

## BETTER VERSUS LONGER SERIES OF HEADS AND TAILS

Ireneusz Krech

*Institute of Mathematics, Pedagogical University of Cracow  
ul. Podchorążych 2, PL-30-084 Cracow, Poland  
e-mail: irekre@tlen.pl*

**Abstract.** This article considers a part of games theory by Penney. We intuitively believe that a shorter series is always a better one. We will prove that this is not always so, and a longer series may happen to be better (see also [1]). In the case of Penney's game, in which players can choose their series, the proved theorems can be a part of a player's game strategy.

**Definition 1.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Each result of the  $k$ -fold variation of the  $\{H, T\}$  set, which is a result of the  $k$ -fold coin toss, is called *a series of heads and tails*. We mark its length as  $|\alpha|$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be series of heads and tails. We can say that *the series  $\alpha$  is not included in the series  $\beta$*  if it is not the subsequence of the successive elements of the series  $\beta$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be series of heads and tails. Let the series  $\alpha$  be  $k$  long and the series  $\beta$  be  $l$  long. Let us also assume that the series  $\alpha$  is not included in the  $\beta$  one. We repeat a coin toss so long that we get  $k$  last results forming the series  $\alpha$  or  $l$  last results forming the series  $\beta$ . We call this experiment *waiting for one of the two stated series of results* and mark it as  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  (see [3], pp. 406–415).

Let us consider a game of two players,  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\beta$ . In the game they conduct the experiment  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ . If the waiting finishes with the series  $\alpha$  – the

player  $G_\alpha$  wins, and if it finishes with the series  $\beta$  – the player  $G_\beta$  wins. We shall call this game *the Penney game*<sup>1</sup> and mark it as  $g_{\alpha-\beta}$ .

Let us consider the waiting of  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ . The sequence  $\omega$  having its elements from the set  $\{H, T\}$  is a result of the experiment  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  if it fulfills the following conditions:

- the subsequence of  $k$  last results forms the series  $\alpha$  or the subsequence of  $l$  last results forms the series  $\beta$ , and
- no subsequence of  $k$  or  $l$  successive results forms the series  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ .

We mark the set of all such sequences (results of the experiment  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ ) as  $\Omega_{\alpha-\beta}$ .

If the result  $\omega$  of the experiment  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  is an  $n$ -element sequence, it is a specific result of an  $n$ -fold coin toss. Its probability equals  $(\frac{1}{2})^n$ .

Let  $p_{\alpha-\beta}$  be a function of  $\omega$ ,

$$p_{\alpha-\beta}(\omega) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{|\omega|} \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega_{\alpha-\beta},$$

and  $|\omega|$  be the  $\omega$  sequence length (the number of elements). This function is the distribution of probability in the set  $\Omega_{\alpha-\beta}$ , and the pair  $(\Omega_{\alpha-\beta}, p_{\alpha-\beta})$  is a probabilistic model of the waiting  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ .

Let us state two opposite events in the space  $(\Omega_{\alpha-\beta}, p_{\alpha-\beta})$ :

$$A = \{\text{the waiting } \delta_{\alpha-\beta} \text{ gives the series } \alpha \text{ at the end}\},$$

$$B = \{\text{the waiting } \delta_{\alpha-\beta} \text{ gives the series } \beta \text{ at the end}\}.$$

**Definition 4.** If  $P(A) = P(B)$ , we say that *the series  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equally good* and mark them as  $\alpha \approx \beta$ .

**Definition 5.** If  $P(A) > P(B)$ , we say that *the series  $\alpha$  is better than the series  $\beta$*  and mark them as  $\alpha \gg \beta$ .

In the game  $g_{\alpha-\beta}$  we conduct the experiment  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$ . If the event  $A$  occurs, the player  $G_\alpha$  wins. If the experiment ends with the event  $B$ , the game winner is the player  $G_\beta$ . Stating the probability of the events  $A$  and  $B$ , we can also determine the fairness of the Penney game. If the series  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are equally good, the players have equal chance to win. The game  $g_{\alpha-\beta}$  is fair. If one of the series is better than the other, the players chances to win are not equal and the game is not fair.

---

<sup>1</sup>Proposed by Walter Penney, see [2].

Let  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  be waiting for one of two series of heads and tails and  $k$  and  $l$  be the lengths of series  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Let  $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \min\{k, l\}\}$ ,  $\alpha^{(m)}$ ,  $\beta^{(m)}$  mean sequences of first  $m$  elements of series  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, and  $\alpha_{(m)}$ ,  $\beta_{(m)}$  mean last  $m$  elements of the series  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively. Let us define the sets

$$A_\alpha = \{m : \alpha_{(m)} = \alpha^{(m)}\}, \quad A_\beta = \{m : \alpha_{(m)} = \beta^{(m)}\},$$

$$B_\beta = \{m : \beta_{(m)} = \beta^{(m)}\}, \quad B_\alpha = \{m : \beta_{(m)} = \alpha^{(m)}\},$$

and the following sums

$$\alpha : \alpha = \sum_{j \in A_\alpha} 2^j, \quad \alpha : \beta = \sum_{j \in A_\beta} 2^j,$$

$$\beta : \beta = \sum_{j \in B_\beta} 2^j, \quad \beta : \alpha = \sum_{j \in B_\alpha} 2^j.$$

**Theorem 1.** *In the probabilistic space of  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  the equation*

$$\frac{P(B)}{P(A)} = \frac{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta}{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha},$$

*called the Conway equation, is true<sup>2</sup>.*

**Remark 1.** *From the preceding equation, we can tell that if*

$$\mu := \frac{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta}{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha},$$

*then*

$$\mu > 1 \Leftrightarrow \beta \gg \alpha,$$

$$\mu = 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha \approx \beta,$$

$$\mu < 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha \gg \beta.$$

**Example 1.** Let  $\alpha = HTHTHT$  and  $\beta = HHTHTH$ . Let us notice that  $\alpha_{(1)} = T \neq H = \alpha^{(1)}$ , so  $1 \notin A_\alpha$ . Analogously

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{HTHTHT} \\ \mathbf{HTHTHT} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 2 \in A_\alpha, \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{HTHTHT} \\ \mathbf{HTHTHT} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 3 \notin A_\alpha,$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{HTHTHT} \\ \mathbf{HTHTHT} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 4 \in A_\alpha, \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{HTHTHT} \\ \mathbf{HTHTHT} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 5 \notin A_\alpha,$$

<sup>2</sup>Discovered by John Horton Conway; the proof of its correctness is shown in [4].

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{HTHTHT} \\ \mathbf{HTHTHT} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow 6 \in A_\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$A_\alpha = \{2, 4, 6\},$$

so

$$\alpha : \alpha = 2^2 + 2^4 + 2^6 = 84.$$

In the same way, we come to the following:

$$\alpha : \beta = 0, \quad \beta : \beta = 66, \quad \beta : \alpha = 42,$$

so

$$\frac{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta}{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha} = \frac{84 - 0}{66 - 42} = \frac{21}{6} > 1.$$

Therefore  $HHTHTH \gg HTHTHT$ , and this means that  $g_{HTHTHT-HHTHTH}$  is not a fair one.

Let  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  be waiting for one of the series  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ . Let us assume that  $|\alpha| > |\beta|$ . Intuitively we can presume that the series  $\beta$ , being shorter than the series  $\alpha$ , is a better one.

Let us consider two series:  $\alpha = HHTT\dots TT$  and  $\beta = TT\dots TT$ . The series are such that  $|\alpha| = |\beta| + 1 = k + 1$ , where  $k \geq 2$ . In this case

$$\alpha : \alpha = 2^{k+1}, \quad \alpha : \beta = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^j,$$

$$\beta : \beta = \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j, \quad \beta : \alpha = 0.$$

From the Conway equation we know that

$$\frac{P(A)}{P(B)} = \frac{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha}{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k 2^j}{2^{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^j}.$$

Let us notice that  $\sum_{j=1}^n 2^j$  is a sum of first  $n$  elements of the geometrical sequence which has the first element 2 and the quotient 2, so

$$\sum_{j=1}^n 2^j = 2 \frac{1-2^n}{1-2} = 2^{n+1} - 2. \quad (1)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^k 2^j}{2^{k+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} 2^j} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^k - 2}{2 \cdot 2^k - 2^k + 2} = \frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^k}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^k}} > \frac{1}{2},$$

and

$$\frac{P(A)}{P(B)} > 2,$$

so  $\alpha \gg \beta$  even if the series  $\alpha$  is longer than the  $\beta$  one.

If we narrow our consideration to pairs of series that differ by more than one element in length, we can easily see that the shorter series is a better one.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\delta_{\alpha-\beta}$  be waiting for one of the  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  series of heads and tails which lengths fulfill the condition  $|\alpha| \geq |\beta| + 2$ . Then the series  $\beta$  is better than the series  $\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be series of heads and tails and  $|\alpha| = k$ ,  $|\beta| = l$ . Let  $m \geq 2$  be such a number that  $k = l + m$ . As the series cannot include each other, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{k\} \subset A_\alpha \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}, & \quad A_\beta \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots, l-1\}, \\ \{k\} \subset B_\beta \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots, l\}, & \quad B_\alpha \subset \{1, 2, 3, \dots, l-1\}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads us to the following approximations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^k \leq \alpha : \alpha &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2^j, & 0 \leq \alpha : \beta &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^j \\ 2^l \leq \beta : \beta &\leq \sum_{j=1}^l 2^j, & 0 \leq \alpha : \beta &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha}{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta} \leq \frac{\sum_{j=1}^l 2^j - 0}{2^k - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^j}.$$

From (1) we get

$$\frac{\sum_{j=1}^l 2^j}{2^k - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} 2^j} = \frac{2 \cdot 2^l - 2}{2^{m+l} - (2^l - 2)} < \frac{2 \cdot 2^l}{2^m \cdot 2^l - (2^l - 2)} = \frac{2}{2^m - (1 - \frac{2}{2^l})} < \frac{2}{4 - 1},$$

therefore

$$\frac{\beta : \beta - \beta : \alpha}{\alpha : \alpha - \alpha : \beta} < 1.$$

Considering the remark 1, we get  $\beta \gg \alpha$ .

## References

- [1] I. Krech, P. Tlustý. Waiting time for series of successes and failures and fairness of random games. *Scientific Issues, Catholic University in Ružomberok, Mathematica*, **II**, 151–154, 2009.
- [2] W. F. Penney. Problem 95: Penney-Ante. *Journal of Recreational Mathematics*, **7**(4), 321, 1974.
- [3] A. Płocki. *Stochastyka dla nauczyczyela*, Wydawnictwo Naukowe NOVUM, Płock 2007.
- [4] R. L. Shuo-Yen. A martingale approach to the study of occurrence of sequence patterns in repeated experiments. *Annals of Probability*, **8**(6), 1171–1176, 1980.