# **ON 3-TOTAL EDGE PRODUCT CORDIAL CONNECTED GRAPHS**

### Jaroslav Ivančo

### *Communicated by Dalibor Fronček*

**Abstract.** A *k*-total edge product cordial labeling is a variant of the well-known cordial labeling. In this paper we characterize connected graphs of order at least 15 admitting a 3-total edge product cordial labeling.

**Keywords:** 3-total edge product cordial labelings, 3-TEPC graphs.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C78.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider finite undirected graphs without loops, multiple edges and isolated vertices. If *G* is a graph, then  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$  stand for the vertex set and edge set of *G*, respectively. Cardinalities of these sets are called the *order* and *size* of *G*. The sum of order and size of *G* is denoted by  $\tau(G)$ , i.e.,  $\tau(G) = |V(G)| + |E(G)|$ . The subgraph of a graph *G* induced by  $A \subseteq E(G)$  is denoted by  $G[A]$ . The set of vertices of *G* adjacent to a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  is denoted by  $N_G(v)$ . The cardinality of this set is called the degree of *v*. As usual  $\delta(G)$  stands for the minimum degree among vertices of *G*. For integers *p*, *q* we denote by  $[p, q]$  the set of all integers *z* satisfying  $p \leq z \leq q$ .

Let  $k \geq 2$  be an integer. For a graph *G*, a mapping  $\varphi : E(G) \to [0, k-1]$  induces a vertex mapping  $\varphi^* : V(G) \to [0, k-1]$  defined by

$$
\varphi^*(v) \equiv \prod_{u \in N_G(v)} \varphi(vu) \pmod{k}.
$$

Set

$$
\mu_{\varphi}(i) := |\{v \in V(G) : \varphi^*(v) = i\}| + |\{e \in E(G) : \varphi(e) = i\}|
$$

for each  $i \in [0, k-1]$ . A mapping  $\varphi : E(G) \to [0, k-1]$  is called a *k*-total edge product *cordial* (for short *k*-TEPC) *labeling* of *G* if

$$
|\mu_{\varphi}(i) - \mu_{\varphi}(j)| \le 1 \quad \text{for all} \ \ i, j \in [0, k - 1].
$$

<sup>c</sup> Wydawnictwa AGH, Krakow 2017 725

A graph that admits a *k*-TEPC labeling is called a *k-total edge product cordial* (*k*-TEPC) graph.

A *k*-total edge product cordial labeling is a version of the well-known cordial labeling defined by Cahit [2]. Vaidya and Barasara [12] introduced the concept of a 2-TEPC labeling as the edge analogue of a total product cordial labeling defined by Sundaram *et al.* [10]. They called this labeling the total edge product cordial labeling. In [12,13] they proved that cycles  $C_n$  for  $n \neq 4$ , complete graphs  $K_n$  for  $n > 2$ , wheels, fans, double fans and some cycle related graphs are 2-TEPC. In [14] they proved that any graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of a 2-TEPC graph. An extension of the total product cordial labeling is a *k*-total product cordial labeling introduced by Ponraj *et al.* [6]. In [6–8] they presented some classes of 3-total product cordial graphs. Tenguria and Verma [11] also deal with 3-total product cordial labelings. The 4-total cordial labelings are studied in [9]. Azaizeh *et al.* [1] introduced the concept of *k*-TEPC graphs as the edge analogue of *k*-total product cordial graphs. They proved that paths  $P_n$  for  $n \geq 4$ , cycles  $C_n$  for  $3 < n \neq 6$ , some trees and some unicyclic graphs are 3-TEPC graphs. In [5] there is shown that dense graphs admit *k*-TEPC labelings. We refer the reader to [3] for comprehensive references.

Let us recall two results from [1], which we shall use hereinafter.

**Proposition 1.1.** *The star*  $K_{1,n}$ *,*  $n \geq 3$ *, is* 3*-total edge product cordial if and only if*  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.** *The cycle*  $C_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , *is* 3*-total edge product cordial if and only if*  $n \notin \{3, 6\}.$ 

In this paper we will deal with 3-TEPC graphs.

### 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

The following claim is evident.

**Observation 2.1.** *A mapping*  $\varphi$  :  $E(G) \to [0, 2]$  *is a* 3*-TEPC labeling of a graph G if and only if*

$$
\left\lceil \frac{\tau(G)}{3} \right\rceil \le \mu_{\varphi}(i) \le \left\lceil \frac{\tau(G)}{3} \right\rceil \quad \text{for each} \ \ i \in [0, 2].
$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let G be a graph without isolated vertices and let t be an integer belonging to*  $[0, \tau(G)]$ *. There exists a mapping*  $\varphi : E(G) \to [0, 2]$  *satisfying*  $\mu_{\varphi}(0) = t$  *if and only if there is a subset A of*  $E(G)$  *such that*  $\tau(G[A]) = t$ *.* 

*Proof.* Suppose that there is a mapping  $\varphi$  :  $E(G) \to [0,2]$  such that  $\mu_{\varphi}(0) = t$ . Set  $A = \{e \in E(G) : \varphi(e) = 0\}$ . Since  $\varphi^*(v) = 0$  whenever *v* is incident with an edge of *A*,  $\mu_{\varphi}(0) = \tau(G[A]).$ 

On the other hand, let *A* be a subset of  $E(G)$ . Consider the mapping  $\psi$  :  $E(G) \rightarrow [0, 2]$  defined by

$$
\psi(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } e \in A, \\ 1 & \text{when } e \notin A. \end{cases}
$$

Clearly,  $\mu_{\psi}(0) = \tau(G[A]).$ 

Evidently,  $3 \leq \tau(H) \neq 4$  for any graph *H* without isolated vertices. Observation 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 imply the following observation.

**Observation 2.3.** *Any* 3*-total edge product cordial graph G satisfies*

$$
7 \leq \tau(G) \neq 12.
$$

Given a mapping  $\varphi : E(G) \to [0, 2]$ . Clearly,  $\varphi^*(v) = 2$ ,  $v \in V(G)$ , if and only if *v* is incident with the odd number of edges having label 2 and no edge having label 0. Therefore, we immediately have the following claim.

**Observation 2.4.** *Let*  $\varphi$  :  $E(G) \to [0,2]$  *be a mapping. Let*  $e' = uv$  *be an edge of G*  $such that \varphi(e') = 1.$  The mapping  $\psi : E(G) \to [0, 2]$  defined by

$$
\psi(e) = \begin{cases} \varphi(e) \quad & \text{when} \ \ e \neq e', \\ 2 \quad & \text{when} \ \ e = e', \end{cases}
$$

*satisfies*  $\mu_{\psi}(0) = \mu_{\varphi}(0)$  *and* 

$$
\mu_{\psi}(2) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\varphi}(2) - 1 & when \varphi^*(u) = \varphi^*(v) = 2, \\ \mu_{\varphi}(2) & when \{\varphi^*(u), \varphi^*(v)\} = \{0, 2\}, \\ \mu_{\varphi}(2) + 1 & when \varphi^*(u) = \varphi^*(v) = 0, \\ \mu_{\varphi}(2) + 1 & when \{\varphi^*(u), \varphi^*(v)\} = \{1, 2\}, \\ \mu_{\varphi}(2) + 2 & when \{\varphi^*(u), \varphi^*(v)\} = \{0, 1\}, \\ \mu_{\varphi}(2) + 3 & when \varphi^*(u) = \varphi^*(v) = 1. \end{cases}
$$

Given a graph *G*. Let *A* be a subset of  $E(G)$ . An edge  $e \in E(G) - A$  is called *AA*-*edge* if its both end vertices belong to *V*(*G*[*A*]). A pendant edge  $e \in E(G) - A$ is called *AP-edge* if its end vertex belongs to  $V(G[A])$  (clearly, it is the end vertex of degree greater than 1).

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $G$  be a connected graph and let  $A$  be a subset of  $E(G)$  such that

$$
\tau(G[A]) \in \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{\tau(G)}{3} \right\rfloor, \left\lceil \frac{\tau(G)}{3} \right\rceil \right\}.
$$

*If G contains either an AA-edge and an AP-edge or two distinct AA-edges, then it is a* 3*-TEPC graph.*

*Proof.* As  $\tau(G[A]) \in \{[\tau(G)/3], [\tau(G)/3]\},\}$ , there are integers  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  such that  $\lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil \ge t_1 \ge t_2 \ge \lfloor \tau(G)/3 \rfloor$  and  $\tau(G[A]) + t_1 + t_2 = \tau(G)$ .

Let *T* be a spanning tree of *G* such that  $A_T := E(T) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Then

$$
|E(G) - A| \ge |E(T) - A_T| = |E(T)| - |A_T| = (|V(T)| - 1) - |A_T|.
$$

Since  $|A_T| + 1 \leq |V(T[A_T])|$ ,

$$
|E(G)-A|\geq |V(T)|-|V(T[A_T])|=|V(T)-V(T[A_T])|\geq |V(G)-V(G[A])|.
$$

As

$$
t_1 + t_2 = \tau(G) - \tau(G[A]) = |E(G) - A| + |V(G) - V(G[A])|,
$$

we have  $|E(G) - A|$  ≥  $t_1$  ≥  $t_2$ .

Suppose that  $e_A$  and  $e'_A$  are assumed edges of *G* (i.e.,  $e_A$  is an *AA*-edge and  $e'_{A}$  is either an *AP*-edge or an *AA*-edge). Denote by  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_q$  the edges of  $E(G) - (A \cup \{e_A, e'_A\})$  (clearly,  $q \ge t_2 - 2$ ). For every  $i \in [0, q]$  define a set  $B_i$  by *B*<sub>0</sub> = ∅ and *B*<sub>*i*</sub> = *B*<sub>*i*-1</sub> ∪ { $e_i$ }. Let  $\varphi_i$ , for  $i \in [0, q]$ , be a mapping from *E*(*G*) to [0, 2] given by

$$
\varphi_i(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } e \in A, \\ 2 & \text{when } e \in B_i, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Clearly,  $\mu_{\varphi_i}(0) = \tau(G[A]),$  for every  $i \in [0, q]$ .

Denote by *p* the largest integer of  $[0, q]$  such that  $\mu_{\varphi_i}(2) \leq t_2$  for each  $i \leq p$ . If  $p < q$ , then by Observation 2.4,  $\mu_{\varphi_p}(2) + 3 \ge \mu_{\varphi_{p+1}}(2) > t_2$ . Therefore,  $t_2 - 2 \le \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) \le t_2$ . If  $p = q$ , then

$$
\mu_{\varphi_p}(2) \ge |\{e : \varphi_p(e) = 2\}| = |B_p| = p = q \ge t_2 - 2.
$$

So, again  $t_2 - 2 \leq \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) \leq t_2$ . Now define a set  $B \subset E(G)$  by

$$
B = \begin{cases} B_p & \text{when } \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) = t_2, \\ B_p \cup \{e_A\} & \text{when } \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) = t_2 - 1, \\ B_p \cup \{e_A'\} & \text{when } \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) = t_2 - 2 \text{ and } e_A' \text{ is an } AP\text{-edge,} \\ B_p \cup \{e_A, e_A'\} & \text{when } \mu_{\varphi_p}(2) = t_2 - 2 \text{ and } e_A' \text{ is an } AA\text{-edge.} \end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that a mapping  $\psi : E(G) \to [0,2]$  defined by

$$
\psi(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } e \in A, \\ 2 & \text{when } e \in B, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

satisfies  $\mu_{\psi}(0) = \tau(G[A]), \mu_{\psi}(2) = t_2$  and  $\mu_{\psi}(1) = t_1$ . Thus,  $\psi$  is a desired 3-TEPC labeling of *G*.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let G be a connected graph of size at least*  $5(|V(G)| - 1)$ *. Then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph.*

*Proof.* Since  $|E(G)| \ge 5(|V(G)| - 1)$ , *G* is a graph of order at least 10 and  $\tau(G) \ge 55$ . As *G* is a connected graph, there is a spanning tree *T* of *G*. Moreover, for *G* we have

$$
\tau(G) = |V(G)| + |E(G)| \ge 3(2|V(G)| - 1) - 2.
$$

Therefore,  $\lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil \geq 2|V(G)| - 1$ . Thus, there exists a set  $A \subset E(G)$  such that  $E(T) \subseteq A$  and  $|A| = \lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil - |V(G)|$ . Then  $\tau(G[A]) = \lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil$ , every edge of  $E(G) - A$  is an *AA*-edge and

$$
|E(G) - A| = \tau(G) - (|V(G)| + |A|) = \tau(G) - \lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil \ge 36 > 2.
$$

According to Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

 $\Box$ 

A *matching* in a graph is a set of pairwise nonadjacent edges. A *maximum matching* is a matching that contains the largest possible number of edges. The number of edges in a maximum matching of a graph *G* is denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** Let *G* be a connected graph such that  $16 \leq \tau(G) \neq 3 \pmod{6}$ ,  $\delta(G) = 1$  $and \alpha(G) \geq 2$ . Then *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

*Proof.* As  $16 \leq \tau(G) \neq 3 \pmod{6}$ , *G* is a graph of order at least 6 and there is an even integer  $t_0 \geq 6$  such that  $t_0 \in \{[\tau(G)/3], [\tau(G)/3]\}$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 2.6, it is enough to consider  $|E(G)| < 5(|V(G)|-1)$ . Then,  $\tau(G) < 6|V(G)|-5$ and  $t_0 \leq 2|V(G)| - 2$ . As  $t_0$  is even, there is a positive integer *s* such that  $t_0 = 2s + 2$ . Clearly,  $2 \leq s \leq |V(G)| - 2$ .

Since  $\delta(G) = 1$ , there is a pendant vertex in *G*. Suppose that *w* is a pendant vertex of *G* such that  $\alpha(G - w)$  is the largest possible. If  $\alpha(G - w) = 1$ , then  $G - w$  is a star of order at least 5 and *w* is adjacent to a pendant vertex of the star. Clearly, for any pendant vertex  $x \neq w$  in *G*, we have  $\alpha(G - x) = 2 > \alpha(G - w)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $\alpha(H) \geq 2$ , for *H* := *G* − *w*. So, there are two nonadjacent edges in *H*. Any minimal connected subgraph of *H* containing these edges is a path of length at least 3. Let *P* be a path of length 3 in *H* such that the distance between *w* and *P* (a vertex of *P*) in the graph  $G$  is the smallest possible. If  $w$  is adjacent to no vertex of  $P$ , then there is a path of length at least 2 between *w* and *P* and a continuing path of length at least 2 in *P*. So, there is a path of length 3 in *H* such that *w* is adjacent to a vertex of this path, a contradiction. Therefore, *w* is adjacent to a vertex of *P*.

Denote by  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  the edges of *P* in such a way that  $e_1$  and  $e_3$  are independent edges of *P*. Clearly, *e*<sup>1</sup> and *e*<sup>3</sup> are also independent edges of *G*. Moreover, there is a spanning tree *T* of *H* which contains *P*. Set  $p = |V(G)| - 2$  and denote by  $e_4, \ldots, e_p$ the edges of  $E(T) - \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  in such a way that the subgraph of *H* induced by  ${e_1, \ldots, e_j}$  is a connected graph for each  $j \in [1, p]$ . The edge of *G* incident with *w* denote by  $e_0$ . Clearly, the subgraph of *G* induced by  $\{e_i : i \in [0, p]\}$  is its spanning tree. Set

$$
A = \begin{cases} \{e_1, e_3, e_4, \dots, e_{s+1}\} & \text{when } s < p, \\ \{e_0, e_1, e_3, e_4, \dots, e_p\} & \text{when } s = p. \end{cases}
$$

The graph which we obtain from  $G[A]$  by adding the edge  $e_2$  is a tree. Therefore,  $G[A]$ is a forest with two connected components and so  $|E(G[A])| = s$ ,  $|V(G[A])| = s + 2$ , i.e.,  $\tau(G[A]) = t_0$ . Moreover,  $e_0$  is an *AP*-edge and  $e_2$  is an *AA*-edge when  $s < p$ , and every edge of  $E(G) - A$  is an *AA*-edge when  $s = p$ . According to Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph a 3-TEPC graph.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let *G* be a connected graph such that  $25 \leq \tau(G) \neq 0 \pmod{6}$  and  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ *. Then G is a* 3-*TEPC graph.* 

*Proof.* As  $25 \leq \tau(G) \neq 0 \pmod{6}$ , *G* is a graph of order at least 7 and there is an odd integer  $t_0 \geq 9$  such that  $t_0 \in \{[\tau(G)/3], [\tau(G)/3]\}$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 2.6, it is enough to consider  $|E(G)| < 5(|V(G)|-1)$ . Then,  $\tau(G) < 6|V(G)|-5$ and  $t_0 \leq 2|V(G)| - 3$ . As  $t_0$  is odd, there is a positive integer *s* such that  $t_0 = 2s + 3$ . Clearly,  $3 \leq s \leq |V(G)| - 3$ .

Since  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ , there are three pairwise nonadjacent edges in *G*. Any minimal connected subgraph of *G* containing these edges is a tree whose each pendant edge is some of these three edges. Therefore, it is either a path of length at least 5 or a tree with precisely three (pairwise nonadjacent) pendant edges. In the both cases there exists a subtree *T* of size 5 with  $\alpha(T) = 3$ .

The edges of *T* denote by  $e_i$ ,  $i \in [1, 5]$ , in such a way that  $\{e_1, e_3, e_5\}$  is a matching in *T* (also in *G*) and subgraphs induced by  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  and  $\{e_3, e_4, e_5\}$  are connected. Moreover, there is a spanning tree  $T'$  of *G* which contains *T*. Put  $p = |V(G)| - 1$  and denote by  $e_6, \ldots, e_p$  the edges of  $E(T') - E(T)$  in such a way that the subgraph of *G* induced by  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_i\}$  is a connected graph for each  $j \in [1, p]$ . Evidently, the subgraph of *G* induced by  $\{e_i : i \in [1, p]\}$  is its spanning tree. Set  $A = \{e_1, e_3, e_5, e_6, \dots e_{s+2}\}.$ The graph which we obtain from  $G[A]$  by adding the edges  $e_2$  and  $e_4$  is a tree. Therefore,  $G[A]$  is a forest with three connected components and so  $|E(G[A])| = s$ ,  $|V(G[A])| = s + 3$ , i.e.,  $\tau(G[A]) = t_0$ . Moreover,  $e_2$  and  $e_4$  are *AA*-edges. Thus, according to Lemma 2.5 G is a 3-TEPC graph according to Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let G be a connected graph containing a cycle of length k. If*

$$
\max\{16, 6k - 8\} \le \tau(G) \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6},
$$

*then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph.*

*Proof.* As  $16 \leq \tau(G) \neq 3 \pmod{6}$ , *G* is a graph of order at least 6 and there is an even integer  $t_0 \geq 6$  such that  $t_0 \in \{ \lfloor \tau(G)/3 \rfloor, \lceil \tau(G)/3 \rceil \}$ . Moreover, according to Lemma 2.6, it is enough to consider  $|E(G)| < 5(|V(G)|-1)$ . Then,  $\tau(G) < 6|V(G)|-5$ and  $t_0 \leq 2|V(G)| - 2$ . As  $t_0$  is even, there is a positive integer *s* such that  $t_0 = 2s - 2$ . Clearly,  $\max\{4, k\} \leq s \leq |V(G)|$ .

Suppose that *C* is an assumed cycle of length *k* in *G*. As *G* is connected and  $s \geq k$ , there is a connected subgraph of *G* on *s* vertices which contains *C*. Let *H* be such subgraph with the minimal number of edges. Clearly, *H* is an unicyclic graph of order (and size) *s*. Deleting any edge  $e \in E(C)$  from *H* we get a tree  $H - \{e\}$ of order *s*. Evidently, there is an edge  $e_1 \in E(C)$  such that  $H - \{e_1\}$  is no star. Then there is an edge  $e_2$  in  $H - \{e_1\}$  which is not a pendant edge of  $H - \{e_1\}$ . Now consider the set  $A := E(H) - \{e_1, e_2\} \subset E(G)$ . Obviously,  $G[A] = H - \{e_1, e_2\}$  and so  $\tau(G[A]) = 2s - 2 = t_0$ . As  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are *AA*-edges of *G*, by Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph. graph.

**Corollary 2.10.** Let G be a connected graph containing a cycle of length k. If  $k \geq 6$  $\{and \tau(G) \geq 6k - 11, \text{ then } G \text{ is a 3-TEPC graph.}\}$ 

*Proof.* Since *G* contains a cycle of length at least 6,  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ . Moreover,  $\tau(G) \geq$  $6k - 11 \geq 25$  and by Lemma 2.8, *G* is a 3-total edge product cordial graph for  $\tau(G) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

Now suppose that  $\tau(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . Then  $\tau(G) \ge 6k - 6 > 16$  and according to nma 2.9. *G* is a 3-TEPC graph. Lemma 2.9, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

**Lemma 2.11.** *Let G be a connected graph containing a path of length* 7*.* If  $\tau(G) > 30$ , *then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph.*

*Proof.* As *G* contains a path of length 7,  $\alpha(G) \geq 4$ . Moreover,  $\tau(G) > 30$  and by Lemma 2.8, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph for  $\tau(G) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

Now suppose that  $\tau(G) \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ . According to Lemma 2.6, it is enough to consider  $|E(G)| < 5(|V(G)| - 1)$ . Then,  $36 \le \tau(G) \le 6|V(G)| - 6$ . As  $\tau(G)/3$  is even, there is an integer *s* such that  $\tau(G)/3 = 2s + 4$ . Clearly,  $4 \leq s \leq |V(G)| - 3 = p - 2$ , where  $p = |V(G)| - 1$ .

Let *P* be an assumed path of length 7 in *G*. Denote by  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7$  the edges of *P* in such a way that  $e_i$  and  $e_{i+1}$  are adjacent edges for each  $i \in [1, 6]$ . Moreover, there is a spanning tree *T* of *G* which contains *P*. If  $p > 7$ , then denote by  $e_8, \ldots, e_p$  the edges of  $E(T) - E(P)$  in such a way that the subgraph of *G* induced by  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_j\}$ is a connected graph (tree) for each  $j \in [1, p]$ . Set

$$
A = \begin{cases} \{e_i : i \in [1, s+3] - \{2, 4, 6\} \} & \text{when } s \le p-3, \\ \{e_i : i \in [1, p] - \{2\} \} & \text{when } s = p-2. \end{cases}
$$

If  $s \leq p-3$ , then  $G[A]$  is a forest with four connected components and so  $|E(G[A])| = s$ ,  $|V(G[A])| = s + 4$ , i.e.,  $\tau(G[A]) = \tau(G)/3$ . Moreover,  $e_2$  and  $e_4$  are *AA*-edges and so, according to Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph. Similarly, if  $s = p - 2$ , then  $G[A]$  is a forest with two connected components and so  $|E(G[A])| = p-1$ ,  $|V(G[A])| = p+1$ , i.e.,  $\tau(G[A]) = 2p = \tau(G)/3$ . As  $V(G[A]) = V(G)$ , every edge of  $E(G) - A$  is an *AA*-edge.<br>Therefore by Lemma 2.5 *G* is a 3-TEPC graph Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let T be a tree of order at least* 12*. Then T is a* 3*-TEPC graph if and only if*  $T \neq K_{1,n}$  *for*  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ *.* 

*Proof.* According to Proposition 1.1, it is enough to prove that *T* is a 3-TEPC graph when  $\alpha(T) > 1$ .

As  $\delta(T) = 1$ ,  $\alpha(T) \geq 2$  and  $\tau(T) = 2|V(T)|-1 \geq 23$ , by Lemma 2.7, *T* is a 3-TEPC graph when  $\tau(T) \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ .

Suppose now that  $\tau(T) \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ . Thus,  $14 \leq |V(T)| \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and  $\tau(T) \geq 27$ . If  $\alpha(T) \geq 3$  then, according to Lemma 2.8, *T* is a 3-TEPC graph. If  $\alpha(T) = 2$  then, by Kőnig theorem [4], there are vertices  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  such that every edge of *T* is incident with at least one of this vertices. Therefore, there are two edge-disjoint stars  $S_u$  and  $S_v$  (subgraphs of *T*) such that  $E(T) = E(S_u) \cup E(S_v)$ . Let

$$
V(S_u) = \{u_i : i \in [0, r]\},
$$
  
\n
$$
E(S_u) = \{u_0 u_j : j \in [1, r]\},
$$
  
\n
$$
V(S_v) = \{v_i : i \in [0, s]\},
$$
  
\n
$$
E(S_v) = \{v_0 v_j : j \in [1, s]\},
$$

where  $2 \leq s \leq r$  and either  $v_1 = u_0$  (when  $u_0v_0 \in E(T)$ ) or  $v_1 = u_1$  (when  $u_0v_0 \notin E(T)$ ). Clearly,  $r + s \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  in this case. Thus, there is a positive integer *t* such that  $r + s = 3t + 1$ . Evidently,  $r > t$ . Let q be the largest even integer satisfying  $q \leq \min\{s, t+1\}$ . Clearly,  $q \geq 2$ . Now consider the mapping  $\varphi$  from  $E(T)$  to [0, 2] given by

$$
\varphi(e) = \begin{cases}\n0 & \text{when } e = u_0 u_i, i \in [1, t], \\
2 & \text{when } e = v_0 v_i, i \in [1, q], \\
2 & \text{when } e = u_0 u_i, i \in [1 + t, 1 + 2t - q], \\
1 & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that for any  $w \in V(T)$  we have

$$
\varphi^*(w) = \begin{cases}\n0 & \text{when } w = u_i, i \in [0, t], \\
2 & \text{when } w = v_i, i \in [2, q], \\
2 & \text{when } w = u_i, i \in [1 + t, 1 + 2t - q], \\
1 & \text{otherwise.} \n\end{cases}
$$

Thus,  $\mu_{\varphi}(i) = 2t + 1$  for each  $i \in [0, 2]$ , i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a 3-TEPC labeling of *T*.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let G be an unicyclic graph of order at least* 8*. Then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph.*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 1.2, it is enough to consider that *G* is not a cycle, i.e.,  $\delta(G) = 1$ . Moreover,  $\alpha(G) \geq 2$  and  $\tau(G) = 2|V(G)| \geq 16$  in this case. Therefore, by Lemma 2.7, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph. by Lemma 2.7, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let G be a connected graph of order at least* 15*. Then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph if and only if*  $G \neq K_{1,n}$  *for*  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ *.* 

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, it is enough to prove that *G* is a 3-TEPC graph when  $|E(G)| > |V(G)|$ . By Lemma 2.6, it is sufficient to consider  $|V(G)| < |E(G)| < 5(|V(G)| - 1).$ 

As  $|E(G)| > |V(G)|$ ,  $\tau(G) \geq 15 + 16 = 31$  and there are at least two distinct cycles in *G*. The length of a longest cycle in *G* denote by  $\ell$ . Consider the following cases.

*Case* A.  $\ell \geq 8$ . In this case, *G* contains a path of length 7. Therefore, by Lemma 2.11, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

*Case* B.  $6 \leq \ell \leq 7$ . According to Corollary 2.10, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

*Case* C.  $\ell = 5$ . The edges of a cycle of length 5 together with an edge which is not a chord of this cycle contain a 3-matching. Thus,  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$  in this case. Therefore, by Lemma 2.9 (when  $\tau(G) \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ) or by Lemma 2.8 (when  $\tau(G) \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ), *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.

*Case* D.  $\ell \leq 4$ . According to Lemma 2.9, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph whenever  $\tau(G) \neq 3$ (mod 6). Thus, next suppose that  $\tau(G) \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ . Then there is an integer *t* such that  $\tau(G) = 6t + 3$ . As  $|V(G)| < |E(G)| < 5(|V(G)| - 1)$ ,  $30 \le 2|V(G)| < \tau(G)$  $6|V(G)|-5$  and consequently  $5 \le t \le |V(G)|-1$ .

By Lemma 2.8, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph when  $\alpha(G) \geq 3$ . So, it remains to consider that  $\alpha(G) = 2$ .

Let  $C$  and  $C'$  be two distinct cycles in  $G$ . If  $C$  and  $C'$  are vertex disjoint, then for any edge of a path joining  $C$  and  $C'$  there are two edges (the first from  $C$  and

the second from  $C'$  such that they altogether form a 3-matching, a contradiction to  $\alpha(G) = 2$ . So,  $V(C) \cap V(C') \neq \emptyset$ . Moreover, if both cycles have length 4, then at least one end vertex of any edge of  $C'$  belongs to  $V(C)$ . Therefore, the subgraph of  $G$ induced by  $E(C) \cup E(C')$  is a connected graph of order at most 6 with at least two distinct cycles. Then there is a connected subgraph *H* of *G* such that  $|V(H)| = 6$  and  $|E(H)| = 7$ . Let  $T_H$  be a spanning tree of *H*. Then there are two distinct edges  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  of *H* such that  $E(H) = E(T_H) \cup \{a_1, a_2\}$ . As *G* is connected, there is a spanning tree *T* of *G* which contains  $T_H$ . Denote by  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_p$  ( $p = |V(G)| - 1$ ) the edges of *T* in such a way that  $e_i \in E(T_H)$  for each  $i \in [1, 5]$  and the subgraph of *G* induced by  ${e_1, \ldots, e_j}$  is a connected graph (tree) for each  $j \in [1, p]$ . Set

$$
A = \big\{e_i : i \in [1, t]\big\}.
$$

Then  $G[A]$  is a tree and so  $|E(G[A])| = t$ ,  $|V(G[A])| = t + 1$ . Therefore,  $\tau(G[A]) =$  $2t + 1 = \tau(G)/3$ . Moreover,  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are *AA*-edges and so, according to Lemma 2.5, *G* is a 3-TEPC graph.  $\Box$ 

We believe that the following conjecture is true.

**Conjecture 3.4.** *Let G be a connected graph of order at least* 4*. Then G is a* 3*-TEPC graph if and only if*

$$
\tau(G) \neq 12 \quad and \quad G \neq K_{1,n} \text{ for } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}.
$$

### **Acknowledgments**

*This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the contract No. APVV-15-0116 and by the Slovak VEGA Grant 1/0368/16.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Azaizeh, R. Hasni, A. Ahmad, G.-C. Lau, *3-total edge product cordial labeling of graphs*, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences **96** (2015), 193–209.
- [2] I. Cahit, *Cordial Graphs: A weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs*, Ars Combin. **23** (1987), 201–207.
- [3] J.A. Gallian, *A dynamic survey of graph labeling*, Electronic J. Combinatorics (2016),  $#DS6.$
- [4] D. König, *Graphen und Matrizen*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **38** (1931), 116–119.
- [5] J. Ivančo, *On k-total edge product cordial graphs*, Australas. J. Combin. **67** (2017), 476–485.
- [6] R. Ponraj, M. Sundaram, M. Sivakumar, *k-total product cordial labeling of graphs*, Appl. Appl. Math.: An Inter. J. **7** (2012), 708–716.
- [7] R. Ponraj, M. Sivakumar, M. Sundaram, *On 3-total product cordial graphs*, Int. Math. Forum **7** (2012), no. 29–32, 1537–1546.
- [8] R. Ponraj, M. Sivakumar, M. Sundaram, *New families of 3-total product cordial graphs*, Inter. J. Math. Archive **3** (2012) 5, 1985–1990.
- [9] M. Sivakumar, *On 4-total product cordiality of some corona graphs*, Internat. J. Math. Combin. **3** (2016), 99–106.
- [10] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj, S. Somasundaram, *Total product cordial labeling of graphs*, Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Sect. E, Math. Stat. **25** (2006), 199–203.
- [11] A. Tenguria, R. Verma, 3*-total super product cordial labeling for some graphs*, Internat. J. Science and Research **4** (2015) 2, 557–559.
- [12] S.K. Vaidya, C.M. Barasara, *Total edge product cordial labeling of graphs*, Malaya Journal of Mathematik **3(1)** (2013), 55–63.
- [13] S.K. Vaidya, C.M. Barasara, *On total edge product cordial labeling*, Internat. J. Math. Scientific Comput. **3(2)** (2013), 12–16.
- [14] S.K. Vaidya, C.M. Barasara, *On embedding and NP-complete problems of equitable labelings*, IOSR-JM **11** (2015), 80–85.

Jaroslav Ivančo jaroslav.ivanco@upjs.sk

P.J. Šafárik University Institute of Mathematics Jesenná 5, 041 54 Košice, Slovakia

*Received: February 2, 2016. Revised: January 26, 2017. Accepted: January 27, 2017.*