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Abstract: Salinization of groundwater is a major problem, particularly in areas with limited infrastructure. 14 diffusion reactors are operated diabatically at -8°C to 20°C to determine the amount of NaCl removed by n-Fe°. The reactors establish NaCl removal by Fe°: (i) 44,000-77,000 nm particle size (PS) = 0.0675-0.1925 g L⁻¹ [feed water (FW) = 0.89 g L⁻¹]; (ii) 50 nm PS = 0.953-1.14 g L⁻¹ [FW = 1.095-1.19 g L⁻¹]; (iii) 50 nm PS under nitrogen saturation (0.1-0.2 MPa) = 9.693 g L⁻¹ [FW = 10 g L⁻¹]; (iv) <0.01 g 50 nm PS L⁻¹ under nitrogen saturation (0.1-0.2 MPa) = 1.564 g L⁻¹ [FW = 4 g L⁻¹]; (v) 50 nm PS modified by nitrogen saturation (PSN) = 5.52 g L⁻¹ [FW = 6.89 g L⁻¹]. Desalination commences at a time, t, after the n-Fe° is added to the water, and continues with an exponential decline until a base (equilibrium) salinity is reached. The effectiveness of n-Fe° as a desalination agent appears to increase with increased water salinity. Placement of PSN in an existing impoundment, or aquifer, may provide a cost effective, zero energy, partial desalination solution, which can be used to support emergency relief, agriculture and extractive industries.
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1. Introduction

Salinization of ground water by irrigation, infiltration and contamination of groundwater by the disposal of water in saline impoundments (e.g. flowback water, water associated with hydrocarbon production and tailing ponds associated with mining) are increasingly being considered as adverse environmental impacts of riparian discharge by agriculture and extractive industries (e.g. shale gas, shale oil) (e.g. Hill, Koenig 1999; Grattan 2002; Katerji et al. 2003; El-Nashar 2013; Rahil et al. 2013; Pandey 2013; Warner et al. 2013; Vengosh et al. 2014; Yu et al. 2014; Yurtseven et al. 2014). Salinized ground water and irrigation water is found in all continents and represents 10-40% of global arable land (Knapp, Baerenklau 2006; FAO, 2011; Panta et al. 2014).

Desalination of riparian water, groundwater and impoundments, is energy intensive, has a high capital requirement, and has comparatively high operating costs ($0.2-15 m³) (e.g. Raluy et al. 2004; Elimelech, Phillip 2011; Zotalis et al. 2014). A separated waste portion of the product water (5-70%) is a hypersaline brine (reject brine) (e.g. Hajbl et al. 2010; Balasubramanian 2013). Large scale desalination plants (greater than 100,000 m³ d⁻¹) may be able to desalinate water for less than $3 m⁻³ (Al Hashemi et al. 2014; Sorour et al. 2014; Venkatesan 2014). The principal commercial technologies are reverse osmosis (RO) and multistage flash distillation (MSFD) (e.g. Raluy et al. 2004; Younos, Tulou 2005; Elimelech, Phillip 2011). Most sites requiring desalination (for irrigation, livestock, or for the disposal of saline water into the environment (e.g. ground water, an aquifer, lacustrine environment, or riparian system)) contain a relatively small amount of saline water, e.g. less than 10-100,000 m³. They do not have ready access to energy, or infrastructure, and require the desalination to be undertaken for a cost of less than $600 m⁻³ to be economically viable (e.g. Butler et al. 2013; Al Hashemi et al. 2014; Boschee 2014; Zekri et al. 2014). In many cases the site owners do not have access to the substantial capital, regional infrastructure, or energy infrastructure, required to install a RO or MSFD desalination plant.

This study provides the first evidence (using 14 diffusion reactors) that saline impoundments and groundwater contained in salinized aquifers (or saline irrigation water, or saline impoundments) can be desalinated at atmospheric temperatures and pressures of 0.09-0.2 MPa using nano-Zero Valent Metal (n-ZVM). ZVM includes zero valent iron (ZVI, Fe°), zero valent aluminium (Al°), and zero valent copper (Cu°).

The n-ZVM (or nitrogen modified n-ZVM) is either injected into the aquifer, or placed in the impoundment, or placed in a salinized water body. This partial desalination approach requires no external energy, no infrastructure, no capital investment (if the impoundment or tank already exists) and has no operating costs (beyond placing the n-ZVM in the water, and removing the product water). Desalination at 0.1-0.2 MPa under a nitrogen atmosphere re-
quires a pressured nitrogen source and a low pressure (0.1-0.2 MPa) sealed reactor shell.

\[ \text{n-Fe}^0 \text{ reacts (corrodes) in the presence of water (i.e.} \ Fe^0 + \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{Fe}^{2+} + 2\text{H}^+ \text{; Na}_2\text{SO}_4 + \text{NaCl} \text{,}} \]

Initially the adsorbed H⁺ is removed by the reaction (4H⁺ (ads) + 4e⁻ + O₂ (aq) = 2H₂O). Water saturated with an inert gas (e.g. Ar, He, N, Ne, Kr, Xe), becomes depleted in O₂. At this point the concentration of adsorbed H⁺ on the n-Fe⁰ starts to increase. Excess H⁺ is removed as H₂(g), i.e. 2H⁺ (ads) + 2e⁻ = H₂(g) (Pourbaix 1974; Antia 2014).

1.1. Background

Water analyses either side of ZVM permeable reactive barriers (PRB’s) have observed decreases in the concentration of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in the product water from 101 mg L⁻¹ to 54 mg L⁻¹ (Wilkin et al. 2003). Savoie et al. (2004) discovered that Cl⁻ ions could be preferentially removed (relative to Na⁺ ions) by a ZVI PRB. Desalination associated with ZVM has previously been recorded in reactor studies (Antia 2010; Fronczyk et al. 2010; Fronczyk et al. 2012).

1.1.1. Reduction of the amount of salt in saline winter road runoff

Two experiments undertaken by Fronczyk et al. (2010) using ZVI and saline water established: (a) Cl⁻ reduction from 1.52913 to 1.19831 g L⁻¹ (temperature = 15.3°C), where the pH changed from 6.47 to 9.59 and the electrical conductivity (EC) increased from 3.9 to 4.51 mS/cm⁻¹, (b) Cl⁻ reduction from 1.52913 to 1.03522 g L⁻¹, where pH changed from 8.48 to 9.68 and EC increased from 3.58 to 4.54 mS/cm⁻¹, temperature 15.4°C. The experimental details can be interpreted as: 5 g ZVI powder [BET surface area = 77.26 m² g⁻¹] placed in 1.5 L water for 48 hrs. The observations are highly significant as they suggest that substantive Cl⁻ (NaCl) reduction can be associated with both a pH rise and an EC rise. EC can be directly linked to the water salinity: [Salinity (g L⁻¹) = EC (mS/cm⁻¹) x F], where F is a constant within the range 0.5-0.55 (Misstear et al. 2006). No previous redox linkage between pH increase and NaCl removal has been identified (e.g. Pourbaix 1974).

A subsequent study using HCl (Fronczyk et al. 2012) was designed to examine chloride retention on ZVI over a 24 hour period. This study established a regression relationship between Cl⁻ concentration in the feed water [C_f] and product water [C_r] where C_f, mg L⁻¹ = 1.1C_r⁰.⁰⁸ [R² = 0.99]. No Cl⁻ removal is predicted when C_f is greater than 100 mg L⁻¹. 3% Cl⁻ removal is predicted for C_f = 0.5 g L⁻¹. This rises to 9% for C_f = 10 g L⁻¹.

1.1.2. Reduction of the amount of salt in irrigation water

A study (Antia 2010) using a ZVM [Fe⁰ and Fe⁺ + Al⁺ + Cu⁺]: [Ca-montmorillonite] combination established reductions in salinity of 20-45% over 100 days (from a feed water containing less than 1 g NaCl L⁻¹ (EC = 2 mS/cm⁻¹). The ZVM particle size was in the range 44,000-77,000 nm. The salinity declined exponentially with time to a base level after about 40 days. Fe⁰ was a more effective desalination agent than Fe⁺ + Al⁺ + Cu⁺. Desalination in this media combination is principally by montmorillonite cation exchange (e.g. Charlet, Tornassat 2005; Antia, 2010).

1.2. n-Fe⁰ Desalination Mechanisms

Placement of Fe⁰ in saline water will result in the formation of rust corrosion products, where the dominant corrosion product is beta-FeOOH (akaganeite) (Tang et al. 2006). Cl⁻ ions catalyse the reaction: [Fe⁰ + nCl⁻ = Fe⁺nCl⁻ + ne⁻ and Fe⁺nCl⁻ + ne⁻ = Fe⁺⁺ + nCl⁻ + ne⁻], and act as a phase distributor (Perez et al. 2009). As the thickness of the surface rust layer (FeOOH, mH₂O), surrounding the n-Fe⁰ core, grows the (-OH) groups on the surface of the rust change to (-OH₂)⁺ groups (Perez et al. 2009). This both attracts Cl⁻ ions and allows Cl⁻ ions to migrate through the rust to the metal surface. The boundary between the porous, hydrated, FeOOH rust layer and the n-Fe⁰ core is characterised by the presence of either a hydrated magnetite (Fe₃O₄.xH₂O) or a mixed valance green rust complex (Fe₃O₄.xH₂O) or a mixed valance green rust complex [(x/n)A⁺⁺(M⁺⁺)(OH)²⁺]⁺(x/n)A⁻⁺, where a + b + c = 1; M = metal (e.g. Fe), A = anodic species (e.g. Cl⁻) (Ruby et al. 2006; Al-Moubaraki et al. 2015). The FeOOH can also template formation of a green rust layer at the rust-water interface (Ruby et al. 2006). This green rust grows by removing cation pollutants (e.g. Na, K, B, Ba, Ca, Mg, Mn, Sr) and chloride pollutants (e.g. Cl⁻, SO₄²⁻, CO₃²⁻) contained in the water (Ruby et al. 2006).

FeOOH attracts NaCl from the surrounding water to its outer hydrated shell and inner ionic layers (Yue et al. 2011). The NaCl concentrations within this hydrated shell can fall within the range 1-8 moles NaCl L⁻¹ (Yue et al. 2011). Proton (H⁺) binding and an associated ion exchange at the water interface are the principal mechanism for cation and anion adsorption (Kozin, Boily, 2013). The (100) FeOOH crystallite surfaces have (-OH)⁻ terminal sites, while the (010) FeOOH crystallite surfaces have (-OH₂)⁺ terminal sites (Otte et al. 2012). The potential desalination
mechanisms associated with n-Fe⁰ are: (a) adsorption at the terminal sites as (i) ((-OH)(Cl⁻)) and (ii) ((-OH)(Na⁺)), and (b) incorporation into green rusts accreting around n-Fe⁰ particles.

2. Methodology

This study uses 14 diffusion reactors to determine whether ZVM desalination is a function of particle size, ZVM composition, and whether the rate of desalination can be enhanced by nitrogen pre-treatment. The function of each reactor is summarised in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of the Different Reactors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactors</th>
<th>Fe₀ Particle Size [nm]</th>
<th>Water Type</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>44,000-77,000</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>44,000-77,000</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,7,8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,10,11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12A</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>N₂; Gas Flow</td>
<td>Manufacture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12B</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>Gas Flow</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Organic rich</td>
<td>Gas Flow</td>
<td>Control data set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13, 14</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>Pre-Treated</td>
<td>Benefit of N₂ pre-treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Feed Water and ZVM Details. NaCl added for Reactors 4, 5, 9-11, 13-14 is calculated as: (EC Saline Feed Water – EC Fresh Water before NaCl is added) * F (Misstear et al. 2006) where F = 0.5. F can vary within the range 0.5-0.55 (Misstear et al. 2006). NaCl added for Reactors 12A and 12B is calculated as weight of NaCl added (g)/Water volume (L) in the reactor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Feed Water</th>
<th>EC mScm⁻¹</th>
<th>NaCl added g L⁻¹</th>
<th>50 nm</th>
<th>44,000-77,000 nm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eh, V</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Fe²⁺ M L⁻¹</td>
<td>Fe⁰ M L⁻¹</td>
<td>Al³⁻ M L⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>7.32</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12A</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12B</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12C</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>18.33</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>14.05</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1. n-ZVM Control Tests: Particle Size: 44,000-77,000 nm; Surface Area = 0.00289-0.01732 m² g⁻¹

0.3 L MDPE reactors containing either fresh water (Reactors 1, 2, 3), or saline water (Reactors 4, 5) were used to provide a reference data set. The saline water was manufactured by adding NaCl to fresh water. Three ZVM combinations (Table 2) were constructed (Fe⁰ (Reactors 2, 4), Fe⁰ + Cu⁰ (Reactor 3), Fe⁰ + Cu⁰ + Al³⁻ (Reactor 1, 5)). Each reactor had an air-water interface and was operated at atmospheric temperature (Fig. 1a-b) and atmospheric pressure.
2.2. n-ZVM Particle Size Reduction Tests: Particle Size: 50 nm: Surface Area = 20 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$

0.3 L MDPE reactors containing either fresh water (Reactors 6-8), or saline water (Reactors 9-11) were used to determine if reducing particle size and increasing surface area increased the rate of desalination. The saline water was manufactured by adding NaCl to fresh water. Three ZVM combinations (Table 2) were constructed (Fe$^0$ (Reactors 6, 9), Fe$^0$ + Cu$^0$ (Reactor 7, 10), Fe$^0$ + Cu$^0$ + Al$^0$ (Reactor 8, 11)). Each reactor had an air-water interface and was operated at atmospheric temperature and pressure (Fig. 1c-d).

2.3. n-ZVM Impact of N$_2$ Saturation: Particle Size: 50 nm: Surface Area = 20 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$

Three sealed Cu$^0$ reactors (12A, 12B and 12C) were constructed. Nitrogen gas was bubbled through Reactor 12A and the product gas from Reactor 12A was bubbled through Reactor 12B. The product gas from Reactor 12B was then bubbled through Reactor 12C. Reactor 12A contained 1.1 L fresh water + n-Fe$^0$ (50 nm) + Cu$^0$ (44,000-77,000 nm) + Al$^0$ (44,000-77,000 nm) (Table 2). NaCl was added to Reactor 12A at the times noted in Fig. 6d. Reactor 12B contained 1.4 L of saline water (and no ZVM). Reactor 12C contained 0.4 L of a mixture of carboxylic acids and phenols (and no ZVM). The partial pressure of the Reactor 12A was maintained at between 0.1 and 0.2 MPa by nitrogen gas. The nitrogen gas flow rate was 30 mL minute$^{-1}$. Each reactor contained a 1.5 m water column above the gas distributor. Makeup water was added when the water column height fell to 1.25 m. Each reactor had a nitrogen (or N$_2$ + H$_2$) – water interface and was operated at atmospheric temperature (Fig. 6j).

2.4. Reuse of n-ZVM modified by N$_2$ Saturation: Particle Size: 50 nm: Surface Area = 20 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$

Part of the product ZVM from Reactor 12A (Table 2) was placed in two 0.3 L MDPE reactors (Reactors 13 and 14) to determine if modification of ZVM by N$_2$ saturation enhanced the NaCl removal properties of n-Fe$^0$. Each reactor had an air-water interface and was operated at atmospheric temperature and pressure (Fig. 1c-d).

2.5. Measurement Equipment

Eh, pH, Temperature, EC (electrical conductivity) measurements were made using instruments manufactured by Hanna, Oakton, and Extech. Calibration fluids were manufactured by Hanna. The calibration methodology used to define Eh is described in Jardim (2014). Gas chromatograph analyses (Reactor 12) were undertaken using a SRI 8610C TCD GC with a silica gel column and a helium carrier gas (manufactured by BOC/Linde). The heating program and temperature of operation was designed to allow quantification of H$_2$, N$_2$ and CO$_2$, i.e. Initial 15-20°C held for 1 minute followed by a 20°C min$^{-1}$ temperature ramp for 15 minutes. The calibration gas standards (H$_2$, N$_2$, CO$_2$) used were manufactured by BOC/Linde. Cation and anion analyses (Reactors 12A, 12B, and 14) were contracted to Forest Research (the commercial laboratories of the UK Forestry Commission). The water samples were passed through a 450 nm filter prior to analysis. Anions were analysed using Dionex ion chromatography. Cations were analysed using a Thermo Icap 6500 spectrometer.

2.6. Materials

The n-Fe$^0$ (50 nm, Nanofer Star) was provided by Nano Iron s.r.o. The Fe$^0$ + Cu$^0$ + Al$^0$ (44,000-77,000 nm) and NaCl was purchased from UK commercial suppliers. The 44,000-77,000 nm ZVM powders are illustrated in Antia (2010). The N$_2$ and He gases used were purchased from BOC/Linde.

3. Results

Fig. 1-7 contains the recorded temperature, pH, Eh, EC, salinity and gas composition data associated with each reactor: (i) Temperature and pressure (Fig. 1); (ii) Reactors 1-3 (Fig. 2); (ii) Reactors 4, 5 (Fig. 3); (iii) Reactors 6-8 (Fig. 4); (iv) Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 5); (v) Reactors 12A, 12B, 12C (Fig. 6); and (vi) Reactors 13, 14 (Fig. 7). Tables 2, 3 contains: (i) the feed water details (Eh, pH, EC, salinity) for each reactor (Table 2); (ii) the ZVM composition and concentration details for each reactor (Table 2) and (iii) the feed water and product water anion and cation analyses for Reactors 12A, 12B and 14 (Table 3).

3.1. Control Reactors Containing Fresh Water (Reactors 1-3, 6-8)

Reactors 1-3 (Fig. 2a-d) and Reactors 6-8 (Fig. 5a-d) demonstrate that the relative changes in water Eh, pH and EC are similar for ZVM with a 50 nm and a (44,000-77,000 nm) particle size.

3.2. Control Reactors Containing Saline Water (Reactors 4, 5, 9-11)
Reactors 4, 5 (Fig. 3a-c) and 9-11 (Fig. 6a-c) demonstrate that the relative changes in water Eh and pH are similar for ZVM with a 50 nm and a (44,000-77,000 nm) particle size. The Eh and pH changes are similar to those recorded in fresh water (Reactors 4, 5 – Fig. 3a-c and Reactors 9-11 – Fig. 6a-c).

Reactors 4, 5 (Fig. 4d) established an initial rise in EC which was followed by a very gradual EC decline.

Table 3 Anion and Cation Analyses, Reactors 12A, 12B, 14

A. Anions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Cl mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>NO₃ mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>SO₄ mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>PO₄ mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>F mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>NO₂ mg L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12A</td>
<td>6150.70</td>
<td>80.60</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12A</td>
<td>269.89</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12A</td>
<td>5880.81</td>
<td>80.29</td>
<td>26.39</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12B</td>
<td>2510.33</td>
<td>80.60</td>
<td>29.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12B</td>
<td>1612.70</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12B</td>
<td>897.63</td>
<td>79.78</td>
<td>24.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 14</td>
<td>648.83</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 14</td>
<td>3920.01</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>-1.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Cations, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Al, Fe, Mn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>K mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Ca mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Mg mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Na mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Al mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Fe mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Mn mg L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12A</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>235.13</td>
<td>75.32</td>
<td>3977.83</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12A</td>
<td>19.59</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>164.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>0.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12A</td>
<td>-7.55</td>
<td>228.29</td>
<td>74.58</td>
<td>3813.05</td>
<td>-0.346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12B</td>
<td>12.04</td>
<td>235.13</td>
<td>75.32</td>
<td>1681.82</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12B</td>
<td>17.98</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>1014.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12B</td>
<td>-5.94</td>
<td>216.43</td>
<td>70.08</td>
<td>667.26</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 14</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>32.91</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>3039.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 14</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>13.85</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>412.63</td>
<td>&lt;0.15</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 14</td>
<td>-4.61</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>2626.52</td>
<td>-0.086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Cations, P, S, B, Ba, Cd, Co, Cr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>P mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>S mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>B mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Ba mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Cd mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Co mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Cr mg L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12A</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.015</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12A</td>
<td>27.43</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>30.80</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12B</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12B</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 14</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>10.34</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
<td>0.00055</td>
<td>&lt;0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 14</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D. Cations, Cu, Ni, Pb, Si, Sr, Zn

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>Cu mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Ni mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Pb mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Si mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Sr mg L⁻¹</th>
<th>Zn mg L⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12A</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12A</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12A</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.91</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 12B</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 12B</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 12B</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.60</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed 14</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product 14</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed 14</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 6d) established an exponential decline in EC to a base level. The EC and NaCl declines were:

(i) Reactor 4: maximum EC reduction (Fig. 3d) = 0.6 mScm\(^{-1}\) (0.15 mScm\(^{-1}\) reduction from the feed water; Table 2). NaCl removed = 0.075-0.3 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\).

(ii) Reactor 5: maximum EC reduction = 0.385 mScm\(^{-1}\) (0.125 mScm\(^{-1}\) reduction from the feed water; Table 2). NaCl removed = 0.0675-0.1925 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\).

(iii) Reactor 9: EC reduction = 2.073 mScm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5d-e). NaCl removed = 1.04-1.14 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\).

(iv) Reactor 10: EC reduc-
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\[ \text{reaction} = 1.952 \text{ mScm}^{-1} \] (Fig. 5d-e) NaCl removed = 0.976-1.073 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\). (v) Reactor 11: EC reduction = 1.906 mScm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5d-e) NaCl removed = 0.953-1.048 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\). About 0.06 g NaCl g\(^{-1}\) n-Fe\(^0\) was removed.

Reactors 9-11 demonstrate (Fig. 5e) that placement of n-Fe\(^0\) in a saline water body can desalinate brackish water to potable levels (EC = less than 1 mScm\(^{-1}\)).

n-Fe\(^0\) desalination is associated with increasing pH and decreasing Eh (Fig. 3a-c, 5a-c). The salinity follows an exponential decline, from an initial salinity, before arriving at a base (or equilibrium) salinity [BS] (Fig. 5d-e). Graphical plots demonstrate (e.g. Ebbing and Gammon 1999) that the reaction order is not zero order (Fig. 5d-e), first order (Fig. 5d-f), or second order (Fig. 5g). The rate of disappearance of NaCl g L\(^{-1}\) = k [NaCl g L\(^{-1}\)]\(^m\), where k is the rate constant and m = the reaction order (e.g. Ebbing, Gammon 1999).

The basic parameters which can be used to describe n-Fe\(^0\) desalination (using EC, or g L\(^{-1}\)) are: (i) the initial salinity [IS] (Table 2; Fig. 3d, 5d-e); (ii) the time [t] when the EC or salinity starts to decline [t = TS]. The salinity at [TS] = [IS] (Fig. 3d, 5d-e); (iii) the time [t] when the EC or salinity ceases to decline [t = TC] (Fig. 5d-e); (iv) the time [t] duration associated with desalination [T], where [T] = [TC] – [TS] (Fig. 5d-e); (v) the base salinity [BS] at [TC] (Fig. d-e). The controls on [TS], [TC], [BS], and [T] have not been defined in this study.

3.3. n-ZVM Impact of N\(_2\) Saturation: Particle Size: 50 nm: Surface Area = 20 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\).

Reactor 12A demonstrated similar Eh and pH trends to Reactors 1 to 11 (Fig. 6a-c). The product gas from Reactor 12 A increased the Eh and pH in both Reactors 12B and
12C. The increase in pH and associated increase in EC is interpreted as indicating a decline in carbonyl and phenol concentrations in Reactor 12C.

Reactor 12A, following the addition of NaCl (after 68-108 hrs on line), showed no noticeable desalination until additional NaCl was added after 608 hrs on line (Fig. 6d-e). Changes in salinity with time are punctuated and partially reversible within the reaction environment (Fig. 6e). Punctuated changes in reactant concentration, which are only partially reversible, are a characteristic of sequential reactions (e.g. McGeachy 2010). They indicate that the conditions which result in the first reaction becoming reversible may not apply to the second and subsequent reactions.

The product water from Reactors 12A and 12B were removed from the reactors and stored for 146 days prior to cation and anion analyses. This analysis is provided in Table 3: (i) Reactor A12A [on removal from the reactor: Eh = -0.25 V; pH = 9.95; EC = 2.69 mScm\(^{-1}\)]; [146 days after removal from the reactor: Eh = 0.151 V; pH = 7.24; EC = 1.136 mScm\(^{-1}\)]; (ii) Reactor A12B [on removal from the reactor: Eh = 0.161 V; pH = 8.73; EC = 5.88 mScm\(^{-1}\)]; [146 days after removal from the reactor: Eh = 0.158 V; pH = 7.15; EC = 6.04 mScm\(^{-1}\)]. GC analyses of the gas composition produced by each reactor (Fig. 6h-i), indicate that the changes in Eh and pH in each reactor (Fig. 6a-b) may relate to the rate of hydrogen production in Reactor 12A.

The anion and cation analyses (Table 3) confirm removal of Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions in Reactors 12A, 12B (Reactor 12A = 9.693 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\); Reactor 12B = 1.564 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\)) which are associated with the co-removal of a variety of anions and cations (Table 3).

Reactor 12B demonstrated (Table 3) an increase in [n-Fe\(^0\), Fe\(^n+\), FeO\(_x\)H\(_y\)\(^n+\)] concentrations to 0.0437 mg L\(^{-1}\) (for particles <450 nm), indicating that some n-Fe\(^0\) may be entrained in the product gas exiting Reactor 12A and entering Reactor 12B.

3.4. Reuse of n-ZVM modified by N\(_2\) Saturation

Reactor 12B (Fig. 6f; Table 3) raised the possibility that very low concentrations of n-ZVM could result in desalination occurring. This concept was tested in Reactors 13, 14 (Fig. 7) using low concentrations of ZVM (Table 2). The ZVM was extracted from Reactor 12A. The Eh, pH and EC changes (Fig. 7a-d) were consistent with those observed in Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 5a-d). Reactor 13 was terminated after 6 days (Fig. 7). Reactor 14 (Fig. 5, Table 3) confirmed an exponential salinity decline to a base salinity [BS], where [BS] = 1.37 g NaCl L\(^{-1}\). Graphical plots confirm that the desalination reaction order is not 0, 1 or 2 (Fig. 7e-g).

3.5. Summary of Principal Observations

The principal observations were:
1. n-Fe\(^0\) and ZVM with a 44,000-77,000 nm particle size,
when placed in fresh water, display similar changes in EC, Eh and pH. In saline water, the presence of n-Fe\(^0\) accelerates the rate of EC (salinity) decline.

2. Placement of n-Fe\(^0\) in saline water which is saturated with a constant flow of \(N_2\), results in desalination of the water.

3. Placement of nitrogen treated n-Fe\(^0\) in a saline water body can result in a substantial acceleration in the desalination rate, when compared with fresh n-Fe\(^0\).
Fig. 6. Product water associated with n-FeO\(^{2+}\) (50 nm) with saline feed water. (a) pH vs. time. (b) Eh vs. time. (c) Eh vs. pH. (d) EC vs. time. (e) Water Salinity [Reactor 12A] vs. time. (f) Water Salinity [Reactor 12B] vs. time. (g) EC [Reactor 12C] vs. time. (h) Nitrogen in product gas from Reactors 12A, 12B vs. time. Balance is H\(_2\). (i) Nitrogen in product gas from Reactors 12A, 12C vs. time. Balance is H\(_2\). Product gas from Reactor 12C includes 0.05-0.3% CO\(_2\). (j) Temperature vs. time
Fig. 7. Product water associated with n-Fe\textsuperscript{0} (50 nm) with saline feed water. (a) pH vs. time. (b) Eh vs. time. (c) Eh vs. pH. (d) EC vs. time. (e) Water Salinity vs. time. (f) Log [Water Salinity] vs. time. (g) 1/[Water Salinity] vs. time. (h) Reactor 13: Expected desalination as a function of time. (i) Reactor 14: Expected desalination as a function of time.
4. Discussion

NaCl is either removed by adsorption (e.g. in a hydrated akaganeite structure, FeO(OH)·nH$_2$O (e.g. Yue et al. 2011), or green rust structure, or by n-Fe$^0$ catalysis (e.g. Wilkin, McNeil 2003; Antia, 2014).

Comparison of: (i) Reactors 4, 5 (Fig. 3) with Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 5) demonstrates that the rate of NaCl removal is a function of the ZVM particle size, where the rate of desalination increases with decreasing particle size. (ii) Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 5) and Reactors 12A, 13, 14 (Fig. 6, 7) demonstrate that the rate of NaCl removal increases with increasing salinity of the feed water. This is consistent with an intrinsically higher order reaction adopting pseudo-order form (Carberry 2001).

Three reactors (12B, 13, 14) established rates of NaCl removal that exceeded 1g NaCl g n-Fe$^0$ (Reactor 12B = 39 kg NaCl g$^{-1}$ n-Fe$^0$; Reactor 13 = 3.07 g NaCl g$^{-1}$ n-Fe$^0$; Reactor 14 = 8.26 g NaCl g$^{-1}$ n-Fe$^0$). These high removal rates cannot be explained by hydrated akaganeite formation (e.g. Yue et al. 2011) and may indicate the formation of nano-FeOOH(Cl) akaganeite polymers, polyionic iron-oxyhydroxide nanoparticles, Na$_2$Fe$_4$O$_7$H$_2$ and Fe$_2$Al$_2$Cl$_2$(OH)$_4$ precipitated structures (e.g. Bottero et al. 1994; Dante et al. 1999; Antia, 2014; Sorokina, Dresvyannikov 2014).

The n-Fe$^0$ desalination process is an electrochemical redox process (e.g. Fig. 3, 5-7). Reactors 4, 5, 12A and 12B (Fig. 3, 6) indicate that the desalination process involves redox switchable material, where desalination commences at a time, t = TS, and is concluded at time, t = [TC]. The n-Fe$^0$ is placed in the water at time (t = 0), and TS is greater than 0.

Electrochemical redox switchable moieties using ferrocene [FC] and related species have been demonstrated (e.g. Muraoka et al. 2001; Arumugam et al. 2013; Varnado et al. 2013). The basic operational stages of a redox switchable moiety are: (i) Stage 1. The initial state (at t = 0) is a solid surface + ionic liquid (e.g. Fe$^0$ + Na$^+$ + Cl$^-$). (ii) Stage 2. The solid surface is then modified in an elution stage to contain tethered cathodic (e.g. H$^+$, FeH$^{n+}$, FeO(OH)$^{x+}$) and anodic (e.g. O$^n-$, OH$^-$, O$_2$H$^{-}$, FeO(OH)$^{x-}$) groups. These tethered sites can include akaganeite. (iii) Stage 3. The tethered cathodic groups form ion adducts with the Cl$^-$ ions. The tethered anodic groups form ion adducts with the Na$^+$ ions.

Under the redox switchable model, Stage 2 commences at [TS], and the time interval [T] represents the combined operation of Stages 2 and 3. [BS] represents the residual water salinity when the tethered anodic and cathodic groups are saturated. The punctuated (and reversible) EC changes observed in Reactors 12A (Fig. 6e), 12B (Fig. 6f) and 14 (after Day 184 (Fig. 7f)) are consistent with this model.

4.1. n-Fe$^0$ Desalination Mechanism

The Eh and pH regimes in each reactor (Fig. 2-7) indicate that the dominant Fe$^0$ corrosion species is FeOOH (Pourbaix 1974). The dominant Fe$^{III}$ corrosion product will be goethite and lepidocrocite in the fresh water reactors (Fig. 2, 4) and akaganeite in the saline water reactors (Fig. 3, 5-7).

The rate of desalination associated with n-Fe$^0$ (50 nm) (Fig. 5) is greater than the rate of desalination associated with Fe$^0$ (44,000-77,000 nm) (Fig. 3). This indicates that the desalination rate ($k_{observed}$) is a function of particle surface area ($a_p$), i.e. $k_{observed} = k a_P$ (Wilkin, McNeil 2003), where $k$ is the desalination rate, $P_n$ = n-Fe$^0$ loading, g n-Fe$^0$ L$^{-1}$. This observation is consistent with NaCl removal by adsorption onto the particle surface. The measured NaCl adsorption ratios were 1.05:1 (Reactor 12A, Table 3) and 1:1 (Reactor 14, Table 3) relative to the feed water concentrations.

In each example, the final product water salinity level was different (i.e. Reactors 9, 10, 11 = 0.1-0.2 g L$^{-1}$; Reactor 12A = 1 g L$^{-1}$; Reactor 14 = 2 g L$^{-1}$). The stable final
salinity develops under conditions where Eh rises and pH is stable (Fig. 5-6), or Eh is stable and pH declines (Fig. 7). This demonstrates that desalination termination is controlled by the relative availability of H⁺ and e⁻. This is indicative of a redox switchable moiety (Shimakoshi et al. 2009), and implies that the self assembly ZVM corrosion products, which have removed the NaCl, are structured to contain cathodic and anodic components (Antia 2014).

4.2. Significance of Temperature

The temperatures associated with desalination (Fig. 1, 6j) were within the range 0-25°C. The associated pressures were atmospheric pressure (Reactors 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14 – Fig. 1) and between 0 and 0.1 MPa (Reactor 12A). Reverse osmosis plants are operated at atmospheric temperature (0-55°C) and 1.5 to 8 MPa pressure. Multi Stage Flash Distillation plants are operated at elevated temperatures (120-200°C) and 1 to 10 MPa pressure. This study has demonstrated that unlike conventional desalination plants, n-Fe⁰ desalination can be operated at atmospheric temperatures and pressures.

4.3. Cations and Anions Removed

n-Fe⁰ can remove a range of cations, anions and microbiota (e.g. Antia 2014). Reactors 12A and 14 (Table 3) demonstrate co-removal of (i) anions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻); and (ii) cations (B, Ba, Ca, Na, Mg, S, Si, Sr). The Eh and pH conditions observed in Reactors 12A and 14 (Fig. 6-7) will allow: (i) nitrates to be removed as nitrites and N₂ + H₂ processing, transport costs and delivery mechanisms of the local feed water. At this time it is not known how high levels of contaminants in water, (e.g. sulphates, sulphones, bicarbonate, carbonate, phosphate, nitrates, organics, organic anions, and metal cations) may interfere with the desalination process.

4.4. Eh and pH

The Eh and pH of the water defines the equilibrium constants, reaction quotients, Gibbs Free Energy, and Heat of Formation for each potential reaction involving anions and cations dissolved in water (Pourbaix 1974; Ebbing, Gammon 2009; Antia 2014). Oscillations in Eh and pH, and associated changes over time (Fig. 2-7), result in predictable fluctuations in each of these parameters (Pourbaix 1974; Antia 2014) and control the direction of equilibrium reactions (Pourbaix 1974). The observed Eh, pH (Fig. 2-7) indicated that any Fe²⁺ species present in the water would be removed as Fe⁰ precipitants (e.g. FeOOH). Cation analyses of the water (Table 3) confirmed that any dissolved Fe²⁺ species had been removed as precipitants.

5. Applications

The desalination technology demonstrated by Reactors 9-14 requires testing at a commercial scale in order to demonstrate reproducibility and obtain certification and regulatory approvals. This study has not sought to optimise the amount [A] of water treated t⁻¹ n-Fe⁰ required (m³ water t⁻¹). The indicated amounts of [A] are: (i) Reactor 13: 3570 m³ t⁻¹ Fe⁰; (ii) Reactor 14: 1470 m³ t⁻¹ Fe⁰; (iii) Reactor 12B: 57185 m³ t⁻¹ Fe⁰. [T] falls in the range 6-200 days.

A precise cost evaluation for a remediation desalination cannot be defined solely on the grounds of laboratory scale tests, but must take into account the results of pilot-scale tests performed in the field, considering the chemistry of the local feed water. At this time it is not known how high levels of contaminants in water, (e.g. sulphates, sulphones, bicarbonate, carbonate, phosphate, nitrates, organic anions, and metal cations) may interfere with the desalination process.

5.1. ZVM Costs

n-Fe⁰ (Nanofer Star, www.nanoiron.cz) currently (22 April 2015) retails at around $53/kg for small quantities (3 kg). The price (for 3 kg) varies from Euros 42/kg (EU delivery) to 61/kg (Countries outside the EU and North America). Volume manufacturers of n-Fe⁰ in China are able to deliver up to 800 t/contract. FOB prices quoted (www.alibaba.com and www.made-in-china.com on 17 April, 2015) by Chinese manufacturers for high volume orders (more than 10 t) start at US$600-33,000 t⁻¹.

An initial economics screening based on a delivered n-Fe⁰ price (base cost, BP) of US$53,000 t⁻¹ (Nanofer Star) is used in this study to indicate the potential viability of the technology. It is possible that commercial negotiations with a suitable supplier may be able to reduce the effective cost of the n-Fe⁰ into the range US$2,000 to US$15,000 t⁻¹ (PCR).

Nanofer Star provides a potential desalination cost of: (i) (Reactor 12B), BP = $5 m⁻¹, PCR = $0.18-1.41 m⁻²; (ii) (Reactor 13), BP=$17 m⁻¹, PCR=$0.64-4.81 m⁻²; (iii) (Reactor 14), BP=$36 m⁻¹, PCR=$1.35-10.18 m⁻³.

These cost estimates, exclude costs associated with N₂, or N₂ + H₂ processing, transport costs and delivery mecha-
nisms and facilities for the desalination. These desalination costs indicate that n-Fe\textsuperscript{0} desalination has potential applications for the treatment of relatively small volumes of water (e.g. less than 10-100,000 m\textsuperscript{3}), particularly in areas with limited infrastructure.

5.2. Potable Water

Potable (drinking) water standards vary internationally and include a large number of factors other than salinity (e.g. EU 1998; WHO 2006). Reduction of water salinity to less than 0.5 g NaCl L\textsuperscript{-1} (e.g. EU 1998), or 0.25-0.6 g Cl\textsuperscript{-1} L\textsuperscript{-1} + less than 0.2 g Na\textsuperscript{+} L\textsuperscript{-1} (WHO 2006) can be regarded as a first stage in creating potable water. In some countries (e.g. India) acceptable potable water can include 1 g Cl\textsuperscript{-1} (IS 2012). pH limits range from 8.5 (IS 2012) to 9.5 (EU 1999) to no restriction (WHO 2006). The pH associated with the product water from Reactors 9-11 (Fig. 5) complies with the Indian and EU limits. The product water from Reactor 12A (Fig. 6) complies with the WHO definition of potable water.

A potable water NaCl standard of less than 0.5 g L\textsuperscript{-1} was achieved by Reactors 9-11 (BP = $883 \text{ m}^3$; PCR = $33.3-250 \text{ m}^3$) and Reactor 12A (BP = $1445 \text{ m}^3$; PCR = $54.5-409 \text{ m}^3$).

The high cost of treating water to potable levels using n-Fe\textsuperscript{0} indicates that its commercial application will be restricted to low volume treatments, and the treatment of water in areas with no infrastructure (or destroyed infrastructure). These costs are comparable with the $600 \text{ m}^3$ + capital cost + installation costs + transport costs + energy costs associated with some small membrane units (e.g. Butler 2012; Butler et al. 2013).

WHO (2011) guidelines identify that the water requirement following a disaster/emergency are 7.5-15 L d\textsuperscript{-1} per person\textsuperscript{-1} for potable water. Reactor 12A establishes (Fig. 6) that a series of compact reactor units batch processing 100 m\textsuperscript{3} on a 46 day cycle will produce about 2 m\textsuperscript{3} d\textsuperscript{-1}. This unit could provide decontaminated survival water for a community of 130-260 people for a n-Fe\textsuperscript{0} cost of $0.419-22 \text{ d}^{-1}$ person\textsuperscript{-1}. The concurrent biological and pollutant decontamination of the water (Antia 2014) will potentially reduce the health costs associated with an emergency.

5.3. Emergency Livestock Water

In an emergency livestock can utilize water with an elevated salinity (e.g. Curran 2007). WHO (2011) recommends that in an emergency, livestock should receive the following: (i) cattle, horses, and mules = 20-30 L d\textsuperscript{-1}; (ii) goats, sheep and pigs = 10-20 L d\textsuperscript{-1}; (iii) poultry = 0.1-0.2 L d\textsuperscript{-1}. Livestock feed water is not of potable quality as most livestock are tolerant of high salt levels (e.g. Curran 2007; German et al. 2008).

Curran (2007) defines three groups of water salinity for each livestock type: (i) water salinity which has no adverse effects on livestock. This varies from 0-3.1 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for poultry to 0-7.8 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for sheep. (ii) water salinity which livestock may be reluctant to drink, but can adapt to without loss of production. This varies from 3.1-4.7 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for poultry to 7.8-15.6 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for sheep. (iii) water salinity which livestock can tolerate for short periods without a marked decline in health and condition. This varies from 4.7-6.3 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for poultry to 15.6-20.3 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} for sheep.

Following a disaster (e.g. drought, earthquake, etc.) the available water may be highly salinized. Reactors 13, 14 established (Fig. 7) that placement of ZVM in the water can reduce its salinity.

Example 1 (based on Reactor 13): Available livestock feed water EC = 18.3 mScm\textsuperscript{-1}; (i) EC decline follows a polynomial function (Fig. 7h). (ii) extrapolation of the function over a 15 to 20 day period (Fig. 7h) establishes that (a) after 9 days the salinity will be less than 15.6 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} (beef cattle survival limit); (b) after 15 days the salinity will be less than 12.5 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} (pig survival limit); (c) after 17 days the salinity will be <10.9 mScm\textsuperscript{-1} (horse and dairy cattle survival limit). The cost of providing desalinated water following an emergency to maintain a population of: (i) 100 cattle or horses is BP = $S1 d\textsuperscript{-1} (PCR = $1.92-14.4 d\textsuperscript{-1}); (ii) 100 pigs is BP = $34 d\textsuperscript{-1} PCR = $1.28-9.62 d\textsuperscript{-1}.

Example 2 (based on Reactor 14): Available livestock feed water EC = 14.05 mScm\textsuperscript{-1}; (i) EC decline follows an exponential function (Fig. 7i). (ii) Fig. 7i establishes that continued treatment of the water would reduce the EC progressively to allow horses (EC = less than 9.4 mScm\textsuperscript{-1}), pigs (EC = less than 9.4 mScm\textsuperscript{-1}) and cattle (EC = less than 7.8 mScm\textsuperscript{-1}) to fatten. After about 70 days treatment the water would be suitable for poultry. The cost of providing desalinated water following an emergency to maintain a population of: (i) 100 cattle or horses is BP = $108 d\textsuperscript{-1}; PCR = $4.07-30.5 d\textsuperscript{-1}; (ii) 100 pigs is BP = $72 d\textsuperscript{-1}; PCR = $2.7-20.4 d\textsuperscript{-1}.

This analysis indicates that n-Fe\textsuperscript{0} could be used in disaster relief to provide usable water for livestock at a reasonable cost.

5.4. Impoundment Water

Impoundments are extensively used in the USA to hold flowback water from shale gas and oil shale production activities. The cost of disposing of this water varies between $12 m\textsuperscript{3} to $125 m\textsuperscript{3} (Boschee 2014). A newly
fracked well may have consumed >50,000 m$^3$ during the hydraulic fracturing process and reco-vered 25-50% of the water as flowback water over a 4-12 week period (Boschee 2012; Vengosh et al. 2014). The hypersaline flowback water composition is a function of location (e.g. Haluszczak et al. 2013). This water is typically transported to a processing site, prior to re-injection into a deep aquifer, or to reuse as a hydraulic fracturing fluid or discharge into the riparian environment (e.g. Boschee 2012).

Reactor 12A (Fig. 6) and 14 (Fig. 7) indicate that the rate of NaCl removal may increase with increasing feed water salinity. The desalination relationship established for Reactor 14 (Fig. 7i) can be used to provide an indication of the treatment times required for flowback water.

In the US Marcellus Shale, the salinity [IS] of the flowback water ranges from 6-142 g L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 8a). The n-Fe$^0$ will interact with each water composition to provide a different [BS]. The desalination relationship (Fig. 7i) allows estimation of the remediation time required to desalinate each flowback water in Fig. 8a to produce a product with an EC of 4.1 mScm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 8b). The n-Fe$^0$ treatment cost (Reactor 14) is competitive with existing flowback water treatment costs (e.g. Boschee 2014). The treatment cost indicated by Reactor 14 is BP = $35 m$3; PCR = $1.35-10.18 m$3. Additional costs are impoundment and monitoring costs. The product water which is currently re-injected into deep aquifers for disposal could be used for agricultural purposes (e.g. livestock feed water (Curran 2007) or irrigation water (Ayers, Westcot 1985)).

Product water with an EC of 4.1 mScm$^{-1}$ is suitable for irrigation of barley, Bermuda grass, cotton, date palm, Harding grass, Sudan grass, sugar beet, rye grass, trefoil, wheat, wheat grass, without a loss of yield (e.g. Ayers, Westcot 1985). It can be used with some loss of yield for alfalfa, beets, broccoli, cantaloupe, corn, durum, fig, grape, meadow fescue, olive, orchard grass, pomegranate, safflower, sesame, sorghum, spinach, tomato (e.g. Ayers, Westcot 1985).

**6. Conclusions**

This study has established that placement of n-Fe$^0$ powders into a saline water body (aquifer or impoundment) will result in its partial desalination. The effectiveness of the powders increases with decreasing particle size, increasing feed water salinity and a nitrogen (or N$_2$ + H$_2$) pre-treatment. The NaCl is removed, together with other contaminants in the feed water. The powders have application for groundwater remediation by injection into an aquifer and remediation of impoundments by placement of the powders in water. The desalination process (demonstrated by Reactors 9-11, 13, 14) only produces product water, requires no external energy source, requires no capital investment (assuming that the impoundments or injection wells exist). The associated costs include the acquisition cost of the ZVM, the costs of placing the ZVM in the reaction environment, the costs of reco-vering the product water and used ZVM.

The current remediation costs (demonstrated by Reactors 13, 14) are in the range $0.64-36 m^3$ for the partial desalination of water, and $33-1450 m^3$ for the production of potable water (as demonstrated by Reactors 9-12A). Reactor 12B has established that it may be possible to reduce the partial desalination treatment costs to less than $0.18 m^3$. These costs indicate that the n-Fe$^0$ desalination process is cost competitive with existing technologies for the provision of emergency desalination, and for the removal of saline water held in impoundments.
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