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Abstract: The detailed sedimentological study of the 150-m-thick Muschelkalk succession, deposited on a small (~200 by 80 km), morphologically diverse Upper Silesian carbonate platform during four major marine-transgressive pulses of the Tethys Ocean, enhanced the understanding of the depositional history, palaeogeography, and facies distribution. A total of thirty-five lithofacies types were identified, described and interpreted in terms of depositional settings. These different lithofacies represent various shallow-marine environments along the platform transect, from peritidal to offshore areas. The vertical and lateral organization of the lithofacies delineated was caused by the interplay of platform morphology, third-order eustasy and the long-term tectonic evolution of the area. Accordingly, the carbonate system studied is a good example of the influence of large-scale processes on the facies architecture of carbonate platforms. In general, all of the four Transgressive Systems Tracts are characterized by similarity in lithofacies composition and vertical succession and by minor lateral change, indicating only limited influence of the three large-scale factors mentioned on lithofacies development and distribution during transgressions. In contrast, each of the four associated Highstand Systems Tracts comprises an individual (unique) lithofacies assemblage displaying substantial regional and local variation, which indicates that the filling of accommodation space during highstands strongly depended on the extrinsic processes.
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INTRODUCTION

The Upper Silesia region in southern Poland is one of several places in Europe, where the Middle Triassic marine deposits, termed Muschelkalk, are well-exposed over a wide area. The region is widely regarded as the most distal and open-marine part of the homoclinal carbonate-siliciclastic ramp that encompassed the entire Germanic (European) Basin in Middle Triassic time (e.g., Aigner, 1985; Aigner and Bachmann, 1992; Knaust, 1997; Götz, 2004; Götz and Lenhardt, 2011). However, in fact, the Upper Silesia region formed a submarine threshold, separating the Germanic Basin from the Tethys Ocean (Szulc, 2000). The region itself sloped westward and was bounded to the west and east by Variscan Massifs. Because of this palaeogeographic and palaeotopographic setting, the region should be considered as an independent, attached platform, characterized by a distinctive assemblage of lithofacies and depositional environments.

Although sedimentological investigations of the Upper Silesian Muschelkalk carbonates already were initiated in the second half of the 19th century (Eck, 1865), up to now no author has described the lithofacies types and discussed in detail their distribution and depositional setting. The majority of studies were focused either on the lithostratigraphical classification of Muschelkalk deposits (e.g., Assmann, 1913, 1944; Siedlecki, 1948, 1952; Sliwiński, 1961; Pawłowska, 1979; Bodzioch, 1997b; Niedźwiedzki, 2000; Kowal-Linka, 2008, 2009), or some specific sedimentological aspect, such as the development of crumpled limestone fabric (Bogacz et al., 1968; Bodzioch, 1985), the origins of intraformational conglomerates (Chudzikiewicz,
Fig. 1. Palaeogeographic setting of the Upper Silesia region in the Middle Triassic. A. Position of the Upper Silesia region (white rectangle) within the Germanic Basin. The three gates that connected the Germanic Basin with the Tethys Ocean were active at different times. The Silesian-Moravian Gate was generally open throughout the entire Anisian–Ladinian time span, but it began to close already in the Illyrian. Map modified from Szulc (2000) and Narkiewicz and Szulc (2004). B. Palaeogeographic reconstruction of the northern outlet of the Silesian-Moravian Gate, framed to the west by the Bohemian Massif and to the east by the Ma³opolska Massif and an archipelago of Palaeozoic islands – the location of islands is taken from Wyczó³kowski (1971, 1982). The Muschelkalk deposits are eroded to the south and covered by the Jurassic and Cretaceous strata to the north and west. C. Regional schematic cross-section of the Upper Silesian carbonate platform, showing the transition from the restricted-marine to the open-marine domain.
and brachiopod shell accumulations (Dźułyński and Kubicz, 1975; Bodzioch, 1985), and the environmental controls on sponge-coral bioherms and biostromes (Bodzioch, 1989; Szulc, 2000; Jędrzejewski and Myszkowska, 2010). A general characterization of the basic lithofacies types of selected lithostratigraphic units was given by Chuła-Ciekiewicz (1982), Pawłowska (1985) and Myszkowska (1992). Recently, Matysik (2014) provided an extensive discussion of the lithofacies architecture of the epigenetically dolomitized Muschelkalk strata.

This paper presents a detailed (bed-by-bed) sedimentological analysis of the Muschelkalk formations exposed in all existing outcrops. The main objective of this paper is to reconstruct the variety and distribution of lithofacies and depositional environments over the Upper Silesian carbonate platform in the Middle Triassic and to discuss the influence of third-order eustasy, platform morphology and the long-term tectonic evolution of the area on their distribution.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Palaeogeography

In Middle Triassic time, the Germanic Basin or northern Peri-Tethys area was situated at subtropical latitudes (Ziegler, 1990; Nawrocki and Szulc, 2000). The overall hot and arid climate favoured carbonate and evaporitic sedimentation. The basin was generally enclosed by several extensive massifs consolidated in the Precambrian, Caledonian and Variscan orogeneses, whereas communication with the Tethys Ocean was provided by three narrow, submeridional, fault-controlled depressions, known as the East Carpathian Gate, the Silesian-Moravian Gate and the Western (Burgundy) Gate (Fig. 1A). This semi-closed configuration determined the specific distribution of palaeoenvironments and facies throughout the Germanic Basin; normal-marine settings, dominating near the gates were gradually replaced by more restricted environments toward the basin margins (Szulc, 2000). The three gates opened and closed diachronically because of the westward relocation of the Tethys spreading centre. Consequently, the main communication pathways between the Tethys and the Germanic Basin in the Anisian led through the Silesian-Moravian and Carpathian gates, while the situation was reversed in the Ladinian when the Western (Burgundy) Gate became active (Szulc, 2000). The Upper Silesia region was located at the northern mouth of the Silesian-Moravian Gate where it formed a distinct elevated element of submarine topography, stretching between the Bohemian Massif to the west and the Małopolska Massif to the east (Szulc, 2000; Fig. 1B).

The morphology of the Upper Silesia threshold was differentiated, both on regional and local scales, and it basically reflected the Variscan structural framework (Wyczółkowski, 1971, 1982) and syndepositional tectonic block movements (Szulc, 1989, 1993, 2000; Matysik, 2012). The area generally dipped to the west which resulted in a gradient of depositional environments along an E-W transect (Fig. 1C). As a consequence, its western part (the Opole region) was dominated by subtidal facies even during highstands (Szulc, 2000), whereas its eastern part (the Kraków–Silesia region) temporarily entered into the inter- and supratidal zone (Pawłowska and Szuwarzyński, 1979; Pawłowska, 1982, 1985; Myszkowska, 1992; Matysik, 2014). Local highs and lows modified this simple facies pattern, producing a complex facies mosaic over the entire region (Wyczółkowski, 1971, 1982; Myszkowska, 1992; Matysik, 2012, 2014). Moreover, the northeastern part of the region was attached to an archipelago of several isolated cliff-edged islands, mainly composed of Middle Devonian dolostones (with minor Lower Carboniferous limestones and dolostones; Fig. 1A, B). The island geometry and the distance to neighbouring islands controlled the water circulation pattern within the archipelago which in turn strongly influenced the local facies distribution (Matysik, 2012, 2014). In addition, intensive erosion of the cliff walls generated a large number of silt- to boulder-sized rock fragments, most of which were deposited up to 50 m from the island margins (Alexandrowicz, 1971; Wyczółkowski, 1971, 1982; Matysik, 2012; Matysik and Surmik, 2016).

Stratigraphy

The Muschelkalk succession of the Upper Silesia, 150 m thick, displays marked vertical and lateral lithofacies variation (Fig. 2) which basically reflects: 1) a long-term tectonic evolution (opening-closing trend) of the Silesian-Moravian Gate, controlling the subsidence of the entire platform; 2) third-order transgressive-regressive eustatic pulses; and 3) differentiated antecedent topography (Wyczółkowski, 1971, 1982; Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2012, 2014). On the basis of this variation, the succession is divided into nine lithostratigraphic formations (Assmann, 1944; Śliwiński, 1961) that together represent four depositional sequences (Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2012, 2014). A combination of sequence boundaries, systems tracts and several marker beds permits accurate and reliable correlation within the succession (Fig. 2).

It is noteworthy that the Lower–Middle Muschelkalk deposits of the eastern Upper Silesia were replaced epigenetically by “ore-bearing dolomite” (Fig. 2). This dolomite is commonly, but mistakenly treated as a lithostratigraphic unit (see Matysik, 2014, and references cited therein).

The sequence stratigraphic framework discussed correlates well with the more universal scheme of Alpine stratigraphy by means of magnetostratigraphy (Nawrocki and Szulc, 2000) as well as conodont, ammonoid and crinoid biostratigraphy (Assmann, 1944; Zawidzka, 1975; Hagdorn and Głuchowski, 1993; Kaim and Niedźwiedżki, 1999; Narkiewicz and Szulc, 2004). For the Middle Muschelkalk, devoid of these index-fossils, green algal zonation has been proposed by Kotański (1994, 2013).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fieldwork was carried out in 83 quarries, scattered over an area of 150 by 50 km. Each section was sampled and logged bed by bed, giving a total measured stratigraphic thickness of approximately 2.3 km. For the poorly exposed formations of the upper Muschelkalk succession (Tarno-
wice, Wilkowice and Boruszowice beds), loose hand specimens collected from meadows and private properties were examined. All of the 2,600 samples collected were slabbed and investigated with a hand lens. A petrographic microscope was used for the microfacies analysis of 900 thin sections.

A major obstacle to be overcome in this study was the advanced secondary dolomitization which obliterated some original rock properties of the lower and middle Muschelkalk deposits of the Kraków–Silesia region (Fig. 2). Typically, the microtexture had undergone extensive to complete recrystallization, whereas the macrofabric, grain size and sedimentary structures remained unaltered; this was adequate for reconstruction of the depositional history of this so-called “Ore-Bearing Dolomite”.

The lithofacies types were defined on the basis of the macrotextural properties, while microscopic observations were used only for more detailed characterization of the macrofabric. This procedure permitted the creation of a consistent and clear classification of all the material examined (both epigenetically dolomitized and undolomitized). The textures and features produced by the epigenetic dolomitization were not included in this classification. This means that the epigenetically dolomitized lithologies were classified, as if the dolomitization never had taken place.

The allochthonous carbonates were described according to the Dunham’s (1962) classification, expanded by Embry and Klovan (1971) and Wright (1992), whereas the microbial carbonates were classified according to the Grey’s
LITHOFACIES TYPES AND THEIR DEPOSITIONAL SETTING

The Muschelkalk succession of Upper Silesia is predominantly composed of limestones and early diagenetic dolostones (Fig. 2), which basically represent an open-marine domain and a tidal flat-lagoon (restricted) domain, respectively. Evaporites or evaporite vestiges are extremely rare and always are associated with particular dolomitic lithofacies. Siliciclastics usually are intercalated in the various carbonate deposits, with the exception of the siliciclastics-dominated Boruszowiec Beds. As the evaporitic and siliciclastic lithofacies are generally uncommon in the Muschelkalk investigated, they were included in the dolostone and limestone category, depending on whether they had been formed within the dolostone or limestone marine domain. In the dolostone domain, nineteen lithofacies types (D01–D19) were delineated, in the limestone domain sixteen types (L01–L16). They are listed below generally from the shallowest (proximal) setting to the deepest (distal). The lateral and vertical relationships between these lithofacies are shown in a diagrammatic platform cross-section (Fig. 3), two schematic, three-dimensional reconstructions of the depositional system (Fig. 4), and two generalized lithostratigraphic columns (Figs 5, 6). The main characteristics of these lithofacies are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Cliff breccias and conglomerates (D01)

Characteristics

The cliff breccias (sporadically conglomerates) are composed of lithoclasts of black Devonian (Givetian) dolostone and limestone category, depending on whether they had been formed within the dolostone or limestone marine domain. In the dolostone domain, nineteen lithofacies types (D01–D19) were delineated, in the limestone domain sixteen types (L01–L16). They are listed below generally from the shallowest (proximal) setting to the deepest (distal). The lateral and vertical relationships between these lithofacies are shown in a diagrammatic platform cross-section (Fig. 3), two schematic, three-dimensional reconstructions of the depositional system (Fig. 4), and two generalized lithostratigraphic columns (Figs 5, 6). The main characteristics of these lithofacies are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Cliff breccias and conglomerates (D01)

Characteristics

The cliff breccias (sporadically conglomerates) are composed of lithoclasts of black Devonian (Givetian) dolostone and limestone category, depending on whether they had been formed within the dolostone or limestone marine domain. In the dolostone domain, nineteen lithofacies types (D01–D19) were delineated, in the limestone domain sixteen types (L01–L16). They are listed below generally from the shallowest (proximal) setting to the deepest (distal). The lateral and vertical relationships between these lithofacies are shown in a diagrammatic platform cross-section (Fig. 3), two schematic, three-dimensional reconstructions of the depositional system (Fig. 4), and two generalized lithostratigraphic columns (Figs 5, 6). The main characteristics of these lithofacies are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Cliff breccias and conglomerates (D01)

Characteristics

The cliff breccias (sporadically conglomerates) are composed of lithoclasts of black Devonian (Givetian) dolos-
Fig. 4. Hypothetical three-dimensional reconstruction of the tidal flat-lagoon system attached to the Devonian islands (A) and of the reefal complex (B).
stones, cemented in a Middle Triassic matrix (Fig. 7). Although the overall size of lithoclasts gradually increases towards an island margin, all breccias and conglomerates are very poorly sorted and contain mixed millimetre- to centimetre-sized, randomly oriented lithoclasts (Fig. 7B). The breccias deposited at the foot of steep cliffs comprise additionally decimetre- to metre-sized boulders.

The matrix is predominantly composed of: 1) yellow dolosiltites (Fig. 7C, D); 2) bioclastic wackestones-conglomerates (Fig. 7E); or 3) green dolosiltites, containing lithoclasts and peloids made up of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite (Fig. 7F). Sporadically, the Devonian lithoclasts are cemented by gypsum/anhydrite (Fig. 7G).

The cliff breccias and conglomerates intertongue with other lithofacies of the Olkusz and Diplopora beds (Figs 4A, 5). They form either separate, large (metre-thick and decametre-long), pinching-out bodies, attached to the island margins (Fig. 7A), or pass laterally within one bed into other lithofacies, listed below. Single subrounded centimetre-sized lithoclasts are found in the strata situated hundreds of metres away from the island margins. One such lithoclast was found in deposits 7 km distant from the closest known Devonian island. Extremely rarely, metre-sized boulders occur 200 m from the island margins. One such lithoclast was found in deposits 7 km distant from the closest known Devonian island. Extremely rarely, metre-sized boulders occur 200 m from the island margin.

Environment
Various types of matrix indicate that the lithoclasts were deposited in marine or continental settings, depending on island morphology and sea-level position. The transport of rock fragments was generally short or almost absent, as evidenced by very poor sorting and rounding of lithoclasts.

**Speleothems and residual clays (DO2)**

**Characteristics**

The speleothems are bulbous in shape (Fig. 8A) and typically display a thickly laminated mesotexture, composed of palisade calcite crystals with characteristic triangle tips (Fig. 8B). However, locally centimetre-sized dripstone cements are also present (Fig. 8C). The speleothems are enveloped in red-tan residual clays (Fig. 8A). Both speleothems and clays occur in the Tarnowice Beds of the Opole region (Figs 2, 6).

**Environment**

The speleothems unequivocally were precipitated in cavities and small caves, created owing to the meteoric dissolution of the carbonates and evaporites of the Tarnowice Beds, while the clays are interpreted as being a residuum after the removal of evaporitic-carbonate material. The general environmental context strongly indicates that the subaerial weathering was related to a third-order sea-level drop at the end of the Anisian (sequence 3; Fig. 2).

**Crystalline dolostones (D03)**

**Characteristics**

Equidimensional rhombohedral or anhedral dolomite crystals reach up to 1 cm in size and overgrow each other in various directions (Fig. 8D, E). The crystals are commonly distributed throughout a layer without any pattern. Nevertheless, locally the parallel or small-scale cross-lamination required large quantities of magnesium-rich brine, which might have been released during evaporite diagenesis (Warren, 1991). The replacement might have taken place during early diagenesis, in which case the crystalline dolostones might represent a sabkha (Figs 3, 4A).

**Cellular dolostones (= Rauchwacke; D04)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow-orange dololutites and dolosiltites, comprising numerous centimetre-sized cavities (Fig. 8F), millimetre-sized calcite/dolomite pseudomorphs after sulphates and halite (Fig. 8G, H), and sporadic silt-sized quartz grains. Some dolostones underwent dedolomitization. The cellular dolostones occur in the Zellenkalk2 of the Gogolin Formation as well as in the Tarnowice Beds of the Opole region (Figs 2, 5), where they locally contain selenite crystals that are vertically upright, up to 30 cm high (Worobiec and Szulc, 2012).

**Environment**

The characteristic fabric might have been formed owing to the leaching of evaporitic minerals, transformation of calcite to dolomite (e.g., Chilingar and Terry, 1964) and/or dedolomitization (e.g., Evamy, 1967). This deposit may represent a sabkha environment (Figs 3, 4A), as indicated by the association of evaporites, dolomitic mud and siliclastic material (Warren, 2006). Similar fabrics, but of Early Triassic age, have been interpreted by Bodzioch and Kwiatkowski (1992) as the deposits of ephemeral ponds on supratidal plains, occasionally filled with sea water. However, in
Fig. 6. Almost complete lithostratigraphic column for the Muschelkalk of the Opole region compiled from five most representative sections. 0–17 m – Mikołów Quarry, 40–72 m – Strzelce Opolskie Quarry, 72–86 m – Tarnów Opolski Quarry, 86–108 m – Kamień Śląski Quarry, 142–146 m – Laryszów clay pit. Correlation horizons: ZK2 – Zellenkalk2, HCB – Hauptcrinoidenbank.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies type</th>
<th>Lithological characteristics</th>
<th>Environment/process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cliff breccias and conglomerates (D01)</strong></td>
<td>Lithoclasts of black Devonian dolostones cemented in a Middle Triassic dolostone matrix (mineral sulphates); clasts randomly oriented, mostly angular, and very poorly sorted.</td>
<td>Marine or continental settings at the foot of Palaeozoic islands.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speleothems and residual clays (D02)</strong></td>
<td>Bulbous, thickly laminated forms composed of palisade calcite; local dripstone cements; occur within reddish residual clay.</td>
<td>Dissolution cavities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Crystalline dolostones (D03)</strong></td>
<td>Overgrown, equidimensional, rhombohedral or anhedral dolomite crystals up to 1 cm in size; parallel or cross-lamination of a precursor deposit locally preserved.</td>
<td>Early dolomitization in a sabkha due to influx of Mg-rich brines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cellular dolostones (= Rauchwacke) (D04)</strong></td>
<td>Fine-grained dolostones with numerous centimetre-sized cavities; common carbonate pseudomorphs after sulphates and halite; locally solenite crystals and admixed quartz grains; dedolomitization present.</td>
<td>Diagenetic changes within evaporite-bearing carbonates in a sabkha.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sandstones (D05)</strong></td>
<td>Subrounded quartz grains (0.01–0.20 mm across) embedded in micrite, microspar or poikilitopic calcite cement; common planar bedding and ripple or low-angle cross-bedding.</td>
<td>Supratidal to shallow marine coastal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mudstones (D06)</strong></td>
<td>Laminated deposits composed of silt-sized quartz grains and muscovite flakes floating in a carbonate mud; occur either as thin caps over subaerially weathered surfaces or thick subtidal units.</td>
<td>Supratidal to shallow marine coastal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dolocretes (D07)</strong></td>
<td>Commonly fine-grained nodular dolostones composed of allomicrite or microspar; less abundantly massive dolostones with peloids and clasts of aphotic or clotted automicrite enclosed in allomicrite or microspar; occur as thin caps over subaerially weathered surfaces.</td>
<td>Supratidal plains and emerged banks of tidal flats and lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rhizolites (D08)</strong></td>
<td>Massive dolostones with centimetre-long vertical, straight or downward-bifurcating root casts.</td>
<td>Supratidal areas and/or intertidal salt marshes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fenestral dolostones (= loferites; D09)</strong></td>
<td>Micropeledoidal dolostones containing abundant, laterally elongate and linked fenestrae; pores occluded by pendant and blocky cements.</td>
<td>Drying and wetting of a deposit in exposed areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavy- to planar-bedded dolostones (D10)</strong></td>
<td>Unfossiliferous dolostones composed of alternating undulatory bands of grey dolosilites and yellow peloidal dolomitic packstones; commonly mottled by bioturbation; rare small erosional channels.</td>
<td>Intertidal zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cryptalgal laminites (D11)</strong></td>
<td>Fine-grained dolostones composed of alternating laminae of microbial micrite and dolomitic silt; common truncation of laminating and reworking to clasts; sparcic fenestrae, sheet cracks, and mudcracks.</td>
<td>Intertidal zones.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stromatolites (D12)</strong></td>
<td>Hemispheroids, 30 cm high and 50 cm across, with simple to compound internal lamination consisting of dolomitic silt laminae impregnated by aphotic microbial films; sparcic lilliputian sponges and spar-filled moulds after ?cyanobacterial filamentals.</td>
<td>Low-energy subtidal areas within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bioturbated dolosilites (D13)</strong></td>
<td>Unfossiliferous, fine-grained dolostones containing abundant burrows Balanoglossitidae and Thalassinoides infilled with dolomitic mud or fine-grained peloidal sand.</td>
<td>Ephemeral tidal ponds or low-energy subtidal areas within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dolosilites (D14)</strong></td>
<td>Platy to medium-bedded, structureless, dolomitic mudstones composed of micrite or microspar (mineral fine-grained peloidal wackestones); local accumulations of bioclasts.</td>
<td>Low-energy subtidal areas within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intraformational dolomitic conglomerates (D15)</strong></td>
<td>Dolosilites (D14) containing flat pebbles of dololitites and dolosilites; pebbles poorly sorted, commonly aligned parallel to bedding planes (rarely imbricated), and locally infested by the boring Trypanites.</td>
<td>Rapid deposition of mixed material in tidally-influenced lagoonal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pelloidal dolostones (D16)</strong></td>
<td>Pelloidal grainstones and packstones (rarely wackestones), containing rare bioclasts, ooids, and oncoids; peloids poorly to well-rounded and poorly to moderately sorted; common symmetrical ripples and dunes; rare planar bedding and trough, tabular, and herringbone cross-bedding; locally extensive Balanoglossitids burrow systems.</td>
<td>High-energy subtidal areas within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green algal (Dasycladaceae) dolostones (D17)</strong></td>
<td>Green algal grainstones-packstones and rudstones with some bioclasts; matrix comprises poorly sorted and moderately rounded peloids.</td>
<td>Subtidal, low-energy, mud-free areas of restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oncoidal dolostones (D18)</strong></td>
<td>Oncoidal rudstones and floatstones with matrix consisting of peloids, bioclasts, and cortoids; oncoids are up to 4 cm in diameter, subpherical, poorly sorted, and randomly oriented; oncoidal cortices display concentric to partially overlapping lamination consisting of thicker microparticulate laminae impregnated by dark micritic film.</td>
<td>Temporarily turbulent settings within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ooidal dolostones (D19)</strong></td>
<td>Ooidal packstones containing frequent bioclasts and rare peloids, cortoids and lithoclasts of ooidal packstones; ooids are ~0.5 mm in diameter (rarely ~1.0 mm) and mainly composed of small peloid nuclei and thick radial-fibrous (minor tangential) cortices; common polyooids; grains enclosed in microspar; rare high-angle cross-bedding.</td>
<td>“Low-energy”, tidally dominated areas within restricted lagoons.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

Summary of principal characteristics of dolomitic lithofacies and their environmental interpretation.
Sponge buildups (L08) calcilutites (L10) Bedded calcisiltites and conglomerates (L09) Intraformational limestone (L12) Wavy-bedded limestones (L11) Platy-bedded limestones (L13) Nodular limestones (L14) Marl and limey claystones (L15) Firmgrounds (L16) Hardgrounds (L17)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies type</th>
<th>Lithological characteristics</th>
<th>Environment/process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferricretes (L01)</td>
<td>Thin micronodular, iron oxide crusts containing dispersed oncoids, peloids, marine bioclasts, and quartz grains.</td>
<td>Emerged shoal sands or lime muds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green algal (Dasyycladaceae) limestones (L02)</td>
<td>Green algal grainstones-packstones and rudstones with some open-marine fauna; matrix composed of poorly sorted and moderately rounded peloids; grains surrounded by rims of early marine cements.</td>
<td>Tranquil, well-circulated, shallow subtidal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03)</td>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03)</td>
<td>Tidally dominated bars and deltas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncoidal limestones (L04)</td>
<td>Oncoidal limestones (L04)</td>
<td>Calm to turbulent, normal-marine shoals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortoidal limestones (L05)</td>
<td>Cortoidal limestones (L05)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, subtidal areas with longer periods of substrate stability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, high-energy shoals or proximal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07)</td>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, high-energy shoals or distal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge buildups (L08)</td>
<td>Sponge buildups (L08)</td>
<td>Bioherms: lower shoreface. Bioherms: upper shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09)</td>
<td>Intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09)</td>
<td>Proximal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10)</td>
<td>Bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10)</td>
<td>Distal tempestites or suspension-settled deposits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>Calm, open-marine environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marl and limey claystones (L14)</td>
<td>Marl and limey claystones (L14)</td>
<td>Increased terrigenous input to calm, open-marine environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>Sediment-starved, calm, open-marine settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardgrounds (L16)</td>
<td>Hardgrounds (L16)</td>
<td>Sediment-starved, calm, open-marine settings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Summary of principal characteristics of limestone lithofacies and their environmental interpretation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies type</th>
<th>Lithological characteristics</th>
<th>Environment/process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferricretes (L01)</td>
<td>Thin micronodular, iron oxide crusts containing dispersed oncoids, peloids, marine bioclasts, and quartz grains.</td>
<td>Emerged shoal sands or lime muds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green algal (Dasyycladaceae) limestones (L02)</td>
<td>Green algal grainstones-packstones and rudstones with some open-marine fauna; matrix composed of poorly sorted and moderately rounded peloids; grains surrounded by rims of early marine cements.</td>
<td>Tranquil, well-circulated, shallow subtidal areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03)</td>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03)</td>
<td>Tidally dominated bars and deltas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oncoidal limestones (L04)</td>
<td>Oncoidal limestones (L04)</td>
<td>Calm to turbulent, normal-marine shoals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortoidal limestones (L05)</td>
<td>Cortoidal limestones (L05)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, subtidal areas with longer periods of substrate stability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, high-energy shoals or proximal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07)</td>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07)</td>
<td>Normal-marine, high-energy shoals or distal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge buildups (L08)</td>
<td>Sponge buildups (L08)</td>
<td>Bioherms: lower shoreface. Bioherms: upper shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09)</td>
<td>Intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09)</td>
<td>Proximal tempestites deposited on lower shoreface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10)</td>
<td>Bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10)</td>
<td>Distal tempestites or suspension-settled deposits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>Calm, open-marine environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marl and limey claystones (L14)</td>
<td>Marl and limey claystones (L14)</td>
<td>Increased terrigenous input to calm, open-marine environments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>Sediment-starved, calm, open-marine settings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardgrounds (L16)</td>
<td>Hardgrounds (L16)</td>
<td>Sediment-starved, calm, open-marine settings.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7. Examples of cliff breccia. A. Lenticular breccia body attached to the cliff of a Devonian island and vertically juxtaposed with various carbonate lithofacies (the picture is resized horizontally to 65% of its original size). “GZD” Quarry in Nowa Wioska. B. Vertical outcrop view of very poorly sorted cliff breccia consisting of randomly oriented, angular lithoclasts of black Devonian dolostones surrounded by yellow dolosiltite. C. Detail of B. Small black lithoclasts floating in dolosiltite. D. Photomicrograph of C, showing a large lithoclast of Devonian dolostone (dc) surrounded by a number of sand-sized fragments (arrows) embedded in dark dolomitic mudstone (m). E. Vertically oriented slab of cliff breccia composed of small black lithoclasts floating in bioclastic (bivalve) dolomitic wackestone, indicating deposition in a marine environment. F. Vertical outcrop view of cliff breccia, the matrix of which is composed of green dolosiltite with lithoclasts and peloids of dense aphanitic and clotted-micropoloidal automicrite, indicating deposition in a continental setting. G. Photomicrograph, showing lithoclasts of Devonian dolostones (dc) enclosed by carbonate pseudomorphs after sulphates.
the case of the modern coastal sabkhas at Abu Dhabi, ascending continental groundwaters (not marine waters) supply ions to the capillary zone (Wood et al., 2002). Locally occurring selenite crystals confirm precipitation under conditions of submergence.

**Sandstones (D05)**

**Characteristics**

These yellow-orange-grey deposits are composed primarily of subrounded quartz grains that reach 0.01–0.20 mm across (Fig. 9). The grains may be widely or densely spaced, but do not touch one another. Aligned parallel to bedding planes or to cross-bedding, the quartz grains are embedded in micrite, microspar or poikilotopic calcite cement (Fig. 9C).

The sandstones of the Tarnowice Beds are typically rich in muscovite flakes and show planar bedding and low-angle cross-bedding (Fig. 9A). The sandstones of the Boruszowice Beds contain bone fragments and plant debris, and show normal grading and small-scale ripple cross-bedding (Fig. 9B).

**Environment**

The sandstones alone are difficult to interpret in terms of depositional environments, but the associated lithofacies permit some inferences to be drawn. In the Tarnowice Beds, the sandstones are interbedded with cellular dolostones (D04) of a probable sabkha environment and accordingly they are considered to have formed in the supratidal zone (Figs 3, 4A). In the Boruszowice Beds, in contrast, the sandstones are vertically juxtaposed with black mudstones (D06) containing cephalopods, and therefore they may be interpreted as shallow-marine deposits (Fig. 3).

**Mudstones (D06)**

**Characteristics**

These are laminated, fine-grained deposits, composed of horizontally oriented, silt-sized quartz grains and muscovite flakes, floating in a carbonate mud (Fig. 9D). The mudstones of the Diplopora and Tarnowice beds are green-grey-orange, unfossiliferous and occur as centimetre-thick layers, capping an irregular, subaerially weathered surface (Fig. 9E). In contrast, the mudstones of the Boruszowice Beds are black, form metre-thick units and contain cephalopods.
Fig. 10. Examples of dolocretes. **A.** Bedding plane view of dolocrte crust. **B.** Vertically oriented slab of dolocrte crust displaying micronodular fabric with remnants of a host rock (arrows). **C.** Microphotograph of B, showing micronodular lower part overlain by micritic upper part. **D.** Bedding plane view of desiccation cracks developed in the topmost part of a dolocrte. **E.** Vertically oriented slab of green massive dolocrte containing small lithoclasts and peloids formed of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite (arrows). **F.** Vertical outcrop view of massive dolocrte comprising yellow-green, large, lobate lithoclasts of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite surrounded by dark matrix. **G.** Photomicrograph of F, showing clotted-micropeloidal lithoclasts (cc) floating in microspar (m). Pictures: A–C, E–G – Diplopora Beds, Kraków–Silesia region; D – Zellenkalk2 of Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region.
**Environment**

The mudstones capping a subaerially weathered surface are interpreted as having been formed in the supratidal zone, on emerged banks and on the peripheral plains of tidal flats (Figs 3, 4A). The mudstones of the Boruszowice Beds represent a subtidal, nearshore setting, as indicated by the occurrence of cephalopods (Fig. 3).

**Dolocretes (D07)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow-orange-green-grey dololutites and dolosiltites, usually resting on top of an irregularly undulating surface. Most dolocretes form centimetre- to decimetre-thick crusts and exhibit nodular fabrics, composed of allo- micrite or microspar (Fig. 10A–C). Some dolocretes are structureless (massive) and contain peloids and lobate lithoclasts of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite, embedded within allomicrite or microspar (Fig. 10E–G). Both the lithoclasts and matrix contain sporadic forams and ostracods. Some dolocretes display mudcracks with polygons up to 10 cm in width (Fig. 10D).

The dolocretes occur commonly in the Diplopora Beds and sporadically in the Zellenkalk2 of the Gogolin Formation (Figs 2, 5). Like the dolocretes, they also make up the lowermost part of the Olkus Beds, overlapping the Devonian island at the “Stare Gliny” Quarry in Jaroszowice (Fig. 6).

**Environment**

The dolocretes were formed in the supratidal zone, possibly on the peripheral plains and emerged banks of tidal flats and lagoons (Figs 3, 4A). Dolocretes are a widely accepted indicator of semi-arid and arid conditions (Esteban and Klappa, 1983; Wright and Tucker, 1991). The peloids and lithoclasts of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite were presumably formed within soils as a consequence of microbial activity.

**Rhizolites (D08)**

**Characteristics**

These are beige-yellow-green, massive (structureless) dolosiltites with centimetre-long vertical, straight or downward-bifurcating root casts (Fig. 11A, B). The concentration of root casts usually increases upward in a given rhizolite layer and consequently its topmost part contains a complex network of filiform voids. The rhizolites contain rare peloids and small lithoclasts, composed of dense aphanitic or clotted-micropeloidal automicrite. A centimetre-sized lens of sulphates was found within a rhizolite layer (Fig. 11C, D).

The rhizolites are quite common in the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region and very rare in the Zellenkalk2 of the Gogolin Formation (Figs 2, 5). Like the dolocretes (D07), they also form the lowermost part of the Olkus Beds, overlapping the Devonian island exposed at the “Stare Gliny” Quarry in Jaroszowice (Fig. 6).

**Environment**

The rhizolites most likely were formed on permanently emerged areas (Esteban and Klappa, 1983; Wright and Tucker, 1991), but they may represent intertidal salt marshes, as well (e.g., Shinn et al., 1969; Figs 3, 4A).

**Fenestral dolostones (= loferites) (D09)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow, laminated dolosiltites, displaying a laminoid-fenestral fabric (Fig. 11E). Laminae are composed of micropeloids with sporadic ostracods (Fig. 11F) and are separated by fenestrae, which are elongate (rarely subspherical), arranged concordant with the stratification and often linked together laterally (Fig. 11E). The fenestrae are generally filled with pendant cement, followed by blocky cement (Fig. 11F). The fenestral dolostones are rare and occur exclusively in the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region.

**Environment**

A laminoid-fenestral fabric is generally regarded as originating from the wetting and drying of carbonate mud or a cyanobacterial mat in intertidal and supratidal settings (Fischer, 1964; Shinn, 1968; Figs 3, 4A). Rare subspherical fenestrae might have been produced by air and gas bubbles, trapped during the deposition of the host sediment or generated by the post-depositional decay of organic matter (Shinn, 1968).

**Wavy- to planar-bedded dolostones (D10)**

**Characteristics**

These unfossiliferous dolostones are composed of alternating layers of grey dolosiltites and yellow peloidal dolomites, around 1 cm thick (mostly packstones; Fig. 11G, H). The layers are wavy to parallel in form. They are frequently disturbed by bioturbation and occasionally cut by erosional channels (about 1 m wide and 30 cm deep). The wavy- to planar-bedded dolostones occur in the lower part of the Olkus Beds overlapping two Devonian islands, exposed at the “Promag” and “GZD” quarries in Nowa Wioska.

**Environment**

The wavy- to planar-bedded dolostones are very similar to the wavy-, flaser- and lenticular-bedded deposits, characteristic of modern siliciclastic tidal flats (e.g., Reineck and Singh, 1980) and many ancient examples (e.g., Demicco, 1983; Pratt and James, 1986). Therefore, they are also interpreted as intertidal deposits (Figs 3, 4A). This interpretation is further supported by the lack of skeletal fossils and the presence of abundant burrows that might have been created for shelter during the ebb tide.

**Cryptalgal laminites (D11)**

**Characteristics**

These yellow-grey dolostones are composed of alternating millimetre-thick laminae of microbial and detrital origins (Fig. 12A, B). The microbial laminae display dense aphanitic (minor clotted-micropeloidal) microfabrics, whereas the detrital laminae are composed of silt- to mudsized lime particles (Fig. 12B). The lamination is usually even (rarely undulatory) and parallel to the bedding planes (Fig.
Fig. 11. Examples of inter- to supratidal lithofacies. A. Vertical outcrop view of rhizolite with small, straight root casts. B. Photomicrograph of rhizolite, showing three vugs after roots penetrating dolomitic mudstone, consisting of dispersed, dense, aphanitic peloids. Note the distinct rim around each vug, which might have been produced by microbial activity. C. Vertically oriented slab of evaporite lens occurring within a rhizolite (arrow). D. Photomicrograph of C, showing carbonate pseudomorphs after sulphates. E. Vertically oriented slab of fenestral dolostone (loferite) displaying laminoid-fenestral fabric with horizontally elongated and laterally linked fenestrae. F. Photomicrograph of E, showing amalgamated, clotted peloids with elongated cavities filled by pendant cement (black arrow) and later blocky cement (white arrow). Some fenestrae contain ?recrystallized internal sediment (blue arrow). G. Vertical outcrop view of wavy- to planar-bedded dolostone displaying alternation of bioturbated and undisturbed bedsets. H. Vertically oriented slab of G, showing thicker layers of yellow peloidal dolomitic packstone sandwiched by thinner layers of grey dolosiltite. Pictures: A – the lowermost part of the Olkusz Beds overlapping the Devonian island exposed at the “Stare Gliny” Quarry, in Jaroszowice; B–F – Diplopora Beds, Kraków–Silesia region; G, H – the lower part of the Olkusz Beds overlapping the Devonian island exposed at the “Promag” Quarry, in Nowa Wioska.
The sets of laminae are often truncated and discordantly capped by another cryptagal layer. Laminitic layers are commonly torn up into intraclasts, which may be incorporated into successive laminations or form conglomerates and breccias (Fig. 12A). Flat and rounded intraclasts are locally imbricated. The cryptagal laminites generally exhibit non-porous fabrics (Fig. 12A), with occasional only fenestral pores, sheet cracks and mudcracks with polygons up to 50 cm across (Fig. 12C). Small gastropods can be found within the laminations.
The cryptalgal laminites are characteristic of the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region. They were also recognized in the Zellenkalk2 of the Gogolin Formation (Figs 2, 5).

**Environment**

The cryptalgal laminites were formed in the intertidal zone of tidal flats (Figs 3, 4A) owing to the trapping of mud by microbial mats (Ginsburg, 1960; Fischer, 1964; Kendall and Skipwith, 1968; Shinn et al., 1969; Hardie, 1977; Kinsman and Park, 1976; Alsharhan and Kendall, 2003; Rankey and Berkeley, 2012). The lack of bioclasts and coarse sediments may reflect a relatively great distance to the subtidal zones on the one hand, and limited storm-generated transport on the other (e.g., Pratt and James, 1986). The truncation of laminate layers and production of intraclasts most likely resulted from the activity of tidal currents. The depositional area must have been regularly flooded, as evidenced by the non-porous fabric of the cryptalgal laminites (e.g., Shinn, 1968). The gastropods, found within the laminations, are interpreted as in situ accumulations of mat-grazing organisms.

**Stromatolites (D12)**

**Characteristics**

These grey dolostones are made up of alternating detrital and microbial laminae. The microbial laminae occur as very thin, dark, aphanitic films between the thicker, light, detrital laminae composed of silt-sized lime particles (Fig. 12D). Some laminae additionally contain vertical spar-filled moulds of cyanobacterial filaments (Fig. 12D) and lilliputian sponges preserved in situ (Szułc, 1997, 2000). The laminae are wrinkled into a series of small (centimetre-sized) cones that are vertically stacked together to form hemispheres, 30 cm high and 50 cm across (Fig. 12E, F). The hemispheres are laterally contiguous, but not linked together. The upper surface of some hemispheres is truncated and capped by reddish dolocrite crusts, containing muscovite flakes (Fig. 12G). Sporadic stromatolite hemispheres have been found in the Tarnowice Beds of the Opole region (J. Szułc, pers. comm., 2014); however, the most prominent stromatolitic horizon marks the upper boundary of the Diplopora Beds in the Kraków–Silesia region (Myszkowska, 1992; Szułc, 2000; Matysik, 2012; Figs 2, 5).

**Environment**

Stromatolites of similar size and morphology are known to grow at present in the shallowest subtidal zone of the Hamelin Pool embayment, Australia (Burne and James, 1986; Reid et al., 2003; Jahnert and Collins, 2011) and the Highborne Cay back-reef area, Bahamas (Andres and Reid, 2006). By analogy to these two well-documented examples, the stromatolites studied probably formed in the shallow subtidal zone (Figs 3, 4A). The overall fine-grained fabric, lacking macrofossils and constructional voids, indicates that stromatolite accretion took place in a tranquil setting, away from areas of grain production and protected from storms. The dolocrite crusts capping some hemispheres are evidence of a longer sea-level drop that presumably terminated stromatolite growth.

**Bioturbated dolosiltites (D13)**

**Characteristics**

These are beige-yellow-orange-grey, unfossiliferous, fine-grained dolostones that are extensively bioturbated (Balangoglossites isp., Thalassinoides isp.; Fig. 13A, B). The burrows are passively infilled by dolomitic mud or fine-grained peloidal sand. The bioturbated dolosiltites commonly form units, 0.5–1.5 m thick, lacking internal erosional surfaces. In other cases, they occur as either centimetre-thick intercalations within other lithofacies, or decimetre-thick amalgamated packages. The bioturbated dolosiltites occur in the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region (Figs 2, 5).

**Environment**

The bioturbated dolostones are interpreted as the sediments of the shallow subtidal zone, deposited in areas, protected from the influence of vigorous tidal currents (Figs 3, 4A). Thinner units of bioturbated dolostones might have been formed in ephemeral tidal ponds (e.g., Shinn et al., 1969; Rankey and Berkeley, 2012), whereas thicker ones rather were deposited in long-term coastal lagoons and embayments (e.g., Kendall and Skipwith, 1969; Purser and Evans, 1973; Alsharhan and Kendall, 2003). The absence of skeletal fossils points to restricted life conditions, probably related to increased salinity, but still feasible for pervasive bioturbation. Thalassinoides isp. and Balangoglossites isp. are characteristic of well-aerated substrates (e.g., Rhoads, 1975; Savrda and Bottjer, 1986; Savrda, 2007).

**Dolosiltites (D14)**

**Characteristics**

These are beige-yellow, structureless, platy or medium-bedded dolomitic mudstones (Fig. 13C, D), composed of micrite or microspar, and rarely fine-grained peloidal wackestones (Fig. 13E). The dolosiltites, occurring in the Diplopora Beds and in the Zellenkalk2 of the Gogolin Formation, sporadically contain biomoulds after the dissolved shells of bivalves and gastropods (Fig. 13D). The dolosiltites of the Tarnowice Beds are generally unfossiliferous, except for the occurrence of vertebrate bones.

**Environment**

The dolosiltites presumably were formed in the tranquil areas of restricted lagoons (Figs 3, 4A), as evidenced by the lack of sedimentary structures, the overall paucity of fossils and the dolomitic nature of the sediment.

**Intraformational dolomitic conglomerates (D15)**

**Characteristics**

This facies consists of dolosiltites (D14) as matrix with grey-beige pebbles of dololutite and dolositite (Fig. 13F), containing sporadic forams (Fig. 13G). The pebbles are flat to ellipsoidal (up to 30 cm long), moderately to well-rounded and poorly sorted. They are commonly aligned parallel to bedding planes and only rarely imbricated.

This lithofacies type is rare and it occurs chiefly in the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region (Fig. 5). One
Fig. 13. Examples of shallow subtidal, dolomitic, mud-dominated lithofacies. A. Vertical outcrop view of bioturbated dolosiltite. B. Photomicrograph of A, showing the contact between burrow and surrounding microsparitic deposit containing sparse peloids (arrows). Note that the alignment of peloids follows the margins of the tubular feature, which indicates that it was produced by bioturbation. C. Vertical outcrop view of platy-bedded dolosiltite. D. Vertical outcrop view of medium-bedded dolosiltite containing vugs after dissolved bivalves (black arrow) and gastropods (white arrow). E. Photomicrograph of D, showing peloidal wackestone with sporadic bivalve shells (arrows). F. Vertical outcrop view of matrix-supported intraformational dolomitic conglomerate. Grey, horizontally oriented, flat pebbles of dolosiltite float in dolosiltite matrix. G. Photomicrograph of flat pebble, showing foram test enclosed by microspar. Pictures: A, B, D–G – Diplopora Beds, Kraków–Silesia region; C – Tarnowice Beds, Kraków–Silesia region.
horizon of intraformational conglomerate, found in the Tar-
nowice Beds, comprises lithoclasts containing the boring
*Trypanites* (Fig. 5).

**Environment**

Like dolosiltites (D14), the intraformational dolomitic
conglomerates represent shallow subtidal zones (Figs 3,
4A). The lithoclasts may represent eroded hardened crusts,
occupying lagoon floors or inter- and supratidal flats. The
dominant horizontal alignment of pebbles and concurrent
lack of sedimentary structures within the surrounding sedi-
ment indicate rapid deposition of mixed material, trans-
ported in suspension by strong currents of inferred tidal or
storm origin.

**Peloidal dolostones (D16)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow-grey, peloidal grainstones and pack-
stones (dolarenites), rarely wackestones (dolosiltites), con-
taining rare bioclasts (green algae, crinoids, gastropods, bi-
valves), ooids and oncoids (Fig. 14A). The peloids are gen-
erally well-rounded and moderately sorted (Fig. 14B), but
some layers are composed of poorly rounded and poorly
sorted ones. The peloidal dolostones form thick amalgam-
ated packages. They hardly ever display planar bedding or
cross-bedding (trough, tabular, or herringbone), but their
tops may be shaped as symmetrical ripples (10–20 cm long)
and dunes (0.5–1.5 m long). Locally, the peloidal dolosto-
nes contain well-developed networks of the burrow *Balanoglossites*
infilled by dolomitic mud (Fig. 14C). The peloidal
dolostones fill all of several recognized tidal channels (Ma-

The peloidal dolostones are the most abundant lithofa-
cies of the Diplopora Beds of the Kraków–Silesia region.
They also occur as sparse intercalations within the Tarno-
vice and Boruszowice beds (Figs 2, 5).

**Environment**

The peloidal dolostones are interpreted as the deposits of
shallow subtidal settings isolated from normal-marine
conditions (Figs 3, 4A). Some of them might have been for-
med in a high-energy milieu, as indicated by occasional cur-
rent cross-bedding and grainstone texture; however, most
peloids must have accumulated in relatively tranquil areas.
Frequent symmetrical ripples and dunes indicate that the
area was subjected to wave activity, which also could have
been responsible for removing the mud. Locally, sedimenta-
tion ceased for a long time to enable the extensive colonization of the substrate by opportunistic infauna and the development of the trace fossil *Balanoglossites*.

**Green algal (Dasycladaceae) dolostones (D17)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow-orange-grey, green algal grainstones-packstones and rudstones, also containing frequent gastropods and bivalves (Fig. 14D, E). The matrix consists of poorly sorted and moderately rounded peloids and forams. Some peloids are an abrasional product of algae. The green algal dolostones show no sedimentary structures. This facies type occurs exclusively in the Diplopora Beds of both the Kraków–Silesia and Opole regions (Figs 2, 5).

**Environment**

Green algae typically form meadows in low-energy, mud-free, open lagoons and bays (Wray, 1977; Berger and Kaever, 1992). However, this particular environment must have been at least semi-restricted to facilitate the early diageneric dolomitization of the accumulated sediments (Figs 3, 4A). The lack of sedimentary structures suggests that the green algal debris was generally deposited in situ.

**Oncoidal dolostones (D18)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow, structureless, oncolidal rudstones and floatstones (Fig. 15A, B), with a matrix consisting of poorly rounded and sorted peloids, bioclasts (forams, green algae, bivalves, gastropods, crinoids) and cortoids. The oncolids are 0.5–4 cm in diameter, subspherical to ellipsoidal in shape and symmetrical in cross-section (sporadically asymmetrical), poorly sorted and display random orientation within a layer. The oncolids have thick cortices, composed of dark, thinner, micritic laminae and light, thicker, microsparitic-sparitic ones (Fig. 15C). The laminae have lobate shapes and are arranged in a concentric or partially overlapping manner. Some oncolids seem to contain no nucleus;
other oncoids developed around a disarticulated micritized bivalve shell or a peloidal-bioclastic deposit. Locally, the internal structure of oncoids is obliterated, owing to recrystal-

The oncoidal dolostones exclusively form the middle part of the Diplopora Beds in the Kraków–Silesia region (Figs 2, 5), where they are regarded as a widespread correla-

tion horizon (Alexandrowicz, 1971; Bilan and Golonka, 1972; Myszkowska, 1992). However, Matysik (2014) has recognized that in many sections the oncoidal dolostones are laterally replaced by green algal dolostones (D17) and peloidal dolostones (D16).

**Environment**

Large oncoids with lobate laminae, including the most external ones, are typically formed in “low-energy” settings (Flügel, 2010); nevertheless the prevailing subspherical shape and symmetrical cross-sections of the oncoids discus-

A radial-fibrous fabric is typical of ooids precipitating in “low-energy” conditions, as proved by laboratory experi-

ments (e.g., Davies et al., 1978; Deelman, 1978; Ferguson et al., 1978) and investigations of modern depositional set-

tings (e.g., Loreau and Purser, 1973; Davies and Martin, 1976; Land et al., 1979). The overall small size of the ooids discussed confirms that the site of ooid precipitation was characterized by “weak” agitation. The microsparitic matrix and rare cross-bedding indicate that the ooids also accumu-

lated in calm areas. Taking these considerations into ac-

tount, the ooidal dolostones are interpreted as representing a “low-energy”, tidally dominated environment, namely re-

stricted embayments and their tidal inlets (Figs 3, 4A).

**Ooidal dolostones (D19)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow, ooidal packstones (Fig. 15D), contain-

A radial-fibrous fabric is typical of ooids precipitating in “low-energy” conditions, as proved by laboratory experi-

ments (e.g., Davies et al., 1978; Deelman, 1978; Ferguson et al., 1978) and investigations of modern depositional set-

tings (e.g., Loreau and Purser, 1973; Davies and Martin, 1976; Land et al., 1979). The overall small size of the ooids discussed confirms that the site of ooid precipitation was characterized by “weak” agitation. The microsparitic matrix and rare cross-bedding indicate that the ooids also accumu-

lated in calm areas. Taking these considerations into ac-

tount, the ooidal dolostones are interpreted as representing a “low-energy”, tidally dominated environment, namely re-

stricted embayments and their tidal inlets (Figs 3, 4A).

**Ferricretes (L01)**

**Characteristics**

These are orange-red, centimetre-thick crusts, resting on an irregular, subaerially weathered or bioturbated and bored surface (Fig. 16A, B). The ferricretes exhibit a micro-

nodule texture, accentuated by iron oxides. They contain dispersed ooids, peloids, bioclasts (mostly crinoids and rare bivalves, gastropods and forams) and silt-sized quartz grains (Fig. 16C). The ferricretes mark the upper boundary of the Góraźdże Formation (Figs 2, 6).

**Environment**

The ferricretes formed on emerged peloidal-oncoidal shoal sands or lime muds (Fig. 3). The carbonate and quartz grains might have been blown onto the ferricrete surface by the wind.

**Green algal (Dasycladaceae) limestones (L02)**

**Characteristics**

These yellow-orange-grey green algal grainstones-

packstones and rudstones (Fig. 16D, E) are locally rich in gastropods, bivalves, crinoids and corals. The matrix con-

sists of poorly sorted, angular peloids and forams. Some peloids are an abrasional product of the algae. The grains are in many cases surrounded by thick rims of early marine cements (Fig. 16E). The green algal limestones do not dis-

play any sedimentary structures. They occur locally in the Diplopora Beds of the Opole region (Figs 2, 6).

**Environment**

The green algal limestones probably represent tranquil, clear, well-circulated, shallow subtidal areas within embay-

ments or lagoons (Wray, 1977; Berger and Kaever, 1992) or behind high-energy bars (Figs 3, 4B). The precipitation of early marine cements is generally enhanced by decreased sedimentation rates and the intense pumping of sea water through the sediment.

**Ooidal dolostones (L03)**

**Characteristics**

These white-yellow, ooidal grainstones, consisting of large (~1 mm in diameter) ooids (Fig. 16F) with some contribution of peloids, cortoids, polyooids and bioclasts (gastropods, bivalves, brachiopods and crinoids). Some ooid margins show evidence of solution at the contact with
Fig. 16. Examples of supratidal and shallow subtidal, limestone lithofacies. A. Vertical outcrop view of ferricrete crust developed on firmground. B. Vertically oriented slab of ferricrete crust capping irregular, subaerially weathered hardground with the boring Trypanites (arrows). C. Detail of B, showing micronodular texture (mt) accentuated by iron oxides (arrows). The bioclasts (bi) might have been blown onto ferricrete surface by wind. D. Vertically oriented slab of green algal grainstone-rudstone. All the yellowish elements are fragments of green algae in different sections (arrow points at transverse section). E. Photomicrograph of D, showing several ring-like fragments of green algae enclosed by thick rims of early-marine cements (arrow). F. Vertically oriented slab of ooidal grainstone containing sparse crinoid elements (arrow). G. Photomicrograph of F, showing large (~1 mm across) normal ooids with poorly preserved concentrical lamination enclosed by sparry cement. H. Photomicrograph of ooidal packstone-grainstone consisting of large (~1 mm across) superficial ooids with radial-fibrous cortices. Note that many ooids are regenerated (yellow arrow), coated together to form polyoids (blue arrow), and possess dissolved margins at contacts with other ooids (red arrow). Pictures: A–C – topmost part of Górzędze Formation, Opole region; D–G – Diplopora Beds, Opole region, H – the lowermost part of Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region.
other ooids. On the basis of ooid internal structure, two subdivisions can be recognized:

A) These ooids have small peloid nuclei and thick cortices (= normal ooids), displaying poorly-preserved concentric laminae (Fig. 16H). The ooids are moderately to well-sorted and well-rounded. This subtype is characteristic of the lowermost part of the Diplopora Beds (Figs 2, 6) which overlies the sponge bioherms (L08) in the Opole region (Fig. 4B).

B) These ooids have large peloid or bioclast nuclei and thin cortices (= superficial ooids) that display well-preserved radial-fibrous fabric (Fig. 16G). The ooids are poorly to moderately sorted and moderately to well-rounded (depending on the shape of the nucleus). Some ooids are broken and regenerated. This subtype is common in the lowermost part of the Gogolin Formation (Figs 2, 5).

Environment

Marine ooids generally represent turbulent, tidally influenced settings. The overall large size of the ooids discussed and the total lack of micrite between the grains indicate that both the sites of ooid precipitation and deposition were characterized by strong agitation. However, the superficial ooids of subtype B were formed in “lower-energy” conditions than the normal ooids of subtype A, as evidenced by the differences in arrangement of the crystals within their cortices (e.g., Loreau and Purser, 1973; Davies and Martin, 1976; Davies et al., 1978; Deelman, 1978; Ferguson et al., 1978; Land et al., 1979). Today, the latter ooids occur on the crests of bars and tidal deltas over the Bahama platforms (e.g., Newell et al., 1960; Bathurst, 1975) and along the Trucial Coast (e.g., Loreau and Purser, 1973).

Oncoidal limestones (L04)

Characteristics

Their matrix consists of poorly to moderately sorted and rounded peloids, rare to frequent bioclasts (brachiopods, bivalves, gastropods, green algae, forams, crinoids) and cortoids, sporadic superficial ooids and angular to subrounded lithoclasts of grey calcilutites. The ooids developed around disarticulated and micritized bioclasts, peloidal-bioclastic deposits or without any specific nucleus, which determined the oncoid shape. On the basis of oncoid size and cortex composition, three subdivisions can be recognized:

A) White-beige, oncoidal floatstones and rudstones (Fig. 17A). The oncoids are 0.5–2 cm across and generally symmetrical in cross-section. Most of them have thick cortices with well-preserved Girvanella tubes (Fig. 17B), which form either a continuous coat around the central part (laminar growth form) or several contiguous columns (lobate growth form). This subtype occurs in the lowermost part of the Gogolin Formation and just above the sponge-coral bioherms of the Karchowice Formation (Figs 2, 4B, 6).

B) White-yellow, oncoidal floatstones and rudstones (Fig. 17C). The oncoids are 0.5–4 cm in diameter and symmetrical to highly asymmetrical. They have thick cortices, composed of alternating dark thinner micritic and light thicker microparitic-sparitic laminae, which have irregular lobate shapes and have a concentric to partially overlapping arrangement (Fig. 17D, E). The cortices contain sparry fenestrae and serpulid encrustations. The oncoids of reefal settings may contain coral fragments as nuclei (Fig. 17E). This subtype is characteristic of the middle part of the Góra¿d¿e Formation and the lowermost part of the Diplopora Beds, capping directly the sponge biostrones and filling the pockets between the sponge-coral bioherms of the Karchowice Formation (Figs 2, 4B, 6).

C) White-beige-grey, oncoidal grainstones and rudstones (Fig. 17F), rarely wackestones-packstones and floatstones. The oncoids are characterized by small dimensions (usually 1–3 mm across, rarely 4–5 mm), regular shapes and dense micritic cortices, exhibiting vague, concentric laminations (Fig. 17G). Forams (Pillammina sp.) are commonly incorporated into the cortices. This subtype occurs exclusively in the Góra¿d¿e Formation (particularly in its lower and upper parts), and in the Hauptcrinoidenbank of the Dziewkowice Formation (Figs 2, 6).

Regardless of the subtype, the oncoids are aligned with their long axes parallel to the bedding or cross-bedding planes. The oncoidal limestones usually form amalgamated packages 0.3–1.5 m thick that only rarely display cross-bedding (low-angle, tabular, trough and herringbone). The tops of many oncolites are shaped as straight or sinusoidal, bifurcating, symmetrical dunes 0.5–1.5 m long (occasionally up to 10 m long; Fig. 17H).

Environment

The three subtypes of oncoidal limestones distinguished differ from one another in many respects, especially in oncoid composition, size, shape and growth pattern, which reflect different environmental conditions. The Girvanella oncoids of subtype A were unequivocally formed in clear waters, whereas the microbial oncoids of subtype B and C did not require access to the light (Flügel, 2010). Regarding the water energy, the oncoids of subtype C apparently grew in a high-energy, permanently turbulent setting, evidenced by their small size, concentric symmetrical growth patterns and common subspherical shape (Flügel, 2010). They were also deposited in a similar regime of higher energy, responsible for creating grain-supported textures. In contrast, the oncoids of subtype A and B generally display features, regarded as typical of quiet-water oncoids, such as the large size or lobate shape of laminae (Flügel, 2010). On the basis of these considerations, the oncoidal limestones of subtype C are interpreted as representing high-energy banks and bars (Fig. 3), whereas the oncoidal limestones of subtypes A and B were formed in generally calm, normal-marine setting, in back-barrier areas and between organic buildups (Fig. 4B).

Cortoidal limestones (L05)

Characteristics

These are beige, cortoidal rudstones and grainstones (Fig. 18A), rarely floatstones and packstones. The facies is composed predominantly of bioclasts (mostly bivalves, brachiopods and crinoids, subordinately gastropods), exhibiting thin, non-laminated micritic rims that originated both from constructive and destructive micritization (Fig. 18B, C).
Fig. 17. Examples of oncoidal limestones. A. Vertically oriented slab of oncoidal floatstone composed of mixed symmetrical to highly asymmetrical, large (~1 cm across), ellipsoidal to flattened *Girvanella* oncoids. B. Photomicrograph of oncoidal rudstone consisting of *Girvanella* oncoids surrounded by peloidal-bioclastic matrix and spar cement. *Girvanella* tubes form continuous coat around the bioclastic nuclei. C. Vertically oriented slab of oncoidal rudstone consisting of large (~1 cm across), irregularly shaped, microbial oncoids. D. Photomicrograph of C, illustrating two microbial oncoids composed of several sets of dark thinner micritic laminae and light thicker microsparitic-sparitic ones. E. Photomicrograph of oncoidal floatstone with peloidal-micrite matrix. The oncoids (on) developed around brachiopod shells (white arrow) and coral fragments (black arrow). The oncoid cortices are composed of alternating thinner micritic and thicker microsparitic laminae. The latter include spar-infilled serpulid encrustations (yellow arrows). F. Vertically oriented slab of oncoidal grainstone composed of small (up to 2 mm across) regular microbial oncoids. G. Photomicrograph of F, showing small, ellipsoidal, microbial oncoids with vague concentric laminations (mo), enclosed by peloidal matrix and sparite. H. Outcrop view of top surface of oncoidal limestone shaped as 1-m-long sinusoidal, symmetrical dunes. Pictures: A – Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region; B – Karchowice Formation, Opole region; C–G – Górażdże Formation, Opole region.
Interiors of some bioclast reveal the microborings of microbes and fungi; however, in many cases they have been destroyed almost completely by microborer activity. Non-coated bioclasts, peloids, superficial ooids and micritic oncoids are accessory constituents. The cortoidal limestones commonly form straight or sinusoidal, bifurcating, symmetrical ripples (0.2–0.4 m long) and dunes (0.5–2.0 m long), which are amalgamated in packages, several metres thick. The cortoidal limestones occur in the lowermost part of the Gogolin Formation, in the Hauptcrinoidenbank of the Dziewkowice Formation and in the Karchowice Formation (Figs 2, 4B, 6).

Environment

The cortoidal limestones represent sedimentation in the shallow, subtidal zone, above the fair-weather wave base (Fig. 3). The formation of micrite envelopes required longer periods of substrate stability and bioclast exposure on the sea floor, enabling the colonization of grain surfaces by microborers (Bathurst, 1966; Swinchatt, 1969).

Bioclastic limestones (L06)

Characteristics

These are grey-beige-white, bioclastic floatstones and rudstones, rarely wackestones-grainstones with a matrix, composed of poorly rounded and poorly sorted peloids. Depending on the dominant type of bioclasts, three subdivisions can be recognized:

A) The main constituents are bivalve and brachiopod shells, which may be articulated, disarticulated and/or broken (Fig. 18D–F). The shells are commonly aligned parallel to bedding planes and oriented convex-up or concave-up, depending on the mechanism of deposition. This subtype is abundant in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations, and sporadic in the Olkus Beds and the Karchowice Formation (Figs 2, 5).

B) The main constituents are crinoid ossicles, which may be articulated and/or disarticulated (Fig. 18G, H). This subtype typically occurs on the flanks of sponge-oral bioherms of the Karchowice Formation (Figs 2, 4B, 6, see also Fig. 20A). It is rare in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations and the Olkus Beds.

C) The main constituents are gastropod shells (Fig. 18I). This subtype is uncommon; it appears rarely in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations.

The bioclastic limestones commonly occur as coquinas, 1–30 cm thick, displaying sharp scoured bases, normal grading and rare small-scale cross-bedding. The coquinas occur as intercalations in the fine-grained limestones (Fig. 3).

The thick, amalgamated packages of bioclastic limestones of subtype B indicate in turn sedimentation in a high-energy, mud-free zone, probably on the upper shoreface (Fig. 3). The rapid burial, exemplified by common articulated crinoid ossicles (Meyer, 1971; Liddel, 1975; Brower and Veinus, 1978), may in this case be related to high carbonate production in a circum-reefal environment.

Peloidal limestones (L07)

Characteristics

These are white-beige-grey, peloidal grainstones and packstones (Fig. 19A), rarely wackestones, containing sporadic to abundant bioclasts (bivalves, brachiopods, gastropods, crinoids, forams and green algae), cortoids, oncoids and lithoclasts of grey calcilutites. On the basis of peloid size, shape, internal structure and degree of sorting, several subdivisions of this facies can be recognized:

A) The peloids are poorly rounded and poorly sorted and many exhibit a lobate outline (Fig. 19B). This subtype is the most ubiquitous in the limestone domain and widespread throughout all the formations (Figs 2, 4B, 5, 6, 20A).

B) The peloids are small (commonly <0.5 mm in diameter), well-sorted, and have ellipsoidal shapes (Fig. 19C). This subtype occurs in the lower part of the Olkus Beds (Figs 2, 5).

C) The peloids are large (~1 mm in diameter), well-rounded and well-sorted (Fig. 19D). Their internal structure is commonly recrystallized, but some of them display very poorly preserved ooid-type concentric laminations. This kind of peloid is characteristic for the upper part of the Olkus Beds (Figs 2, 5).

Many peloidal limestones are structureless, although some display either sharp bases, normal grading and hummocky cross-stratification (features indicative of storm environments; Fig. 19E), or have top surfaces with the shape of straight or sinuousoidal, bifurcating, symmetrical dunes, 0.5–2.0 m long, or as oscillatory ripples, 20–40 cm long. The bioclastic limestones of the Gogolin Formation are locally rich in glauconite.

Environment

The bioclastic coquinas display typical tempestite features, such as sharp scoured bases and normal grading (Harms et al., 1975; Kreisa, 1981; Walker, 1982; Aigner, 1985; Duke, 1985; Myrow and Southard, 1996; Einsele, 2000). In addition, the bivalve and brachiopod elements are abundantly oriented convex-down, as a consequence of material settling from suspension during a weakening storm (e.g., Clifton, 1971). Also articulated crinoid ossicles are an indicator of rapid burial, which prevented the post-mortem disarticulation of crinoid skeletons (Meyer, 1971; Liddel, 1975; Brower and Veinus, 1978). Therefore, the bioclastic coquinas of the type discussed are regarded as proximal tempestites by many authors (Dzubielański and Kubicz, 1975; Chudzikiewicz, 1982; Bodzioch, 1985; Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2010). They apparently were deposited on the lower shoreface, the zone between the storm and fair-weather wave base, since they occur as intercalations in the fine-grained limestones (Fig. 3).

The thick, amalgamated packages of bioclastic limestones of subtype B indicate in turn sedimentation in a high-energy, mud-free zone, probably on the upper shoreface (Fig. 3). The rapid burial, exemplified by common articulated crinoid ossicles (Meyer, 1971; Liddel, 1975; Brower and Veinus, 1978), may in this case be related to high carbonate production in a circum-reefal environment.
The peloids themselves are also of various modes of origin. The large, spherical peloids of subtype C that occasionally display crude, concentrical lamination are most likely ooids, the internal structure of which was obliterated owing to recrystallization. The facies therefore might have originated in high-energy, tidally influenced settings. The ellipsoidal peloids of subtype B may be faecal pellets. The best known, modern examples of massive accumulation of coprolites are low-energy areas with reduced sedimentation rates, occupying the interior of the Bahama platform and the inner South Florida shelf (e.g., Kornicker and Purdy, 1957; Enos and Perkins, 1977; Land and Moore, 1980; Wanless et al., 1981). The lobate-shaped peloids of subtype A are presumably aggregate grains, which underwent complete mi-

Fig. 19. Examples of peloidal limestones. A. Vertical outcrop view of peloidal grainstone. B. Photomicrograph of peloidal grainstone consisting of lobate peloids (micritized aggregate grains), and sporadic forams (blue arrow) and green algae (red arrow). C. Photomicrograph of peloidal grainstone consisting of ellipsoidal peloids (faecal pellets) and rare micritic lithoclasts. D. Photomicrograph of peloidal grainstone consisting of subrounded recrystallized peloids, which occasionally display ooid-type concentric lamination and possess dissolved margins at contacts with other peloids (arrow). E. Vertically oriented slab of hummocky cross-stratified fine-grained peloidal packstone. F. Vertical outcrop view of planar-bedded medium-grained peloidal packstone (the right side of the picture), truncated by a high-angle cross-bedded one (the left side of the picture). Pictures: A, B, F – Góraźdże Formation, Opole region; C, D – Olkus Beds, Kraków–Silesia region, E – Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region.
The formation of aggregate grains requires periods of sediment stabilization and cementation (Illing, 1954; Purdy, 1963; Taylor and Illing, 1969; Winland and Matthews, 1974; Wanless et al., 1981). Cementation is triggered by cyanobacteria, algae and fungi that live in the interstices between grains (e.g., Fabricius, 1977).

**Sponge buildups (L08)**

**Characteristics**

The sponge buildups include biostromes and bioherms. The individual biostromes are 3–10 cm thick, but they are amalgamated in laterally extensive units, several metres thick (Bodzioch, 1989; Matysik, 2010). The bioherms have hemispherical cross-sections and reach 6 m in height and 25 m in diameter (very rarely ~0.5–2 m high and 0.5–1 m across; Bodzioch, 1989; Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2010; Fig. 20A). The framework of both biostromes and bioherms is predominantly formed by hexactinellid sponges (Pisera and Bodzioch, 1991; Bodzioch, 1993) that may be preserved as: 1) etched, siliceous, endosomal skeletons; 2) mummies and mesoclots, composed of sponge automicrite with calcified spicules (Fig. 20B, C); or 3) recrystallized, cavernous limestones. In the latter case, the caverns are regarded as incompletely filled sponge paragasters and cavities between sponge bodies (Bodzioch, 1989; Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2010) and where recrystallization was not advanced, the clotted-peloidal microfabric is discernible (Fig. 20D). In addition to sponges, some bioherms are also constructed by scleractinian corals and crinoids (Morycowa, 1988; Szulc, 2000; Morycowa and Szulc, 2010), and contain rich assemblages of dwellers and encrusters, including bivalves, brachiopods, gastropods, polychaetes, bryozoans, echinoderms, ostracods and green algae (Morycowa and Szulc, 2010).

The sponge buildups are characteristic of the Karczowice Formation, where they are concentrated in two stratigraphic horizons (Figs 2, 4B, 6). Each horizon, about 10 m thick, commences with a biostrome unit overlain by isolated sponge bioherms, enclosed in and separated by cortoidal limestones (L05), Bioclastic limestones (L06B) and peloi-
The bioherms occur in a NE–SW-trending belt, which is interpreted as a slightly elevated (tectonic) element of the sea-floor that was the first one to have risen up above the fair-weather wave base during the progressive filling of the area (Matysik, 2010). Smaller bioherms have also been found within the lowermost part of the Diplopora Beds in the Opole region (Fig. 2). These bioherms are laterally juxtaposed to composite buildups, surrounded by oncoidal limestones (L04B) and peloidal limestones (L07A).

**Environment**

The environmental controls on the development of sponge buildups have been discussed in detail by Bodzioch (1989, 1997a), Szulc (2000), Matysik (2010), and Morycowa and Szulc (2010). The sponge biostromes probably formed in the zone between storm and fair-weather wave base, in conditions of reduced sedimentation rate, while the sponge bioherms grew in a high-energy belt, under high input of calcareous detritus (Figs 2, 3, 4B, 6). Thus, the replacement of sponge biostromes by bioherms in the succession reflects the progressive shallowing of the area with a concomitant increase in energy.

**Intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09)**

**Characteristics**

These are bioclastic limestones (L06A), containing flat lithoclasts (Fig. 21A, B). The pebbles are commonly aligned parallel to bedding planes (rarely imbricated or randomly oriented) and distributed rather uniformly throughout the layer (Kubicz, 1971; Chudzikiewicz, 1975, 1982). The pebbles are composed of peloidal packstone, bioclastic floatstone and wackestone, calcisiltite or calcilutite. Some calcilutite pebbles contain the boring *Trypanites* or encrustations of the oyster-like bivalve *Placunopsis ostracina* (Schlotheim). One layer of intraformational conglomerate may comprise all lithological types of lithoclasts (Fig. 21A). The intraformational limestone conglomerates form laterally discontinuous kilometre-sized lenses that generally oc-

---

**Fig. 21.** Examples of intraformational limestone conglomerates and bedded fine-grained limestones. A. Vertical outcrop view of matrix-supported conglomerate layer composed of mixed horizontally-oriented flat pebbles of bioclastic limestone (white arrow), micritic limestone (yellow arrow), and micritic limestone with the boring *Trypanites* (black arrow), floating in peloidal-bioclastic groundmass (m). Note that the basal layer of the micritic limestone (bl) is partially reworked and presumably supplied some intraclasts to the conglomeratic layer. B. Vertically oriented slab of matrix-supported conglomerate comprising flat and subrounded pebbles of micritic limestone, embedded in bioclastic-peloidal matrix. Note that some pebbles are extensively bored (arrows). C. Vertical outcrop view of bedded calcisiltites exhibiting parallel lamination. D. Photomicrograph of C, showing sparse, horizontally-oriented peloids in micrite. Pictures: A, B – Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region; C, D – Góraźdże Formation, Opole region.
The intraformational limestone conglomerates occur abundantly in the Wilkowice Beds and in the upper part of the Gogolin Formation (Figs 2, 5, 6). Rare conglomeratic intercalations were also found in the Dziewkowice Formation and in the lower part of the Gogolin Formation.

Environment

Like the bioclastic limestones (L06A), the intraformational limestone conglomerates are interpreted to represent proximal tempestites, deposited on the lower shoreface (Fig. 3). The occurrence of multi-generational rounded pebbles within one tempestite suggests multiple reworking and deep erosion of consolidated, lithologically differentiated

Fig. 22. Examples of open-marine, fine-grained limestone lithofacies. A. Vertical outcrop view of platy-bedded calcisiltite. B. Vertical outcrop view of clay-free wavy-bedded calcisiltite formed owing to syndepositional deformation of platy-bedded, precursor deposit. C. Vertically oriented slab of clay-free, nodular calcilutite with amalgamated nodules (dotted line) and numerous burrow Rhizocorallium in cross-section (white arrows) and oblique section (black arrow). D. Bedding plane view of nodular calcisiltite, showing horizontal, U-shaped, spreite burrow Rhizocorallium isp. completely filled with faecal pellets. E. Vertical outcrop view of nodular calcilutite. The nodules are enclosed by black claystone. F. Vertical outcrop view of two layers of limey claystone capping a basal intraformational conglomerate and sandwiching bedded calcisiltites (middle and top layer). A–D, F – Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region; E – Dziewkowice Formation, Opole region.
substrate (Sepkoski, 1982; Osleger and Read, 1991). The dominant horizontal alignment of pebbles and lack of an internal hiatus within the conglomerate layers seem to indicate the rapid deposition of both pebbles and transported in the mass of a dense flow (Chudzikiewicz, 1975).

**Bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10)**

**Characteristics**

These are grey-beige lime mudstones and fine-grained, peloidal wackestones (Fig. 21C, D), containing rare forams and broken bivalves. The facies forms distinct beds, 5–30 cm thick and intercalated with the thin-bedded limestones listed below (lithofacies L11–L16). Parallel lamination, normal grading, hummocky cross-stratification or low-angle cross-bedding are occasionally present and transected by undeniﬁable bioturbation structures. The bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites occur sporadically in the Gogolin, Góraźde, Olkusz, Dziewkowice and Wilkowice formations (Figs 2, 5, 6).

**Environment**

The hummocky cross-stratified deposits may be interpreted unequivocally as distal tempestites. In contrast, the parallel lamination, preserved in some beds, indicates the deposition of carbonate silt and mud from suspension (Fig. 3).

**Platy-bedded limestones (L11)**

**Characteristics**

These are grey-yellow lime mudstones (calcisiltites and calcilutites) that form layers, 1–2 cm thick and characterized by parallel bedding planes and considerable horizontal continuity (Fig. 22A). The platy-bedded limestones occur as decimetre-thick packages in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations.

**Environment**

The fine-grained nature of this deposit and overall lack of fossils indicate deposition in a calm, poorly oxygenated setting, probably in the offshore or on the shoreface (Fig. 3). Mud accretion must have been episodic, but frequent, as evidenced by the thin-bedded character of the sediment. It is enigmatic that the platy-bedded limestones are completely devoid of trace fossils. The most acceptable explanation is provided by a deficiency of organic matter within the deposit, due to the augmented oxygenation, but the cause of oxygen level ﬂuctuations still remains unclear.

**Wavy-bedded limestones (L12)**

**Characteristics**

These are grey lime mudstones (calcisiltites and calcilutites) that form undulated to crumpled layers, 1–2 cm thick and exhibiting in most cases considerable horizontal continuity (Fig. 22B). Some layers are sandwiched between millimetre-thick layers of black, limey claystone. The wavy-bedded limestones occur as decimetre-thick packages, which show internal folding and faulting and contain ball-and-pillow structures (Dżulyński and Kubicz, 1975).

The packages of wavy-bedded limestones are common in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations and minor in the Góraźde Formation (Figs 5, 6). They are regarded as local key horizons (Bogacz et al., 1968).

**Environment**

Like the platy-bedded limestones (L11), the wavy-bedded limestones also represent offshore and shoreface deposits (Fig. 3). The formation of wavy-crumpled fabric, as shown by the experiments of Bogacz et al. (1968), resulted from the collapse of an unstable water-laden sequence of alternating limey and marly sediments. The collapse was locally accompanied by sliding and contortion of the entire package, as well as by sinking of the overlying bedded sediment (Dżulyński and Kubicz, 1975). Potential triggers for such sediment mobilization include storms, seismic shocks, gravitation and overloading by water or sediment. Earthquake events appear to have been the most reliable trigger mechanism, because the Upper Silesian threshold was a part of the tectonically active Silesian-Moravian Gate and was attached to an archipelago of fault-bounded islands (Szulc, 1989, 1993).

**Nodular limestones (L13)**

**Characteristics**

These are grey lime mudstones (calcisiltites and calcilutites) that form nodules, 1–5 cm thick, displaying irregularity in shape and limited horizontal continuity (Fig. 22C, E). The nodular limestones form either distinct decimetre-thick packages or centimetre-thick intercalations within other carbonate lithofacies. The nodular limestones of the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations contain numerous trace fossils, predominantly coprolite-filled *Rhizocorallium communae* (Fig. 22C, D). In addition, individual nodules commonly are enclosed in and separated from other nodules by millimetre-thick layers of black limey claystone (Fig. 22E). In contrast, the nodular limestones of the Góraźde Formation and Olkusz Beds exhibit undeniﬁable trace fossils (rare *Rhizocorallium* isp.), and the nodules are amalgamated.

**Environment**

Like the platy-bedded limestones (L11), the nodular limestones represent background sedimentation in an open-marine environment (Fig. 3). During certain time intervals, carbonate accumulation was interrupted additionally by increased siliciclastic inﬂux, recorded as millimetre-thick claystone between the nodules. The abundance of trace fossils implicates that the nodular fabric resulted from the extensive burrowing of originally layered deposits, rather than from late pressure solution and compaction or early submarine lithiﬁcation under weak bottom currents (Mullins et al., 1980). *Rhizocorallium* isp. is regarded as an indicator of oxygen-depleted deposits (Martin, 2004; Knaust, 2013).

**Marls and limey claystones (L14)**

**Characteristics**

These are yellow-orange-grey-green, unfossiliferous fine-grained deposits, displaying parallel or flaser lamina tion (Fig. 22F). In thin sections, one can observe silt-sized, rounded quartz grains and mica flakes, scattered in a car-
bonate mud. The marls and limey claystones usually form 1- to 10-cm-thick layers occurring interbedded in other carbonate lithofacies within the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations (Figs 5, 6).

Environment
The marls and limey claystones are considered to represent periods of increased supply of terrigenous material and/or reduced production and transport of carbonates. Such conditions appear often below the zone of storm-wave reworking (Fig. 3).

Firmgrounds (L15)
Characteristics
These are grey lime mudstones (calciisiltites and calci- lutites) and fine-grained, peloidal wackestones, containing rare forams, broken bivalves and crinoid ossicles (Fig. 23A). They form layers, 5–30 cm thick, that include chiefly the burrows Balanoglosites and Thalassinoides (Fig. 23B, C). The burrows are filled either with faecal pellets (active fill) or a yellow, peloidal-bioclastic-micritic sediment (passive fill). In most cases, the bioturbation obliterated the original parallel lamination of the deposits. The burrows are commonly surrounded by a black diagenetic halop that fades gradually into the grey mudstone background.

The firmgrounds form much of the sedimentary succession of the Olkusz Beds and Karchowice Formation. They occur subordinately in the Gogolin and Dziewkowice formations (Figs 2, 5, 6).

Environment
The homogenously laminated structure of firmgrounds, lacking internal erosional surfaces, implies that the carbonate mud was deposited from suspension as a single event, presumably after storms (Matysik, 2010). Subsequent development of a firmground omission surface required a prolonged time span of ceased sedimentation (Bodzioch, 1989; Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2012, 2014). The diagenetic haloes around the burrows Balanoglosites and Thalassinoides represent a zone impregnated with mucus, introduced by the ichnofauna to prevent the collapse of the burrows (e.g., Myrow, 1995; Bertling, 1999). The firmgrounds are interpreted as having been formed in a sediment-starved, open-marine, low-energy setting, below fair-weather wave base (Fig. 3).

Hardgrounds (L16)
Characteristics
These subtle surfaces are marked by: 1) encrusting bivalve Placunopsis ostracina that grew on each other like oysters to form centimetre-thick buildups (Fig. 23D, E); or 2) the boring Trypanites that usually developed in elevated elements of the sea bottom, such as domes or lenses (Fig. 23F, G). The hardgrounds are laterally discontinuous, both on regional and local scales, and many were reworked and the clasts incorporated into intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09).

The hardgrounds are relatively common in the upper part of the Gogolin Formation and in the Wilkowice Beds. One hardground horizon was recognized in the topmost part of the Góraźdże Formation and one at the base of the Karchowice Formation (Figs 5, 6).

Environment
Like firmgrounds (L15), the hardgrounds indicate breaks in sediment input, which typically occur in low-energy areas, below fair-weather wave base (Fig. 3). The limited horizontal persistence of these surfaces suggests that sedimentation hiatus were of local importance only.

DISTRIBUTION OF LITHOFACIES WITHIN AND BETWEEN DEPOSITIONAL SEQUENCES

The Upper Silesian Muschelkalk comprises four depositional sequences, representing major transgressive pulses from the Tethys Ocean via the Silesian-Moravian Gate (Szulc, 2000; Matysik, 2012, 2014). The vertical and horizontal organization of the lithofacies delineated within the sequences is generally complex, but the degree of complexity varies between particular sequences and systems tracts (Figs 2, 5, 6).

The first depositional sequence, equated with the lower Gogolin Formation, begins with a high-energy shoal package, which reaches a thickness of 1–3 m and is largely composed of peloidal limestones (L07A; Figs 2, 5, 6). This facies is locally accompanied by bioclastic limestones (L06) and in some sections of the Kraków–Silesia region also by “low-energy” ooidal limestones (L03B), oncocoidal limestones (L04A) or cortoidal limestones (L05). The occurrence of the coated grain lithofacies in the Kraków–Silesia region indicates that this area was generally shallower than the Opole region. Common centimetre- (occasionally decimetre-) thick intercalations of platy-beded limestones (L11), wavy-bededded limestones (L12), nodular limestones (L13), and marls and limey claystones (L14) were deposited during fair-weather periods or in protected topographic lows (e.g., between dunes or bars).

This basal package is succeeded by a 3–6 m thick set of alternating fine-grained limestones and tempestites (Figs 2, 5, 6), representing sedimentation on the lower shoreface. The frequently occurring nodular limestones (L13) and wavy-bededded limestones (L12) typically contain millimetre-thick, black, limey claystones, enclosing single nodules and interbedded with wavy-shaped layers. Marls and limey claystones (L14) are also widespread. The presence of siliciclastics may be explained as a consequence of an intense supply of terrigenous material during the progressive flooding of adjacent land areas, namely the Małopolska and Bohemian Massifs. On the other hand, the tempestites are predominantly represented by bioclastic limestones (L06A) and less frequent peloidal limestones (L07A, B) and bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites (L10; Figs 2, 5, 6). The thickness, abundance and composition of storm layers as well as their tendency to amalgamation vary between sections, reflecting proximality-distality trends (Myrow and Southard, 1996; Einsele, 2000); thick (up to 30 cm in thickness) bioclastic coquinas that are commonly amalgamated and sand-
wiched mostly between centimetre-thick fine-grained limestones predominate in northeastern proximal areas (toward
the archipelago of Devonian islands), whereas scarce, thinner (up to 15 cm thick), peloidal interbeds tend to predominate
around the southeastern margin of the Upper Silesian platform (toward the Tethys Ocean).

The following interval of maximum flooding is recorded by monotonous, clay-rich, nodular limestones (L13)
and wavy-bedded limestones (L12; Figs 2, 5, 6), locally accompanied by platy-bedded limestones (L11) and marls and
limy claystones (L14). These offshore facies are sharply overlain, without apparent Highstand Systems Tract (HST)
deposits, by the so-called Zellenkalk2 (Assmann, 1944), a horizon 0.5–2 m thick that marks the sequence boundary
(Szulc, 2000). It is chiefly composed of cellular dolostones (D04) and dolosilites (D14) with a subordinate contribu-
tion by dolocretes (D07), rhizolites (D08) and cryptalgal laminites (D11).

Like the first depositional sequence, the second sequence commences with (i) the high-energy shoal package
grading upward into (ii) the tempestite set (upper Gogolin Formation), both characterized by a minor, lateral lithofa-
cies variability. The former package, 1–2 m thick, is composed of peloidal limestones (L07A) and bioclastic limesto-
nes (L06A), which in some sections of the Kraków–Silesia region are accompanied by intraformational limestone con-
glomerates (L09) and “low-energy” ooidal limestones (L03B), reflecting shallower marine conditions. The tempestite set,
10–20 m thick, consists of clay-rich nodular limestones (L13), wavy-bedded limestones (L12), platy-bedded lime-
stones (L11), marls and limy claystones (L14) and hard-
grounds (L15) interspersed with storm-deposited bioclastic limestones (L06A), peloidal limestones (L07A, B), intra-
formational limestone conglomerates (L09) and bedded calcisilites and calcilutites (L10; Figs 2, 5, 6). In contrast to
the first depositional sequence, the tempestites of the second sequence display no spatial distribution trend, indicating
that no evident proximal and distal zone existed in the time period discussed. This presumably resulted from the flattening
and smoothing of the pre-Muschelkalk seafloor relief, when the Upper Silesian Sub-basin was gradually infilled
by sediments. The presence of hardgrounds (L15) and intra-
formational limestone conglomerates (L09) indicates the highly episodic nature of sediment accumulation during this
interval. The subsequent maximum flooding zone deposits are laterally uniform and dominated by clay-rich, nodular
limestones (L13) and wavy-bedded limestones (L12) with minor contribution of other fine-grained limestones.

The HST2 exhibits marked vertical and horizontal lithofacies variability. The HST of the Kraków–Silesia re-
gion (Olkusz Beds) is 35 m thick. Its lower part, representing
the lower shoreface, consists of alternating firmgrounds (L15) and peloidal limestones (L07A, B), chiefly of storm
origin (Figs 2, 5). The thickness and abundance of tempest-
titic intercalations increase upwards in the interval dis-
cussed, reflecting gradual shallowing (Matysik, 2014). The succeeding high-energy shoal complex, deposited on the
upper shoreface and foreshore, is built mostly of metre-

thick units of peloidal limestones (L07B, C) sandwiched be-
tween much thinner firmgrounds (L15). In the Opole re-
gion, on the other hand, the laterally equivalent Górażdże Formation reaches 20 m in thickness and is tripartite.
Its middle part is dominated by “low-energy” oncoidal limesto-
nes (L04B), while the lower and upper parts are composed of nodular limestones (L13) alternating with metre-thick,
laterally continuous units of “high-energy” oncoidal lime-
stones (L04C) and peloidal limestones (L07A; Figs 2, 6).
The latter alternation reflects cyclic sedimentation on the lo-
er and upper shoreface, respectively, caused by high-fre-
quency sea-level fluctuations (Matysik, 2012). The described differences in HST composition between the Opole and
Kraków–Silesia regions are unequivocally due to differenti-
ated bathymetries: the eastern shallower part first experi-
enced muddy and storm sedimentation and then high-en-
ergy shallow-water sedimentation, whereas the western
deepier part was alternately covered by extensive oncoidal-peloidal sand bodies and mud units. The character of back-
ground (mud) sedimentation also differed between the two
regions: highly episodic and rapid mud accretion caused the development of firmground omission surfaces in the eastern
area, whereas punctuated mud accumulation and subse-
quent bioturbation led to the formation of nodular fabrics in
the western area. The reason for this regional differentiation
remains unclear, but it might reflect the lateral variation in
carbonate production rates of unknown origin. It is note-
worthy that all lithofacies types of the HST2, including
depth-water nodular limestones (L13) and firmgrounds
(L15), are devoid of siliciclastic material, which seems to
reflect a dilution effect, related to extensive carbonate pro-
duction and/or reduced subaerial denudation of the neighbour-
ing land areas, due to the widespread flooding.

The capping sequence boundary is marked in the Opole
region by a horizon with ferricretes (L01; Szulc, 2000), and
in the Kraków–Silesia region by an erosional unconformity
(Matysik, 2014). The following third depositional sequence
was deposited during a maximum opening of the Silesian-

Fig. 23. Examples of starved basin lithofacies. A. Photomicrograph of firmground, showing sparse bioclasts in micrite. B. Vertical out-
crop view of firmground omission surface with the burrow Balanoglossites filled with yellow peloid-bioclastic sediment. Note parallel
lamination preserved in some places (arrow). C. Vertical outcrop view of firmground omission surface with Balanoglossites isp. overlain
by crinoid-peloidal packstone. Note lithoclasts derived from the underlying firmground (black arrows) and characteristic dark haloes
around burrows (white arrows). D. Bedding plane view of a Placunopsis ostracina “colony”. E. Vertically oriented slab of a Placunopsis
ostracina encrustation developed on peloidal-bioclastic packstone. F. Vertical outcrop view of a small dome of calcilutite, upper surface
of which contains the subvertical boring Trypanites. The surrounding sediment (arrow) was not infested by the endolithic biota. G.
Close-up view of F, showing densely bored surface in cross-section and plane view (top of picture). Pictures: A, C – Karchowice Forma-
tion, Opole region; B – Olkus Beds, Kraków–Silesia region, D–G – Gogolin Formation, Kraków–Silesia region.
Moravian Gate (Szulc, 2000). The sequence displays a substantial regional and local variability in lithofacies, which is evident for the HST and for the first time also for the Transgressive Systems Tract (TST). The TST3 in the Kraków–Silesia region (lower Diplopora Beds) is 10 m thick and comprises peritidal dolomitic facies (Figs 2, 5), including mudstones (D06), dolocretes (D07), rhizolites (D08), cryptagal laminites (D11), bioturbated dolosilites (D13), dolosilites (D14), intraformational dolomitic conglomerates (D15) and peloidal dolostones (D16). Most of these rocks, especially the supra- and intertidal lithofacies, are characterized by a very low degree of lateral persistence, which in some cases does not exceed several tens of metres. The limited lateral extent of lithofacies implies that the depositional area was geomorphologically varied, with co-existing supratidal plains and banks, cyanobacterial mat flats, salt marshes, ephemeral tidal ponds, and protected lagoons and embayments with mud- and peloid-dominated areas (Matysik, 2012, 2014; Fig. 4A). The distribution of subenvironments partially followed the local antecedent topography, specifically the distribution of low-relief (1–2 m high and up to hundreds of metres across) elevations and depressions, interpreted as surface karstic topographies, which formed during the third-order emersion (Matysik, 2014). Nevertheless, much of this mosaic pattern was produced because the subenvironments migrated laterally and encroached upon each other as a response to changes in accommodation space which in turn was the result of regional eustasy and local subsidence, erosion and accumulation (Matysik, 2012). In contrast to the TST of the Kraków–Silesia region, the TST in the Opole region (Dziewkowice Formation) is laterally uniform and consists of open-marine facies (Figs 2, 6). It begins with a 2.5-m-thick unit of wavy-bedded limestones (L12), followed by a high-energy shoal package, 1.5 m thick, called Hauptcrinoidenbank (Assmann, 1944). This package is composed of “high-energy” oncocoidal limestones (L04C), cortical limestones (L05), peloidal limestones (L07A) and bioclastic limestones (L06A). The overlying tempestite set, 8 m thick, comprises deeper-water, clay-rich nodular limestones (L13), wavy-bedded limestones (L12) and minor platy-bedded limestones (L11) intercalated with storm-deposited bioclastic limestones (L06A) and less frequent peloidal limestones (L07A, B; Figs 2, 6). The significant difference in the composition of the TST3 between the Opole and Kraków–Silesia regions indicates an even bigger differentiation of the westward-dipping Upper Silesian seafloor by comparison with the TST1 and TST2. Szulc (1993, 2000) suggested that the ultimate opening of the Silesian-Moravian Gate caused the tectonic drop of the Opole region, as he recognized a laterally continuous package of syndepositionally deformed, thin-bedded calcilutites there resting directly on the sequence boundary. One cannot exclude the possibility that the mentioned karstification of the subaerially exposed platform top produced not only local topographic structures, but also modified the entire platform relief.

The pronounced basin segmentation continued during the maximum flooding interval of the third sequence and is recorded as open-marine facies in the western deeper part and dolomitic facies in the eastern shallower part (Figs 2, 5, 6). The open-marine facies are laterally uniform and include clay-rich nodular limestones (L13), wavy-bedded limestones (L12) and locally platy-bedded limestones (L11). The dolomitic facies are represented by oncocoidal dolostones (D18), green algal dolostones (D16) and peloidal dolostones (D15), which pass laterally into each other, mirroring local environmental conditions (Myszkowska, 1992; Matysik, 2012).

The subsequent HST3 is 30–40 m thick and exhibits the greatest lithofacies heterogeneity among all the Upper Silesian systems tracts (Fig. 2). The HST in the Kraków–Silesia region (upper Diplopora Beds) contains peritidal dolomitic facies, predominantly mudstones (D06), dolocretes (D07), rhizolites (D08), fenestral dolostones (D09), cryptagal laminites (D11), bioturbated dolosilites (D13), dolosilites (D14), intraformational dolomitic conglomerates (D15) and peloidal dolostones (D16), and in the topmost part stromatolites (D12) and ooidal dolostones (D19; Figs 2, 5). Like in the TST3, most of these lithofacies pinch out laterally over less than a few kilometres as a consequence of the mosaic distribution of depositional settings and sedimentary processes within the tidal flat-lagoon system (Matysik, 2012; Fig. 4A). In contrast, the laterally equivalent HST of the Opole region generally represents a circum-reefal environment (Figs 2, 4B, 6). The Karchowie Formations comprises with a complex of firmgrounds (L15) and storm-deposited bioclastic limestones (L06A; Figs 2, 6). The overlying reefal complex comprises from bottom to top (Figs 2, 4B, 6): 1) sponge biostromes (L08); 2) sponge-coral bioherms (L08) with laterally adjacent proximal cortical limestones (L05) and bioclastic limestones (L06B) and distal firmgrounds (L15); 3) “low-energy” oncocoidal limestones (L04A) and peloidal limestones (L07A); 4) sponge biostromes (L08); and 5) sponge-coral bioherms (L08) enclosed by “low-energy” oncocoidal limestones (L04B) and peloidal limestones (L07A). The final stage of the HST3 in the Opole region is represented by a back-reef depositional setting, consisting of “high-energy” ooidal limestones (L03A), peloidal limestones (L07A), bedded calcisilites (L10) and green algal limestones (L02) of the Diplopora Beds, which prograded onto the reefal complex. All mentioned lithofacies types contain no siliciclastics, because the production and influx of terrigenous material strongly depended on sea-level position (transgression vs. highstand). The development of sponge-coral patch reefs was a key event in the depositional history of the Opole region during the HST3, because it modified the energy regime across a transect 30 km long, producing a characteristic horizontal gradient of lithofacies (Matysik, 2010; from firmgrounds (L15) and storm-deposited bioclastic limestones (L06A, B) of the distal fore-reef zone, through cortical limestones (L05), bioclastic limestones (L06B) and peloidal limestones (L07A) of the proximal and inter-reef areas, to “low-energy” ooidal limestones (L04A, B), “high-energy” ooidal limestones (L03A), peloidal limestones (L07A) and green algal limestones (L02) of the back-reef zone (Figs 2, 4B). The lithofacies diversity of the fourth depositional sequence cannot be analyzed in detail because of its poor exposures. Nevertheless, on the basis of a few available outcrops and previous papers (Assmann, 1913, 1926, 1929,
1944; Siedlecki, 1948, 1952; Kubicz, 1971; Szulc, 1991), it is possible to reconstruct the general facies evolution of the Upper Silesian platform during this transgressive pulse. The sequence begins with the Tarnowice Beds (Assmann, 1944), which are interpreted as a lowstand systems tract (LST4; Szulc, 2000). Over the entire Upper Silesia, this formation is built of dolosiltites (D14) with sparse interbeddings of sandstones (D05), Mudstones (D06), intraformational dolomitic conglomerates (D15), peloidal dolostones (D16) and ooidal dolostones (D19; Figs 2, 5) deposited in a restricted, periodically emerged lagoon (Szulc, 2000). However, where the sponge(-coral) patch reefs of the Karchowice Formation occur beneath, the Tarnowice Beds consist of speleothems and residual clays (D02), crystalline dolostones (D03), cellular dolostones (D04), sandstones (D05), stromatolites (D12) and ooidal dolostones (D19; Figs 2, 6). This lithofacies assemblage generally represents a sabkha that was alternately replaced by a restricted lagoon and then abandoned for a long time, contributing to the dissolution of evaporites and the formation of caves and caverns (Matysik, 2012; Worobiec and Szulc, 2012). Although the reef belt had already been buried under an ooidal-peloidal-green algal sand body of the Diplopora Beds (Figs 2, 4B, 6), it still formed a morphological elevation at the beginning of the fourth transgression, changing the overall facies pattern within the Upper Silesian basin and determining the location of sabkha environments.

The lowstand deposits are succeeded by the transgressive Wilkowice Beds (Szulc, 2000). This TST4 is composed of open-marine nodular limestones (L13) and wavy-beded limestones (L12), interbedded with storm-deposited bioclastic limestones (L06A), peloidal limestones (L07A, B) and intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09). The overlying HST4 (Boruszowice Beds) is 15 m thick and comprises subtidal mudstones (D06), sandstones (D05) and minor peloidal dolostones (D16), deposited in a lagoon or embayment. An increased supply of siliciclastic material reflected the ultimate closing of the Silesian-Moravian Gate at the end of Ladinian time (Szulc, 2000).

SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL CONTROLS ON LITHOFACIES – A SYNTHESIS

Large-scale controls on lithofacies distribution

Despite the abundance of diverse lithofacies and their complex organization within the Upper Silesian Muschelkalk (as discussed above), some predictable large-scale patterns in the vertical and horizontal facies arrangement are evident. Analysis of these trends allows conclusions to be drawn about the effect of extrinsic factors on the development and distribution of the lithofacies. These factors, already recognized by previous authors, include platform morphology, third-order eustasy, and long-term tectonic evolution of the Silesian-Moravian Gate (Wyczółkowski, 1971, 1982; Szulc, 2000). While the interplay of third-order eustasy and the long-term tectonic evolution of the area controlled the temporal lithofacies changes, the platform morphology dictated the facies pattern in the time interval investigated.

It is important to note that all four Transgressive Systems Tracts of the open-marine domain are characterized by a similar lithofacies composition and vertical succession, from a basal high-energy shoal package, through a temperate set, to a maximum flooding interval (Fig. 2). The repetitive lithofacies assemblages and vertical succession of each TST implies that the overall environmental parameters were generally similar during each transgressive phase. This uniformity implies in turn that the environmental conditions during transgressions were influenced only to a minor degree by the long-term tectonic evolution of the Silesian-Moravian Gate and the individual character of each transgressive pulse (e.g., the rate and duration of sea-level rise, or the landward position of shoreline). In strong contrast to the TSTs, each HST comprises an individual (unique) lithofacies assemblage, which indicates that the environmental conditions differed markedly between particular highstands. This differing behaviour during transgressions and highstands appears to reflect the opening-closing trend of the Silesian-Moravian Gate and the relative magnitude of third-order eustatic pulses. The first transgression left no apparent HST deposits, because it took place when the Silesian-Moravian Gate was barely open. The progressive gate opening during the second transgression contributed to the development of a 20- to 30-m-thick highstand suite, representing a system of extensive peloidal-oncoidal-ooidal sand shoals. The third transgression, a peak one in Upper Silesia, coincided with the maximum opening of the Silesian-Moravian Gate (Szulc, 2000). This synchronism provided optimal conditions for the appearance of sponge(-coral) patch reefs that together with the circum-reefal facies build the 40-m-thick HST in the region. The fourth (last) transgressive pulse was accompanied by the gradual closing of the neighbouring gate, which resulted in a vast supply of terrigenous material and marked shallowing of the area. Consequently, the highstand deposits are dominated by shallow-subtidal mudstones and sandstones that barely reach a total thickness of 15 m.

The second regularity is that the TSTs typically contain siliciclastic intercalations, while the HSTs are completely devoid of siliciclastic material. This pattern illustrates that a vast supply of terrigenous material occurred during the sea-level rises and it disappeared once the shoreline reached its approximate maximum landward position. The only exception to this is the last HST, which is dominated by mudstones and sandstones, because it developed simultaneously with the progressive closing of the Silesian-Moravian Gate.

Another observation concerns the distribution of the coated-grain lithofacies. The “high-energy” coated-grain lithofacies (i.e., oolites with normal, concentric ooids, or oncolites with small regular microbial oncocoids) are characteristic of the HSTs, while the “low-energy” coated-grain lithofacies (i.e., oolites with superficial, radial-fibrous ooids, oncolites with Girvanella oncocoids or large irregular microbial oncocoids, or cortoidal deposits) appear both in the HSTs and in the basal high-energy shoal package of the TSTs (Fig. 2). This pattern implies that the energy regime during initial transgression phases was overall lower than during the highstands, despite the fact that the water depth and general depositional environment were similar.
### A. Gogolin Fm (TST1, TST2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cellular dolostones (04)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (07)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalithal laminites (D11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomites (D14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03B)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L04A)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical limestones (L05)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07D)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intratidal l. conglomer. (L09)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded calcaritites and calcaritites (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platy-beded limestones (L11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavy-beded limestones (L12)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mafs and limy clays (L14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardgrounds (L16)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Górażdże Fm (HST2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L04B)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical limestones (L05)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07D)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded or cl. (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platy-beded limestones (L11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavy-beded limestones (L12)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular limestones (L13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C. Olkusz Beds (HST2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cliff breccias and conglomerates (D01)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstones (D06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (D07)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizolites (D08)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavy to planar-beded dolostones (D10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioturbated dolostones (D11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal dolostones (D16)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded or cl. (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodular limestones (L11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D. Dziewkowice Fm (TST3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cliff breccia and cong. (D01)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstones (D06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (D07)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizolites (D08)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenestral dolostones (D09)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalithal laminites (D11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromatolites (D12)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioturbated dolostones (D13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (D14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal dolostones (D16)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded or cl. (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### E. Karchowice Fm (HST3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03B)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L04A)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical limestones (L05)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioclastic limestones (L06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07D)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green algal dolostones (L17)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal clays (L18)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal clays (L19)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L03A)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ooidal limestones (L04C)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal limestones (L07)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green algae limestones (L02)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponge buildups (L08)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded or cl. (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### F. Diplopora Beds (TST3, HST3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lithofacies</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cliff breccia and cong. (D01)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mudstones (D06)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (D07)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhizolites (D08)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenestral dolostones (D09)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystalithal laminites (D11)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromatolites (D12)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioturbated dolostones (D13)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolocretes (D14)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peloidal dolostones (D16)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beaded or cl. (L10)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmgrounds (L15)</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The final point is the impact of sea-floor morphology on the local and regional facies distribution. All of the four depositional sequences display horizontal lithofacies variability, but its degree differs between depositional sequences: 1) it is much higher for the HSTs than for the TSTs; and 2) it increases from the first sequence to the third sequence, and drops again thereafter (Figs 2, 5, 6). The TST1 and TST2 are characterized by a subordinate lateral variation in lithofacies composition only, which depends primarily on the occurrence of shallower lithofacies in the Kraków–Śile sia region, namely coated-grain lithofacies in the basal high-energy shoal packages and proximal tempestites in the tempestite sets. The first clearly observable highstand (HST2) exhibits a substantial horizontal variability; alternating nodular and peloidal-oncoidal limestones in the Opole region correspond to the firmgrounds and peloidal-ooloidal limestones of the Kraków–Śilesia region. The third depositional sequence developed completely differently in both regions which may be a result of tectonic segmentation of the basin due to the ultimate opening of the Silesian-Moravian Gate (Szulc, 2000). The eastern part represents a tidal flat-lagoon domain and accordingly is composed of various peritidal lithofacies. These have a limited horizontal continuity, both within the TST3 and the HST3, showing a mosaic distribution of peritidal subenvironments over an irregular antecedent topography on the one hand and a frequent geomorphologic reorganization of the tidal landscape on the other (Matysik, 2012, 2014). In contrast, the TST3 in the Opole region is laterally uniform, in contrast to the succeeding HST3, which shows a great lithofacies variability. Characteristic is the transition from fore- to back-reef facies, generated by the sponge (-coral) patch reefs, which developed on a NE–SW-trending elevated fragment of the sea-floor (Matysik, 2010). The distribution of circumpool facies also had an impact on the facies pattern within the LST4, determining the location of a sabkha environment on the top of the underlying reef belt.

Controls on specific lithofacies assemblages

Inspection of numerous lithostratigraphic logs measured and matrices showing the number of over- and underlying contacts between each lithofacies (Fig. 24) revealed that some lithofacies types within the same domain are never or hardly ever juxtaposed vertically, whereas other lithofacies types appear strictly connected with one or two particular lithofacies. These specific connections warrant further discussion in terms of possible controlling factors.

Amongst the seven supratidal lithofacies of the tidal flat-lagoon domain, dolocretes (D07) are the most common and they were found to be overlying almost all other lithofacies of dolostone domain, including biolaminites as well as the dolomitic sand and mud facies (Figs 5, 24A, F). Mudstones (D06) and rhizolites (D08), the second and third most frequent supratidal lithofacies, were not seen to cap the dolomitic sand facies (Figs 5, 24C, F). The reason why dolocretes (D07) were formed twice as often as mudstones (D06) and five times more often than rhizolites (D08) may be attributed to the semi-arid climate, which presumably hindered the intense production of siliciclastic material and massive expansion of plants. It favoured in contrast recurring dissolution and reprecipitation of carbonates, responsible for the development of dolocrete crusts (Esteban and Klappa, 1983; Wright and Tucker, 1991). On the other hand, the fact that mudstones (D06) and rhizolites (D08) did not develop on emerged dolomitic sand bodies may be explained in two ways: 1) the dolomitic sands were deposited in more distal (seaward) parts of lagoons and embayments than dolomitic muds and biolaminites, and despite emersion those areas might have been inaccessible to plants and significantly separated from a terrigenous supply; and 2) perhaps more likely, the emerged dolomitic sands were more susceptible to wind or storm redeposition than cohesive dolomitic muds and microbiali limestones and the instability of the substrate precluded the encroachment of plants and the accumulation of fine siliciclastics as distinct caps. In short, it seems that the development of rhizolites and supratidal mudstones occurred more rapidly in easily accessible areas and was enhanced by substrate stability, whereas the dolocretes were less dependent on local conditions, substrate types and topography.

The microbiali lites occur as two distinct morphological forms: planar cryptalgal laminites (D11) and dolomicrobiali lites (D12). The planar structures recur frequently in the Diplopora Beds and may be vertically juxtaposed with all subtidal lithofacies of the tidal flat-lagoon domain, though the most common is an association with bioturbated dolosilites (D13), dololites (D14) and peloidal dolostones (D16; Figs 5, 24A, F). Conversely, the dolomicrobiali lites appear only in the topmost part of the Diplopora Beds and in the Tarnowice Beds, where they are strictly associated with ooidal dolostones (D19; Figs 2, 5). These connections between particular lithofacies types emphasize a clear correlation between morphology of microbiali builds and water energy. During the extended time interval when the Diplopora Beds were deposited, the dolomitic muds and peloidal sands accumulated in lagoons and embayments, whereas planar biolaminites largely occupied the adjacent intertidal.

Fig. 24. Matrices showing the numbers of contacts between lithofacies in the six well-exposed formations of the Upper Silesian Muschelkalk. Lithofacies arranged horizontally are the overlying lithofacies and those arranged vertically are the underlying lithofacies. For example, in the Gogolin Formation bioclastic limestones (L06) are overlain by peloidal limestones (L07) 46 times, by nodular limestones (L13) a hundred times, by platy-bedded limestones (L11) once, and so on. The data set was derived from the author’s unpublished Ph.D. dissertation (see Matysik, 2012) and is based on more than a hundred lithostratigraphic logs measured in 83 outcrops, giving a total stratigraphic thickness of approximately 2.3 km. Abbreviations: TST – Transgressive Systems Tract; HST – Highstand Systems Tract; cliff brecc. and congl. – cliff breccias and conglomerates; intraform. d. conglom. – intraformational dolomitic conglomerates; intraform. l. conglom. – intraformational limestone conglomerates; bedded cs. and cl. – bedded calcisiltites and calcilutites; lst. – limestones.
areas. Once the energetic regime within the lagoons became permanently turbulent at the beginning of the Tarnowice Beds, allowing precipitation of ooidal coatings around peloids, the microbial mats began to form domal structures. The sponge biostromes developed in the zone between storm and fair-weather wave base (lower shoreface), in conditions of reduced sedimentation rate, as indicated by their association with firmgrounds (L15) and bioclastic limestones (L06A) of storm origin (Figs 2, 6). In contrast, the sponge bioherms that overlie the sponge biostromes in the succession are enveloped in and separated laterally by packages of bioclastic limestones (L06B), peloidal limestones (L07A), and minor cortoidal limestones (L05; Fig. 2, 4B, 6), each several metres thick. This assemblage indicates in turn that the high-relief sponge buildups grew in a mud-free setting, most likely in the zone above fair-weather wave base (upper shoreface), under high input of calcareous sands. Hardgrounds (L16) and intraformational limestone conglomerates (L09) occur almost exclusively in the upper Gogolin Formation and the Wilkowice Beds, while the lithologically similar Dziewkowice Formation and lower Gogolin Formation generally lack these two lithofacies (Figs 5, 6, 24A, D). Moreover, many hardgrounds were re-worked to form intraformational conglomerates, as evidenced by the pebbles with the boring Trypanites and/or Placunopsis encrustations. This lithofacies relationship indicates that the major control on the development of deep-water intraformational conglomerates was the occurrence of long breaks in sedimentation, not the severity of storms, as assumed by Sepkoski (1982) and Osleger and Read (1991). Presumably, even weak storms might have produced numerous intraclasts, if the substrate was fully consolidated.

CONCLUSIONS

The 150-m-thick Muschelkalk (Anisian–Ladinian) succession of Upper Silesia in southern Poland was deposited on a small independent carbonate platform, during four major marine-transgressive pulses from the Tethys Ocean through the Silesian-Moravian Gate. Facies development was strongly controlled by extrinsic factors: platform morphology, third-order eustasy and the long-term tectonic evolution of the area. The interplay between the three processes on the one hand resulted in a mosaic distribution of depositional environments in the basin, as shown by the wide variety of lithofacies types (Tables 1 and 2) and their overall complex organization within the succession (Figs 2, 5, 6), but on the other hand produced some repetitive patterns in the arrangement of facies:

1) The Transgressive Systems Tracts generally display a similar lithofacies composition and vertical succession, while the Highstand Systems Tracts comprise individual (unique) lithofacies assemblages. This pattern indicates that the transgressive facies were much less sensitive to the highstand facies to large-scale changes in the region.

2) The TSTs typically contain siliciclastic intercalations, while the HSTs do not. This regularity indicates a profound effect of sea-level position on the production and input of terrigenous material. The one exception to this rule is the last HST, dominated by mudstones and sandstones, which developed together with a progressive closing of the Silesian-Moravian Gate.

3) The thickness of the HSTs reflected changes in accommodation space, matching the opening-closing trend of the adjacent Silesian-Moravian Gate and changing from zero (when the gate was barely open) to 40 m (as the gate reached maximum opening).

4) The degree of horizontal variability in lithofacies composition is generally much higher for the HSTs than for the TSTs and increases from the first to the third depositional sequence, whereas subsequently it drops again. These trends imply that the complexity of facies patterns within the Upper Silesian basin was not simply a function of antecedent topography, but also depended on sea-level position (transgression vs. highstand) and the opening-closing trend of the Silesian-Moravian Gate.
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