Electrocoagulation of model wastewater using aluminum electrodes
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Electrocoagulation makes an alternative method to chemical coagulation. This paper presents the results obtained during the electrocoagulation of the model wastewater using aluminum electrodes. The wastewater was treated by means of chronopotentiometric electrocoagulation process in a static system, at the constant current I = 0.3 A; therefore higher doses of electrocoagulant required longer electrocoagulation time. Changes in zeta potential, pH, turbidity, chemical oxygen demand (COD), suspended solids and total phosphorus concentrations in the treated wastewater were determined. A new method for determining the optimal dosage of the aluminum electrocoagulant was proposed through application of the third degree polynomial function rather than the parabolic equation. An increase in the electrocoagulant dose raised the share of sweep flocculation in the studied treatment process, resulting in the effective removal over 90% of phosphorus compounds from the system.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Wastewater is commonly treated with the involvement of mechanical and biological methods. Their effectiveness may be limited; therefore, supplementary treatment methods (mostly chemical) are often employed. One of such methods involves chemical coagulation, which supports the biological treatment of wastewater. Chemical coagulation is used to remove excess phosphorus compounds from industrial and municipal wastewater. The results generated by treatment models and simulations may significantly expand knowledge about the coagulation process.

Electrocoagulation is an alternative method to chemical coagulation in the treatment of water and wastewater. Electrocoagulation methods generally involve iron or aluminum anodes that undergo electrolytic dissolution. Iron and aluminum ions are introduced into the processed water or wastewater where they act as electrocoagulants. Destabilization of the wastewater colloids, aggregation and flocculation are the main stages of the process. In the process of oxygen cathodic depolarization, introduction of coagulating Al3+ ions increases the system’s pH, whereas the conventional chemical coagulation always lowers pH, due to the hydrolysis of Al3+ cations. For the chemically coagulated and electrocoagulated wastewater, Al3+ cations create positively charged micelles: {Al(OH)3} that act as colloidal sorbents for wastewater pollutants, such as suspended solids, phosphorus compounds and substances responsible for the COD load. Various authors have analyzed the electrolytic treatment of sewage, effluents produced by textile and dyestuff industry, pulp and paper or dairy. For the markets that are characterized by cheap energy and high cost of chemicals delivery, electrocoagulation poses a vital alternative to chemical coagulation, since aluminum electrodes are around ten times as light as coagulating substances. In addition electrocoagulation requires simple equipment and is easy to operate.

The experiment described in this study supported the development of a new method for the quantitative interpretation of the electrocoagulation process and the determination of the optimal electrocoagulant dose. It also made an attempt to explain the mechanism of treating model wastewater by electrocoagulation in a static system.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

The chronopotentiometric electrocoagulation process was carried out at constant current (I = const) with the use of aluminum electrodes in a device shown in Fig. 1, below.

![Diagram of electrocoagulation system](MS)
The electrodes were immersed at a depth of 5 cm, with a precise spacing of 1 cm, in 100 cm$^3$ beakers containing model wastewater. Wastewater was continuously stirred at 30 rpm by means of an electromagnetic stirrer. Treatment took place at the constant current of 0.3A and means of resulting voltage (V) was recorded. Every 256 s, the control system automatically changed the direction of the current flow between the electrodes in order to enable cathode self-cleaning\textsuperscript{11}. A contaminated cathode was thus transformed into a soluble anode, and was purified through anodic dissolution process. The electrodes were weighed (+/-0.0001 g) before and after every use to gravimetrically control the actual consumption and to determine the applied electrocoagulant dose. Before being weighed, the electrodes were always carefully rinsed with distilled water and dried. The entire process was computer controlled. Both the hardware and software systems had been designed and built for the purpose of this experiment.

After the completion of electrolysis, the samples were collected from the supernatant layer, and the following wastewater parameters were determined after 30 min of sedimentation with the application of the commonly used standard HACH methods: pH, COD, total phosphorus, turbidity and suspended solids concentrations. Zeta potential ($\zeta$) of 5-100-fold diluted samples of treated wastewater was measured using the Malvern Zeta Sizer 3000. Electrocoagulation was performed in seven replications, where two highest and two lowest values were rejected. The remaining three values were used to compute the mean value and the standard deviation parameters.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Changes of the recorded voltage for the constant current ($I = 0.3A$) experiments were registered over time $t$. The average values of function $V = f(t)$ are shown in Fig. 2.

![Figure 2. Changes in voltage over time at constant $I = 0.3A$](image)

The voltage changes observed during the electrocoagulation time (approximately 17 min.) are presented in Fig. 2. A clear change in the current direction can be observed every 256 s. This value guarantees electrode (cathode) self-cleaning and it minimizes polarization losses; therefore, it was adopted as the optimum parameter for electrocoagulation in the model systems\textsuperscript{11}. During the electrolysis, voltage did not exceed $\pm 11$ V or was actually constant. In semi-technical and industrial tests investigating natural wastewater, the above data would help to determine all electrocoagulation parameters, including: electric power, power consumption, etc.

![Figure 3. Changes in wastewater pH](image)

The increase in the pH of wastewater, treated with anodically dissolved Al, results from reduction processes taking place at the cathode ($pH \geq 7$):

$$2H_2O + 2e^{-} \rightarrow H_2 \uparrow + 2OH^-$$

$$O_2 (aq.) + 2H_2O + 4e^{-} \rightarrow 4OH^-$$

The electrocoagulation process generates hydrogen and could involve a variety of anodic reactions, such as:

$$Al - 3e^{-} \rightarrow Al^{3+}$$

$$[Al^{3+} + 3OH^- \rightarrow Al(OH)_3]$$

Various authors have reported an increase in pH values during wastewater electrolysis\textsuperscript{12-14}. A number of Al ions are formed, which are generally further transformed to amorphous Al(OH)$_3$. Some of the following ions may be produced, depending on the pH of wastewater during electrocoagulation and chemical coagulation:

$$Al^{3+}, Al(OH)_2^{2+}, Al_{13}(OH)_{32}^{7+}, Al(OH)_4^-,$$

These ions are usually further transformed to Al(OH)$_3$\textsuperscript{15}. The type of the resulting ions may significantly influence treatment effectiveness. It is believed that polymeric ions: Al$_3$(OH)$_4$$_{5+}$ are responsible for the destabilization of suspended solids and wastewater coagulation\textsuperscript{16} at pH of 6.6 to 10.7.

![Figure 4. Removal of suspended solids (SS) from electrocoagulated wastewater](image)

For the electrocoagulation involving aluminum electrodes, the two initial doses insignificantly lowered the analyzed wastewater parameters: SS, TU, P and COD. The application of the third dose of 543 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ resulted in a significant decrease of the suspended solids, see Fig. 4. A third degree polynomial method was applied to determine the optimal dosage in wastewater electrocoagulation, because this mathematical model provided optimum fitting parameters, including maximum...
of the determination coefficient ($R^2$) value. Faced with the option of calculating the optimal electrocoagulant dose between an abscissa of:

\[ a) \text{ the bend point and} \\
\[ b) \text{ the minimum of the function}, \]

we chose the latter method, which univocally indicated the maximum of wastewater purification.

The removal of suspended solids from the wastewater coagulated with the use of an aluminum electrocoagulant is presented in Fig. 4. The effectiveness of the applied mathematical model is demonstrated by the high value of the coefficient of determination $R^2=0.98$. The dependency shown in Fig. 4 and the value of $R^2$ indicate that the wastewater used is susceptible to electrolytic treatment. Such low standard deviation values suggest high reproducibility of the results. The most effective dose that removed nearly 97% of suspended solids was around 800 mg Al dm$^{-3}$. A much lower dose of 680 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ resulted in relatively close removal efficiency of approximately 95%. An increase in electrocoagulant dose to 950 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ only insignificantly increased suspended solids concentrations in treated wastewater. High electrocoagulant doses in excess of 800 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ and pH values of treated wastewater exceeding 9.0 suggest that after the sorption of suspended solids, an increase in electrocoagulant dose to 950 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ led to an insignificant rise in turbidity values.

According to Holt et al. 2002\cite{16}, at high pHs, suspended solids are no longer destabilized by aluminum cations and polycations, but by Al(OH)$_3$. As a result, the wastewater treatment process is less likely to rely on the destabilization of the negatively charged wastewater colloids.

The removal of phosphorus compounds from the model wastewater coagulated by means of aluminum electrocoagulant is presented in Fig. 6. In comparison with the previous charts, the above curve is characterized by a lower coefficient of determination $R^2=0.84$. Similarly to the turbidity and the suspended solids removal, the last of the three applied doses resulted in comparable removal values with respect to phosphorus compounds. The most effective dose removed 89% phosphorus compounds from the treated wastewater.

The removal of the substances responsible for the COD from the model wastewater coagulated with aluminum electrocoagulant is presented in Fig. 7. Unlike the phosphorus removal curve, the COD curve is characterized by high values of coefficient of determination $R^2=0.97$ and low standard deviation values. Once again, the above confirms the high repeatability and reproducibility of experimental results and the studied wastewater’s susceptibility to electrolytic treatment. The most effective dose...
of around 800 mg Al·dm$^{-3}$ removed 51% of substances responsible for the COD load. Electrocoagulant doses of 680 and 950 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ provided similar removal efficiency of 49% and 59%, respectively.

Major results of the wastewater electrocoagulation were collected in table 1. A minimum value of the third degree polynomial calculated for SS, TU, P and COD changes (see Figs. 4 through 7) indicated the following Al-electrocoagulant doses (a value of the abscissa) respectively: 840, 850, 965 and 860 mg Al dm$^{-3}$. The doses removed 100% of both SS and TU, 94% of P and 53% of COD. The changes in TU and SS values were well correlated. As previously observed\footnote{11}, the initial small electrocoagulant dose (below 400 mg dm$^{-3}$) appeared to be ineffective, because the specific value of the “threshold charge” can initiate and speed up the purification process only if larger aggregates form settleable flocs.

High optimal doses of Al-electrocoagulant result from the simplicity of the electrocoagulation system used, which enabled weighing of the electrodes. Such high doses and relatively high pH $\approx 9$ of treated wastewater suggest that sorption of suspended solids on colloidal micelles of aluminum hydroxide by sweep flocculation becomes the predominant process\footnote{3,5}. Thus, in spite of simple coagulation, the charge neutralization step plays a minimal role during the electrocoagulation process.

Fig. 8 presents the changes of zeta potential for the wastewater treated with Al-electrocoagulant. For the primary Al-electrocoagulant doses, a decrease in the absolute value of $\zeta$ in the Stern layer of the wastewater sol, as well as a reduction of the force of repulsion (responsible for the sol stability) was observed. It may imply that the neutralization of a colloidal charge can be a part of the process that somewhat contributes to the effectiveness of wastewater purification at the stage of latent (early) and slow electrocoagulation. Some flocs are formed in the system when latent and slow coagulation becomes fast and evident only when the conc. of Al $> 400$–$500$ mg dm$^{-3}$. Further increase of Al-electrocoagulant dose results in a positive shift of ca. $+12$ mV of the $\zeta$-value for the treated wastewater, because the colloidal micelles of Al hydroxide with a minor positive charge may be present in the treated wastewater.

The observed changes in turbidity, suspended solids and phosphate compound concentrations indicated that experimental conditions supported the sweep coagulation mechanism\footnote{5}. Augmentation of pH during the treatment and high final pH prevented the generation of effective aluminum polycations that provided high treatment effectiveness at the stage of latent and slow coagulation.

The aluminum species produced in the presence of excess electrocoagulant ions in alkaline environment supported:

a) sorption of substances responsible for the COD load on the surface of colloidal aluminum hydroxide,

b) sweeping of neutral, as well as the positively and negatively charged impurities, which remained in a direct contact with aluminum hydroxide flocs.

Table 1. Major results of the wastewater electrocoagulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wastewater component</th>
<th>Initial value mg dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Final value mg dm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Removal %</th>
<th>Optimal dose mg Al dm$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids</td>
<td>70 ± 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>95 ± 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total phosphorus</td>
<td>110 ± 10</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>14500 ± 500</td>
<td>6950</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ y = 4E-05x^3 \cdot 0.0561x^2 + 10.913x + 14392 \]
\[ R^2 = 0.97 \]

**Figure 7.** Removal of substances responsible for the COD of around 800 mg Al·dm$^{-3}$ removed 51% of substances responsible for the COD load. Electrocoagulant doses of 680 and 950 mg Al dm$^{-3}$ provided similar removal efficiency of 49% and 59%, respectively.

**Figure 8.** Changes of zeta potential for electrocoagulated wastewater

**CONCLUSIONS**

The investigated model wastewater was quite susceptible to electrocoagulation treatment. For all the cases turbidity removal values fully validated the results reported with respect to the suspended solids removal.
The third degree polynomial method was useful to plot the curves showing changes in COD, total phosphorus concentrations, turbidity and the suspended solids concentrations during wastewater treatment and to calculate the relevant electrocoagulation doses. Every increase in the electrocoagulant dose raised the pH of the treated wastewater and minimized the probability of destabilization of the negatively charged wastewater colloids. The share of sweep coagulation in the electrochemical treatment process increased with higher doses of Aluminium electrocoagulant. The substances responsible for the COD load, as well as phosphates, were adsorbed by colloidal micelles of aluminum hydroxide. The results of zeta potential measurements indicated that the neutralization of electric charge of colloidal substances from the treated wastewater could be a part of the electrocoagulation mechanism.
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