DENSIFICATION BEHAVIOUR OF CAROB POWDER
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Abstract

The behaviour of carob (Ceratonia siliqua L.) powder relevant to its densification at low pressure was determined given different equipment aspect ratios (0.5, 1.0 and 1.5) and varying rates of deformation (5.5, 10 and 14.5 mm min⁻¹). The effects of both parameters were examined through the analysis of variance and trends were fitted to observed mechanical responses using standard regression technique. Both aspect ratio and the time rate of deformation had highly significant effects on the material’s response to load. Strain rate and specific power requirement were power functions of the equipment’s aspect ratio, at all rates of deformation. These findings furnish insights on mechanical response in compressed food powders which are applicable in modelling related food handling and processing systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Available studies on mechanical behaviour of food materials under compressive loads describe their responses in terms of the loss of porosity (Faborode and O’Callaghan 1986, Raji and Favier 2004b). The expulsion of air and liquid essence constitutes a major component of this mechanism (Faborode 1989); particles within the material matrix are relocated, deformed and packed more closely. Densification may be applied to modify product forms or to achieve high
material and nutrient densities such as are important to their desirability, transport and storage (Akangbe and Herák 2017, Wójtowicz et al. 2015).

Although product depth is known to influence performance during densification (Divišová et al. 2014), it interacts with other system variables (Tumuluru 2015) and is influenced by material and process conditions (Karunanithy et al. 2012, Tumuluru 2014). This may also be perceived for strain when it varies with time due to the rate of effectuation of deformation (Gong et al. 2018). The influence of such interacting parameters, such as the depth of product charge and the equipment’s characteristic dimension, on densification systems is seldom modelled. Available treatments are mostly with respect to force and deformation relationships (Herák et al. 2012). Although observed trends differ from material to material, it is possible to describe those behaviours with similar presentations (Nona et al. 2014). Fitting descriptions of the trends involved are important for describing densification systems. In this study, the effects of the rate of deformation and equipment aspect ratio on the densification of a highly functional food powder, namely carob were investigated and relationships described for important response parameters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) powder, purchased in Czech Republic, was used for this study. The material had average particle size of 0.5 mm and initial bulk density of 711.69±26.55 kg·m⁻³.

A schematic view of the compression device is shown in figure 1. The device was comprised of cylindrical die, a base plug and a piston. The die had an internal bore diameter of 25 mm with wall thickness of 40 mm. The base plug is about 95 mm in diameter, stepped inwards diametrically at 25mm depth from its top, 35 mm from its circumference. The plug fits closely in the die sleeve. The solid piston provided is 200 mm long and 25 mm in diameter. The compression device is mounted on the bed of a Tempos ZDM 50 universal test rig and loaded compressively through a flat topped, hemispherical disc.

Some volume measure of carob powder corresponding to an equipment aspect ratio was scooped, weighed and fed into the compression chamber. This sample was then gradually compressed a specified rate of deformation, up to a maximum compressive force of 25 kN, under laboratory conditions of 20°C. This corresponded to a maximum applied pressure of 50 MPa, at full load. Three rates of deformation (5.5, 10 and 14.5 mm·min⁻¹) and three equipment aspect ratios of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 were employed. An aspect is the depth of product in the compression chamber relative to the internal bore diameter of the compression vessel, which was 25 mm. There were nine treatments in all. Each test was repeated thrice and the total number of experimental runs was 27. This was a full
factorial experiment, fitted into a completely randomised design. Test data were logged electronically using the TiraTest software (TIRA GmbH, Schalkau, Germany). Samples were weighed using the Kern 440–35N (Kern & Sohn GmbH, Stuttgart, Germany) top-loading type weighing balance.

Prior to densification, bulk density of the batch of carob powder used was determined as the ratio of the mass of a sample to the known free-fill volume (Mohsenin 1986). The highest value of deformation which was achieved for each crop at any given load was defined as $\delta_c$ (mm). Where $\delta_o$ (mm) is the initial height of pressed bulk seeds, the strain in the compressed material $\epsilon$ (–) is given by Eq. 1

$$\epsilon = \frac{\delta_c}{\delta_o}$$
The initial volume of compressed material, \((\text{mm}^3)\) is given by Eq. 2

\[
v = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} \times \delta_o
\]  
(2)

where, \(D\) (mm) is the inside diameter of the constraining cylindrical steel vessel.

Bulk density of the compressed material, \(\rho_c\) (kg·m\(^{-3}\)) was determined in relation to the mass \((m_s)\) and volume \((v_c)\) of the compressed product (Eq. 3).

\[
\rho_c = \frac{m_s}{v_c}
\]  
(3)

The volume of the compressed product, \(v_c\) (mm\(^3\)) may be calculated (Eq. 4) as follows:

\[
v_c = \frac{\pi D^2}{4} \times \delta_f
\]  
(4)

where, \(\delta_f\) (mm) is the final height of the compressed material in the compression chamber.

The area beneath each force – deformation curve may be numerically computed using a trapezoidal rule (Eq. 5).

\[
E = \sum_{n=0}^{n=i-1} \left[ \frac{(F_{n+1} + F_n)}{2} \times (\delta_{n+1} - \delta_n) \right]
\]  
(5)

This is the energy required for the indicated deformation of the compressed material, \(i\) being the number of subdivisions of the deformation axis or incremental deformation, logged by the test equipment (Akangbe and Herák 2017). For any deformation, \(\delta_n\) (mm), \(F_n\) (N) is the required force for that deformation. Expressed in terms of the volume of material compressed, specific energy demand, \(E_v\) (J·mm\(^{-3}\)) may be computed as shown in Eq. 6.

\[
E_v = \frac{E}{v}
\]  
(6)

The time rate of the expenditure of this energy (specific power requirement, \(\dot{E}\)) may be determined as shown in Eq. 7.

\[
\dot{E} = \frac{E_v}{t}
\]  
(7)

A modulus, \(M_n\) may be described which includes both elastic and plastic components of deformation and is computed as the slope of the stress-strain curve (Eq. 8).
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Test data were analysed for variance using the completely randomised design model in Genstat. Treatment means were compared using Duncan’s multiple range test. All numerical computations and graphical plots were done using MS Excel.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The main effects of the rate of deformation and aspect ratio on the mechanical response parameters were highly significant ($p<0.001$), except for the effects of the rate of deformation on volume specific energy demand and deformation modulus, which were not significant (Table 1). The interactions of the rate of deformation and aspect ratio had significant effects on densification time, rate of strain and power, with no significant effects on other response variables.

Table 1. Effects of deformation rate and aspect ratio on response variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response parameter</th>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Deformation Rate, $D_R$</th>
<th>Aspect Ratio, $A_R$</th>
<th>$D_R \times A_R$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deformation, $\delta$ (mm)</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.065 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, $\epsilon$ (-)</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.144 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate, $\epsilon (S^{-1})$</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific energy, $E_v (kJm^{-3})$</td>
<td>0.722ns</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.271 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power, $E (kJm^{-3}S^{-1})$</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation modulus, $M_n (MPa)$</td>
<td>0.232ns</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.581 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density of compressed material, $\rho_c (kgm^{-3})$</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.265 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain in bulk density, $G_p$ (%)</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.001**</td>
<td>0.265 ns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ns = not significant at the 5% level; * = significant (at the 5% level); ** = highly significant (at the 1% level).

Force–deformation profiles of carob powder, compressed to a maximum load of 25 kN at 10 mm·min⁻¹, are presented in Fig. 2. The trends are curvilinear and developed with incremental application of compressive load. Each curve indicates a high compressibility and improved packing with the onset and development of deformation (Raji and Favier 2004a). Similar amounts of deformation were achieved at rates of 10.0 and 14.5 mm·min⁻¹. Deformation at 5.5 mm·min⁻¹ was significantly lower than these (Table 2). Deformation differed significantly...
from one aspect ratio to another, being least at the lowest aspect ratio and increasing as aspect ratio increased (Table 3). Deformation rate correlated negatively with densification time and positively with aspect ratio. More time was required for densification at lower rates than at higher rates and densification time increased as the aspect ratio became larger. The most time was required for the largest aspect ratio at the lowest rate of deformation.

Strain induced at the rate of 5.5 mm min\(^{-1}\) was lower than those induced at 10 and 14.5 mm min\(^{-1}\) (Table 2). Also, the biggest amount of strain was associated with the smallest aspect ratio (Table 3).

Strain induced at an aspect ratio of 1.5 was higher than that induced at aspect ratio of 1.0 (Table 3) and could be attributed to the relative product depths. Not all strains are productive (Akangbe and Herak 2017). Within some regime, it has been shown (Mani et al. 2004) that a larger component of the applied pressure may be dissipated through rearrangement of particles within the material matrix. Hence, a significant amount of strain during the onset of deformation results from translocation of product particles, expulsion of air from the material matrix and the associated loss of void capacity.

Figure 2. Force and deformation profiles of carob powder at aspect ratios of 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5, densified at a deformation rate of 10 mm min\(^{-1}\).
Table 2. Main effects of the time rate of deformation on mechanical response parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Parameters</th>
<th>Deformation rate, D_r (mm min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation, δ (mm)</td>
<td>10.5ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, ϵ (-)</td>
<td>0.427ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate, ϵ (S⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0045ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific energy, E_v (kJm⁻³)</td>
<td>4.70ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power, E (kJm⁻³S⁻¹)</td>
<td>49.7ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation modulus, M_n (MPa)</td>
<td>473.6ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density of compressed material, ρ_c (kgm⁻³)</td>
<td>1246.0ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain in bulk density, G_p (%)</td>
<td>75.1ᵇ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values are compared row-wise. Similar alphabets indicate homogeneous subsets. Significant effects are valid at the 5% level of significance.

Table 3. Main effects of equipment aspect ratio on mechanical response parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response Parameters</th>
<th>Aspect ratio, A_r (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation, δ (mm)</td>
<td>6.1ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain, ϵ (-)</td>
<td>0.491ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain rate, ϵ (S⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0132ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific energy, E_v (kJm⁻³)</td>
<td>5.3⁰ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power, E (kJm⁻³S⁻¹)</td>
<td>142.6ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation modulus, M_n (MPa)</td>
<td>415.9ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density of compressed material, ρ_c (kgm⁻³)</td>
<td>1443.0ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain in bulk density, G_p (%)</td>
<td>102.7ᵃ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean values are compared row-wise. Similar alphabets indicate homogeneous subsets. Significant effects are valid at the 5% level of significance.

It’s been argued (O’Dogherity 1989) that non-recoverable strain is a consistent portion of the maximum induced strain. Strain rate correlates positively with the rate of deformation and negatively with aspect ratio. The rate of strain at 14.5 mm min⁻¹ was 44.6% and 161.4% above those occurring at 10.0 and 5.5 mm min⁻¹, respectively. The rate of strain at 10.0 mm min⁻¹ was 80.7% more than that which occurred at 5.5 mm min⁻¹. With respect to aspect ratios, the rate of strain obtaining, given an equipment aspect ratio of 0.5 was 99.5% and 198.5% in ex-
cess of those obtaining at aspect ratios of 1.0 and 1.5, respectively; rate of strain, given an aspect ratio of 1.0, was 49.6% higher than that obtaining with an aspect ratio of 1.5. Whereas the former is in direct correlation to time, the later may be linked to the depth of product constituting the matrix through which the induced stress must be transmitted. This relationship is shown in figure 3. At each rate of deformation, strain rate fits (Table 4) as power functions of the equipment’s aspect ratio – that is, the ratio of the compression height to the internal diameter of the compression vessel – and is of the form

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = kr_a^n$$

(9)

where, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is the rate of strain (S\(^{-1}\)), $r_a$, the aspect ratio (-), and $k$ (S\(^{-1}\)) and $n$ are proportionality and exponential constants, respectively.

Figure 3. Effect of aspect ratio on the rate of strain at different rates of deformation.
Table 4. Estimates of function parameters fitted using the power rule

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deformation rate (S⁻¹)</th>
<th>Strain rate, ϵ k (S⁻¹)</th>
<th>Specific power, E (Jm⁻³ S⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K (S⁻¹)</td>
<td>n (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
<td>-0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>-0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>0.0096</td>
<td>-0.995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Energy expended at the different aspect ratios differed significantly and was higher with smaller aspect ratios. A significant amount of this is stored in the material as plastic deformation (Kulig et al. 2015). With larger aspect ratios, energy demand per unit volume of material processed decreases (Table 3). This means that less power is required for at higher aspect ratios (Table 3). Power requirement correlated positively with the rate of deformation (Table 2) and negatively with aspect ratio (Table 3). As deformation rate increased, therefore, more energy was expended per unit time, for each volume of material densified. However, as aspect ratio decreased, more energy was expended per unit time for each volume of material densified. Volume specific rates of expenditure of energy were observed to be power functions of the aspect ratio (Eq. 10), at all rates of deformation (Figure 3), being of the form

\[ E = k r_a^n \]

where, \( E \) is the rate of expenditure of energy (Jm⁻³ S⁻¹), \( r_a \), the aspect ratio (-), and \( k (S^{-1}) \) and \( n (-) \) are proportionality and exponential constants, respectively. The parameters of these equations are provided in Table 4. The parameter, \( k \) may be shown to be a rate dependent material property. The power law has been shown to describe the relationship between the ratio of in-die to relaxed material density and aspect ratio (O’Dogherty and Wheeler 1984).

Similar amounts of densification were achieved at 14.5 and 10.0 mm·min⁻¹; less degree of densification was achieved at 5.5 mm min⁻¹. Bulk density of the compressed material correlated negatively with aspect ratio. This effect is attributable to the effectiveness of the transfer of induced compressive stress along the longitudinal axis of the material matrix. Gain in bulk density was higher with smaller aspect ratios, and at higher rates of deformation (Tables 2 and 3). Only aspect ratios had effect on the deformation modulus (Table 3). This parameter is a measure of the material’s resistance to strain.
CONCLUSIONS

In this study, the behaviour of carob powder under compressive load was investigated at different rates of deformation and aspect ratios. Both factors were found to influence mechanical response in the bulk material significantly. Whereas higher deformations may be associated with larger aspect ratios, associated strains do not necessarily translate completely to plastic deformation of the material. Specific energy requirement is lower and the rate of its expenditure better with larger aspect ratios. The rate of induction of strain and specific power are power functions of the aspect ratio. These observations are of particular relevance in equipment design.
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