Optimal conditions for the biological removal of arsenic by a novel halophilic archaea in different conditions and its process optimization

Mojtaba Taran¹, Mazyar Safari², Arina Monaza¹, Javad Zavar Reza³, Salar Bakhtiyari^{4, 5*}

¹ Razi University, Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Kermanshah, Iran

³ Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences-Yazd, Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Iran

⁴ Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Paramedicine, Ilam, Iran

⁵ Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, School of Medicine, Ilam, Iran

* Corresponding author: bakhtiyari-s@medilam.ac.ir, bakhtiyaribio@gmail.com

Recently, concerns about arsenic have been increased due to its high acute toxicity to human and serious environmental problems. In this study, the ability of *Halorcula* sp. IRU1, a novel halophilic archaea isolated from Urmia lake, Iran for arsenic bioaccumulation was investigated and optimized by Taguchi experimental design. The optimum conditions for high arsenic bioaccumulation by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 could be achieved in the presence temperature 40° C, pH 8 and NaAsO₂ at 90 mg/L. Under optimum conditions, the microorganism was able to perform their desired function with a 60.89 percent removal of arsenic. In conclusion, *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 is resistant to arsenic and removes it in different conditions.

Keywords: Arsenic, bioaccumulation, optimization, Haloarcula.

INTRODUCTION

Arsenic is one of the most prevalent and toxic metalloids present in the environment. It is usually originated geogenically but can be intensified by human activities such as applications of pesticides and wood preservatives, mining and smelting operations, coal combustion, and industrial activities¹⁻³. Arsenic can occur in the environment in several forms but in natural waters and drinking water, it is mainly found as trivalent arsenite (As III) or pentavalent arsenate (As V). Arsenate generally is the dominant form in oxic waters, while arsenite dominates in sulfidic and methanic waters including most geothermal water. Both forms are toxic; comparatively arsenite is the most toxic form^{4, 5}. Chronic arsenic poisoning in the general population has been widely reported in many areas of the world today9. Consequentially, elevated levels of arsenic have been reported in soils and groundwater worldwide. The maximum concentration limit (MCL) of arsenic recommended for drinking water is 0.01 mg/L by the World Health Organization (WHO). Elevated levels of arsenic in drinking water can affect human health and have been implicated in human diseases and mortality^{3, 7}. Chronic arsenic can have immediate toxic effects in humans. Organs involved with arsenic absorption, accumulation, and/or excretion such as gastrointestinal tract, circulatory system, liver, kidney and skin are most affected by arsenic^{8, 9}. Signs of chronic arsenic toxicity include skin lesions (e.g., hyperpigmentation, hyperkeratosis, desquamation, and loss of hair), cancers of skin, bladder, kidney and lung, diseases of the blood vessels of the legs and feet, high blood pressure and reproductive disorders¹⁰.

Given high toxicity of arsenic, its efficient removal from natural waters intended for drinking water, using low-cost methods, is considered of great importance¹¹. Conventional physicochemical methods such as electrochemical treatment, ion exchange, precipitation, reverse osmosis, evaporation, and sorption for heavy metal removal from waste streams are not cost effective^{12–14}. Recent recognition of the need to develop low cost environmentally friendly technologies for water treatment has stimulated interest in studies on the bioremediation of metals^{15–17}. While arsenic is a well-known poison, some taxonomically diverse microorganisms have evolved biochemical mechanisms that either prevent arsenic from entering cells or rapidly extrude it back to the environment if it does enter^{4, 5}. Some microorganism capable of removing arsenic from their surroundings could thus be ideal candidates for bioremediation, and could therefore be used as an alternative or to supplement the existing physico-chemical methods of arsenic removal¹⁷. This paper presents for the first time, the use of *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 as a novel halophilic archaea for bioremediation of arsenic.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Microorganism and growth conditions

Haloarcula sp. IRU1 (identificatied on comparison of the 16S rRNA gene sequence) isolated from hypersaline Urmia lake, Iran was provided from Alzahar University. This microorganism was cultivated in 100 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 20 ml of defined basal salt medium and incubated in an orbital shaker for 5 days. The basal salt medium contained (g/L) NaCl, 250; MgCl₂×6 H₂O, 34.6; MgSO₄ × 7H₂O, 49.4; Glucose, 50; yeast extract, 5; CaCl₂×2 H₂O, 0.92; NaBr, 0.058; KCl, 0.5; KH₂PO₄, 0.1; NaH₂CO₃, 0.17 in distilled water.

Bioaccumulation assay

Bioaccumulation assay of arsenic by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 was carried out in 20 ml of culture supplemented with various factors by varying pH [7, 8, and 9], temperature [37, 40, and 43°C] and arsenic as sodium arsenate concentrations [0.03, 0.06, and 0.09 (g/l)], as shown in Table 1). After 5 days incubation, 5ml of the culture broth was centrifuged at 10.000 rpm for 10 min, and then the pellet was washed three times with 10% (wv.) NaCl solution to remove arsenic that is bound to the bacterial surface. Finally, the pellet was air dried for a day in 25°C, treated with 500 µl of conc. HNO₃ and

² University of Qom, Department of Civil Engineering, Qom, Iran

Factor		Levels	
1 actor	1	2	3
Temperature (°C)	37	40	43
pH	7	8	9
NaAsO ₂ (mg/L)	30	60	90

Table 1. Factors and their levels employed in the Taguchiexperimental design for bioaccumulation of arsenicby Haloarcula sp. IRU1

bioaccumulation of arsenic in cell mass was estimated using atomic absorption spectrophotometry (AAS, Philips model PU 9100)⁵.

Taguchi methodology experimental design

All the combination experiments using the assigned parameter values were conducted with the aim of obtaining the final optimum conditions. The Qualitek-4 software was used to design and analyze Taguchi experiments. Each experiment was replicated two times in a completely randomized design.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The biological removal of arsenic by microorganisms is potentially important in present days because of the toxic effects of arsenic and its compounds. The activity of microorganisms is greatly influenced by different factors such as pH and temperature. Thus, the optimization of the conditions that facilitate the microorganisms could result in high bioaccumulation of heavy metals. In recent years, studies have been extended into newer areas, exploring its potential use for the removal of arsenic. Biovolatilization and biosorption using some microorganisms have great potential for the bioremediation of arsenic contaminated sites¹⁸.

Before we could analyze the effect of different factors on the bioaccumulation of arsenic by *Haloracula* sp. IRU1, the significant factors and their levels must be determined. Table 1 shows the factors (variable) and their levels in the Taguchi experimental design for the bioaccumulation of arsenic by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1. Each of these factors is assigned with three levels. We evaluated the effects of different factors (temperature, pH, and NaAsO₂ concentration) on the bioaccumulation

Table 2. The orthogonal array of Taguchi experimental designand corresponding arsenic bioaccumulation by Halo-arcula sp. IRU1

Trial	Temperature	pН	NaAsO ₂	As (mg/L)	Arsenic removal (%)
1	1	1	1	6.73	22.43
2	1	2	2	14.64	24.40
3	1	3	3	26.54	29.49
4	2	1	2	18.32	30.53
5	2	2	3	54.80	60.89
6	2	3	1	23.40	78.01
7	3	1	3	9.42	10.47
8	3	2	1	45.14	66.46
9	3	3	2	37.44	62.40

Table 4. ANOVA for bioaccumulation of arsenic by Haloarcula sp. IRU1

of arsenic by this microorganism. Table 2 shows the layout of the L9 orthogonal array and the amount of arsenic bioaccumulation in each experiment. As shown in Table 2, *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 grew in all conditions. Moreover, the bioaccumulation of arsenic by this microorganism occurred in all trials. The minimum and maximum bioaccumulation was observed in trial 1 and 5, respectively. These data suggested *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 is a good candidate for the removal of arsenic because of its resistance to the arsenic toxicity.

The main effects of three factors (temperature, pH, and NaAsO₂ concentration) and their interaction at the assigned levels on *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 were presented in Table 3. Among different factors, pH was very influential at level 2 (pH 8), whereas the effects of temperature and NaAsO₂ concentration were higher in level 2 (40°C) and level 3 (90 mg/L), respectively. Based on the studied factors showed in Table 3, pH showed stronger influence on the bioaccumulation of arsenic by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 followed by temperature and NaAsO₂ concentration.

 Table 3. Main effects of different factors on arsenic bioaccumulation by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1

Factors	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Temperature	15.97	32.173	30.666	
рН	11.49	38.193	29.126	
NaAsO ₂	25.089	23.466	30.253	

The main objective of ANOVA is to extract from the results how much variations of each factor causes relative to the total variation. The last column of Table 4 indicates the influence of each factor and column 3 gives a sum of squares (S)^{19, 20}. The ANOVA results indicate that pH plays a significant role in arsenic bioaccumulation by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1. It is clear that among the three studied factors, pH with maximum variance (V), sum of squares (S) and percentage influence (553, 1106.324, and 27.753, respectively) is the most influential factor for arsenic removal by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1. It is also obvious from this Table that temperature and NaAsO₂ concentration (both with percentage influence 0) have no significant effect on arsenic removal.

The point prediction for achieving the highest arsenic bioaccumulation by Haloarcula sp. IRU1 in terms of contribution for the levels of factors is shown in Table 5. According to the obtained results, pH plays a significant role in arsenic removal than other selected parameters and their levels. The speciation of As is significantly affected by pH. As (III) is mostly found as an uncharged species at neutral pH, whilst As (V) is mostly found as negative species under the conditions of pH higher than 2.3²¹. Increasing pH can result in the formation and precipitation of metal hydroxides or oxides. Likewise, the pH of the medium can affect metal-microorganism responses by its effects on metal speciation and cell physiology and metabolism, indirectly¹⁸. The results indicate that the expected result under optimal conditions is 48.1% and the total contribution from all factors is

Factor	DOF	Sum of Squares	Variance	F-Ratio	Pure Sum	Percent
1 40101	(f)	(S)	(V)	(F)	(S)	P (%)
Temperature	2	480.809	240.404	0.952	0	0
pН	2	1106.324	553	2.191	601.503	27.753
NaAsO ₂	2	37.676	37.676	0.149	0	0

cumulation by <i>Haloarcula</i> sp. IRU1			
Factor	Level	Contribution	
Temperature	2	5.903	
рH	2	11.923	

3

3.983

Table 5. Point prediction for optimum conditions arsenic bioac-

Total Contribution From all factors...21.809Current Grand Average Of Performance...26.269Expected Result At Optimum Condition48.07821.81. With these selected factors and levels, the grand

average performance is 26.27%.

CONCLUSION

NaAsO₂

Altogether, we introduced *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 as an efficient microorganism for the bioaccumulation of arsenic for the first time. A combination of factors and their levels involved in the bioaccumulation of arsenic by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1 was identified for its maximum yield. In the present paper, we showed that the yield of arsenic bioaccumulation can be significantly improved by optimization of the factors involved in bioaccumulation of arsenic by *Haloarcula* sp. IRU1. The optimal factor levels are pH 8, temperature 40°C, and NaAsO₂ concentration 90 mg/L. It is clear that pH is the most significant process factor affecting the arsenic bioaccumulation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project was supported financially by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) and research council of Ilam University of Medical Sciences. Authors are thankful to Dr. N. Karimi for help with arsenic measurement.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Nordstrom, D.K. (2002). Worldwide occurrences of arsenic in ground water. Science 296: 2143–2145. DOI: 10.1126/ science.1072375.

2. Wang, S. & Mulligan, C.N. (2006). Occurrence of arsenic contamination in Canada: sources, behavior and distribution. Science of the Total Environment 366: 701–721. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.09.005.

3. Wang, S. & Zhao, X. (2009). On the potential of biological treatment for arsenic contaminated soils and groundwater. Journal of Environmental Management 90: 2367–2376. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.02.001.

4. Welch, A.H., Oremland, R.S., Davis, J.A. & Watkins, S.A. (2006). Arsenic in ground water: a review of current knowledge and relation to the CALFED solution area with recommendations for needed research. San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science 4: 1–32. http://escholarship.org/uc/item/8342704q.

5. Shakya, S., Pradhan, B., Smith, L., Shrestha, J. & Tuladhar, S. (2011). Isolation and characterization of aerobic culturable arsenic-resistant bacteria from surfacewater and groundwater of Rautahat District, Nepal. Journal of Environmental Management 95: 250–255. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jenvman.2011.08.001.

7. Dopp, E., Hartmann, L.M., Florea, A.M., von Recklinghausen, U., Pieper, R., Shokouhi, B., Rettenmeier, A.W., Hirner, A.V. & Obe, G. (2004). Uptake of inorganic and organic derivatives of arsenic associated with induced cytotoxic and genotoxic effects in Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology 201: 156–165. DOI:10.1016/j.taap.2004.05.017. 8. Schroeder, H.A. & Balassa, J.J. (1966). Abnormal Trace Elements in Man: Arsenic. Journal of Chronic Diseases 19: 85–106.

9. Smith, A.H., Lingas, E.O. & Rahman, M. (2000). Contamination of Drinking Water by Arsenic in Bangladesh: A Public Health Emergency. Bulletin of the World Health Organization 78: 1093–1103.

10. Duker, A.A., Carranza, E.J.M. & Hale, M. (2005). Arsenic Geochemistry and Health. Environment International 31: 631–641. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2004.10.020.

11. Zouboulis, A.I. & Katsoyiannis, I.A. (2005). Recent Advances in the Bioremediation of ArsenicContaminated Groundwaters. Environment International 31: 213–219. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2004.09.018.

12. Kadirvelu, K., Thamaraiselvi, K. & Namasivayam, C. (2001). Adsorption of nickel(II) from aqueous solution onto activated carbon prepared from coirpith. Separation and Purification Technology 24: 477–505. DOI: 10.1016/S1383-5866(01)00149-6.

13. Kadirvelu, K., Senthilkumar, P., Thamaraiselvi, K. & Subburam, V. (2002). Activated carbon prepared from biomass as adsorbent: elimination of Ni(II) from aqueous solution. Bioresource Technology 81: 87–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00093-1.

14. Congeevaram, S., Dhanarani, S., Park, J., Dexilin, M. & Thamaraiselvi, K. (2007). Biosorption of chromium and nickel by heavy metal resistant fungal and bacterial isolates. Journal of Hazardous Materials 146: 270–277. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.12.017.

15. Clausen, C.A. (2000). Isolating metal-tolerant bacteria capable of removing copper, chromium, and arsenic from treated wood. Waste Management & Research 18: 264–268.

16. Srinath, T., Verma, T., Ramteke, P.W. & Garg, S.K. (2002). Chromium(VI) biosorption and bioaccumulation by chromate resistant bacteria. Chemosphere 48: 427–435. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(02)00089-9.

17. Takeuchi, M., Kawahata, H., Gupta, L.P., Kita, N., Morishita, Y., Ono, Y. & Komai, T. (2007). Arsenic resistance and removal by marine and non-marine bacteria. Journal of Biotechnology 127: 434–442. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jbiotec.2006.07.018.

18. Srivastava, P.K., Vaish, A., Dwivedi, S., Chakrabarty, D., Singh, N. & Tripathi, R.D. (2011). Biological removal of arsenic pollution by soil fungi. Science of the Total Environment 409: 2430–2442. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.03.002.

19. Aleboyeh, A., Daneshvar, N. & Kasiri, M.B. (2008). Optimization of C.I. Acid Red 14 azo dye removal by electrocoagulation batch process with response surface methodology. Chemical Engineering and Processing 47: 827–832. DOI: 10.1016/j.cep.2007.01.033.

20. Santos, S.C. & Boaventura, R.A. (2008). Adsorption modelling of textile dyes by sepiolite. Applied Clay Science 42: 137–145. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clay.2008.01.002.

21. Lizama, K., Fletcher, A.T.D. & Sun, G. (2011). Removal processes for arsenic in constructed wetlands. Chemosphere 84: 1032–1043. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.04.022.