

TAKASHI NOIRI AND VALERIU POPA

**SOME FORM OF OPEN SETS AND CONTINUITY
IN IDEAL BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES**

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of (i, j) - mI -open sets as a unified form of (i, j) - α - I -open sets [4], (i, j) -semi- I -open sets [3], (i, j) -pre- I -open sets [1], (i, j) - bI -open sets [17] and (i, j) - β - I -open sets [2]. We show that properties of (i, j) - mI -open sets follow from the properties of minimal open sets in [14]. We introduce and investigate an (i, j) - mI -continuous function from an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) to a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) .

KEY WORDS: minimal structure, m -continuous, ideal bitopological space, (i, j) mIO(X)-structure, (i, j) mI -continuous.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 54C08, 54E55.

1. Introduction

Kelly [6] introduced and investigated the notion of bitopological spaces. The notion of ideal topological spaces was introduced in [7] and [18]. In [5], the authors obtained a new topology τ^* from a topology τ and an ideal \mathcal{I} .

The notion of minimal spaces is introduced in [14], as a generalization of topological spaces, and the notion of m -continuous functions on the space is introduced. Quite recently, (i, j) - α - I -open sets [4], (i, j) -semi- I -open sets [3], (i, j) -pre- I -open sets [1], (i, j) - bI -open sets [17] and (i, j) - β - I -open sets [2] in an ideal bitopological space have been introduced and investigated. And by using these open sets, some kind of continuous functions from an ideal bitopological space to a bitopological space are defined and investigated.

In this paper, we define the notion of (i, j) - mI -open sets as a unified form of (i, j) - α - I -open sets [4], (i, j) -semi- I -open sets [3], (i, j) -pre- I -open sets [1], (i, j) - bI -open sets [17] and (i, j) - β - I -open sets [2]. We show that properties of (i, j) - mI -open sets follow from the properties of minimal open sets in [14]. Moreover, we introduce and investigate an (i, j) - mI -continuous function from an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) to a bitopological space (Y, σ_1, σ_2) .

2. Preliminaries

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A a subset of X . The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by $\text{Cl}(A)$ and $\text{Int}(A)$, respectively. We recall several properties of minimal structures and m -continuous functions.

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set and $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the power set of X . A subfamily m_X of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is called a minimal structure (briefly m -structure) on X [13], [14] if $\emptyset \in m_X$ and $X \in m_X$.

By (X, m_X) , we denote a nonempty set X with an m -structure m_X on X and call it an m -space. Each member of m_X is said to be m_X -open (briefly m -open) and the complement of an m_X -open set is said to be m_X -closed (briefly m -closed).

Definition 2. Let (X, m) be a minimal space. For a subset A of X , the m_X -closure of A and the m_X -interior of A are defined in [8] as follows:

- (1) $m\text{Cl}(A) = \cap\{F : A \subset F, X \setminus F \in m_X\}$,
- (2) $m\text{Int}(A) = \cup\{U : U \subset A, U \in m_X\}$.

Lemma 1 ([8]). Let (X, m) be a minimal space. For subsets A and B of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) $m\text{Cl}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus m\text{Int}(A)$ and $m\text{Int}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus m\text{Cl}(A)$,
- (2) If $(X \setminus A) \in m_X$, then $m\text{Cl}(A) = A$ and if $A \in m_X$, then $m\text{Int}(A) = A$,
- (3) $m\text{Cl}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $m\text{Cl}(X) = X$, $m\text{Int}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $m\text{Int}(X) = X$,
- (4) If $A \subset B$, then $m\text{Cl}(A) \subset m\text{Cl}(B)$ and $m\text{Int}(A) \subset m\text{Int}(B)$,
- (5) $A \subset m\text{Cl}(A)$ and $m\text{Int}(A) \subset A$,
- (6) $m\text{Cl}(m\text{Cl}(A)) = m\text{Cl}(A)$ and $m\text{Int}(m\text{Int}(A)) = m\text{Int}(A)$.

Definition 3. A minimal structure m_X on a nonempty set X is said to have property \mathcal{B} [8] if the union of any family of subsets belonging to m_X belongs to m_X .

Lemma 2 ([16]). Let X be a nonempty set and m_X an m -structure on X satisfying property \mathcal{B} . For a subset A of X , the following properties hold:

- (1) $A \in m_X$ if and only if $m\text{Int}(A) = A$,
- (2) A is m_X -closed if and only if $m\text{Cl}(A) = A$,
- (3) $m\text{Int}(A) \in m_X$ and $m\text{Cl}(A)$ is m_X -closed.

Lemma 3 ([13]). Let (X, m_X) be a minimal space and A a subset of X . Then $x \in m\text{Cl}(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in m_X$ containing x .

Definition 4. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be m -continuous at $x \in X$ [14], where (Y, σ) is a topological space, if for each open set V containing $f(x)$, there exists $U \in m_X$ containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$. The function f is said to be m -continuous if it has this property at each $x \in X$.

By Theorem 3.1 of [14] and Lemma 2, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 1 ([14]). *For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) f is m -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V)$ is m_X -open for every open set V of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(F)$ is m_X -closed for every closed set F of Y ;
- (4) $mCl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(Cl(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $f(mCl(A)) \subset Cl(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (6) $f^{-1}(Int(B)) \subset mInt(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, we define $D_m(f)$ as follows:

$$D_m(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } m\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

Theorem 2 ([15]). *For a function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, where m_X has property \mathcal{B} , the following properties hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} D_m(f) &= \bigcup_{G \in \sigma} \{f^{-1}(G) \setminus mInt(f^{-1}(G))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}(Int(B)) \setminus mInt(f^{-1}(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{mCl(f^{-1}(B)) \setminus f^{-1}(Cl(B))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{mCl(A) \setminus f^{-1}(Cl(f(A)))\} \\ &= \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{mCl(f^{-1}(F)) \setminus f^{-1}(F)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{F} is the family of closed sets of (Y, σ) .

3. Ideal topological spaces

A subfamily I of the power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ on a nonempty set X is called an *ideal* on X if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$ implies $B \in I$,
- (2) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$.

A topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X is called an ideal topological space and is denoted by (X, τ, I) . Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$. For any subset A of X , $A^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$ is called the local function of A with respect to τ and I [5]. Hereafter $A^*(I, \tau)$ is simply denoted by A^* . The set operator Cl^* called the \star -closure [5] is defined as follows: $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$

for every subset A of X . Let $\tau^* = \{U \subset X : \text{Cl}^*(X \setminus U) = X \setminus U\}$. Then τ^* is a topology which is finer than τ and is called the \star -topology.

Lemma 4 ([5]). *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A, B be subsets of X . Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) $A \subset \text{Cl}^*(A)$,
- (2) $\text{Cl}^*(X) = X$ and $\text{Cl}^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$,
- (3) $A \subset B$ implies $\text{Cl}^*(A) \subset \text{Cl}^*(B)$,
- (4) $\text{Cl}^*(A) \cup \text{Cl}^*(B) \subset \text{Cl}^*(A \cup B)$.

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bitopological space and I be an ideal of X . By (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) , we denote an ideal bitopological space. Moreover, we set

$$jA^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for every } U \in \tau_j(x)\}$$

$$\text{and } j\text{Cl}^*(A) = jA^* \cup A$$

for every subset A of X

Definition 5. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space. A subset A of X is said to be*

- (1) (i, j) - α - I -open [4] if $A \subset \text{iInt}(j\text{Cl}^*(\text{iInt}(A)))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$,
- (2) (i, j) -semi- I -open [3] if $A \subset j\text{Cl}^*(\text{iInt}(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$,
- (3) (i, j) -pre- I -open [1] if $A \subset \text{iInt}(j\text{Cl}^*(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$,
- (4) (i, j) - bI -open [17] if $A \subset \text{iInt}(j\text{Cl}^*(A)) \cup j\text{Cl}^*(\text{iInt}(A))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$,
- (5) (i, j) - β - I -open [2] if $A \subset j\text{Cl}(\text{iInt}(j\text{Cl}^*(A)))$, where $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

The family of all (i, j) - α - I -open (resp. (i, j) -semi- I -open, (i, j) -pre- I -open, (i, j) - bI -open, (i, j) - β - I -open) sets in an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is denoted by $(i, j)\alpha\text{IO}(X)$ (resp. $(i, j)\text{SIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{PIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{BIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\beta\text{IO}(X)$).

Remark 1. By $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$, we denote each one of the families $(i, j)\alpha\text{IO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{SIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{PIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{BIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\beta\text{IO}(X)$.

Lemma 5. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space. Then $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ is a minimal structure on X and has property \mathcal{B} .*

Proof. By Lemmas 1(3) and 4(2), $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ is a minimal structure on X . It follows from Lemmas 1(4) and 4(3) that $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ has property \mathcal{B} . ■

Remark 2. It is shown in Theorem 3.17 of [4] (resp. Theorem 3.11 of [3], Theorem 2.15 of [1], Theorem 3.2 of [17], Theorem 1 of [2]) that $(i, j)\alpha\text{IO}(X)$ (resp. $(i, j)\text{SIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{PIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\text{BIO}(X)$, $(i, j)\beta\text{IO}(X)$) has property \mathcal{B} .

Definition 6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space. For a subset A of X , $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)$ and $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A)$ are defined as follows:

- (1) $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A) = \cap\{F : A \subset F, X \setminus F \in (i, j)\text{mIO}(X)\}$,
- (2) $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A) = \cup\{U : U \subset A, U \in (i, j)\text{mIO}(X)\}$.

Lemma 6. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) an ideal bitopological space and A, B subsets of X . Then the following properties hold:

- (1) $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A) \subset A$,
- (2) $A \in (i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ if and only if $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A) = A$,
- (3) $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(X) = X$,
- (4) If $A \subset B$, then $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A) \subset (i, j)\text{mInt}_I(B)$,
- (5) $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I((i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A)) = (i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A)$,
- (6) $x \in (i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A)$ if and only if there exists an (i, j) - mI -open set U such that $x \in U \subset A$.

Proof. Since $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ is a minimal structure with property \mathcal{B} , this follows easily from Lemmas 1 and 2. ■

Remark 3. By Lemma 6, we obtain Theorem 3.25 of [4], Theorems 3.20 of [3], Theorem 3.24 of [1], Theorem 3.7 of [17] and Theorem 7 of [2].

Lemma 7. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal minimal space and A, B subsets of X . Then the following properties hold:

- (1) $A \subset (i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)$,
- (2) $(X \setminus A) \in (i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ if and only if $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A) = A$,
- (3) $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(X) = X$,
- (4) If $A \subset B$, then $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A) \subset (i, j)\text{mCl}_I(B)$,
- (5) $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I((i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)) = (i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)$,
- (6) $x \in (i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)$ if and only if $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in (i, j)\text{mIO}(X)$ containing x .

Proof. This follows easily from Lemmas 1, 2 and 3. ■

Remark 4. By Lemma 7, we obtain Theorems 3.31 and 3.32 of [4], Theorems 3.22 and 3.23 of [3], Theorems 2.27 and 2.26 of [1], Theorem 3.7 of [17] and Theorems 8 and 9 of [2].

Lemma 8. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space and A be a subset of X . $(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{mInt}_I(A)$ and $(i, j)\text{mInt}_I(X \setminus A) = X \setminus (i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A)$.

Remark 5. By Lemma 8, we obtain Theorem 3.33 of [4], Theorem 3.24 of [3], Theorem 2.28 of [1], Theorem 3.9 of [17] and Theorem 10 of [2].

4. (i, j) - mI -continuous functions

Definition 7. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be (i, j) - α - \mathcal{I} -continuous [4] (resp. (i, j) -semi- \mathcal{I} -continuous [3], (i, j) -pre- \mathcal{I} -continuous [1], (i, j) - bI -continuous [17], (i, j) - β - \mathcal{I} -continuous [2]) at $x \in X$ if for each σ_i -open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists an (i, j) - α - I -open (resp. (i, j) -semi- I -open, (i, j) -pre- I -open, (i, j) - bI -open, (i, j) - β - I -open) set U in (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$. And f is said to be (i, j) - α - \mathcal{I} -continuous [4] (resp. (i, j) -semi- \mathcal{I} -continuous [3], (i, j) -pre- \mathcal{I} -continuous [1], (i, j) - bI -continuous [17], (i, j) - β - \mathcal{I} -continuous [2]) (on X) if it has this property at each point $x \in X$.

Definition 8. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to be (i, j) - mI -continuous at $x \in X$ (resp. on X) if $f : (X, (i, j)mIO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_i)$ is m -continuous at $x \in X$ (resp. on X). And f is said to be pairwise mI -continuous if f is (i, j) - mI -continuous and (j, i) - mI -continuous, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

By Theorem 1, we obtain the following characterizations of (i, j) - mI -continuous functions.

Theorem 3. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) f is (i, j) - mI -continuous;
- (2) $f^{-1}(V)$ is (i, j) - mI -open for every σ_i -open set V of Y ;
- (3) $f^{-1}(F)$ is (i, j) - mI -closed for every σ_i -closed set F of Y ;
- (4) $(i, j)mCl_I(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(iCl(B))$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (5) $f((i, j)mCl_I(A)) \subset iCl(f(A))$ for every subset A of X ;
- (6) $f^{-1}(iInt(B)) \subset (i, j)mInt_I(f^{-1}(B))$ for every subset B of Y .

Remark 6. If $(i, j)mIO(X)$ is $(i, j)\alpha IO(X)$ (resp. $(i, j)SIO(X)$, $(i, j)PIO(X)$, $(i, j)BIO(X)$, $(i, j)\beta IO(X)$), then by Theorem 3 we obtain Theorem 4.4 of [4] (resp. Theorem 4.3 of [3], Theorem 3.5 of [1], Theorem 4.1 of [17], Theorem 11 of [2]).

For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, we define $D_{(i, j)mI}(f)$ as follows:

$$D_{(i, j)mI}(f) = \{x \in X : f \text{ is not } (i, j)\text{-}mI\text{-continuous at } x\}.$$

Theorem 4. For a function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$, the following properties hold:

$$D_{(i, j)mI}(f) = \bigcup_{G \in \sigma_i} \{f^{-1}(G) \setminus (i, j)mInt_I(f^{-1}(G))\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{f^{-1}(\text{iInt}(B)) \setminus (i, j)\text{mInt}_I(f^{-1}(B))\} \\
&= \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{P}(Y)} \{(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(f^{-1}(B)) \setminus f^{-1}(\text{iCl}(B))\} \\
&= \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{P}(X)} \{(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(A) \setminus f^{-1}(\text{iCl}(f(A)))\} \\
&= \bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \{(i, j)\text{mCl}_I(f^{-1}(F)) \setminus f^{-1}(F)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{F} is the family of σ_i -closed sets of (Y, σ_1, σ_2) .

5. Properties of (i, j) - mI -continuous functions

Definition 9. A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pairwise- T_2 [6] if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist a τ_i -open set U and a τ_j -open set V containing x and y , respectively, such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Definition 10. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space and $(i, j)mIO(X)$ be the family of (i, j) - mI -open sets. Then the space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is said to be pairwise mI - T_2 if for each pair of distinct points $x, y \in X$, there exist $U \in (i, j)mIO(X)$ and $V \in (j, i)mIO(X)$ containing x and y , respectively, such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$, where $i, j = 1, 2$ and $i \neq j$.

Theorem 5. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a pairwise mI -continuous injection and Y is pairwise- T_2 , then (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise mI - T_2 .

Proof. Let x and y be any pair of distinct points of X . Then $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since Y is pairwise- T_2 , there exist a σ_i -open set U and a σ_j -open set V containing $f(x)$ and $f(y)$, respectively, such that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Since f is pairwise mI -continuous, there exist $G \in (i, j)mIO(X)$ and $H \in (j, i)mIO(X)$ such that $x \in G$, $y \in H$, $f(G) \subset U$ and $f(H) \subset V$. This implies that $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pairwise mI - T_2 . ■

Definition 11. A function $f : (X, m_X) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to have an m -closed graph [14] if for each $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$, there exist $U \in m_X$ containing x and an open set V of Y containing y such that $(U \times V) \cap G(f) = \emptyset$.

Definition 12. A function $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is said to have an (i, j) - mI -closed graph if a function $f : (X, (i, j)mIO(X)) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_i)$ has an m -closed graph.

Theorem 6. If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is (i, j) - mI -continuous and (Y, σ_i) is Hausdorff, then f has an (i, j) - mI -closed graph.

Proof. Suppose that $(x, y) \in (X \times Y) - G(f)$. Then $y \neq f(x)$. Since (Y, σ_i) is Hausdorff, there exist disjoint σ_i -open sets V and W in Y containing y and $f(x)$, respectively. Since f is (i, j) - m -continuous, there exists $U \in (i, j)mIO(X)$ containing x such that $f(U) \subset W$. This implies that $f(U) \cap V = \emptyset$ and hence the function $f : (X, (i, j)mI(X)) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_i)$ has an m -closed graph. Hence f has an (i, j) - mI -closed graph. ■

Definition 13. A bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be pairwise connected [12] if X cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint sets U and V such that U is τ_i -open and V is τ_j -open for $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2$.

Definition 14. An ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is said to be pairwise mI -connected if X cannot be expressed as the union of two disjoint nonempty sets U and V such that $U \in (i, j)mIO(X)$ and $V \in (j, i)mIO(X)$ for $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2$.

Theorem 7. If an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is pairwise mI -connected and $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a pairwise mI -continuous surjection, then (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is pairwise connected.

Proof. Let (Y, σ_1, σ_2) be not pairwise connected. Then there exist a nonempty σ_i -open set V_1 and a nonempty σ_j -open set V_2 such that $Y = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$. Hence $f^{-1}(V_1)$ and $f^{-1}(V_2)$ are nonempty since f is surjective, $f^{-1}(V_1) \cup f^{-1}(V_2) = X$ and $f^{-1}(V_1) \cap f^{-1}(V_2) = \emptyset$. Since f is pairwise mI -continuous, $f^{-1}(V_1)$ is (i, j) - mI -open and $f^{-1}(V_2)$ is (j, i) - mI -open. This contradicts that X is pairwise mI -connected. Therefore, (Y, σ_1, σ_2) is pairwise connected. ■

Definition 15. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space. A subset A of X is said to be (i, j) - mI -compact relative to X if for every cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of K by (i, j) - mI -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $K \setminus \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \in I$. The space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is said to be (i, j) - mI -compact if X is (i, j) - mI -compact relative to X .

Definition 16. Let $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, J)$ be an ideal bitopological space. A subset K of Y is said to be σ_i - J -compact relative to Y if for every cover $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of K by σ_i -open sets of Y , there exists a finite subset Δ_0 of Δ such that $K \setminus \cup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0\} \in J$. The space $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, J)$ is said to be σ_i - J -compact if Y is σ_i - J -compact relative to Y .

It is known in [9] that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function and I is an ideal on X then $f(I)$ is an ideal on Y .

Theorem 8. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, f(I))$ is an (i, j) - mI -continuous function and K is (i, j) - mI -compact relative to X , then $f(K)$ is $\sigma_i f(I)$ -compact relative to Y .*

Proof. Let K be (i, j) - mI -compact relative to X and $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ any cover of $f(K)$ by σ_i -open sets of Y . For each $x \in K$, there exists an $\alpha(x) \in \Delta$ such that $f(x) \in V_{\alpha(x)}$. Since f is (i, j) - mI -continuous, there exists an (i, j) - mI -open set $U(\alpha(x))$ containing x such that $f(U(\alpha(x))) \subset V_{\alpha(x)}$. Since $\{U(\alpha(x)) : x \in K\}$ is a cover of K by (i, j) - mI -open sets of X , there exists a finite subset K_0 of K such that $K \setminus \cup\{U(\alpha(x)) : x \in K_0\} = I_0$, where $I_0 \in I$; hence

$$f(K) \subset \cup\{f(U(\alpha(x))) : x \in K_0\} \cup f(I_0) \subset \cup\{V_{\alpha(x)} : x \in K_0\} \cup f(I_0).$$

Therefore, we obtain $f(K) \setminus \cup\{V_{\alpha(x)} : x \in K_0\} \in f(I_0)$. This shows that $f(K)$ is $\sigma_i f(I)$ -compact relative to Y . ■

Corollary 1. *If $f : (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, f(I))$ is an (i, j) - mI -continuous surjective function and (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is (i, j) - mI -compact, then $(Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, f(I))$ is $\sigma_i f(I)$ -compact.*

Remark 7. If $(i, j)\text{mIO}(X) = (i, j)\text{BIO}(X)$, then by Corollary 1 we obtain Theorem 4.6 of [17].

6. Other forms of open sets in an ideal bitopological space

We shall obtain similar open sets with those in Definition 5 and other. For example, we have the following:

Definition 17. *Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) be an ideal bitopological space. A subset A of X is said to be*

- (1) *weakly (i, j) -semi- I -open if $A \subset j\text{Cl}^*(i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(A)))$,*
- (2) *weakly (i, j) - bI -open if $A \subset j\text{Cl}(i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}^*(A))) \cup j\text{Cl}^*(i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}(A)))$,*
- (3) *strongly (i, j) - β - I -open if $A \subset j\text{Cl}^*(i\text{Int}(j\text{Cl}^*(A)))$.*

The family of all weakly (i, j) -semi- I -open (resp. weakly (i, j) - bI -open, strongly (i, j) - β - I -open) sets in an ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, I) is denoted by $w(i, j)\text{SIO}(X)$ (resp. $w(i, j)\text{BIO}(X)$, $s(i, j)\beta\text{IO}(X)$). For these families, we obtain the similar properties with those in Sections 3, 4 and 5.

References

- [1] CALDAS M., JAFARI S., RAJESH N., Preopen sets in ideal bitopological spaces, *Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.*, 29(2) (2011), 61-68.
- [2] CALDAS M., JAFARI S., RAJESH N., Some fundamental properties of β -open sets in ideal bitopological spaces, *Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 6(2)(2013), 247-255.

- [3] CALDAS M., JAFARI S., RAJESH N., Semi-open sets in ideal bitopological spaces, *An. Univ. Sci. Budapest.*, (to appear).
- [4] EL-MAGHRABI A.I., CALDAS M., JAFARI S., LATIF R.M., NASEF A., RAJESH N., SHANTHI S., Properties of ideal bitopological α -open sets, *Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform.*, 27(2) (2017), 15-36.
- [5] JANKOVIĆ D., HAMLETT T.R., New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 97(1990), 295-310.
- [6] KELLY J.K., Bitopological spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 13(3) (1963), 71-89.
- [7] KURATOWSKI K., *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [8] MAKI H., RAO C.K., GANI A. NAGOOR, On generalizing semi-open and preopen sets, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, 49(1999), 17-29.
- [9] NEWCOMB R.L., *Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of California, USA (1967).
- [10] NOIRI T., POPA V., A new viewpoint in the study of irresoluteness forms in bitopological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Approx. Theory*, 1(1)(2006), 1-9.
- [11] T. , NOIRI T., POPA V., A new viewpoint in the study of continuity forms in bitopological spaces, *Kochi J. Math.*, 2(2007), 95-106.
- [12] PERVIN W.J., Connectedness in bitopological spaces, *Indag. Math.*, 29(1967), 369-372.
- [13] POPA V., NOIRI T., On M -continuous functions, *Anal. Univ. "Dunărea de Jos" Galați, Ser. Mat. Fiz. Mec. Teor., Fasc. II*, 18(23) (2000), 31-41.
- [14] POPA V., NOIRI T., On the definitions of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. Math.*, 22(2001), 9-18.
- [15] POPA V., NOIRI T., On the points of continuity and discontinuity, *Bul. U. P. G. Ploiesti, Ser. Mat. Inf. Fiz.*, 53(1) (2001), 95-100.
- [16] POPA V., NOIRI T., A unified theory of weak continuity for functions, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2), 51(2002), 439-464.
- [17] SARMA D.J., bI -open sets in ideal bitopological spaces, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 105(1) (2015), 7-18.
- [18] VAIDYANATHASWANI R., The localization theory in set-topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20(1945), 51-62.

TAKASHI NOIRI
 2949-1 SHIOKITA-CHO, HINAGU
 YATSUSHIRO-SHI, KUMAMOTO-KEN
 869-5142 JAPAN
e-mail: t.noiri@nifty.com

VALERIU POPA
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 UNIV. VASILE ALECSANDRI OF BACĂU
 600 115 BACĂU, RUMANIA
e-mail: vpopa@ub.ro

Received on 10.09.2019 and, in revised form, on 14.10.2021.