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Abstract: Interoperability between robots and humans is becoming more and more frequent. One of significant problems is to keep safety. Selected problems of safety in case of industrial robot applications as well as by personal care application for human are presented.
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1. Introduction

Last years of robotics development lead to situations, in which the near or direct cooperation between people and robots is more and more frequent. The report [1] elaborated for EU Commission confirms that this trend is dominating and long-lasting.

There is possible to indentify the following blocks of situation, robots enter cooperation with people:
- industry applications – materials and elements handling, as well as elements workmanship,
- non-medical care (domestic, shopping etc.) applications,
- medical applications – care and surgery,
- war, police, antiterrorist etc. applications.

The two first groups of above mentioned situations will be considered.

2. General remarks

2.1. Introduction

As a robot is an electrical driven machine, requirements of Machine Directive [2], Low Voltage Directive [3] and EMC Directive [4] shall be applied. The main requirement of these legislation acts is to provide the suitable safety for people and the natural environment. This is achieved in some steps, according to safety strategy, as follows (terms according [12]):
- inherent safety design [5],
- hazards identification, e.g. by HAZOP studies [13],
- safety functions definition [6, 7],
- risk assessment, e.g. graph method [6, 13] or table method [7],
- safety requirements for safety functions definition [6, 7],
- risk reduction by safety functions realization.

The main difference between classic work of robots, e.g. material handling, welding and the work in a neighborhood of the people, both industrial and care robots, is the latter cannot be protected be means of barriers, guards and other external means of protection. They must be safe by mean of realization of safety functions. Such a system of protection is a purpose of the functional safety techniques [11].

2.2. General concept of risk reduction

The most general risk assessment principle is the ALARP principle (As Low As Reasonably Practicable) and tolerable risk concepts [13].

ALARP is one particular principle which can be applied during the determination of tolerable risk and safety integrity levels. It is not, in itself, a method for determining safety integrity levels. Corresponding methods are presented, for example, in IEC 61508-5 [13] and also in [15].

In case of real devices or systems three situations are possible:
a) the risk is so huge that it is refused altogether; or
b) the risk is, or has been made, so small as to be insignificant; or
c) the risk falls decreases between the two states specified in items a) and b) above and has been reduced to the lowest practicable level, bearing in mind the benefits resulting from its acceptance and taking into account the costs of any further reduction.

With respect to item c), the ALARP principle recommends that risks should be reduced “so far as is reasonably practicable,” or to a level which is “As Low As Reasonably Practicable” (ALARP).

If a risk falls between the two extremes (that is, the unacceptable region and broadly acceptable region) and the ALARP principle has been applied, then the resulting risk is the tolerable risk for that specific application. According to this approach, a risk is considered to fall into one of three regions classified as “unacceptable”, “tolerable” or “broadly acceptable” (see fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. ALARP and tolerable risk](image_url)
Above a certain level, a risk is regarded as unacceptable. Such a risk cannot be justified in any ordinary circumstances. If such a risk exists it should be reduced so that it falls in either the “tolerable” or “broadly acceptable” regions, or the associated hazard has to be eliminated.

Below that level a risk is considered to be “tolerable”. The concept of ALARP can be used when qualitative or quantitative risk targets are adopted. When using the ALARP principle, caution should be taken to ensure that all assumptions are justified and documented.

In order to apply the ALARP principle, it is necessary to define the three regions of fig. 1 in terms of the probability and consequence of an incident. To take into account ALARP concepts, the matching of a consequence with a tolerable frequency can be done through risk classes. Tab. 1 shows an example of risk classification of incidents. Tab. 2 interprets each of the risk classes using the concept of ALARP. That is, the descriptions for each of the four risk classes are based on fig. 3. The risks within these risk class definitions are the risks that are present when risk reduction measures have been put in place. With respect to fig. 1, the risk classes are as follows:

- risk class I is in the unacceptable region;
- risk class II is in the ALARP region;
- risk class III is in the broadly acceptable region.

Having determined the tolerable risk target, it is possible then to determine the safety integrity levels of safety instrumented functions.

### Tab. 1. Example of risk classification of incidents [13]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Risk class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tab. 2. Example of interpretation of risk classes [13]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk class</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class I</td>
<td>Intolerable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II</td>
<td>Undesirable risk, and tolerable only if risk reduction is impracticable or if the costs are grossly disproportionate to the improvement gained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III</td>
<td>Negligible risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3. Safety integrity requirements

Dependent of identified risk level, the safety functions of various integrity levels shall be applied. The integrity levels are defined by means of probabilistic measures [11] and four levels (SIL) are introduced. The above presented tables provide the corresponding data.

### 3. The world of industrial robots

The main feature of industrial robot world is the system separation human and robots; the work zones of industrial robots are, as principle, strictly protected against people entrance. Access to the work zone is restricted for the specialist personnel only: programming and servicing personnel. In such application the first Asimov law of robotics [17]: “A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm” is a necessary and sufficient condition of safety work. The safety function required by the safety standard [8, 9] are listed in table 5.

The example of industrial robotic system is presented on fig. 2.
Nowadays, this world is becoming more complicated—the direct cooperation between people and industrial robots is often needed and is taking place by full speed of robot arm. It is a question, the above mentioned safety function will have sufficient integrity level, in my opinion it could be too low. The suitable risk assessment shall do a response.

4. The world of non-medical personal care robots

4.1. General remarks and a new law of robotics

The world of personal care robots shall be fundamentally different from industrial robot world. The first I have written about it in the publication [16]. Now it is time to enlarge those considerations.

The care robots act, one can say live in the same world as people; the worlds of people and robots are approaching to one complex world.

It will be a world of people aged, fully or partially disabled, children, domestic animals, care and/or servicing robots, living and acting together in apartments, shops, hotels, streets, parks etc. Robots will be companions, carers, servants, transport means etc.

In such circumstances, in such a world, the Asimov laws or robotics are insufficient. It is need, a new law to formulation: “Robotum homini amicus est – Robot is a human friend”

What does it mean?

Between others:
– All movement shall be slow, smooth and calm; any sudden movement isn’t acceptable;
– Communication between human and robot shall have place by voice, eye contact, gesticulation;
– Robot shall understand and express same feelings, e.g. approval, refusal, happiness (gay), sadness (sorrow);
– Human shall construe the robot as a nice companion.

There are leading many project and works to realize above mentioned thesis, also in Poland. As some examples can serve the project of “social robots” [18–21].

4.2. Safety problems

4.2.1. Introduction

The safety problems of non-industrial robots are actually the object of international standardization works. Am September 2011 was distributed the final DIS ISO 13482 [10], that is dealing with this problem.

The scope of the standard is personal care robots defined as:

– personal care robot – service robot that allows physical contact with humans for the purpose of aiding actions or performing actions that contribute directly towards improvement in the quality of life of individuals, excluding medical applications.

The above mentioned International Standard is containing the requirements for three groups of non-industry robots:

– mobile servant robot – personal care robot that is capable of moving freely to perform an intended task and/or handling objects (with or without a manipulator);
– physical assistant robot – personal care robot that assists a person to perform required tasks, to provide supplementation or augmentation capabilities. A physical assistant robot is designed to bring the functionality of a weak person or an elderly person, to that which can be performed by an ablebodied person, as well as to augment the performance of an ablebodied user;
– person carrier robot – personal care robot with the purpose of transporting humans to a different location by means of autonomous navigation, guidance and locomotion.

The proposed safety functions of these robots, defined on the basis of suitable risk assessment done in the standard, will be presented below. The guidance for design the safety functions and verify their SIL are e.g. in [6, 7, 22, 23].

4.2.2. Safety functions of mobile servant robots

The safety functions of mobile servant robots are collected in [10] into two groups:

– home servant robots, that purpose is to perform a variety of domestic tasks autonomously;
– physical assistant robot – personal care robot that is capable of moving freely to perform an intended task and/or handling objects (with or without a manipulator);
public guide robots, which purpose is to provide information and entertainment in public places.

Tables 6 and 7 are presenting these functions. On fig. 3 the example of servant robot is presented.

**Tab. 6. Safety functions of home servant robots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of safety function</th>
<th>SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Use fixe/movable guards to prevent inserting a body part</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Monitor the torque inside the arm drives</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Monitor and restrict velocity of the arm</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Detect human body parts in the workspace</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Monitor force and way during grasping and check for plausibility</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Monitor and restrict loads that may be lifted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Restrict dynamic forces when the arm is moved</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Move the mobile base to stabilize the robot after dynamic forces occurred</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Using robust algorithms and plausibility checks to ensure that the right object is grasped</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Use grasp planning to clamp only at solid surfaces</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 7. Safety functions of public guide servant robots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of safety function</th>
<th>SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Use speed limit circuit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Control to bring mobility to a safe stop and induce a passenger to safely disembark from the mobility</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Use fixe/movable guards around wheels</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Deactivate electric power if terminal is detected open</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Outer covering</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Use of high-friction tyres</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.2.3. Safety functions of physical assistant robots (exoskeleton walker robots)**
The safety functions of physical assistant robots form in [10] one group. They are collected in tab. 8.

**Tab. 8. Safety functions of physical assistant robots (exoskeleton walker robots)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of safety function</th>
<th>SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cushioning on sharp edges</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Emergency stop</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Speed restriction and safety-related speed control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Current limitation of motors</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Safeguarding against burn (fire)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Charging activation control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.2.4. Safety functions of personal carrier robots**
The safety functions of personal transport robots are collected in [10] into two groups:
- personal transport robots;
- robotic lift and transfer wheelchairs with on-board arm.

**Tab. 9. Safety functions of personal transport robots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of safety function</th>
<th>SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Speed restriction and safety-related speed control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Use fixe/movable guards around wheels</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Physical restriction and control to avoid sudden acceleration</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Controlled stop (active stability) control during embarkation/disembarkation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Use anti-vandalism circuitry (key or password start)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Active mobility balance control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Activation of charging power only when the mobility is connected</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Indication of charging status on the mobility display</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Heat dissipation mechanism (heat sinks, air flows with fan control)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Secondary independent brake control to bring mobility a controlled stop and induce a passenger to safety disembark from the mobility</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 10. Safety functions of robotic lift and transfer wheelchairs with on-board arm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description of safety function</th>
<th>SIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Use of speed limit circuit</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Use of mobility balance control</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Use of intelligent braking circuit or mechanical design</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Seat belt worn by user</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Control to bring mobility to a safe stop and induce a passenger to safely disembark from the robot</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Control and/or intelligent braking to bring mobility a safe stop</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Use fixe/movable guards around wheels</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Control to avoid sudden acceleration</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Safe stop control during embarkation/disembarkation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Mobility balance control</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Enclose all electrical terminals and deactivate electrical power if terminal id detected open</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Heat dissipation mechanism (heat sinks, air flows with fan control)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Shock absorbing mechanism</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Non-contact obstacle detection</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Use anti-vandalism circuitry (key start)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tables 9 and 10 are presenting these functions. On the fig. 4 an example of personal transport robot is presented.

5. Conclusions

The proposal of solving of safety-related problems concerned to new robot applications – non-medical care personal robots are presented, on basis of a suitable standard draft.
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Bezpieczeństwo robotów

w sąsiedztwie ludzi i nowe prawo robotyki

Streszczenie: Współpraca ludzi z robotami jest coraz częstsza. Jednym z istotnych problemów jest utrzymanie bezpieczeństwa. Przedstawiono wybrane zagadnienia bezpieczeństwa w przypadku przemysłowych zastosowań robotów, a także zastosowań robotów do osobistej opieki nad ludźmi.

Stłowa kluczowa: bezpieczeństwo robotów, roboty przemysłowe, roboty osobiste
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