

NOTES

Prevalence of Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Factory Workers in Kano Metropolis, Nigeria

Ismaila Adamu Saidu

College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria
Department of Physiotherapy, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Victor Adimabua Utti

School of Health & Human Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, UK

Adeolu Olugbenga Jaiyesimi

College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

Adamu Ahmad Rufa'i

College of Medical Sciences, University of Maiduguri, Maiduguri, Nigeria
Department of Physiotherapy, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Stanley Monday Maduagwu

Department of Physiotherapy, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Henry Adezie Onuwe

Department of Physiotherapy, Jos University Teaching Hospital, Jos, Nigeria

Abdurahman Mohammed Jajere

Department of Physiotherapy, University of Maiduguri Teaching Hospital, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Background. Kano is a metropolis and commercial centre in northern Nigeria; it is highly industrialized. Most of the population does factory work. **Purpose.** The survey was undertaken to identify the prevalence of musculoskeletal injuries (MSIs) and other related occupational hazards among factory workers in Kano Metropolis. **Method.** Five hundred questionnaires were distributed to respondents recruited from tannery, steel rolling, textile and agrochemical factories at the 3 industrial estates of the metropolis. Only unskilled and manual labourers were considered. The respondents were selected using the nonprobability sample of convenience. **Results.** Only 420 questionnaires were returned duly completed. Three hundred and fifty-three (84.05%) respondents were male and 67 (15.95%) were female. Their ages ranged between 21 and 58 years ($M = 38.99 \pm 1.01$). **Results.** Low back complaints had the highest prevalence (360, 85.71%), followed by upper limb injuries (171, 40.71%), shoulder complaints (156, 37.14%) and hip injuries (34, 8.10%). About 41% of the respondents reported 2 or more work-related MSIs. **Conclusion.** The study uncovered that a substantial percentage of factory workers had sustained MSIs. Body ache/discomfort in the low back region was the most common injury sustained among the subjects surveyed.

musculoskeletal injuries occupational hazard factory workers

1. INTRODUCTION

The working environment may be hazardous and stressful [1, 2]. Work schedule and the design of the working environment can lead to errors and accidents [3, 4]. Several occupational injuries exist such as musculoskeletal injuries (MSIs), spinal disorders, gas burns, scalds, and respiratory complications [4].

MSIs are among the major occupational hazards facing the working population today, especially among the working class. Burdorf, Rossignol, Fathallah, et al. reported that adults, ~80% of the working population, would experience back pain sometime during their active life because of their nature of work, which requires heavy physical work, awkward posture, or prolong periods in one posture [5]. MSI is defined as any form of distraction (wear and tear) affecting the continuity of muscular and or bony tissues of the body [6].

There has been a number of studies on occupational injuries among healthcare providers in various setting [2, 4, 7] and among elite female gymnasts [8]. The results indicate high prevalence of work-related injuries. Studies have shown that MSIs, especially low back pain, are currently the most common and widespread disorder affecting both the working [4, 7, 9] and nonworking population [10]. MSIs cause absenteeism at work and loss of productivity [1].

As Kano Metropolis is a commercial centre and a highly industrialized city in northern Nigeria, the prevalence of occupational hazards cannot be ruled out; however, there is no empirical data. Most of the population work in factories. Factory workers, especially those unskilled, undertake activities that involve lifting, pushing, pulling, carrying, standing, bending and stooping. These activities can create occupational hazards such as MSIs. The participants of this study were similarly engaged. It was carried out to survey the prevalence of work-related MSIs among factory workers in the industrial city of Kano, Nigeria.

2. METHOD

The respondents were recruited from tannery, steel rolling, textile and agrochemical factories from three industrial estates in Kano Metropolis: Sharada, Bompai and Challawa. Only unskilled manual labourers were studied. Factory workers engaged in other occupations/activities that are risk factors for MSI were not considered. Prior approval of individual factory managers was obtained as was informed consent from each participant.

These authors designed the questionnaire used in this study themselves; it had both closed- and open-ended questions. There were 16 questions divided into two sections: 3 questions focused on biodata, whereas 13 on the presence and regional distribution of MSI complaints and their impact on the participants.

The respondents for this survey were selected using the nonprobability sample of convenience. Descriptive statistics of mean, frequency and percentage were used to summarize the data.

3. RESULTS

Out of the 500 questionnaires that had been distributed only 420 (84%) were returned duly completed. Three hundred and fifty-three males (84.05%) and 67 females (15.95%) responded. They were 21–58 years old with the mean age of 38.99 ± 1.01 .

Table 1 shows the regional distribution of MSIs among the respondents, while Table 2 presents regional distribution of injuries in the factory workers. Table 3 presents the degree of severity of MSIs as reported by the subjects. Table 4 shows the impact of MSIs on the subjects' work. Table 5 shows the frequency distribution of protective clothing (boots, gloves and goggles) among the respondents.

TABLE 1. Distribution of Musculoskeletal Injuries by Body Part Among Unskilled Workers in Kano, Nigeria (n = 420)

Body Part	n	%
Neck	111	26.43
Shoulder	156	37.14
Hand/wrist	122	29.05
Elbow	81	19.29
Upper back	171	40.71
Low back	360	85.71
Hip	34	8.10
Thigh	63	15.00
Knee	72	17.14
Foot/ankle	48	11.43

TABLE 5. The Use of Protective Clothing Among Unskilled Workers in Kano, Nigeria

Protective Clothing	n	%
Boots	107	25.48
Gloves	157	37.38
Helmet	43	10.24
Overalls	72	17.14
Goggles	41	9.76
total	420	100

TABLE 2. Number of Injured Body Parts Caused by Musculoskeletal Injuries as Reported by Unskilled Workers in Kano, Nigeria (n = 420)

No. of Body Parts Injured	No. of Injured Factory Workers	%	Cumulative Frequency	Cumulative %
1	73	17.4	73	17.4
2	100	23.8	173	41.2
3	130	40.0	303	72.1
4	56	13.3	359	85.5
5	35	8.3	394	93.8
6	26	6.2	420	100

TABLE 3. Severity of Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Unskilled Workers in Kano, Nigeria

Severity	N	%
Severe	81	19.29
Moderate	211	50.24
Mild	128	30.48
total	420	100

TABLE 4. Impact of Musculoskeletal Injuries Among Unskilled Workers in Kano, Nigeria, on Work Efficiency

Impact	n	%
Injuries do not affect work at all	216	51.43
Injuries reduce work efficiency	129	30.71
Injuries reduce work time	44	10.48
Injuries keep workers away from work	31	7.38
total	420	100

4. DISCUSSION

Physiotherapists encounter several work-related MSIs every day. Statistics in the National Orthopedic Hospital Dala, Kano, Nigeria show that ~12–15 people visit the physiotherapy unit every week with MSIs that result from work hazards [11]. Almost 41% of the respondents studied sustained two or more MSIs from work-related activities. This prevalence rate is consistent with Olaogun's study aimed to investigate occupational hazards associated with modern physiotherapy practice [4]. This result is consistent with the study to find the causes and prevalence of occupational injuries. In the present study, low back pain was the most common MSI among the factory workers studied and hip injuries were least common. This is step with Sanya and Ogwumike's [12] report; they investigated prevalence of low back pain amongst industrial workers in the private sector. This could be due to maintaining prolonged

awkward position and poor lifting technique as in the present population of the study. The importance of occupational factors in the causation of low back has been acknowledged [13, 14].

This high prevalence of occupational hazards could result from ignorance, lack of awareness of safety measures and poor ergonomic concepts in the working environment. Evidence has shown that carrying out a task without regard for ergonomics imposes stress of various kinds, which has a detrimental effect on the human anatomy and physiology [3].

The pain or discomfort perception experienced by the factory workers' varied from *mild* to *severe*. Thus, this could be due to individual pain perception or a different level of pain threshold.

The effect of sustained injuries on work shows that occupational injuries have an economic implication [4].

The study uncovered that a substantial percentage of factory workers in Kano metropolis had sustained MSIs. Body ache/discomfort in the low back region was the subjects' most common injury.

It is recommended that adequate knowledge on ergonomics and awareness campaign programme should be organized for factory workers to help them carry out their task with less risk for their body parts. Furthermore, health policy makers and health care managers should compel the factory management in Kano Metropolis to arrange insurance policies for the welfare of their employees for effective production.

REFERENCES

1. Adigun, N. *Physiotherapy in the management of back pain*. Ikeja, Nigeria: Adtools; 1999.
2. Bork BE, Cook TM, Rosecrance JH, Engelhardt KA, Thomason ME, Wauford IJ, et al. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among physical therapists. *Phys Ther*. 1996;76:827–35.
3. Nwuga VCB. *Manual treatment of back pain*. Ibadan, Nigeria: Shaneson; 1990.
4. Olaogun OB. Occupational health hazards in physiotherapy practice in Nigeria: a survey research. *Journal of the Nigeria Society of Physiotherapy*. 1992;11(1):42–8.
5. Burdorf A, Rossignol M, Fathallah FA, Snook SH, Herrick RF. Challenges in assessing risk factors in epidemiologic studies on back disorders. *Am J Ind Med*. 1997;32:142–52.
6. Webster BS, Snook SH. The cost of compensable low back pain. *J Occup Med*. 1990;32(1):13–5.
7. Schwartz RJ, Banson L, Jacobs LM. The prevalence of occupational injuries among EMTs in New England. *Prehosp Disaster Med*. 1993;8:45–50.
8. Homer S, Machintosh S. Injuries in young female elite gymnasts. *Physiotherapy*. 1992;78(11):804–7.
9. Vasiliadou A, Karvountzis GG, Soumilas A, Roumeliotis D, Theodosopoulou E. Occupational low-back pain in nursing staff in a Greek hospital. *J Adv Nurs*. 1995;21:125–30.
10. Holder HL, Clark HA, DiBlasio JM, Hughes CL, Scherpf JW, Harding L, Shepard KF. Cause, prevalence, and response to occupational musculoskeletal injuries reported by physical therapists and physical therapist assistants. *Phys Ther*. 1999;79:1084, 1086, 1088.
11. Rufa'i AA. *Prevalence of low back pain among professional drivers* [B.Sc. thesis]. Kano, Nigeria: Bayero University; 2001.
12. Sanya AO, Ogwumike OO. Low back pain prevalence amongst industrial workers in the private sector in Oyo state, Nigeria. *Afr J Med Med Sci*. 2005;34:245–9.
13. Marras WS, Lavender SA, Leurgans SE, Rajulu SL, Allread WG, Fathallah FA, et al. The role of dynamic three-dimensional trunk motion in occupationally-related low back disorders. The effects of workplace factors, trunk position, and trunk motion characteristics on risk of injury. *Spine*. 1993;18:617–28.
14. Skov T, Borg V, Orhede E. Psychosocial and physical risk factors for musculoskeletal disorders of the neck, shoulders, and lower back in salespeople. *Occup Environ Med*. 1996;53:351–6.