Occupational Exposure to Impulse Noise Associated With Shooting
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Shooting training is associated with exposure to a considerable amount of unique noise. We wanted to evaluate noise exposure during such training. Our observations especially apply to professional sport shooters, but they are also valid for shooting coaches/instructors. We collected acoustic signals in 10-, 25- and 50-m as well as open-air shooting ranges. The recorded material was analysed with orthogonal, adaptive parameterization by Shur. The mean duration of a single acoustic signal was 250–800 ms with the C-weighted sound peak pressure level of 138.2–165.2 dB. Shooters may be exposed to as many as 600–1350 acoustic impulses during a training unit. The actual load for the hearing organ of a professional shooter or a shooting coach is ~200000 acoustic stimuli in a year-long training macrocycle. Orthogonal, adaptive parameterization by Shur makes safe scheduling of shooters' training possible.
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1. INTRODUCTION

A varying degree of hearing impairment is found in shooters. It is usually most evident at frequencies of 4 and 6 kHz [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Even a single exposure to weapon noise may induce permanent changes in the ear. It is characteristic that the risk of hearing loss is higher under exposure to impulse rather than permanent noise [3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Proper evaluation of acoustic conditions of shooting ranges should decrease the risk of permanent threshold shift in shooters. The specificity of the weapon noise depends on both the parameters of a single stimulus and the number and the time distribution of the impulses. Unfortunately, actual assessment and control of noise does not consider the specificity of shooting training. Occupational exposure recommendations (regarding 8-h, daily and weekly noise load) [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21] cannot be simply transferred to shooting. For example, in professional sport shooters and in shooting coaches, a full training macrocycle should be considered as the actual load for the hearing organ.

Our aim was to evaluate the exposure to weapon noise in shooters during training and competitions.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Our study was performed in a complex of four shooting ranges: 10 m (pneumatic weapon), 25 m, 50 m and an open-air one. They were used for competitions in the shotgun, the rifle, the pistol and the running-target events.
Acquisitions of acoustic signals were carried out with a 2230 Brüel & Kjær (Denmark) sound level meter with adapters preventing false steering of signals exceeding 140 dB. The device was connected to a 16-bit A/C transformer working at the speed of 44,100 samples per second. Records were made for several types of weapons and ammunition. Among them were pistols .22 LR Baikal MCM Margolin (Izhevsky Mekhanichesky Zavod, USSR); central ignition CZ 75 Kadet (Česká zbrojovka, Czechoslovakia); .38 special ZKR 551 (Zbrojovka Brno, Czechoslovakia); 7.62 mm TT (Łucznik, Poland); 5.6 mm rapid-fire Walther (Carl Walther Waffenfabrik, Germany) and 9 mm Hämmerli standard 208 (Hämmerli, Switzerland). Ammunition comprised 5.6 mm (extra 75, R50); 9 mm Parabellum regular (Lapua, Finland), 9 mm Parabellum (Lapua, Finland); Combat 9 mm Parabellum (Mesko, Poland) and .38 special (Norma GmbH, Germany); 7.62 Tokarev.

The acoustic environment was assessed indoors and outdoors during individual and group training (4 or 6 shooters). Measurements were also carried out during a competition with 32 shooters. The microphone was located 2 m from the shooting stand.

Acoustic signals were recorded in five selected shooting stands (to eliminate indoor acoustic artifacts). We recorded 10 impulses for each type of weapon and ammunition with the microphone placed at the height of the sport shooters’ ears. Measurements were also taken at the referee stand and in the audience area.

In the case of the rapid-fire pistol, four series were recorded in the shooting stand (five shots in 8 s, five shots twice in 8 s, five shots twice in 6 s, five shots twice in 4 s) and two series in two different stands in the audience (five shots in 4 s).

We also evaluated acoustic noise during group training (sport and central ignition pistol) and shooting competitions. During 1.5-h group training with four stands occupied six records of acoustic waveforms were made. The following weapons were used: central ignition CZ 75 Kadet (ammunition: 9 mm, Parabellum, Lapua, Finland); Bersa A 23 from Bersa, Argentina (ammunition: 9 mm, Parabellum, Lapua, Finland) and Glock 19 from Glock, Austria (ammunition: 9 mm, Parabellum, NIKE-FIOCCHI, Hungary). Measurements were repeated for other shooting stands.

Another set of records was made during group training. The following sport pistols were used at eight shooting stands: Hämmerli standard 208 (ammunition: 9 mm, Parabellum, Lapua, Finland), sport MCM Margolin (ammunition: 5.6 mm, extra 75) and Walther Olimpia (ammunition: 5.6 mm, R50). Four measurements were made during 1.5-h training units (rotating the place of sound acquisition).

We monitored acoustic noise during a shooting competition in a 25-m indoor range with 32 occupied stands (16 sport pistols, 16 central ignition). Each competitor fired 10 times in 10 min. Acquisitions were made three times in selected areas of the indoor range (middle, extreme left and extreme right side). Because of regulations the measurements were performed 2 and 4 m from the shooting stands. This procedure was repeated twice in three selected groups of competitors. Background noise in the building did not exceed 56 dB.

Records were also made for individual outdoor training in trap (FN Browning cal 12, USA; ammunition: Olimpic 24 trap, Fam-Simadex, Poland; B-12 Bock shotgun, USA; ammunition: skeet, NIKE-FIOCCHI, Hungary). The records were analysed using probabilistic characteristics of the resultant signal (compiled from random signals emitted by \( N \) sources at random times) reaching the sensor in a specific point of the acoustic field. Impulse signals in shooting sports are not stationary and their probabilistic characteristics change at random time points.

We analysed the recorded material with orthogonal, adaptive Shur parameterization. This method makes it possible to describe in detail several features of acoustic signals: duration, time/frequency structure and changes in power spectral density. An extensive description of this method has been published elsewhere [22, 23, 24].

We estimated the number of acoustic signals reaching shooters’ ears in selected training cycles.

3. RESULTS

In our measurements the mean duration of a single acoustic signal was 250–800 ms. The
C-weighted sound peak pressure level was 138.2–165.2 dB (depending on the type of weapon and ammunition). Figure 1 presents a typical time history of an acoustic signal.

![Figure 1. Time history of an acoustic signal](image1)

**Notes.** Weapon: Hämmerli (Hämmerli, Switzerland); 25-m shooting range.

![Figure 2. Acoustic signals](image2)

**Notes.** Competition; 25-m shooting range; 32 competitors.
After an analysis of the duration and the time/frequency structure of signals we assumed that the time structure of a specific signal could be modified in 3–5 ms. Impulses that appeared in intervals longer than 3–5 ms could be treated as separate ones. In accordance with the regimens of shooting competitions consecutive signals appeared in intervals of 100–150 ms (Figure 2).

An acoustic signal impacts the ear in the first 3–5 ms, though its total duration is much longer. Apart from the time structure, the signal can also be characterized by effective duration, changes in power spectral density (Figure 3) and transient acoustic power (Figure 4). We observed that an initial wide spectrum characteristic of a signal narrowed after 1 ms (Figure 3).

After the first 1 ms the level of acoustic power decreased by 30–70 dB (starting from 138–165 dB(C), depending on the type of weapon). After the next 3–5 ms the power level was lower than the initial value by 90 dB (Figure 4). Thus, the highest effective level of acoustic power was expected between 1 and 2 ms depending on the shooting event.

Another important parameter in evaluating exposure to noise is the time/frequency structure of signals. Figure 3 illustrates a typical example of changes (fluctuations) in power spectral density for the Margolin pistol.

In the case of the Margolin pistol the signal is broadband at first, but after 0.5 ms several components disappear. In this period (0.5 ms) the power of the spectrum decreases by 50 dB when compared with baseline. The results are similar for other disciplines and weapons (data not presented). In the period between the 3rd and 5th ms the power of the spectrum decreases by up to 90 dB. It is characteristic that low- and middle-frequency components (up to 6 kHz) are most important for these impulses.

In addition to the parameters of a single impulse, the ototraumatic effects of impulse noise also depend on the number of signals that reach the hearing organ. We estimated that an individual shooter may be exposed to as many as 200 000 acoustic stimuli in a year-long training macrocycle. In our records, members of Poland’s national shooting team were exposed to 19 860 impulses (of 149 dB) prior to the Olympic Games in Sydney, Australia, in 2000 (in which the team won a gold medal). This number was calculated from 90-min training sessions with 125 fired shots (according to our observations and personal communication with the coach). However, we have to note here that shooters

![Figure 3. Changes in power spectral density. Notes. In this graph 0 dB = 138 dB of sound pressure; weapon: Margolin (Izhevsky Mekhanichesky Zavod, USSR); 25-m shooting range.](image)
often practise in groups of 3–9. Thus, they may be exposed to as many as 600–1350 acoustic impulses during a training unit. This results in the main difference between exposure to permanent noise and exposure to impulse noise of shooters and shooting coaches.

4. DISCUSSION

Several authors have suggested that the middle ear’s ability to prevent acoustic damage is low [7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 25, 26]. Previous descriptions of the risk for the hearing organ were based on intuition rather than on measurable parameters [7, 25]. The orthogonal, adaptive parameterization by Shur is a method that makes a detailed description of actual exposure to impulse noise possible [22, 23, 24]. It covers several features of acoustic signals such as the time structure, changes in power spectral density and changes in the level of transient power.

Muscle jerks play an important role in increasing the acoustic impedance of the ear [9, 13, 27]. Attenuation of acoustic energy (muffling), which depends on the acoustic impedance of the ear, is most effective in the range between 500 and 4000 Hz and reaches the values of 25–30 dB [26, 28, 29].

The reaction of middle-ear muscles to acoustic impulse signals plays a key role in the defense system of the ear. The reaction time of a muscle comprises latency, duration of the maximal contraction and relaxation time. Those features are related to types of impulses and the duration of stimulation. In view of our results, we suppose that low- and middle-frequency components significantly affect the hearing organ and lead to pathological changes in the ear.

The method we used describes the time structure of signals. It makes it possible to simulate the exposure of the ear in relation to hypothetical jerk reaction times. For the stapes muscle a jerk is induced in 10–200 ms. It lasts 1 s and the relaxation time is between 200 ms and

Figure 4. Changes in transient acoustic power. Notes. In this graph 0 dB = 165.2 dB(C) of sound pressure; weapon: Hämmerli (Hämmerli, Switzerland); 25-m shooting range.
During shooting training (and competitions) the effective duration of an acoustic stimulation is 2–5 ms. As middle-ear muscles react after 100–200 ms, a significant part of energy is passed to the inner ear without physiological suppression. That is why the first impulse may have the greatest impact, as it reaches receptors before muscle jerks are triggered. In shooters who fire their own weapon it is probable that the jerk of the tensor muscle of tympanic membrane is triggered earlier [29]. Theoretically, this situation is also possible during group training. A complete contraction may increase the tension of muscles fourfold and thus increase the acoustic impedance of the ear.

Standard training of an individual shooter consists of 150–200 shots fired in 90 min. Consecutive impulses appear on average every 27 000 ms. Long-term exposure to impulse noise results in adaptation, physiological fatigue (longer reaction time and no contraction of intra-ear muscles), eventually decreasing acoustic impedance and the defensive abilities of the ear. During group training the number of impulses may increase even sixfold and significantly decrease defensive reactions of the ear muscles. Consecutive impulses may arrive during the phase of muscle activation. This is relatively safe as the muscles are totally contracted. If the next signal appears during the relaxation phase the contraction reaction is still faster than if the ear had not been prepared. An impulse arriving during physiological fatigue may lead to a pathological response and cause permanent change in the hearing threshold.

Under conditions typical for a competition, acoustic impulses appear in intervals of 100–150 ms (minimum) and 300–500 ms (maximum). When compared with 1-s middle-ear muscle jerks, this means that every signal is attenuated before it is transmitted to the inner ear. The situation is similar if an impulse comes when the muscle is relaxed (200 ms to 2 s, sometimes 180 s). The number of impulses can reach 15 in 5 s, 180 in 1 min and 16 200 (all stands occupied) in one training session of 90 min. The C-weighted sound peak pressure level varies from 138 to 165 dB(C) depending on the competition and the type of weapon.

There are ~13 000 acoustic signals in a training microcycle (7–8 days), ~52 000 in a mezocycle (3–4 weeks) and ~200 000 signals in a macrocycle (one year). That is professional shooters’ and shooting coaches’ load. This number of stimuli leads to physiological fatigue and an eventual decrease in the effectiveness of the attenuation of impulse energy (by 20–30 dB). If periods of rest between training sessions are shorter than 16 h, especially if the same weapon and ammunition are used, it is highly probable that the hearing organ will be damaged. Exposure to impulse noise usually affects the frequency range between 2 and 6 kHz, which is protected mainly by the mechano-acoustic system of the middle ear [2, 4, 6, 13, 26]. Some shooters do not suffer from hearing impairment probably due to their individual resistance [27, 28]. It is well-known that exposure to noise closely depends on the shooting discipline and the features of a particular weapon [1, 3, 5, 6, 11, 31, 32]. However, in our opinion, the most important parameters to be considered while evaluating shooters’ exposure to noise is the time distribution of impulses and the frequency with which they are repeated.

In rapid-fire competitions, shooters fire five times in 4, 6 and 8 s (Figure 5). An impulse with a C-weighted sound peak pressure level of 165.2 dB(C) appears every 400–1000 ms (shooters have to fire five times in 4, 6 and 8 s, standing next to one another during group training).

Ear-plugs decrease noise by 10–38 dB, depending on the frequency of the sources of noise [31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. An impulse may come during muscle reaction or during relaxation. If relaxation lasts 200 ms, stimuli appearing in intervals of 400 ms may arrive while the protective mechanisms of the ear are switched off. If muscle relaxation lasts 180 s, every impulse comes during the phase of adaptation. If an impulse reaches the ear after
200 ms, the next impulse evokes a muscle jerk. Ototraumatic effects of shooting noise are caused by impulses arriving while the jerks of intra-ear muscles are disabled. Thus, ear trauma is determined by the time distribution of impulses.

Our analysis opens a new approach towards assessing impulse noise during shooting.

5. CONCLUSIONS

- Orthogonal, adaptive parameterization by Shur makes it possible to analyse the time structure, changes in power spectral density and changes in the transient power of acoustic signals.
- The duration of impulse acoustic signals in sport shooting is 250–800 ms (depending on the discipline). The time structure of the signal is modified in 3–5 ms, which is crucial for ear protection. Acoustic impulse signals can be analysed separately as long as they occur over 5 ms apart (in our study the difference was 100–150 ms). Changes in power spectral density take place between the 3rd and 5th ms and maximal transient acoustic power is present for 1–2 ms.
- Those parameters together with the sound peak level objectively describe acoustic impulse signals in sport shooting. They can be used to assess the effectiveness of the defense mechanisms of the hearing organ in shooters.
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