Numerical Analysis of Pressure Drop and Acoustic Attenuation Performance of Two Helicoidal Resonators Arranged in Parallel Ducts with Different Rotation Angles

Wojciech ŁAPKA

Poznan University of Technology, Institute of Applied Mechanics Jan Paweł II 24 Street, 61-139 Poznań, wojciech.lapka@put.poznan.pl

Abstract

The paper presents a numerical analysis of pressure drop and acoustic attenuation performance (transmission loss) of two identical acoustic helicoidal resonators arranged in parallel ducts with different rotation angles. The air stream is divided from one cylindrical duct of a diameter D=140mm to a two parallel cylindrical ducts of diameter d=125mm with two helicoidal resonators inside – one per one duct. The ratio of helicoidal pitch *s* of helicoidal resonators to a cylindrical duct diameter *d*=0.024, thickness *g* of helicoidal profile g/d=0.0024, and the number of helicoidal turn n=0,695 for both resonators. The investigated range of rotation angles covered the three characteristic positions of helicoidal resonators gaps, when considering the air stream distribution from central large duct with diameter *D*. The value of normal inflow velocity v[m/s] equaled 1 for all investigated cases.

Keywords: helicoidal resonators, pressure drop, acoustic attenuation, parallel ducts, flow distribution, numerical analysis

1. Introduction

The newly patented solution of acoustical helicoidal resonator [1] has a specific feature of a narrowband sound attenuation and multi resonances. The acoustical properties of this solution and basic dimensions are quite well described in many publications [2-7, 9-12, 14-17]. The flow properties of this solution were described mainly for one helicoidal resonator inside cylindrical duct [8, 9, 13, 14, 16]. In the paper [15] were mentioned the possibilities of inserting a few ducts with acoustically tuned helicoidal resonators for the same blade-passing frequency of fan in ducted system. From the acoustical point of view it is determined by the plane wave propagation condition, which must be satisfied for a proper work of helicoidal resonator (acoustical resonance). From the fluid dynamics point of view the pressure drop depends on many conditions of inserted helicoidal resonators, as example most important here relationship between helicoidal pitch sand numbers of helicoidal turns n. But the transition of air flow stream into few ducts induces more complications, as example distribution inside duct of helicoidal resonators and other obstacles.

Also this work presents the numerical analysis of transmission loss (*TL*) characteristics and pressure drop for specific three cases of rotation angles of two identical helicoidal resonators with constant s/d ratio that equals 1,976 and numbers of helicoidal turns n=0,695 arranged in parallel cylindrical ducts.

2. Description of investigated models

In this chapter are characterized investigated acoustical (2.1) and CFD turbulent flow (2.2) models of two identical helicoidal resonators placed inside a cylindrical ducts arranged in parallel just past the transition from one duct with larger diameter D=140mm to two parallel ducts with diameters d=125mm, as presented in Figure 1. In both subchapters the three dimensional (3D) models were analysed.



Figure 1. Example view on numerically represented and considered ducted system with two identical helicoidal resonators with s/d=1,976 and n=0,695 arranged in parallel ducts past the transition from one larger duct

The ducted system consists of a straight cylindrical ductsand the transition with length $l_2=200$ mm. The ratio of helicoidal pitch s of helicoidal resonators to cylindrical duct diameter d equals s/d=1,976, and the number of helicoidal turns n=0,695. The geometrical relationships of helicoidal resonator, as a mandrel diameter d_m to duct diameter ratio $d_m/d=0.024$ and thickness g of helicoidal profile g/d=0.0024, were constant as well. The length of the cylindrical duct with diameter D at the inlet sideequaled 500 mm, and the outlet parallel ducts with diameter d=125 mm equaled 1000 mm. As it is presented in figure 1 the helicoidal profiles were situated in the distance of 10 mm from the end of transition.

Three cases of rotation of helicoidal resonators were analyzed in this paper, as it is presented in Figure 2. Case 1 represents the situation when helicoidal resonators are placed in the same way inside cylindrical ducts. Case 2 represents the situation when the characteristic gaps of the rest part 0,305 of helicoidal turns are placed externally, and Case 3 represents the situation when those gaps are placed internally.



Figure 2. Investigated three cases of rotation angles of two identical helicoidal resonators arranged in parallel ducts

2.1. Acoustical model

Investigated in this paper acoustical models have the same parameters as in previous, well described studies under helicoidal resonators, as in example papers [2-7, 9-12, 14-17]. It was used the finite element method in Comsol Multiphysics-Acoustic Module numerical environment [18]. The transmission loss (*TL*) [19] was computed as the acoustic attenuation performance parameter. It was considered the sound propagation in air with temperature 20°C without flow. The boundary conditions were established, as follows:

- hard walls of all elements of helicoidal resonators (perfect reflection) and cylindrical ducts,
- plane waves radiation inlet (incident pressure *p*=1Pa) of a duct with diameter *D*=140mm and outlet surfaces of two cylindrical ducts with diameters *d*=125mm that satisfies the anechoic terminations to calculate *TL*.

Free tetrahedral mesh [18] was created with satisfying the rule of minimum 5 finite elements per sound wave length [20] for maximum frequency- here it is f_{max} =2000Hz at

20 Celsius degrees. The considered speed of sound in air $c_s=343$ m/s. Maximum finite element size equalled $h_e=0,2(c_s/f_{max})$. Example view on generated free tetrahedral mesh of investigated model is presented in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Example view on free tetrahedral mesh of investigated ducted acoustical system with two identical helicoidal resonators arranged in parallel

2.2. CFD turbulent flow model

CFD Module of Comsol Multiphysics [18] was used to solve investigated CFD turbulent flow model of ducted system analyzed as a single-phase flow k- ω turbulence RANS model [18, 21, 22] with compressible flow (Mach number lower than 0,3), as it was similarly considered in papers [8, 14, 16]. The main feature is fluid properties, that adds the Navier-Stokes equations and the transport equations for the turbulent kinetic energy kand the specific dissipation ω , and provides interface for defining the fluid material and its properties [14]. The basic fluid properties are: temperature $T=20^{\circ}$ C, reference atmospherical pressure $p_a=1$ atm, density and dynamic viscosity of air were calculated automatically from COMSOL material library [18]. The boundary conditions were described as follows:

- wall slip there are no viscous effects at the slip wall at all surfaces of cylindrical duct and helicoidal resonators,
- normal inflow velocity at the inlet equaled 1m/s,
- no viscous stress at the outlet, pressure there equaled 0 Pa.

Finite element mesh was generated automatically as a free tetrahedral and controlled by physics-fluid dynamics. The stationary solver was used.

3. Results

This chapter contains the results of solved pressure acoustics in frequency domain (subchapter 3.1) and fluid dynamics problems (subchapter (3.2) for investigated models of two identical helicoidal resonators with constant ratio s/d=1,976 and numbers of helicoidal turns n=0,695 arranged in parallel ducts past the transition from one larger duct with diameter D.

3.1. Transmission Loss

Figure 4 presents the three *TL* characteristics of two identical helicoidal resonators with ratio s/d=1,976 and numbers of helicoidal turns n=0,695 for three cases of rotation the helicoidal resonators and localisation of gaps.

The numerical calculation were made in the frequency range from 10Hz to 2000Hz with the calculation step of 10Hz.



Figure 4.Transmission Loss of two identical helicoidal resonators with s/d=1,976 and n=0,671 arranged in parallel ducts for three cases of rotation.

As it can be observed from Figure 4 the specific narrow-band attenuation of sounds for investigated two identical helicoidal resonators arranged in parallel ducts is visible for all investigated cases. But only for case 3, when the gaps are oriented internally, there were obtained the highest *TL* levels (*TL*₁≈35dB and *TL*₂≈34dB) for characteristic resonance frequencies (f_1 ≈1200Hz and f_2 ≈1350Hz) of this type of helicoidal resonators (see results of researches in several authors publications, as for example in [9,12,16]).

For case 1 with gaps oriented on the same side it is observed, near the second resonance frequency of about 1350Hz, *TL* level of about 22dB. And for the case 2, when the gaps are oriented externally, there are visible only nearly symmetrical *TL* distribution for one characteristic frequency of about 1250Hz with *TL* level of about 17dB.

3.2. CFD turbulent flow

The numerically calculated pressure drop Δp [Pa],as a difference between surface average pressure in [Pa] at the inlet and outlet of the ducted system for three cases of investigated two identical helicoidal resonators with ratio s/d=1,976 and number of helicoidal turns n=0,695 arranged in parallel cylindrical ducts with diameter d past the transition from cylindrical duct with diameter D, are presented in Figure 5.



Figure 5. Pressure drop Δp [Pa] of investigated three cases of two identical helicoidal resonators with ratio s/d=1,976 and number of helicoidal turns n=0,695 arranged in parallel ducts with different rotation angles

On the basis of performed CFD numerical analysis, there were calculated a total pressure drop coefficients ζ for three investigated cases in the same way as in previous papers [13,16], presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Total pressure drop coefficients ζ for three investigated cases

Case No.	ζ
1	1,4993
2	1,5832
3	1,4904

As it can be observed from Figure 5, the highest pressure drop Δp = 1,0609Pa (ζ =1,5832)occurs for case 2, when the gaps of two helicoidal resonators are oriented externally. The lowest pressure drop Δp = 1,005Pa (ζ =1,4904)occurs for case 3, when the gaps of two helicoidal resonators are oriented internally.

4. Conclusions

A numerical analysis of pressure drop and acoustic attenuation performance of two identical acoustic helicoidal resonators with s/d=1,976 and n=0,695 arranged in parallel cylindrical ducts with diameter d=125mm and different rotation angles past the transition from one cylindrical duct of a diameter D=140mm,was performed in this paper. Three cases of rotation angles and orientation of helicoidal resonators gaps were considered.

On the base of acoustical analysis, it can be found, that the specific narrow-band attenuation of sounds for investigated two identical helicoidal resonators arranged in parallel ducts with different rotation angles is visible for all investigated cases. But only

for case 3, when the gaps are oriented internally, there were obtained the highest *TL* levels for $(TL_1\approx35\text{dB} \text{ and } TL_2\approx34\text{dB})$ for characteristic resonance frequencies ($f_1\approx1200\text{Hz} \text{ and } f_2\approx1350\text{Hz}$) of this type of helicoidal resonators.

On the base of fluid dynamics analysis, it can be found, that the lowest pressure drop $\Delta p=1,005$ Pa($\zeta=1,4904$)occurs for case 3, when the gaps of two helicoidal resonators are oriented internally.

Obtained results are surprising, due to a fact that the case 3, when the helicoidal resonators gaps are oriented internally, provides the best acoustical attenuation performance and the lowest pressure drop.

Considered in this paper the three cases of rotation angles and orientation of gaps were selected intuitive in the manner of practical applications. There should be performed more acoustical and CFD analysis for ducted systems with helicoidal resonators placed past the transition to find the best solution.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges that this paper was financially supported in the year 2016 by the research project 02/21/DSPB/3478.

References

- 1. W. Łapka, C. Cempel, Acoustic filter for suppressing the sound in the channel installations, Polish Patent Office, Patent Number PL216176-B1, Publ. Date 31 MAR 2014.
- W. Łapka, C. Cempel, *Noise reduction of spiral ducts*, International Journal of Occupational Safety and Ergonomics (JOSE), 13(4) (2007) 419 – 426.
- 3. W. Łapka, Acoustic attenuation performance of a round silencer with the spiral duct at the inlet, Archives of Acoustics (Supplement), **32**(4) (2007) 247 252.
- W. Łapka, C. Cempel, Computational and experimental investigations of a sound pressure level distribution at the outlet of the spiral duct, Archives of Acoustics (Supplement), 33(4) (2008), 65 – 70.
- 5. W. Łapka, C. Cempel, *Acoustic attenuation performance of Helmholtz resonator and spiral duct*, Vibrations in Physical Systems, **23** (2008) 247 252.
- 6. W. Łapka, *Insertion loss of spiral ducts measurements and computations*, Archives of Acoustics, **34**(4) (2009) 407 415.
- W. Łapka, Substitutional transmittance function of helicoidal resonator, Vibrations in Physical Systems, 24 (2010) 265 – 270.
- W. Łapka, Comparison of numerically calculated pressure drop for selected helicoidal resonators, Proceedings of the 59th Open Seminar on Acoustics joint with Workshop on Strategic Management of Noise including Aircraft Noise, Polskie Towarzystwo Akustyczne - Oddział Poznański, (2012) 145 – 148.
- 9. W. Łapka, Numerical Aeroacoustic Research of Transmission Loss Characteristics Change of Selected Helicoidal Resonators due to Different Air Flow Velocities, Vibrations in Physical Systems, **25** (2012) 267 – 272.

- W. Łapka, Multi resonant helicoidal resonator for passive noise control in ducted systems, Experimental Mechanics- New Trends and Perspectives, Editors J.F. Silva Gomes, Mario A.P. Vaz, Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Experimental Mechanics, ICEM15, Porto, Portugalia, 22-27 lipiec 2012, 995 – 996, 2012.
- 11. W. Łapka, *Helicoidal resonators for passive noise control in ducted systems with practical application*, Proceedings of Internoise 2012, New York, USA, 2012.
- W. Łapka, Numerical study of acoustic-structure interaction of selected helicoidal resonator with flexible helicoidal profile, Postępy Akustyki, Polskie Towarzystwo Akustyczne - Oddział w Rzeszowie, Rzeszów, (2013) 194 – 205.
- W. Łapka, M. Szymański, R. Górzeński, Badania oporów przepływu w zależności od strumienia objętości powietrza w kanale dla wybranych rezonatorów helikoidalnych, Postępy Akustyki, Polskie Towarzystwo Akustyczne - Oddział w Rzeszowie, Rzeszów, (2013) 168 – 180.
- 14. W. Łapka, *Transmission Loss and Pressure Drop of Selected Range of Helicoidal Resonators*, Vibrations in Physical Systems, **26** (2014) 121 128.
- 15. W. Łapka, Fan duct noise elimination by the use of helicoidal resonators, Proceedings of Inter-Noise 2014, 16-19 November 2014, Melbourne, Australia, 2014.
- W. Łapka, P. Kędzia, Numerical analysis of transmission loss and pressure drop of helicoidal resonators in straight cylindrical ducts, Proceedings of Forum Acusticum 2014, 7-12 September 2014, Kraków, Poland, 6 pages in CD, 2014.
- W. Łapka, Acoustic attenuation performance of selected helicoidal resonators lined with an absorbent materials of different thickness and density, Proceedings of Inter-Noise 2013, Innsbruck, Austria, 2013, 8 pages in CD, 2013.
- 18. COMSOL Multiphysics, *User's Guide and Model Library Documentation Set*, COMSOL AB, www.comsol.com, Stockholm, Sweden, 2016.
- 19. M. L. Munjal, Acoustics of Ducts and Mufflers with Application to Exhaust and Ventilation System Design, Inc., Calgary, Canada, John Wiley & Sons, 1987.
- S. Marburg, B. Nolte, Computational Acoustics of Noise Propagation in Fluids Finite and Boundary Element Methods, 578, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany, 2008.
- 21. D. C. Wilcox, *Turbulence Modeling for CFD*, 3rd edition, DCW Industries, Inc., La Canada CA, 2006.
- 22. D. C. Wilcox, Formulation of the k-omega Turbulence Model Revisited, AIAA Journal, 46(11) (2008) 2823 2838.