is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_i(G)$ .

The concept of an  $M_i$ -edge coloring was introduced by J. Czap [2]. In [1] authors establish a tight bound of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  depending on the size of a maximum matching in *G*. In [2] and [3], the exact values of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  for subcubic graphs and complete graphs are

c AGH University of Science and Technology Press, Krakow 2016 603

determined. In [4] it is determined  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  for cacti, trees, graph joins and complete multipartite graphs.

In this paper we establish some bounds of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  and determine exact values of  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  for dense graphs.

#### 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of a graph *G*. For a set  $U \subset V(G)$ , let  $\varphi(U)$  denote the set of colors of edges incident with vertices of *U*. Thus,  $\varphi(U) := \cup_{v \in U} \varphi(v)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let*  $\varphi$  *be an*  $M_2$ -edge coloring of a graph G and let U be a non-empty subset of  $V(G)$ . Then the following statements hold:

(i)  $|\varphi(U)| \leq |U| + c$ , where c denotes the number of connected components of  $G[U]$ , (ii)  $|\{\varphi(e) : e \in E(G[U])\}| = 1$  *whenever*  $|\varphi(U)| = |U| + 1$  *and*  $G[U]$  *is* 2*-connected.* 

*Proof.* First suppose that *G*[*U*] is a connected graph. Denote the vertices of *U* by  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$  in such a way that the set  $X_i := \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i\}$  induces a connected subgraph of *G* for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . As  $G[X_i]$  is connected for  $i \geq 2$ , there is *j*  $(1 \leq j < i)$  such that  $u_i u_j$  is an edge of *G*. Therefore,  $\varphi(u_i u_j) \in \varphi(X_{i-1}) \cap \varphi(u_i)$  and

$$
|\varphi(X_i)| = |\varphi(X_{i-1}) \cup \varphi(u_i)| = |\varphi(X_{i-1})| + |\varphi(u_i)| - |\varphi(X_{i-1}) \cap \varphi(u_i)|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq |\varphi(X_{i-1})| + 2 - 1 = |\varphi(X_{i-1})| + 1.
$$

Clearly,  $|\varphi(X_1)| = |\varphi(u_1)| \leq 2 = |X_1| + 1$ . Thus, by induction we get

$$
|\varphi(X_i)| \le |\varphi(X_{i-1})| + 1 \le (|X_{i-1}| + 1) + 1 = |X_i| + 1
$$

and consequently  $|\varphi(U)| = |\varphi(X_k)| \leq |X_k| + 1 = |U| + 1$ .

If  $G[U]$  is a disconnected graph, then the set  $U$  can be partitioned into disjoint subsets  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_c$  in such a way that  $G[U_i]$  is a connected component of  $G[U]$ for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ . Therefore,

$$
|\varphi(U)| = |\varphi(\cup_{i=1}^{c} U_i)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{c} |\varphi(U_i)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{c} (|U_i| + 1) = |U| + c.
$$

Now suppose that  $G[U]$  is 2-connected and  $|\{\varphi(e): e \in E(G[U])\}| > 1$ . Then there are edges *uw* and *vw* in  $E(G[U])$  such that  $\varphi(uw) \neq \varphi(vw)$ . Therefore,  $\varphi(w) \subseteq$  $\varphi(u) \cup \varphi(v) \subseteq \varphi(U - \{w\})$  and consequently  $\varphi(U) = \varphi(U - \{w\})$ . As *G*[*U*] is 2-connected,  $G[U - \{w\}]$  is connected and by (i)

$$
|\varphi(U - \{w\})| \le |U - \{w\}| + 1 = |U|.
$$

Hence  $|\varphi(U)| \leq |U|$ , which completes the proof.

 $\Box$ 

A *matching* in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges. A matching is *perfect* if every vertex of the graph is incident with exactly one edge of the matching. A *maximum matching* is a matching that contains the largest possible number of edges. The number of edges in a maximum matching of a graph *G* is denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of a graph *G* such that  $\varphi(u) \cap \varphi(v) \neq \emptyset$ *for all*  $u, v \in V(G)$ *. Then either*  $|\varphi(G)| = 3$  *or*  $|\varphi(G)| \leq 1 + \alpha(G)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let *F* be a graph whose vertices are colors of the coloring  $\varphi$  (i.e.,  $V(F) = \varphi(G)$ ) and vertices  $c_1, c_2$  of *F* (colors of  $\varphi$ ) are adjacent whenever there is a vertex  $w \in V(G)$ such that  $\varphi(w) = \{c_1, c_2\}$ . Clearly, any two edges of *F* are adjacent. Therefore, *F* is either a 3-cycle or a star. If *F* is a 3-cycle, then  $|\varphi(G)| = 3$ . If *F* is a star, then there is a color *c* which appears at any vertex of *G*. Let *H* be a graph obtained from *G* by removing all edges of color *c* and also removing all arisen isolated vertices. Evidently, every component of *H* is monochromatic. Thus,  $|\varphi(G)|$  is not greater than the number of components of *H* increased by 1. As each component of *H* has at least one edge, the number of components of *H* is at most  $\alpha(H)$ . The graph *H* is a subgraph of *G* and so  $\alpha(H) \leq \alpha(G)$ . Hence  $|\varphi(G)| \leq 1 + \alpha(G)$ .  $\Box$ 

Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of a graph *G*. A set *S*,  $S \subseteq E(G)$ , is *rainbow* if no two edges of *S* are colored the same. Denote by  $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$  the family of all spanning subgraphs of *G* whose edge sets are maximal rainbow sets. Evidently,  $|E(H)| = |\varphi(G)|$ and  $\Delta(H) \leq 2$  whenever  $H \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of a graph *G*. If  $\delta(G) > 2$  then  $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ *contains an acyclic graph.*

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that any graph belonging to  $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$  contains a cycle. Let  $H \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$  be a graph with the minimum number of cycles. Let C be a cycle of *H* and let *u* be a vertex of *C*. As  $\delta(G) > 2$ ,  $\deg_G(u) \geq 3$ . Let  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  be distinct edges of *G* incident with *u* such that  $\{e_1, e_2\} \subset E(C)$  and  $e_3 \notin E(C)$ . As  ${e_1, e_2}$  ⊂ *E*(*C*) ⊂ *E*(*H*),  $\varphi(e_1) \neq \varphi(e_2)$ . Since  $|\varphi(u)| \leq 2$ , there is  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $\varphi(e_3) = \varphi(e_i)$ . Clearly, if  $e_3 = uv$ , then  $\deg_H(v) < 2$ . Now, it is easy to see that the spanning subgraph of *G* with the edge set  $(E(H) - \{e_i\}) \cup \{e_3\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ and contains less cycles than *H*, a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of a graph G and let U be a non-empty *subset of*  $V(G)$  *such that*  $\varphi(u) \cap \varphi(v) = \emptyset$  *for all distinct vertices*  $u, v \in U$ *. Then* 

$$
|\varphi(G)|\leq |U|+|V(G)|-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{u\in U}\deg_G(u).
$$

*Proof.* As  $\varphi(u) \cap \varphi(v) = \emptyset$  for all distinct vertices  $u, v \in U$ , the set U is an independent set of vertices in *G*. Thus, there is a spanning subgraph *H* of *G* belonging to  $\mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ such that  $\deg_H(u) = |\varphi(u)|$  for each vertex  $u \in U$ . For any non-negative integer *i* put  $N_i := \{w \in V(G) - U : |N_G(w) \cap U| = i\}$ . Since  $|\varphi(w)| \leq 2$  and  $\varphi(u) \cap \varphi(w) \neq \emptyset$ for any edge  $uw \in E(G)$ ,  $N_i = \emptyset$  for every  $i \geq 3$ . Thus the sets *U*,  $N_0$ ,  $N_1$  and *N*<sub>2</sub> form a partition of *V*(*G*). So,  $|V(G)| = |U| + |N_0| + |N_1| + |N_2|$ . Moreover,  $\sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u) = 1 \cdot |N_1| + 2 \cdot |N_2|$ . Combining these equations we get

$$
2|N_0| + |N_1| = 2|V(G)| - 2|U| - \sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u).
$$

Let *H'* be subgraph of *H* induced by  $V(H) - U$ . Then,  $\deg_{H'}(w) \leq 2 - i$  for any vertex  $w \in N_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le 2$ , because  $\varphi(w)$  contains at least *i* colors belonging to  $\varphi(U)$ . Therefore,

$$
2|E(H')| = \sum_{v \in V(H')} \deg_{H'}(v) \le 2 \cdot |N_0| + 1 \cdot |N_1| + 0 \cdot |N_2|
$$
  
= 2|V(G)| - 2|U| -  $\sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u)$ .

Hence

$$
|\varphi(G)| = |E(H)| = \sum_{u \in U} |\varphi(u)| + |E(H')| \le 2|U| + |E(H')|
$$
  
\n
$$
\le 2|U| + (|V(G)| - |U| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u))
$$
  
\n
$$
= |U| + |V(G)| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u),
$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let *G* be a connected graph of order at least 2. If any vertex in  $U \subset V(G)$ *is a cut-vertex of G* then there is an  $M_2$ -edge coloring  $\varphi$  of *G* such that  $|\varphi(G)| = 1 + |U|$ *and*  $|\varphi(v)| = 2$  *if and only if*  $v \in U$ *.* 

*Proof.* Denote by  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$  vertices of the set *U*. Put  $U_0 := \emptyset$  and  $U_i := U_{i-1} \cup \{u_i\}$ , for  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots k\}.$ 

Let  $\varphi_0$  be a mapping from  $E(G)$  to  $\{0\}$ . As  $\varphi_0(e) = 0$ , for every edge  $e \in E(G)$ ,  $|\varphi_0(G)| = 1 = 1 + |U_0|$  and  $|\varphi_0(v)| = 2$  if and only if  $v \in U_0$ .

Now suppose that a mapping  $\varphi_i$  from  $E(G)$  onto  $\{0, 1, \ldots, i\}$  is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* such that  $|\varphi_i(G)| = 1 + |U_i|$  and  $|\varphi_i(v)| = 2$  if and only if  $v \in U_i$ . As  $u_{i+1} \notin U_i$ ,  $|\varphi_i(u_{i+1})| = 1$ . Since  $u_{i+1}$  is a cut-vertex of *G*, the graph  $G - u_{i+1}$  is disconnected. Let *C* be a chosen connected component of  $G - u_{i+1}$  and *H* be a subgraph of *G* induced by *V* (*C*) ∪ {*ui*+1}. Consider a mapping *ϕi*+1 from *E*(*G*) onto {0*,* 1*, . . . , i* + 1} given by

$$
\varphi_{i+1}(e) = \begin{cases} i+1 & \text{if } \varphi_i(e) \in \varphi_i(u_{i+1}) \text{ and } e \in E(H), \\ \varphi_i(e) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Evidently,  $|\varphi_{i+1}(v)| = |\varphi_i(v)|$  for every vertex  $v \in V(G) - \{u_{i+1}\}\text{, and } |\varphi_{i+1}(u_{i+1})| =$ 1 +  $|\varphi_i(u_{i+1})|$ . Therefore,  $\varphi_{i+1}$  is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* such that  $|\varphi_{i+1}(G)|$  =  $1+|U_{i+1}|$  and  $|\varphi_{i+1}(v)|=2$  if and only if  $v \in U_{i+1}$ . Thus, by induction we get a desired coloring.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.6.** Let *G* be a graph with  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ . Then the line graph  $L(G)$  of *G* satisfies

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) \geq |V(G)|.
$$

*Proof.* All edges of *G* incident with a vertex *v* induce a subgraph  $K(v)$  of  $L(G)$ , which is isomorphic to a complete graph of order  $\deg_G(v)$ . Subgraphs  $K(v)$ , for all  $v \in V(G)$ , form a decomposition of  $L(G)$ , where any edge of *G* (i.e., vertex of  $L(G)$ ) belongs to precisely two distinct subgraphs. For every vertex  $v \in V(G)$  color all edges of  $K(v)$ with color  $c_v$ . It is easy to see that this gives an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of  $L(G)$  using  $|V(G)|$  $\Box$ colors, so  $\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) \geq |V(G)|$ .

### 3. BOUNDS

It is easy to see that for disjoint graphs *G* and *H* we have

 $\mathcal{K}_2(G \cup H) = \mathcal{K}_2(G) + \mathcal{K}_2(H)$ .

Therefore, it is sufficient to consider connected graphs.

A *vertex cover* of a graph *G* is a subset *U* of  $V(G)$  such that every edge of *G* is incident with a vertex in *U* and it is said to be *connected* if the subgraph of *G* induced by *U* is connected. The smallest number of vertices in any connected vertex cover of *G* is denoted by  $\beta_c(G)$ . Note that the set  $V(G) - U$  is independent if and only if *U* is a vertex cover of *G*.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let G be a connected graph. Then*  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \leq 1 + \beta_c(G)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Let *U* be a connected vertex cover of a graph *G* such that  $|U| = \beta_c(G)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* which uses  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  colors, i.e.,  $|\varphi(G)| = \mathcal{K}_2(G)$ . Since *U* is a vertex cover of *G*,  $\varphi(G) = \varphi(U)$ , and the desired inequality follows from Lemma 2.1.  $\Box$ 

The following result presents some graphs achieving the bound  $1 + \beta_c(G)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let I be an independent set of a connected graph G satisfying*

- $(i)$   $|V(G) I| \geq 2$ ,
- (ii)  $G[V(G) I]$  *is a connected subgraph of*  $G$ *,*
- (iii) if  $u \in V(G) I$  is not a cut vertex of  $G[V(G) I]$ , then there is a vertex  $v \in I$ *adjacent to u,*
- (iv) deg<sub>*G*</sub>(*v*)  $\leq$  2 *for all*  $v \in I$ *.*

*Then*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G) = 1 + |V(G)| - |I|.
$$

*Proof.* According to (ii),  $V(G) - I$  is a connected vertex cover of *G*, and by Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \leq 1 + |V(G) - I| = 1 + |V(G)| - |I|.$ 

On the other hand, let *U* be a subset of  $V(G) - I$  containing vertices that have no neighbor in *I*. According to Lemma 2.5, there is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring  $\varphi$  of  $G[V(G)-I]$ such that  $|\varphi(G[V(G) - I])| = 1 + |U|$  and  $|\varphi(v)| = 2$  if and only if  $v \in U$ . For every vertex  $w \in V(G) - (I \cup U)$  let  $c_w$  be a new color, i.e.,  $c_w$  does not belong to  $\varphi(G[V(G)-I])$ . The edge coloring  $\psi$  of *G* is defined in the following way

$$
\psi(e) = \begin{cases} \varphi(e) & \text{if } e \in E(G[V(G) - I]), \\ c_w & \text{if } e \notin E(G[V(G) - I]) \text{ and } e \text{ is incident with } w. \end{cases}
$$

 $\Box$ 

It is easy to see that  $\psi$  is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* which uses  $1+|U|+|V(G)-(I\cup U)|=$  $1 + |V(G)| - |I|$  colors, i.e.,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \geq 1 + |V(G)| - |I|.$  $\Box$ 

Let  $V_i(G)$  denote the set of vertices of degree *j* in *G*.

If *T* is a tree different from a star, then the set  $V_1(T)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2. Thus, we immediately have the following assertion (the assertion is evident for stars).

**Corollary 3.3.** *If T is a tree on at least two vertices, then*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(T) = 1 + |V(T)| - |V_1(T)|.
$$

Similarly,  $V_2(O)$  is an independent set of a maximal outerplanar graph  $O$  on at least four vertices. So, we have

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let O be a maximal outerplanar graph on at least four vertices. If every vertex of degree at least* 3 *is adjacent to a vertex of degree* 2*, then*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(O) = 1 + |V(O)| - |V_2(O)|.
$$

One can see that a maximal outerplanar graph in the previous result may be replaced by a maximal 2-degenerate graph (including a 2-tree).

We are also able to prove the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let G be a Hamiltonian graph with*  $\Delta(G) \leq 3$ *. Then the line graph L*(*G*) *of G satisfies*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) = |V(G)|.
$$

*Proof.* If  $\Delta(G) < 3$ , then *G* is a cycle and  $L(G)$  is isomorphic to *G*. Clearly, the claim holds in this case. So, we will suppose that  $\Delta(G) = 3$ .

Let *C* be a Hamilton cycle of *G*. Set  $U = E(C)$  and  $I = E(G) - U$ . Evidently,  $|U| = |V(G)|$ ,  $1 \leq |I| \leq |V(G)|/2$  and the vertex set of  $L(G)$  is partitioned into disjoint non-empty subsets  $U$  and  $I$ . Let  $H$  be a subgraph of  $L(G)$  induced by  $U$ . As *H* is a cycle and *I* is an independent set of vertices in  $L(G)$  (a matching of *G*), *U* is a connected vertex cover of  $L(G)$ . According to Theorem 3.1,  $\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) \leq 1 + |U|$ .

Suppose that there is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring  $\varphi$  of  $L(G)$  which uses  $1 + |U|$  colors. In this case,  $\varphi(U) = 1 + |U|$  and the subgraph *H* of *L*(*G*) induced by *U* is 2-connected. By Lemma 2.1, all edges of *H* have the same color *c*. Since any edge of *L*(*G*) not belonging to *H* is incident with a vertex of *I*,  $|\varphi(I)| \geq |U|$ . As  $\varphi$  is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring and  $|I| \leq |V(G)|/2 = |U|/2$ ,  $|\varphi(x)| = 2$  for each  $x \in I$ ,  $c \notin \varphi(I)$ ,  $\varphi(x) \cap \varphi(y) = \emptyset$ for  $x \neq y$ ,  $\{x, y\} \subset I$ , and  $|I| = |V(G)|/2$  (i.e., *G* is regular of degree 3). Let *e* be an edge of  $C$  (an element of  $U$ ) and let  $e_1, e_2$  be distinct edges of  $I$  adjacent to  $e$ . Clearly, *e* and  $e_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , are adjacent vertices of  $L(G)$  and so  $\varphi(e) \cap \varphi(e_1) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\varphi(e) \cap \varphi(e_2) \neq \emptyset$ , and moreover the color *c* belongs to  $\varphi(e)$ . This implies  $|\varphi(e)| \geq 3$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) \leq |U| = |V(G)|$ .

The opposite inequality follows from Lemma 2.6.

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is called *dominating* in *G*, if for each  $v \in V(G) - D$  there exists a vertex  $u \in D$  adjacent to *v*.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let D be a dominating set of a graph G. If c denotes the number of connected components of G*[*D*]*, then*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G) \le c + |D| + \alpha(G[V(G) - D]).
$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* which uses  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$  colors, i.e.,  $|\varphi(G)| = \mathcal{K}_2(G)$ . Let *H* be a graph obtained from *G* by removing all edges of colors belonging to  $\varphi(D)$ and also removing all arisen isolated vertices. Evidently, every component of *H* is monochromatic. Thus, the number of components of *H* increased by  $|\varphi(D)|$  is equal to  $\mathcal{K}_2(G)$ . As each component of *H* has at least one edge, the number of components of *H* is at most  $\alpha(H)$ . Since *H* is a subgraph of  $G[V(G) - D], \alpha(H) \leq \alpha(G[V(G) - D]).$ Therefore,

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G) \le |\varphi(D)| + \alpha(H) \le |\varphi(D)| + \alpha(G[V(G)-D]).
$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $|\varphi(D)| \leq c + |D|$  and the desired inequality follows.

Let  $G \cup H$  denote the disjoint union of graphs  $G$  and  $H$ . Let  $h$  be a mapping from *V*(*H*) to *V*(*G*). By  $G \cup_h H$  we denote the graph  $G \cup H$  together with all edges joining each vertex  $u \in V(H)$  and  $h(u) \in V(G)$ .

Let *G* be a graph of order *n*. Let *nH* denote the disjoint union of *n* copies of a graph *H*. If  $h: V(nH) \to V(G)$  is a mapping such that the image of any vertex of *i*th copy of *H* is the *i*th vertex of *G*, then  $G \cup_h nH$  is called a *corona* of *G* with *H* and it is denoted by  $G \odot H$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let G be a graph without isolated vertices. Let h be a surjective mapping from the vertex set of a graph*  $H$  *onto*  $V(G)$  *such that there exists a maximum matching M* of *H satisfying:*  $h(u) = h(v)$  *for every edge*  $uv \in E(H) - M$ *. If c* denotes *the number of connected components of G, then*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G \cup_h H) = c + |V(G)| + \alpha(H).
$$

*Proof.* Clearly,  $V(G)$  is a dominating set of  $G \cup_h H$ . According to Theorem 3.6,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G \cup_h H) \leq c + |V(G)| + \alpha(H).$ 

On the other hand, let  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_c$  be connected components of  $G$ . For every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $H_v$  be a subgraph of *H* induced by  $\{u \in V(H) : h(u) = v\}.$ Consider an edge coloring  $\psi$  of  $G \cup_h H$  defined by

$$
\psi(e) = \begin{cases} i & \text{if } e \in E(G_i), \\ e & \text{if } e \in M, \\ v & \text{if } e \text{ is incident with a vertex of } H_v \text{ and } e \notin M. \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that  $\psi$  is an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of  $G \cup_h H$  which uses  $c + \alpha(H) + |V(G)|$ colors, i.e.,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G \cup_h H) \geq c + |V(G)| + \alpha(H)$ .  $\Box$ 

The corona of a connected graph *G* on at least two vertices with a non-empty graph *H* satisfies conditions of Theorem 3.7, therefore, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let G be a connected graph on at least two vertices. For any non-empty graph H, the corona of G with H satisfies*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G \odot H) = 1 + |V(G)|\big(1 + \alpha(H)\big).
$$

The *Cartesian product*  $G_1 \square G_2$  of graphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  is a graph whose vertices are all ordered pairs  $[v_1, v_2]$ , where  $v_1 \in V(G_1)$ ,  $v_2 \in V(G_2)$ , and two vertices  $[v_1, v_2]$ ,  $[u_1, u_2]$ are joined by an edge in  $G_1 \square G_2$  if and only if either  $v_1 = u_1$  and  $v_2$ ,  $u_2$  are adjacent in  $G_2$ , or  $v_1$ ,  $u_1$  are adjacent in  $G_1$  and  $v_2 = u_2$ .

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let G be a graph with*  $\Delta(G) = |V(G)| - 1$ *. If*  $|V(G)| > 1$  *then* 

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G \square K_2) = 2 + |V(G)|.
$$

*Proof.* Let *u* be a saturated vertex of a graph *G* (i.e., deg<sub>*G*</sub>(*u*) = |*V*(*G*)|−1). Let *v*<sub>1</sub> and  $v_2$  be vertices of  $K_2$ . Denote by  $G^*$  the subgraph of  $G\Box K_2$  induced by  $\{[u, v_1], [u, v_2]\}.$ Similarly, the subgraph of  $G\Box K_2$  induced by  $V(G\Box K_2) - \{[u, v_1], [u, v_2]\}$  denote by *H*. Evidently,  $G^*$  is isomorphic to  $K_2$  and  $\alpha(H) = |V(G)| - 1$ . Let *h* be a mapping from  $V(H)$  onto  $V(G^*)$  given by  $h([w, v_i]) = [u, v_i], i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Clearly, the graph  $G^* \cup_h H$  is isomorphic to  $G \square K_2$ . As  $G^* \cup_h H$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.7, we immediately get the assertion.  $\Box$ 

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \leq |V(G)|$ . For graphs with  $\delta(G) > 2$  we can establish the better bound.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let G be a graph with*  $\delta(G) \geq 3$ *. Then* 

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{2}{\delta(G)} \left( 1 + \left\lceil \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rceil \right) \right\rceil + \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor - 1.
$$

*Proof.* Suppose that *G* is a counterexample of order *n*. Then there is an  $M_2$ -edge coloring  $\varphi$  of *G* using  $k + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  colors, where  $k = \lfloor 2(1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil)/\delta(G) \rfloor$ . According to Lemma 2.3, there is an acyclic graph  $H \in \mathcal{R}(\varphi)$ . Then

$$
2|E(H)| = 2|V_2(H)| + |V_1(H)| \le 2|V_2(H)| + (n - |V_2(H)|) = |V_2(H)| + n.
$$

Therefore

$$
|V_2(H)| \ge 2|E(H)| - n = 2(k + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) - n \ge 2k - 1.
$$

As  $\Delta(H) \leq 2$  and *H* is acyclic, any component of *H* is a path. Thus, there is an independent set *U* of *H* such that  $U \subset V_2(H)$  and  $|U| = k$ . Since  $E(H)$  is a rainbow set of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi(u) \cap \varphi(v) = \emptyset$  for all distinct vertices  $u, v \in U$ . By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
|\varphi(G)| \leq |U| + n - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in U} \deg_G(u) \leq k + n - \frac{1}{2} k \delta(G).
$$

As  $k = [2(1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil)/\delta(G)]$ ,  $k\delta(G) \ge 2(1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil)$  and

$$
|\varphi(G)| \le k + n - (1 + \lceil n/2 \rceil) \le k + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1,
$$

a contradiction.

Some line graphs achieve the bound established in previous theorem.

**Corollary 3.11.** Let G be a bipartite graph with parts A and B such that  $\deg_G(u)$  $p \geq 3$ *, for every vertex*  $u \in A$ *, and*  $\deg_G(v) = 2$ *, for every vertex*  $v \in B$  *except for a* vertex  $w \in B$  when  $\deg_G(w) = 3$  *if*  $p|A|$  *is odd. Then the line graph*  $L(G)$  *of G satisfies*

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) = |V(G)|.
$$

*Proof.* Set  $t = |A|$ . Then  $|V(L(G))| = |E(G)| = pt$  and  $|B| = |E(G)|/2 = |pt/2|$ . As  $\delta(L(G)) = p$  and  $\lceil 2(1 + \lceil pt/2 \rceil)/p \rceil = t + 1$ , according to Theorem 3.10, we have

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(L(G)) \le t + \lfloor pt/2 \rfloor = |A| + |B| = |V(G)|.
$$

The opposite inequality follows from Lemma 2.6.

For dense graphs we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let G be a graph with*  $\delta(G) > \left[\frac{|V(G)|}{2}\right]$  $\left[\frac{(G)}{2}\right]$ . Then

$$
\mathcal{K}_2(G) = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor.
$$

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.10,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \leq 1 + ||V(G)||/2$ .

On the other hand, *G* is Hamiltonian because  $\delta(G) > |V(G)|/2$ . Thus,  $\alpha(G) =$  $|V(G)|/2$ . Let *M* be a maximum matching of *G*. Color all edges of  $E(G) - M$ with one color and every edge of *M* with a different color. It is easy to see that this gives an M<sub>2</sub>-edge coloring of *G* using  $1 + |M|$  colors. Therefore,  $\mathcal{K}_2(G) \geq 1 + |M|$  $1+$ |*V*(*G*)|/2|, as required.  $\Box$ 

#### **Acknowledgments**

*This work was supported by the Slovak VEGA Grant 1/0652/12.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. Budajová, J. Czap, M2*-edge coloring and maximum matching of graphs*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **88** (2013), 161–167.
- [2] J. Czap, M*i-edge colorings of graphs*, Appl. Math. Sci. **5** (2011), 2437–2442.
- [3] J. Czap, *A note on* M2*-edge colorings of graphs*, Opuscula Math. **35** (2015), 287–291.
- [4] J. Czap, J. Ivančo, P. Šugerek, M2*-edge colorings of cacti and graph joins*, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory **36** (2016), 59–69.

Jaroslav Ivančo jaroslav.ivanco@upjs.sk

Institute of Mathematics P. J. Šafárik University Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

*Received: June 19, 2015. Revised: February 24, 2016. Accepted: February 24, 2016.*