Bronisław Tomczuk, Dariusz Koteras
Opole University of Technology, Dept. of Industrial Electrical Engineering

CALCULATION OF EDDY CURRENT LOSSES USING THE ELECTRODYNAMIC SIMILARITY LAWS

OBLICZANIE STRAT WIROPRĄDOWYCH Z WYKORZYSTANIEM PRAW PODOBIEŃSTWA ELKTRODYNAMICZNEGO

Abstract: The results of the eddy currents losses calculations with using electrodynamics scaling were presented in this paper. Scaling rules were used for obtain the same values of the eddy currents losses. For the calculations Finite Element Method was used. Numerical calculations were verified by measurements and a good agreement was obtained.

Streszczenie: W artykule przedstawiono wyniki obliczeń strat wiroprądowych z wykorzystaniem skalowania elektrodynamicznego. W modelowaniu matematycznym wykorzystano zasady skalowania obiektów w celu otrzymania jednakowych strat. Do obliczeń zastosowano Metodę Elementów Skończonych. Obliczenia zostały zweryfikowane pomiarowo na obiekcie rzeczywistym i otrzymano dobrą zgodność obliczeń z pomiarami.

Słowa kluczowe: analiza polowa, skalowanie elektrodynamiczne, straty wiroprądowe
Keywords: field analysis, electrodynamics scaling, eddy current losses

1. Introduction

Big dimensions of the electrical devices (for example power transformers) in present days cause difficulties in measurement verification of their field analysis. On the other side, many electrical appliances have small dimensions, so that the testing probes cannot be placed inside of their construction. Taking into account the difficulties, one wants to build the scaled physical models. The manufactured scaled physical objects are building with similarity rules. Their testing allows to determine the full scaled object characteristics [3]. The similarity rule depends on quantity, which must be hold for scaled and original model, as well. In our work we have modeled the eddy current losses in the magnetic core. Therefore the same value of the scaled model eddy current losses should govern the losses of the original object.

2. Similarity rules used in this work

2.1 General assumptions

$$
\begin{cases}
\nabla_{org} \times \vec{H}_{org} = \gamma_{org} \vec{E}_{org} + \dfrac{\partial \vec{D}_{org}}{\partial t_{org}} \\[3mm]
\nabla_{org} \times \vec{E}_{org} = -\dfrac{\partial \vec{B}_{org}}{\partial t_{org}}
\end{cases}
\tag{1}
$$

The quantities in Maxwell's equations which describe the electromagnetic fields for the original, have subscript "org" in the paper. Similarly to the equations above the quantities concern the scaled models have subscript "scl". A scale factor, as a ratio of a scale-model quantity (X_{scl}) to the original quantity (X_{or}), has been defined in this work for. The electromagnetic scale factors, for the most important quantities are given in Eq. 2.

$$
m_H = \frac{\vec{H}_{scl}}{\vec{H}_{org}}, m_E = \frac{\vec{E}_{scl}}{\vec{E}_{org}},
$$

$$
m_f = \frac{f_{scl}}{f_{org}} = \frac{t_{scl}}{t_{org}}, m_\gamma = \frac{\gamma_{scl}}{\gamma_{org}},
\tag{2}
$$

$$
m_\mu = \frac{\mu_{scl}}{\mu_{org}}, m_\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_{scl}}{\varepsilon_{org}}, m_l = \frac{l_{scl}}{l_{org}}
$$

Identity of the Maxwell's equations for original and scaled model [2, 3, 5] arises the expressions 3a and 3b.

$$
m_f m_\gamma m_\mu m_l^2 = 1 \tag{3a}
$$

$$
m_\varepsilon m_\mu m_f m_l^2 = 1 \tag{3b}
$$

For materials with linear characteristics, which have been assumed in this work, we obtain the expression below.

$$
m_\gamma = m_\varepsilon = m_\mu = 1 \tag{4}
$$

Thus, the magnetic field strength $\bar{H}$ and the current density $\bar{j}$ can be scaled

$$\frac{m_J m_l}{m_H} = \frac{m_I}{m_H m_l} = 1 \qquad (5)$$

2.2 The eddy current losses balance

Losses which are generating inside the conductors and magnetically soft iron elements influence heating of all electromagnetic devices. Thus, the computer aided design (CAD) should allow us to calculate the losses as exactly as possible. Using the field analysis, we have computed the losses in original magnetic circuit, and inside its scaled duplicate. We have determined the similarity rules to define scaled object eddy currents losses.

In this paper the conductivity and magnetic permeability factors $m_\gamma = m_\mu = 1$ were assumed. Based on the expression (3a) the frequency scale-factor can be given as $m_f = 1/m_l^2$. Thus, the relationship, between scale factors for magnetic field strength m_H and geometric dimensions m_l, is equal to

$$m_H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_l}} \qquad (6)$$

In our mathematical models we assumed the same number of turns N in the original and scaled model. Thus, the values of the excitation currents for scaled models is given

$$I_{scl} = m_H m_l I_{org} = \sqrt{m_l} I_{org} \qquad (7)$$

## 3. Analysed object and its mathematical model

3.1 Analysed object

We have studied the modular construction of the amorphous, 1-phase transformer. Outline and cross-section along YZ plane of the analysed object are presented in figures 1a) and 1b). Moreover, in this figures the main dimensions and Cartesian system are given.

Owing to modular construction of the amorphous transformer, we determined the eddy current losses in the toroidal solid element. It has been placed in the magnetic circuit as one part of its core. The core part was made from casting steel and situated in the right side leg of the amorphous magnetic circuit (Fig. 1b). Eddy current losses inside the solid toroid are several times higher than the ones inside the

laminated amorphous toroid which create a part of the magnetic leg. Due to the solid part, the magnetic flux density in all magnetic circuit is relatively low. Thus, the circuit can be assumed as magnetically linear. We assumed the relative permeability of the magnetic parts from the amorphous ribbon: $\mu_r = 20173$ (for the yokes) and $\mu_r = 2067$ (for the legs). Because the flux in the legs is perpendicular to wounding direction of the amorphous ribbon, the small permeability value is assumed. For the solid hollow cylinder, made from casting steel, the relative permeability $\mu_r = 150$ is assumed, and electrical conductivity $\gamma = 2.5 \cdot 10^6$ S/m have been included.

a)

b)

Fig. 1. Amorphous 1-phase transformer (original)
  a) *Outline of the transformer*
  b) *Cross-section along YZ plane.*

The excitation winding has been wounded with N=116 turns and placed on the laminated (left) leg, Fig. 1b.

3.2 Mathematical model

For numerical calculations the Finite Element Method (FEM) has been implemented in module Elektra of the commercial package OPERA 3D [1]. This module enables us to analyse the electromagnetic fields taking into account the effects of eddy currents. It can be done using total $\vec{A}_t$ and reduced $\vec{A}_r$ magnetic vector potentials [1, 4].

$$\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}_t \qquad (8)$$

$$\vec{B} - \mu_0 \vec{H}_S = \nabla \times \vec{A}_r \qquad (9)$$

In our mathematical models, we assumed several values of rms values for the sinusoidal waves of the excitation current: (1.42 to 9.50)A. The frequency of the supplying signal was assumed to be f=50 Hz. Due to significant current losses inside the steel solid toroid the losses in the amorphous parts, can be neglected. Moreover, in our calculations we neglected the losses appeared in the excitation coil. It can be possible due to small cross section of its wires.

4. Calculation results and measurement verification

In this paper two variants of the field analysis have been carried out. The first variant W_1 concerns the model two times smaller than the original object (m_l=0.5). The second variant W_2 relates to model which is two times bigger (m_l=2) than the original. The assumed frequency for W_1 variant was f_{scl}=200 Hz. Thus, the scale factor for the frequency amounts m_f=4. The rms values of the excitation current, has be changed (I_{scl}= 1.01÷6.74)A for considered cases. In W_2 model the frequency f_{scl}=12.5 Hz and its scale factor (m_f=0.25) were arranged. Using Eq. 7 the rms current values for sinusoidal waves were changed from I_{scl}= 2.0 to I_{scl}= 13.3A, for the modeled objects.

a)

b)

c)

Fig. 2. Eddy current density distributions on the solid toroid surface and magnetic flux density on plane YZ for excited current (original object) I_{org}=5.25 A

    a)   original model
    b)   model with scaled factor m_l=0.5
    c)   model with scaled factor m_l =2

In figures 2a÷2c the eddy current densities distributions on the surface of the casting steel i.e. solid toroid, for both original and scaled (two variants) numerical models, are presented. The calculations have been carried out for rms value of the excitation current I_{org}=5.25 A. The presented distributions are similar to each other. Despite different dimensions and excitation current frequencies, the magnetic fields inside the analyzed magnetic circuits are not significantly different. For original object the maximal value of the eddy current density is slightly lower than 3 MA/m^2, whereas for the scaled model with dimension factor m_l=0.5 (variant W$_1$) the maximum values reach almost 8 MA/m^2. In the case of two times bigger object (m_l=2), this value is about 1.28 MA/m^2.

Eddy currents significantly influence the core losses, naturally. In this paper the losses of the solid steel toroid were calculated using the expression below

$$P_{ed} = \int_V \left(\frac{\vec{J}^2}{\gamma} \right) dV \qquad (10)$$

Calculated values of the eddy current losses for different excitations in both scaled variants and original object are placed in the table 1.

Table 1. Calculated values of the eddy current losses inside the solid toroid

I_{org}	Calculations		
	m_l=0.5	original	m_l=2
[A]	[W]	[W]	[W]
1.42	5.44	5.85	6.00
3.06	24.90	27.29	28.00
5.25	73.05	80.07	82.16
7.23	138.40	151.70	155.66
9.50	239.2	262.56	269.42

The relative differences between obtained values for both scaled (variants W$_1$ and W$_2$) and original models are lower than 10 %. Thus the calculations carried out in this work confirm correctness of the scaling rules. In Fig. 3 the calculated and measured values of the core losses inside of the solid toroid, for original and scaled objects, were given. As we can see, the presented values for the two objects come to near.


Fig. 3. The calculated and measured values of the eddy current losses inside the solid toroid for the original and scaled objects.

5. Conclusions

In this paper the eddy current losses inside the solid toroid, placed in the modular 1-phase magnetic circuit from amorphous ribbon, were calculated. The electromagnetic similarity rules have been analysed and used. The similarity rules were assumed to hold the same values of the eddy current losses. Objects for two scaled factors m_l=0.5 and m_l=2 have been modeled.

Obtained values of the losses (table 1) confirm the correctness of the similarity rules. Thus, by combining the scaled physical model and the mathematical field problem solution, the designer can create, without expensive prototyping, the accurate geometry of an electromagnetic device.

6. References

[1] OPERA *Manager User Guide Version 13*, Cobham Technical Services, Oxford, England, 2009.

[2] Zakrzewski K., Tomczuk B., Waindok A., *Nonlinear scaled models in 3-d calculation of transformer magnetic circuits*, XVIII Symposium Electromagnetic Phenomena in Nonlinear Circuits, Poznan, Poland, 28-30 VI, 2004, pp. 31-32.

[3] Turowski J., *Elektrodynamika Techniczna,* WNT Warszawa 1993r.

[4] Xu E.X., Simkin J., *Total/Reduced magnetic vector potential and electrical scalar potential for eddy current calculation*, Compumag'03, Saratoga Springs, 13-17 VII 2003, pp. I / 8 – 9.

[5] Zakrzewski K., *Modelowanie pól elektromagnetycznych w projektowaniu transformatorów*, Przegląd Elektrotechniczny, nr 3, 2002, s. 59-63.

7. Acknowledgements

8. Authors

Prof. Bronislaw Tomczuk, (Ph.D., D.Sc.), Department of Electrical Engineering, Opole University of Technology, ul. Proszkowska 76, 45-758 Opole.

PhD Eng. Dariusz Koteras, as above.

B. Tomczuk was born in Poland in 1953. He received his PhD and DSc degrees from the Technical University of Lodz (Poland) in 1985 and 1995, respectively. Prior to that, he received the MSc degree in Electrical Engineering with honours in 1977. Since 1978, he has been on the staff of the Technical University of Opole, Poland. From 1996, he has been within the professor staff of Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Automatic Control and Informatics. He obtained his full professor title in 2007. At present, he is the Head of the Department of Industrial Electrical Engineering at the Opole University of Technology.

D. Koteras was born in Swidnica, Poland in 1972. He received the MS and PhD degrees in Electrical Engineering from Opole University of Technology in 1998 and 2006, respectively. Since 1998, he has been employed at the university. Presently, he is an adjunct.

Reviewer

Prof. dr hab. inż. Wojciech Szeląg