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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a simple connected graph with the vertex set $V=V(G)$ and the edge set $E=E(G)$, without loops and multiple edges. For counting qoc strips in $G$, Diudea introduced the $\Omega$-polynomial of $G$ and was defined as $\Omega(G, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{X}^{c_{i}}$, where $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{k}$ be the "opposite edge strips" ops of $G$ and $c_{i}=\left|C_{i}\right|(I=1,2, \ldots, k)$. One can obtain the $S d$-polynomial by replacing $x^{c}$ with $x^{|E(G)|-c}$ in $\Omega$ polynomial. Then the $S d$-index will be the first derivative of $S d(x)$ evaluated at $x=1$. In this paper we compute the $S d$-polynomial and $S d$-index of an infinite class of "Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes".
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a graph $G$ means a pair $G=(V, E)$ in which $V=V(G)$ and $E=E(G)$ denote to the set of vertices and edges, respectively. A chemical graph is a graph theoretical representation of a molecule whose vertices correspond to the atoms of the compound and edges correspond to chemical bonds. For two vertices $x$ and $y$ belong to $V, x$ is adjacent to $y$ if and only if $x y \in$ $E(G)$. In a connected graph, there is a path between every pair $(x, y)$ of its vertices. The distance $d(x, y)$ between vrtices/atoms $x$ and $y(x, y \in V(G))$ is defined as the length of a shortest path between $x$ and $y$. Two edges $e=u v$ and $f=x y$ of G are called co-distant, " $e \operatorname{co} f$ ", if and only if they obey the following relation for a non-negative integer $d$ : [1]

$$
d(v, x)=d(v, y)+1=d(u, x)+1=d(u, y)=d
$$

For some edges of $G$ there are the following relations satisfied [1,2]:

$$
e c o e
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
e \operatorname{co} f \Leftrightarrow f \operatorname{co} e \\
e \operatorname{co} f \& f \operatorname{co~} h \Rightarrow e \operatorname{co} h
\end{gathered}
$$

though the last relation is not always valid. In other words, the relation " $c o$ " is reflexive and symmetric but it is not necessary to be transitive. Set $C(e):=\{f \in E(G), \mid$ e co $f\rangle)$, denote the subset of edges in $G$, co-distant to the edge $e$. If the relation "co" is transitive on $C(e)$ then $C(e)$ is called an orthogonal cut (denoted by oc) of $G$. The graph $G$ is called co-graph if and only if the edge set $E(G)$ a union of disjoint orthogonal cuts: $E(G)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}$ and $C_{i} \cap C_{j}=\varnothing$, for $i \neq j$ and $i, j=1,2, \ldots, k$.

If any two consecutive edges of an edge-cut sequence are topologically parallel within the same face of the covering, such a sequence is called a quasi-orthogonal cut qoc strip. For counting "opposite edge strips" qocs $C_{i}$ of $E(G)(i, j=1,2, \ldots, k)$, M.V. Diudea introduced the $\Omega$-polynomial of $G$ [3-11] and was defined as $\Omega(G, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{X}^{c_{i}}$, where $c_{i}$ 's is the size of opposite edge strips $\left(=\left|C_{i}\right|(i=1,2, \ldots, k)\right)$.

It is easy to see that the first derivative of Omega polynomial $\Omega(G, x)$ (in $x=1$ ) equals the number of edges in the graph

$$
\Omega^{\prime}(G, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|C_{i}\right|=|E(G)|
$$

Another polynomial also related to the ops in $G$ was introduced by Ashrafi and coauthors [12] in 2008, that counting the non-opposite edges is the Sadhana polynomial $\operatorname{Sd}(G$, $x)$ defined as:

$$
S d(G, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{X}^{|E(G)|-c_{i}}
$$

The Sadhana index $\operatorname{Sd}(G)$ for counting qoc strips in $G$ was defined by Khadikar et. al [13,14] as first derivative of sadhana polynomial evaluated at $x=l$ [13-18]

$$
S d(G)=S d^{\prime}(G, x)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(|E(G)|-c_{i}\right)
$$

By definition of $\Omega$-polynomial, one can obtain the $S d$-polynomial by replacing $x^{c}$ with $x^{|E(G)|-c}$ in $\Omega$-polynomial.

In chemical, physics and nano sciences, we have the appealing structure, especially symmetric structure with chemical constitution purporting. Carbon exists in several forms in nature. One is the so-called nanotube which was discovered for the first time in 1991 [19,20]. One of the nanotube is Polyhex Nanotubes, that the structure of polyhex nanotubes is consisting of the cycles with length six $C_{6}$ in columns.

Since polyhex nanotubes have more practical in the chemical, physics and nano science, in this paper we focus on its structure and by using definition of $S d$-polynomial and $S d$-index, we compute these topological polynomial and index for an infinite class of Nano-structure "Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC ${ }_{6}$ ", depicted in Figure 1.

Throghout this paper our notation is standard and mainly taken from standard book of graph theory such as [21-25].

## 2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section we compute the $S d$-polynomial and $S d$-index of a family of Polyhex Nanotubes. In Figure 1, one can see that the 3-dimentional and 2-dimentional graph of Armchair polyhex nanotubes $T U A C_{6}[m, n]$, where $m, n$ are the numbers of rows/columns of hexagon $\left(C_{6}\right)$ in 2-dimentional perception $T U A C_{6}[m, n]$. In a series of papers [26-36], some properties and applications and more historical details of nanotubes are presented and studed.

By these terminologies and from Figure 1, we will have the following results for Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes TUAC $\sigma_{6}$.

## Theorem 1.

$\forall m, n \in N$ let $G=T U A C_{6}[m, n]$ be the Armchair polyhex nanotubes, then the $S d$ polynomial and $S d$-index of $G$ are equale to
and

$$
S d\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n], x\right)=2 m x^{6 m n+4 m-n-1}+2 m x^{6 m n+4 m-2 n-1}
$$

$$
S d\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n]\right)=24 m^{2} n+16 m^{2}-6 m n-4 m
$$




Fig. 1. A 3-dimentional (left) and 2-dimentional (right) lattices of Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes $T U A C_{6}[m, n]$.

## Proof.

Consider the Armchair polyhex nanotubes $G=T U A C_{6}[m, n](m, n \in N)$ (Figure 1). Let $m, n,|V(G)|$ and $|E(G)|$ be the hexagons in rows/columns, number of vertices/carbon atoms and edges/chemical bonds of $G$. Then one can see that $|V(G)|=4 m(n+1)$ and $|E(G)|=6 m n$ $+4 m$. Now, if we denote all hotizontal edge in $i^{\text {th }}$ column by $e_{i}$ and all left (or right) oblique edges in $i^{\text {th }}$ column by $f_{i}$ (or $h_{i}$ ), then it is easy to see that for all quasi-orthogonal cuts $C_{1}$, $C_{2}, \ldots, C_{2 m}, C_{i}=C\left(e_{i}\right)$ and also for all quasi-orthogonal cuts $C_{2 m+1}, C_{2 m+2}, \ldots, C_{3 m}, C_{2 m+j}=C\left(f_{j}\right)$ and alternatively, for all qocs $C_{3 m+1}, C_{3 m+2}, \ldots, C_{4 m}, C_{3 m+l}=C\left(h_{l}\right)$.

Now by according to Figure 1, one can see that $\forall i=1,2, \ldots, 2 m: c i=n+1$ and $\forall j=1$, $2, \ldots, m: c_{2 m+j}=c_{3 m+j}=2 n+1$.

Thus, $S d$-polynomial of Armchair polyhex nanotubes $G=T U A C_{6}[m, n]$ is equale to

$$
\begin{gathered}
S d\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n], x\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathrm{X}^{|E(G)|-c_{i}} \\
=2 m \times x^{6 m n+4 m-n-1}+m \times x^{6 m n+4 m-2 n-1}+m \times x^{6 m n+4 m-2 n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

The $S d$-polynomial of $G$ implies that the $S d$-index of $T U A C_{6}[m, n]$ is equale to

$$
\begin{aligned}
S d\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n]\right) & =S d^{\prime}\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n], x\right)=\left.\frac{\partial S d\left(T U A C_{6}[m, n], x\right)}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1} \\
= & 2 m \times(6 m n+4 m-n-1)+m \times(6 m n+4 m-2 n-1)+m \times(6 m n \\
& +4 m-2 n-1)=24 m^{2} n+16 m^{2}-6 m n-4 m
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, the proof is completed.

## 3. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we obtained the Sadhana polynomial and Sadhana index of Armchair Polyhex Nanotubes and Nanotori for the first time.
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