

Received 23.06.2020
Reviewed 18.08.2020
Accepted 16.09.2020

Analysis of the surface water quality in the Szreniawa River catchment area

Agnieszka W. KOWALCZYK¹✉, Marek KOPACZ²¹ Institute of Technology and Life Sciences, Małopolski Research Centre in Krakow, Ulanów 21B, 31-450 Kraków, Poland² AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Mining Surveying and Environmental Engineering, Department of Environmental Management and Protection, Kraków, Poland**For citation:** Kowalczyk A.W., Kopacz M. 2020. Analysis of the surface water quality in the Szreniawa River catchment area. *Journal of Water and Land Development*. No. 47 (X–XII) p. 105–112. DOI: 10.24425/jwld.2020.135037.

Abstract

The intensive agricultural use of the land affects both quantity and quality of river water in the catchment area. Such impact is visible also in the Szreniawa River catchment in the Małopolskie Voivodeship. The combination of intensive plowing and soil susceptibility to water erosion are the main causes of soil and nutrients depletion during the heavy rainfall. The aim of the study is to determine changes in the water quality in the Szreniawa River catchment compared to the agriculture use and precipitation level.

The quality of surface water has been analysed in the river catchment area in three sampling points. The concentration of the total suspended solids in the samples collected after heavy rainfall in August 2017 reached a value of 837 mg·dm⁻³. The average concentrations of N-NO₃ in the years of 2016–2019 ranged from 0.16 to 13.46 mg·dm⁻³, with the highest values in the summer (up to 13.46 mg·dm⁻³). The concentration of N-NH₄ and P-PO₄ in the Szreniawa water was affected by precipitation. The highest value of average concentration of N-NH₄ 3.00 mg·dm⁻³ was recorded in the autumn of 2019 in the middle section of the river. The highest value of P-PO₄ 0.90 mg·dm⁻³ was recorded in the autumn of 2019 mostly due to water erosion of the loess areas. Erosion has been caused by the short-term heavy rainfall. As a result, suspended solids, soluble and insoluble phosphorus compounds leaked to the river.

Key words: *composition of agricultural crops, nitrogen concentration, phosphorus concentration nitrogen load, phosphorus load, precipitation, total suspended solids*

INTRODUCTION

The agricultural use of the land affects both the quality and quantity of surface water in the river catchment. Surface waters are often used for the irrigation of the fields. In the EU, 44% of total freshwater is used for agricultural purposes, mainly for irrigation. However, the percentage varies from very small values in some northern European countries to 80% in the dry southern regions of Europe [ENRD 2018].

In Poland, the percentage of the total water consumption (groundwater and surface water) for agricultural purposes accounts for 10% of total freshwater. It is a relatively low value in comparison to the EU average [Eurostat 2018]. The water consumption for the agriculture purposes was approximately 1100 hm³ per year over the period 2008–2010 [MRiRW 2019]. In 2017 water consumption

for irrigation of farmland and forest amounted to 8100 m³ and which represents 4% decrease in comparison to year 2016 [GUS 2018]. Up to date data regarding water consumption for irrigation will be provided by the National Agricultural Census 2020. The water consumption values are expected to double.

Irrigation has become a necessity in some regions of the country over the past decade due to the shortage of precipitation and the lack of the sufficient water retention and storage infrastructure.

The national legislation may also significantly increase water use for irrigation. The legislation aims at facilitating the legal use of water, mainly groundwater. However, the effects of more intense groundwater consumption will be also visible in the river watercourses as they are connected through aquifers with groundwater sources.

Water erosion leads to the degradation of soil and leaching of organic matter from the topsoil, leading to decrease in fertility. It can result in reduced yield and in the worst case scenario, may even destroy crops and rural infrastructure [DRUŹKOWSKI 2004; LIPSKI, KOSTUCH 2005].

Susceptibility to erosion is related to the physical properties of the soil. Conservation practices (mulching and preservation of the plant cover) ensure better protection of the topsoil from leaching and provide higher stability of soil in comparison to conventional tillage [MONTGOMERY 2007; ŹYŁOWSKI 2017]. KRASOWICZ *et al.* [2011] indicated that 29% of land in Poland, including 21% of utilized agricultural area, mainly arable land and 8% of forest area are susceptible to water erosion. Surface water erosion is the main threat to the arable lands of the Małopolskie Voivodeship. Approximately, 28.63% of arable area is exposed to medium or significant erosion. It may result in permanent degradation of the soil profile [WĘŻYK *et al.* 2012].

The main factors affecting agricultural catchments surface water quality are the use of mineral and organic fertilizers, the selection of crops in the crop rotation and agro-technical treatments affecting the assimilation of nutrients by crops.

Root crops, including vegetables, compared to cereals are characterized by high water demand and low utilization of fertilizer, particularly nitrogen [ILNICKI 2004; HARTZ 2006; NETT 2012]. Regions of intensive vegetable cultivation require extensive fertilization and hence the eutrophication of surface water [ADAMCZYK, JACHIMOWSKI 2013]. Intensive farming, where productivity is the main goal often happens at the expense of renewable natural resources. Such process results in the reduction of biodiversity and landscape transformation [KORNERT *et al.* 1999].

One of the main challenges in the river catchment area is finding the right balance between quality of the surface

water and the intensity of agricultural production. The aim of this research is to establish concentrations of the biogenic components: N-NO₃, N-NH₄, P-PO₄ and soils suspension in the river water during spring, summer, and autumn. Furthermore the link between rainfall, type of farming activity and the aforementioned compounds is explored and evaluated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Szreniawa River catchment (20° 34' 24,208" E, 50° 9' 51,174" N; 19° 52' 11,276" E, 50° 22' 34,104" N) with an area of 712 km² is located in the Olkusz and Miechowska Upland and Proszowice Plateau (Fig. 1). The Szreniawa River has a length of approximately 80 km and it is a left-bank tributary of the Vistula River [SMOROŃ, KOWALCZYK 2014]. Szreniawa (in Koszyce) contains water of poor ecological status. In 2017 five parameters exceeded limit values of good water status, i.e. nitrate nitrogen, nitrite nitrogen, total nitrogen, phosphates (V) and total phosphorus [WIOŚ 2017].

The land use in the Szreniawa River catchment clearly indicates its typical agricultural character dominated by farmlands (arable land – 81%, meadows and pastures – 6%).

About 68% of arable land in the catchment area is covered by cereals, 10% of this land is used for potato production and 20% is designated for the vegetable crops (Fig. 2). The area of farmland designated for vegetable crops is relatively small, however the intensive nature of its exploitation has adverse effects on the surface water.

The area of the Szreniawa catchment is threatened by water erosion, which is typical for pleated loess areas [SMOROŃ 2012; SMOROŃ, KOWALCZYK 2014]. There are very fertile silts soils formed from loess, belonging to the




Fig. 1. Location of the research area and sampling points in the region of the upper Vistula River; source: own elaboration




Fig. 2. Share of the land use: a) land classes, b) crops share; source: own elaboration based on CSO [GUS 2018]

type of proper and degraded chernozems and proper brown soils, in case of the river valleys to the alluvial soils. Their value in use is high (I–IIb bonitation class) with a predominance of very good wheat and good wheat agricultural rye complex.

Crops yields in Szreniawa catchment area good and exceed the Polish average e.g. winter wheat crops in good precipitation conditions yield from 8 to 9 Mg·ha⁻¹, other cereals 6–8, potatoes 25, and beetroot 50 Mg·ha⁻¹.

The makeup of livestock in the catchment area includes mostly dairy cattle and poultry. The livestock production in the Szreniawa catchment amounts for 1.28 LU (livestock unit) per ha of farmland. This value does not exceed the livestock density per 1 ha of farmland specified in the Directive 91/676/EEG (1.5 LU). Values higher than 1.5 LU increase the risk of nitrogen pollution in soil and water. Livestock density is at average level compared to the national average (0.5 LU·ha⁻¹) [IUNG-PIB 2019; KOLODZIEJCZAK 2015].

The study was conducted between 2016 and 2019 at three sampling points located in the Szreniawa River catchment, i.e. in the upper (point 1), middle (point 2) and lower (point 3) river course. Analysis of the water samples were performed in the Laboratory of the Malopolska Research Center ITP (Malopolski Ośrodek Badawczy).

The concentration of N-NO₃, N-NH₄ and P-PO₄ were determined by SLANDI photometer using powder reagents. The amount of biogenic pollutants load discharged from the catchment area, i.e. phosphate phosphorus P-PO₄, nitrate nitrogen N-NO₃ and ammonium nitrogen N-NH₄ was determined based on the measurements of pollutants concentrations in the Szreniawa River for all three points. Moreover, at point 3 located in the estuary part of the river, the value of biogenic pollutants load was compared with the hydrological data obtained from the Polish Institute of Meteorology and Water Management – National Research Institute (Pol. Instytut Meteorologii i Gospodarki Wodnej – Państwowy Instytut Badawczy – IMiGW-PIB). In addition, for all measurement points, the analysis of the total suspended solids in the river was conducted using the gravimetric method.

Pearson's correlation has been used to explore the relationship between rainfall and concentration of various organic compounds as well as total suspended solids in the water. Further, *p* value and standard deviation (*SD*) was used to test the statistical significance of said relationships.

RESULTS

The concentration of N-NO₃, N-NH₄ and P-PO₄ compared to the amount of precipitation was investigated (see Fig. 3).

All analysed samples exceeded the limits of classes I (0.001 mg P-PO₄·dm⁻³) and II (0.1 mg P-PO₄·dm⁻³). Precipitation had the greatest impact on the concentration of P-PO₄. The highest value of the average concentration of P-PO₄ 0.898 mg·dm⁻³ was recorded in 2019 in the sampling point 2 after heavy rainfall. The lowest values, regardless of the season, were recorded in dry periods (not preceded by rainfall). Lack of continuous relationship in concentration of P-PO₄ between the sampling points was observed.

Standard deviation (*SD*) in spring, summer and autumn was: 0.07, 0.12, 0.22 respectively. Very weak correlation between concentration of P-PO₄ in the same points and rainfall was noted in spring, summer and autumn 0.15, 0.16 and -0.13 respectively, for *p* = 0.01.

Similarly, to P-PO₄ the precipitation affected concentration of N-NH₄ in the Szreniawa River. The highest value of the N-NH₄ average concentrations 3.003 mg·dm⁻³ was recorded in the autumn 2019 in the sampling point 2. The lowest values were observed in the summer. All analysed samples exceeded the limits of classes I (0.04 mg N-NH₄·dm⁻³) and II (0.326 mg N-NH₄·dm⁻³).

Standard deviation (*SD*) in spring, summer and autumn was: 0.11, 0.37, 0.81, respectively. Very weak correlation between concentration of N-NH₄ in the same points and rainfall was noted in spring, summer and autumn 0.23; 0.53 and -0.12 respectively for *p* = 0.01.

Average concentrations of N-NO₃ between the years of 2016 and 2019 ranged from 0.16 to 13.46 mg·dm⁻³. In the summer the average concentration ranged from 1.328 to 13.460 mg·dm⁻³, in autumn concentration of N-NO₃ ranged from 0.155 to 4.750 mg·dm⁻³. In the spring recorded values varied from 1.370 to 2.960 mg·dm⁻³. The lowest values were noted in the summer in sampling point 3, i.e. 13.160 mg·dm⁻³. The limit values for class I (0.5 mg N-NO₃·dm⁻³) and class II (0.8 mg N-NO₃·dm⁻³) were exceeded in spring and summer, whereas in the autumn of 2018 these values did not exceed class II.




Fig. 3. The average concentration of fertilizer compounds vs. precipitation (spring, summer, autumn): a) P-PO_4 , b) N-NH_4 , c) N-NO_3 ; source: own study

Standard deviation (*SD*) in spring, summer and autumn was: 0.66, 3.30, 1.44 respectively. Strong correlation between concentration of N-NO_3 and rainfall was noted in spring 0.83 (but only at some sampling points), and a weak correlation in summer and autumn was observed: 0.28 and 0.33 for $p = 0.01$.

Figure 4 demonstrates the values of total suspension ranged from ca. $12 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ to ca. $451 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$. The highest values in the range of $198\text{--}451 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ were recorded

in the autumn of 2016 while the lowest values were recorded in the summer of 2018. The total suspended solids analyzed by VIEP in Kraków in the years 2016–2019 at the Szreniawa-Koszyce point ranged from $54.3 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ (average annual 2016) to $72.8 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ (average annual 2017).

Standard deviation (*SD*) is high at all sampling points: 61.47, 118.75, 157.52. Significant variation in suspended solids concentration in all samples has been recorded in

comparison to average which is respectively: 110.94, 130.96, 148.63 mg·dm⁻³.

The total suspended solids in the rivers depends on many factors, of which precipitation, particularly the precipitation intensity plays a significant role. Figure 5 indicates relationship between precipitation and total suspended solids in the river water for point 3. During the research period, the concentration of total suspension, measured at the time of water sampling, ranged from slightly above zero in 2016 to 837 mg·dm⁻³ in August 2017.

The total suspended solids concentration ranged from 50 to 400 mg·dm⁻³. In most samples the highest content of total suspended solids in the Szreniawa River was observed after heavy rainfall. The high concentration of the total suspended solids observed in some periods, e.g. 29.06.2017 – 318 mg·dm⁻³ (low precipitation – 3.6 mm),

was most likely caused by other factors. However, during periods without rainfall or with daily precipitation not exceeding 5 mm, the concentration of total suspended solids was low and did not exceed 40 mg·dm⁻³.

According to the Figure 6 the average flow in the analysed period was 2.67 m³·s⁻¹. It is a result similar to the average flow (SSQ) for the years 1980–2000: 2.56 m³·s⁻¹ [SMOROŃ 2012]. From June 2016 to May 2017 an increase in the average monthly flow occurred, whereas the loads of P-PO₄ and N-NO₃ were 2.2–5.7 Mg and 1.7–109.6 Mg, respectively (Fig. 8). From October 2017, a decrease in the average flow occurred, which resulted in a decrease in the load of P-PO₄ that ranged from 0.4 to 3.0 Mg. Lower values of the N-NO₃ loads from 0.6 to 20.4 Mg were also noted during this time.




Fig. 4. The average value of total suspension compared to precipitation (spring, summer, autumn); source: own study




Fig. 5. The relationship between precipitation and total suspended solids for point 3; source: own study




Fig. 6. The chart of the P-PO₄ and N-NO₃ loads for sampling point 3 compared to the average monthly flow Q_{avg} ; source: own study

DISCUSSION

The conducted research allowed to examine the qualitative and quantitative state of surface waters in the Szreniawa River catchment, against agricultural activity. The conducted research demonstrated the quality of flowing waters of the Szreniawa River exceeding norms for classes I and II. The average concentration of N-NH₄ exceeded the limit values for class I in all analyzed points. Average concentration of N-NO₃ did not exceed norms in class II only in two cases in 2018. In other cases, the water of the Szreniawa River exceeded the norms in class II for N-NO₃. The structure of the catchment causes the lack of precipitation and limits its amount when it does occur. It also restricts the surface runoffs in the Szreniawa catchment leading to high loss of soil material after heavy rainfall. The high values of the average concentration of P-PO₄, exceeding class II norms, are particularly noteworthy. The highest values of P-PO₄ (0.898 mg dm⁻³) were noted in autumn 2019 – as a result of water erosion in these loess areas caused by short-term, very intense precipitation. As a consequence, soluble and insoluble phosphorus compounds got into the Szreniawa River together with the soil suspension.

Similar studies, exploring the sources of pollution of rivers including agricultural factors have led to discovery of three principal elements affecting the surface water quality: intensity of rainfall, soil type and susceptibility to erosion and the scale of agricultural production [BORMANN *et al.* 2007; BROAD, CORKREY 2011; CARLYLE, HILL 2001; LEE *et al.* 2005; MA *et al.* 2009; SCHEREN *et al.* 2000; TONG, CHEN 2002]. Further, other research has also concluded that soil erosion occurs during increased depletion of biogenic compounds, in particular phosphorus [BECHMANN *et al.* 2008; 2009; MARTIN *et al.* 1999]

The agricultural pollution, from arable land transfers to surface and ground waters. This process is magnified by the incorrect use of fertilizers. Amplified fertilizer use leads to increase biogenic substances presence in the aquatic environment. Leaching from the agricultural land to the surface water accounts for 220,000 Mg of nitrogen. Bearing in mind that the total amount of the agricultural nitro-

gen input is 1,830,000 Mg the nitrogen losses to surface water equal 12% [IZYDORCZYK *et al.* 2015].

Erosion prevention practices result in a decrease in the outflow speed and, consequently, in an increase in the retention capacity of agricultural land. This method include appropriate cultivation methods, for example reducing tilling or exclusion plowing across the slope. Other anti-erosive method can be used, such as the creation of plant protection strips, e.g. clumps of trees and shrubs. It also serves to increase the retention capacity [WEŻYK *et al.* 2012]. The mosaic nature of agricultural areas and the interaction between habitats, make protective plant strips useful to protect populations of wild organisms [MIODUSZEWSKI, OKRUSZKO (eds.) 2016].

CONCLUSIONS

1. The quality of the surface water in the river catchment area with predominantly agricultural lands is affected by the size, shape, and location of the arable area.
2. The intensity of the rainfall has a strong bearing on the amount of nutrients and suspended solids washed out from the arable land.
3. Crops rotation and location and their suitability for local environmental conditions should be taken into consideration to protect surface water from agricultural contamination.

REFERENCES

- ADAMCZYK, W., JACHIMOWSKI A. 2013. Wpływ składników biogenych na jakość i eutrofizację powierzchniowych wód płynących, stanowiących źródło wody pitnej Krakowa [Impact of biogenic components on quality and eutrophication of flowing surface waters constituting the source of drinking water for the City of Kraków]. *Żywność. Nauka. Technologia. Jakość*. Vol. 6(91) p. 175–190.
- BECHMANN M., DEELSTRA J., STAŁNACKE P., EGGESTAD H.O., ØYGARDEN L., PENDERUD A. 2008. Monitoring catchment scale agricultural pollution in Norway: Policy instruments, implementation of mitigation methods and trends in nutrient

- and sediment losses. *Environmental Science and Policy*. Vol. 11 p. 102–114.
- BECHMANN M., STAŁNACKE P., KVOERNO S., EGGESTAD H.O., OYGARDEN L. 2009. Integrated tool for risk assessment in agricultural management of soil erosion and losses of phosphorus and nitrogen. *Science of the Total Environment*. Vol. 407 p. 749–759.
- BORMANN H., BREUER L., GRÄFF T., HUISMAN J. A., 2007. Analysing the effects of soil properties changes associated with land use changes on the simulated water balance: A comparison of three hydrological catchment models for scenario analysis. *Ecological Modelling*. Vol. 209. Iss. 1 p. 29–40.
- BROAD S.T., CORKREY R. 2011. Estimating annual generation rates of total P and total N for different land uses in Tasmania, Australia. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol. 92. Iss. 6 p. 1609–1617.
- CARLYLE G.C., HILL A.R. 2001. Groundwater phosphate dynamics in a river riparian zone: Effects of hydrologic flowpaths, lithology and redox chemistry. *Journal of Hydrology*. Vol. 247. Iss. 3–4 p. 151–168.
- Directive 91/676/EWG of 12.12.1991 r.
- DRUŻKOWSKI M. 2004. Różnorodność krajobrazu obszarów rolnictwa tradycyjnego. Studium geoeologiczne dla Płaskowyżu Proszowickiego. W: *Studia ekologiczno-krajobrazowe w programowaniu rozwoju zrównoważonego: przegląd polskich doświadczeń u progu integracji z Unią Europejską [Landscape diversity of traditional agriculture – A geoeological case study in the Proszowice Plateau. In: Landscape-ecological studies for sustainable development programming. Review of Polish experiences on the eve of European Union accession]. Ed. M. Kistowski. Ser. Problemy Ekologii Krajobrazu. Gdańsk–Poznań. UG, Bogucki p. 253–260.*
- ENRD 2018. Resource efficiency [online]. Luxembourg European Network for Rural Development. EU Rural Review 25. ISSN 1831-5321 pp. 44. [Access 20.03.2020]. Available at: https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/enrd/files/enrd_publications/publi-enrd-rr-25-2018-en.pdf
- Eurostat 2018. Archive: Agricultural census in Poland [online]. Eurostat Statistics Explained. ISSN 2443-8219. [Access 20.03.2020]. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Archive:Agricultural_census_in_Poland
- GUS 2018. Ochrona środowiska 2017. Informacje i opracowania statystyczne [Environmental protection 2017. Statistical analyses]. Warszawa. Główny Urząd Statystyczny pp. 500 + tab.
- HARTZ T.K. 2006. Vegetable production best management practices to minimize nutrient loss. *Hort Technology*. Vol. 16(3) p. 398–403.
- ILNICKI P. 2004. Polskie rolnictwo a ochrona środowiska [Polish agriculture and the environment]. Poznań. AR. ISBN 83-7160-369-X pp. 485.
- IUNG-PIB 2019. Zbiór zaleceń dobrej praktyki rolniczej mający na celu ochronę wód przed zanieczyszczeniem azotanami pochodzącymi ze źródeł rolniczych [Collection of recommendations for good agricultural practice to protect waters against nitrate pollution from agricultural sources] [online]. Puławy. Instytut Uprawy, Nawożenia i Gleboznawstwa – Państwowy Instytut Badawczy pp. 77. [Access 20.03.2020]. Available at: <https://www.gov.pl/attachment/bdcce0a1-6e61-4dd3-b3c9-5bf083a413a3>
- IZYDORCZYK K., MICHALSKA-HEJDUK D., FRĄTCZAK W., BEDNAREK A., ŁAPIŃSKA M., JAROSIEWICZ P., KOSIŃSKA A., ZALEWSKI M. 2015. Strefy buforowe i biotechnologie ekohydrologiczne w ograniczaniu zanieczyszczeń obszarowych [Buffer strips and eco-hydrological biotechnologies for reduction of non-point source pollution]. Łódź. Europejskie Regionalne Centrum Ekohydrologii Polskiej Akademii Nauk. ISBN 978-83-928245-1-0 pp. 287.
- KOŁODZIEJCZAK M. 2015. Typologia krajów Unii Europejskiej ze względu na znaczenie i poziom korzystania z usług weterynaryjnych w rolnictwie [Typology of the European Union countries according to the importance and the level of use of veterinary services in agriculture]. *Scientific Journal Warsaw University of Life Sciences. Problems of World Agriculture*. Vol. 15(1) p. 39–48.
- KRASOWICZ S., OLESZEK W., HORABIK J., DĘBICKI R., JANKOWIAK J., STUCZYŃSKI T., JADCZYŃSKI J. 2011. Rational management of the soil environment in Poland. *Polish Journal of Agronomy*. Vol. 7 p. 43–58.
- KRONERT R., BAUDRY J., BOWLER I. R., REENBERG A. 1999. Land-use changes and their environmental impact in rural areas in Europe. Paris. Parthenon Publishing. ISBN 92-3-103596-7 pp. 276.
- LEE D., KIL PARK C., CHO H.S. 2005. Ecological modeling for water quality management of Kwangyang Bay, Korea. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol. 74. Iss. 4 p. 327–337.
- LIPSKI C., KOSTUCH R. 2005. Charakterystyka procesów erozyjnych gleb na przykładzie zlewni wybranych rzek w Karpatach [Characteristics of soil erosion processes on the example of the catchment areas of selected rivers in the Carpathians]. *Infrastruktura i ekologia terenów wiejskich*. No. 3 p. 95–105.
- MA J., DING Z., WEI G., ZHAO H., HUANG T. 2009. Sources of water pollution and evolution of water quality in the Wuwei basin of Shiyang River, Northwest China. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol. 90. Iss. 2 p. 1168–1177.
- MARTIN M., CELI L., BARBERIS E. 1999. Determination of low concentrations of organic phosphorus in soil solution. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. Vol. 30 p. 1909–1917.
- MIODUSZEWSKI W., OKRUSZKO T. (eds.) 2016. Natural small water retention measures combining drought mitigation, flood protection and biodiversity conservation. Guidelines. Poland. Global Water Partnership. ISBN 978-83-944813-0-8 pp. 58.
- MONTGOMERY D.R. 2007. Soil erosion and agricultural sustainability. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. Ed. P.A. Matson. Seattle. Department of Earth and Space Sciences, University of Washington. PNAS. Vol. 104 (33) p. 13268–13272. DOI 10.1073/pnas.0611508104.
- MRiRW 2019 Rok 2019 [Year 2019]. [Access 20.03.2020]. Available at: <https://www.gov.pl/web/rolnictwo/rok-2019>
- NETT L. 2012. N use efficiency in field vegetable production systems – Catch crop strategies and fertilization history effects on organic fertilizer turnover. PhD Thesis. Berlin. Humboldt Universität pp. 105.
- SCHEREN P.A.G.M., ZANTING H.A., LEMMENS A.M.C. 2000. Estimation of water pollution sources in Lake Victoria, East Africa: Application and elaboration of the rapid assessment methodology. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol. 58. Iss. 4 p. 235–248.
- SMOROŃ S. 2012. Zagrożenie eutrofizacją wód powierzchniowych wyżyn lessowych Małopolski [The risk of surface waters eutrophication in loessial uplands of Małopolska]. *Woda-Środowisko-Obszary Wiejskie*. T. 12. Z. 1 (37) p. 181–191.
- SMOROŃ S., KOWALCZYK A. 2014. Identyfikacja i ocena czynników antropogenicznych stanowiących potencjalne zagrożenie dla wód zlewni Szreniawy [Identification and evaluation of anthropogenic factors posing potential threat to Szreniawa River waters]. *Woda-Środowisko-Obszary Wiejskie*. T. 14. Z. 3 (47) p. 125–141.

- TONG S.T.Y., CHEN W. 2002. Modelling the relationship between land use and surface water quality. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Vol. 66 p. 377–393.
- WEŻYK W., DRZEWIECKI W., WÓJTOWICZ-NOWAKOWSKA A., PIERZCHAŁSKI M., MŁOST J., SZAFRAŃSKA B. 2012. The map of agricultural land erosion risk assesment of Malopolska voivodeship (Poland) based on OBIA of remotely sensed data and GIS spatial analyses. *Archives of Photogrammetry, Cartography and Remote Sensing*. Vol. 24 p. 403–420.
- WIOS 2017. Monitoring wód powierzchniowych. Klasyfikacja stanu ekologicznego / potencjału ekologicznego i stanu chemicznego oraz ocena stanu jednolitych części wód powierzchniowych w województwie małopolskim w 2017 roku [Monitoring of surface water. Classification of ecological and chemical status and evaluation of homogeneous surface water catchment areas in malopolskie voivodeship in 2017] [online]. Kraków. Wojewódzki Inspektorat Ochrony Środowiska pp. 22. [Access 20.03.2020]. Available at: <http://krakow.pios.gov.pl/stan-srodowiska/monitoring-wod/monitoring-wod-powierzchniowych/>
- ŻYŁOWSKI T. 2017. Environmental and economic efficiency of conservation agriculture. Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation – State Research Institute. *Studies and Reports. IUNG-PIB*. Nr 52(6) p. 119–138.