



## **INFLUENCE OF BIOCHAR ON GROWTH AND MINERAL CONCENTRATIONS OF PEPPER**

**Zeliha Küçükymuk, İbrahim Erdal, Ali Coşkan, Meliha Gökteş, Esra Sırça**  
*Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey*

### **Abstract**

Biochar can get from every biomass material and carbonization of the total mass of organisms. Determining the most suitable dose of the biochar to increase nutrient concentrations of pepper and also determine whether used with chemical fertilizers or not was the aim of the study. In this study, biochar was used either with or without chemical fertilizers. Biochar was applied as 0, 10, 20, 40 t·ha<sup>-1</sup> into two liter pots containing two kilogram of soil. N-P-K was applied as 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> N, 100mg kg<sup>-1</sup> P and 125 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> K respectively. Leaf N, P, K, Fe, Mn, Cu, and Zn concentrations, soil pH, EC and organic material and plant dry weight were determined in the study. As a result, while biochar combined with chemical fertilizers, the soil pH and organic material increased, soil EC decreased, plant N, P, K, Fe, Mn and dry weight increased. Soil P and K concentrations also increased with the applications. From the results of the study, biochar could be used with chemical fertilizers to increase yield and concentrations of nutrients of pepper.

**Key words:** biochar, growth, pepper, nutrition

### **INTRODUCTION**

Biochar can be obtained from any kinds of biomass material and gained from carbonization of the total mass of organisms. Biochar can reach soil fertil-

ity by holding carbon (Dharmakeerthi *et al.*, 2012). Reducing agricultural waste is another advantage of biochar. Since soil needs organic wastes to prevent environmental problems, biochar applications are getting important.

In the international literature was showed that biochar had important effects on high plant available nutrient concentrations (Glaser *et al.*, 2002; Lehmann *et al.*, 2003; Liang *et al.*, 2006; Asai *et al.*, 2009; Park *et al.*, 2011). Biochar had some important plant nutrients that influence yield. Nutrient concentrations and yield were increased by biochar application increased doses in combination with other commercial fertilizers (Dharmakeerthi *et al.*, 2012). Organic and inorganic refuses could be amended (Uchimiya *et al.*, 2010). Adsorption of heavy metals were effective with biochar applications (Liu and Zhang, 2009). The yield and nutrient concentrations increment with biochar were determined by some researchers (Asai *et al.*, 2009; van Zwieten *et al.*, 2010; Zhang *et al.*, 2010). It has reported that total C in biochars was higher while biochars made from wood (Park *et al.*, 2011). While biochar and basic fertilizers applied together to soils, significant fertility increments were found (Glaser *et al.*, 2002; Steiner *et al.*, 2007).

The aim of this study was to determine the suitable doses of the biochar to increase nutrient concentrations of peppers and also to determine whether to be used with chemical fertilizers or not.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted at Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Department climate cabin. In this study, “Ucburun” variety pepper and the biochar produced from wood debris was used. The oven was adjusted to 300°C and gas production started at 350°C. The gas lighted with a lighter to evaluate the process. Finally the temperature reached to 400°C and the final combustion temperature was 400°C. The biochar production was carried out over a period of 120 min. 100 g of biochar was produced from 400 g of wood debris. Biochar was used either applied with and without NPK fertilizers. As basal fertilization, N-P-K was given as 100 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> N, 120 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> P and 150 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> K from NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> and KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

Biochar was applied as Bio<sub>0</sub> (0), Bio<sub>1</sub> (10), Bio<sub>2</sub> (20), Bio<sub>3</sub> (40) t·ha<sup>-1</sup> into two kilograms soil containing pots. Biochar was given to the root area before planting the seedlings. Plants were irrigated manually. In the experiment, there were 8 treatments in total with 4 replicates in a completely randomized plot design. The soil used in this study were; pH 7.2 (1:2.5 water); organic matter 2.9% (Jackson, 1973); CaCO<sub>3</sub> 16%; 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub> – extractable P 30 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>; NH<sub>4</sub>OAC-exchangeable K 550 kg·ha<sup>-1</sup> (Olsen *et al.*, 1954; Knudsen *et al.*, 1982).

Eleven weeks after planting, plant shoots (above ground part of the plants) were harvested. Plant samples were washed with tap water and distilled water. Then they were dried at 65°C until they reached stable weights and dry weights of plants were weighed. In order to determine nutrient analyses, samples were grounded. Kjeldahl method was used for determining total Nitrogen. In order to determine P, K, Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu concentrations, 0.4 g of grounded samples were wet digested in microwave (CEM Mars X-press) at 180°C. K, Fe, Zn, Mn and Cu concentrations were analyzed using atomic absorption spectrophotometer according to Kacar and Inal (2008) and phosphorus concentrations were analyzed by vanadate-molybdate colorimetric method. Soil organic matter was measured according to Walkley and Black (1947), soil pH and EC (soil:water-ratio 1:2.5) was also measured using EC meter and pH meter. DTPA extractable Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn analyses were done as described by Lindsay and Norwell (1978).

Statistical evaluations of the values were made using SAS program.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In Table 1, the effects of biochar on N, P and K concentrations were given. Biochar combined with chemical fertilization increased plant N concentrations significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ). In previous studies biochar treatment was influenced from N transformations in soil (Clough and Condon, 2010; Taghizadeh-Toosi *et al.*, 2012). Statistical analyses showed that different doses of biochar significantly affected P concentration of peppers. Compared to control conditions (0.15%) biochar increased the P concentrations of plants. In a study researchers mentioned that biochar can enhance the availability of macro-nutrients such as N and P (Atkinson *et al.*, 2010). As seen in Table 1, K concentrations were not affected from biochar treatments statistically.

As seen in Table 2, micro nutrients except Fe were not affected from biochar treatments. Biochar with NPK fertilizers increased plant Fe concentrations. While plants had 84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> without NPK fertilizers, this value increased to 110 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> with NPK applications. Plants Zn, Cu and Mn concentrations were not affected by biochar treatments. Manganese concentrations increased with NPK fertilization from 120 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> to 132 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> but this increment was not significant.

As shown in Table 3, biochar treatment with and without NPK fertilizers increased plant dry weights. When using biochar with NPK fertilization, the plants dry weights ranged from 3.10 g to 3.54 g. Studies confirmed that biochar applications led to increases in plant growth (Lehmann *et al.*, 2003; Rondon *et al.*, 2007; Asai *et al.*, 2009; Blackwell *et al.*, 2009).

**Table 1.** Effects of biochar on N, P and K concentrations (%) on peppers

		Treatments		
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
N	Bio <sub>0</sub>	4.23	4.23	4.23
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	3.04	4.27	3.66
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	3.37	4.40	3.88
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	3.41	4.25	3.83
	Mean	3.27B*	4.28A	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
P	Bio <sub>0</sub>	0.15	0.15	0.15b**
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	0.17	0.25	0.21a
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	0.19	0.22	0.20a
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	0.17	0.20	0.19ab
	Mean	0.17	0.21	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
K	Bio <sub>0</sub>	3.75	3.75	3.75
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	3.75	3.83	3.79
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	3.85	3.83	3.83
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	3.62	3.93	3.78
	Mean	3.74	3.84	

\*shows the difference between NPK treatments ( $P<0.01$ ).

\*\*shows the difference between biochar rates ( $P<0.01$ ).

**Table 2.** Effects of biochar on plant Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu concentrations (mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>)

		Treatments		
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
Zn	Bio <sub>0</sub>	16	16	16
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	15	15	15
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	16	15	16
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	15	16	16
	Mean	15	15	

		Treatments		
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
Fe	Bio <sub>0</sub>	114	114	114
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	88	104	96
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	82	111	96
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	84	111	97
	Mean	84B*	110A	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
Mn	Bio <sub>0</sub>	127	127	127
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	122	141	132
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	122	133	128
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	116	125	121
	Mean	120	132	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
Cu	Bio <sub>0</sub>	10	10	10
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	9	9	9
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	9	9	9
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	10	10	10
	Mean	9	10	

\*shows the difference between NPK treatments ( $P < 0.01$ ).

**Table 3.** Effect of biochar on dry weight (g) of pepper

		Treatments		
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
Dry weight	Bio <sub>0</sub>	2.72Ba*	2.72Ba	2.72
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	2.72Bb	3.95Aa	3.34
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	2.52Bb	3.86Aa	3.20
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	3.43Aa	3.75Aa	3.59
	Mean	2.85	3.57	

\*Capital letters show the difference between biochar doses, small letters show the difference between NPK applications ( $P < 0.01$ ).

Soil pH decreased with biochar applications. In a study by Dharmakeerthi *et al.* (2012) determined that the reason of soil pH decreases might be release of protons  $\text{NH}_4$  during nitrification process in sulphate of ammonia addition. The organic material of the soil significantly ( $P < 0.01$ ) increased with addition of bio-

char. Also biochar with chemical fertilizers increased soil organic material from 2.97 % to 3.07 % (Table 4). The increase of soil organic matter may be due to the increase of soil N concentrations (Schouten *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2012).

**Table 4.** Effects of biochar on soil pH, EC and Organic Material (OM)

		Treatments		
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
pH(1:2,5)	Bio <sub>0</sub>	7.24	7.24	7.24b**
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	7.43	7.44	7.44a
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	7.45	7.43	7.44a
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	7.45	7.47	7.46a
	Mean	7.44	7.39	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
EC(µs)	Bio <sub>0</sub>	522	522	522
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	279	473	376
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	332	469	401
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	309	515	412
	Mean	307B*	495A	
		NPK(-)	NPK(+)	Mean
OM(%)	Bio <sub>0</sub>	2.95	2.95	2.95
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	2.95	3.08	3.01
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	2.96	3.10	3.03
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	3.00	3.17	3.09
	Mean	2.97B	3.07A	

\*shows the difference between NPK treatments ( $P<0.01$ ).

\*\*shows the difference between biochar rates ( $p<0.01$ ).

Table 5 indicates the effect of biochar on micro element concentrations. Soil Zn concentrations were not affected from biochar treatments. Biochar with NPK fertilization decreased soil Fe and Mn concentrations and were found significant ( $P<0.01$ ). Soil Fe concentrations decreased from 3.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> to 3.1 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>; Mn concentrations decreased from 5.2 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> to 4.7 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>. When biochar was applied to soil, soil Fe and Mn concentrations increased compared to control conditions. Biochar with and without NPK fertilization decreased soil Cu concentrations and soil Cu concentrations on biochar treatment were found significant ( $p < 0.01$ ). In a study (Park *et al.*, 2011) researchers studied effect of biochar and they found that biochar treatment decreased soil Cu concentrations.

Reduce of Cu concentrations can be explained with soluble C increment effects immobilization of Cu in plants.

**Table 5.** Effect of biochar on soil Zn, Fe, Mn and Cu concentrations

		Treatments		
		NPK (-)	NPK (+)	Mean
Zn (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Bio <sub>0</sub>	0.38	0.38	0.38
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	0.34	0.35	0.35
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	0.39	0.38	0.39
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	0.34	0.38	0.36
	Mean	0.36	0.37	
Fe (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Bio <sub>0</sub>	3.0	3.0	3.0b**
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	3.5	3.2	3.4a
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	3.2	3.1	3.1ab
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	3.1	3.1	3.1ab
	Mean	3.3A*	3.1B	
Mn (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Bio <sub>0</sub>	4.1	4.1	4.1c
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	4.9	4.5	4.7b
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	5.0	4.9	5.0ab
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	5.7	5.1	5.4a
	Mean	5.2	4.7	
Cu (mg·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Bio <sub>0</sub>	0.91	0.91	0.91ab
	Bio <sub>1</sub>	0.95	0.89	0.92a
	Bio <sub>2</sub>	0.93	0.89	0.91ab
	Bio <sub>3</sub>	0.91	0.87	0.89b
	Mean	0.93A	0.89B	

\*shows the difference between NPK treatments ( $P<0.01$ ).

\*\*shows the difference between biochar rates ( $p<0.01$ ).

## CONCLUSIONS

From the results of the study, all biochar doses used in this study could be applied with chemical fertilizers to increase yield and concentrations of nutrients of pepper plants. Application of biochar to pepper plants can be an environmental approach when used with commercial fertilizers. The results indicated that biochar application was effective in metal immobilization. The results from the study need to be developed under field conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Study was supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK, 2209-A) financially.

## REFERENCES

- Asai H., Samson B K., Stephan H M., Songyikhangsuthor K., Inoue Y., Shiraiwa T., Horie T. (2009). *Biochar amendment techniques for upland rice production in Northern Laos: soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield*. Field Crops Res. 111:81-84.
- Atkinson C J., Fitzgerald J D., Hipps N A. (2010). *Potential mechanisms for achieving agricultural benefits from biochar application to temperate soils: a review*. Plant Soil. 337:1-18.
- Blackwell P., Riethmuller G., Collins M., (2009). *Biochar application for soil*. Chapter 12. In: Lehmann J, Joseph S(eds) *Biochar for environmental management science and technology*. Earthscan, London. pp 207-226.
- Clough T J., Condon L M. (2010). *Biochar and the nitrogen cycle*. J Environ Qual 39:1218-1223.
- Dharmakeerthi R S., Chandrasiri J A S., Edirimanne V U. (2012). *Effect of rubber wood biochar on nutrition and growth of nursery plants of Hevea brasiliensis established in an Ultisol*. Springer Plus1:84.
- Glaser B., Lehmann J., Zech W. (2002). *Ameliorating physical and chemical properties of highly weathered soils in the tropics with charcoal – a review*. *Biology and Fertility of Soils, in Northern Laos I. Soil physical properties, leaf SPAD and grain yield*. Field Crops Res. 35, 219–230.
- Kacar B., Inal A. (2008). Plant Analysis, Nobel.
- Knudsen D., Peterson G A., Pratt P F. (1982). *Lithium, Sodium and Potassium. Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties. Exchangeable Cations*. pp. 159-165.



- Lehmann J., de Silva J P., Jr S C., Nehls T., Zech W., Glaser B. (2003). *Nutrient availability and leaching in an archaeological anthrosol and a ferralsol of the central Amazon basin: fertilizer, manure and charcoal amendments*. Plant Soil 249:343–357.
- Liang B., Lehmann J., Solomon D., Kinyangi J., Grossman J., O'Neill B., Skjemstad J.O., Thies J., Luiza F.J., Petersen J., Neves E G. (2006). *Black carbon increases cation exchange capacity in soils*. Soil Sci Soc Am J 70:1719–1730.
- Lindsay W L., Norwell W A. (1978). *Development of a DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper*. Soil Science Society of America Journal. Vol. 42, 421-428.
- Liu Z., Zhang F.S., (2009). *Removal of lead from water using biochars prepared from hydrothermal liquefaction of biomass*. J Hazard Mat 167:933–939.
- Olsen S R., Cole C V., Watanabe F S., Dean L A. (1954). *Estimation of Available Phosphorus in Soils by Extraction with Sodium Bicarbonate*. US. Dept. Agric. Cric., 939.
- Park J H., Choppala G K., Bolan N S., Chung J W., Chuasavathi T. (2011). *Biochar reduces the bioavailability and phytotoxicity of heavy metals*. Plant Soil, 348:439–451.
- Rondon M A., Lehmann J., Ramirez J., Hurtado M. (2007). *Biological nitrogen fixation by common beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L) increases with bio-char additions*. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 43:699-708.
- Schouten S., Van Groenigen J.W., Oenema O., Cayuela M.L. (2012). *Bioenergy from cattle manure? Implications of anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis for carbon and nitrogen dynamics in soil*. Global Change Biology Bioenergy. 4, 751–760.
- Steiner C., Blum W E H., Zech W., de Macedo J L V., Teixeira W G., Lehmann J., Nehls T. (2007). *Long term effects of manure, charcoal and mineral fertilization on crop production and fertility on a highly weathered Central Amazonian upland soil*. Plant and Soil. 291, 275–290.
- Taghizadeh-Toosi A., Clough T J., Sherlock R R., Condon L M. (2012). *A wood based low-temperature biochar captures NH<sub>3</sub>-N generated from ruminant urine-N, retaining its bioavailability*. Plant Soil, 353:73-84.
- Uchimiya M., Lima I M., Klasson T., Chang S., Wartelle L H., Rodgers J E. (2010). *Immobilization of heavy metal ions (CuII, CdII, NiII, and PbII) by broiler litter-derived biochars in water and soil*. J Agric Food Chem. 58:5538– 5544.
- van Zwieten L., Kimber S., Morris S., Downie A., Berger E., Rust J., Scheer C. (2010). *Influence of biochars on flux of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> from Ferrosol*. Aust. J. Soil Res. 48:555–568.
- Walkley A., Black I A. (1947). *A critical examination of a rapid method for determining organic carbon in soil-effect of variation in digestions and of inorganic soil constituents*. Soil Sci., 63: 251-263.
- Wang T., Camps Arbostain M., Hedley M., Bishop P. (2012). *Chemical and bioassay characterisation of nitrogen availability in biochar produced from dairy manure and bio solids*. Organic Geochemistry. 51, 45–54.

Zhang A., Liu Y, Pan G., Hussain Q., Li L., Zheng J., Zhang X. (2011). *Effect of biochar amendment on maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a soil organic carbon poor calcareous loamy soil from Central China Plain*. Plant Soil. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-011-0957.

Corresponding author: Zeliha Küçükyumuk, PhD  
Prof. Dr İbrahim Erdal,  
Prof. Dr Ali Coşkan,  
Meliha Göktaş,  
Esra Sırça  
Department of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition,  
Agricultural Faculty,  
Suleyman Demirel University, 32260,  
Isparta, Turkey  
zelihakucukyumuk@sdu.edu.tr

Received: 30.03.2017

Accepted: 05.06.2017