SOME DISTANCE MEASURES FOR ESTIMATING THE EFFICIENCY OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT IN ACTIVATED SLUDGE SYSTEMS BY BIOMONITORING

POMIARY ODLEGŁOŚCI I PODOBIENSTWA TAKSOCENOZ W ASPEKcie BIOINDYKACJI SYSTEMÓW Oczyszczania Ścieków OSADEM CZYNNYM

Abstract: The results of bioindication, together with the physical and chemical parameters, may be used in wastewater treatment plant process management. The measurement of saprobiontic microorganisms’ population is a relatively easy and cheap method for assessment of wastewater quality in sewage system. It can be also applied at the inflow of the wastewater treatment plant, at the following devices of technological line, as well as at outflow to receiver. Some methods allow to distinguish between distributions of saprobes population on the basis of species abundances. Splitting of a whole population of saprobes onto morphological-functional groups allows to simplify measurement and thus allow to make it in situ. The main idea of this type of measurement is to study the biocenosis entire structure. Because it is hardly possible, the approximately identified taksoecenosis may be utilized for the needs of bioindication. An open question is how to compare the communities with different abundance of saprobes group. However using the different methods of biodiversity assessment the more or less visible differences among studied objects should be expected. In this paper the authors apply some existing distance measures based on entropy definitions to distinguish distributions of morphological-functional groups measured. The presented measures allow to tell how far - in terms of given measure of distance - are two distributions measured in situ, and differentiate them.
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The results of bioindication, apart from the other physical and chemical parameters, may be used in wastewater treatment plant process management. Using the different methods of biodiversity assessment the more or less visible differences among studied objects should be expected [1-3]. The measurement of saprobiontic microorganisms’ population is a relatively easy and cheap method for assessment of wastewater quality in sewer system, and can be applied at the inflow to the wastewater treatment plant as well as at outflow [1, 3, 4]. The main idea of this type of measurement is to study the biocenosis entire structure. Because it is hardly possible, the approximately identified taksoecenosis may be utilized for the needs of bioindication.

The method described in paper prepared by Lagod et al [2] allows to distinguish between distributions of saprobes population on the basis of group of species abundances. Basically this method allows to draw some conclusions about the current status of wastewater but also on the history of the sewage quality change. If there is an event of microbiologically active pollutant discharge that seriously influences microbial activity at certain moment, the saprobe microorganism population will tend afterwards to the equilibrium state for a certain time. In order to discover such pollutants discharge in the past, the microorganisms distribution measurement and a comparison tool for different distributions are required.
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The partitioning of a whole community onto morphological-functional groups allows to simplify measurement thus allow to make it even in situ. Trained employee can do it basically with an optical microscope [5]. An open question is how to compare populations with different abundance of group of species. The significance of differences amongst measurement results may be determined according to the popular statistical procedures, eg T-Student for distribution comparison. These tests require the specific strategy of the experiment - passive or active, determination of required number of samples for each studied level, rejection of doubtful results and the other actions standard for this type of tests. In case of WWTP (Wastewater Treatment Plant) sub-biocenosis object structures measurement, being in principle one of a kind, the single measurement result occurs. Thus, the use of usually applied mathematical tests is difficult.

The idea of entropy was introduced because many measures of this phenomenon may be applied to describe the differences among the described structures. The models similar to the one used in information theory [6] or likewise the others models describing the measure of entropy [7-10] may be useful in quantitative descriptions of different aggregations including sub-biocenosis such as populations of microorganisms settled inside WWTP devices.

The aim of this paper is to select, among the available descriptions of communities diversification, the one which would distinguish the WWTP sub-biocenosis communities the best.

**Methods**

Used method relies on the application of several available manners of taksocenosis diversification measure to the presented material. In this paper the authors apply some existing distance measures based on entropy definitions to distinguish between distributions of morphological-functional groups measured. Presented measures allow to tell how far - in terms of given measure of distance - are two distributions measured in situ, and differentiate them.

Each distance measure between two objects should fulfill three conditions: it should be positively defined i.e. the distance must be positive for the different objects and equal to zero for the identical objects; it should be symmetrical i.e. the distance from object A to B should be equal the distance from object B to A; and it should fulfill the triangle inequality. One of the simplest definitions of a distance function is an Euclidean distance function.

For the assessment of distance amongst random objects, like measured distributions of abundance of morphological-functional groups, the entropy-based measures are often applied. These measures usually lack of positive definition.

In mathematical theory of communication [6] there is a general entropy function of order $\alpha$ introduced in a form [8]:

$$H_\alpha = (1 - \alpha)^{-1} \ln \sum p_i^\alpha$$

(1)

for $\alpha = 1$ this formula reduces to well known Shanon formula:

$$H = - \sum p_i \ln p_i$$

(2)
where for $\alpha = 2$ it leads to another metric applied for biological diversity assessment [11]:

$$H = -\ln \sum p_i^2$$

(3)

In [8] a mathematical background of application of entropy measures connected with generalized replicator equation was given. We will apply presented theory concerning state of the genetic system to the higher level - state of saprobes population on the basis of the abundances of species groups:

$$\dot{p}_i = h(p)f_i(p_i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}w_kf_j(p_j) - 0^i(p)\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}w_kf_j(p_j)\right) , i = 1,...,n$$

(4)

where: $\dot{p}_i$ is a time derivative of probability $p_i$, $h(p)$ is the function determined by application, $f_i(p_i)$ are response functions with positive slope and starting from zero, $W = (w_k)$ is the matrix of interactions of microbial groups under consideration, and:

$$\theta(p) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} f_j(p_j)$$

(5)

The system of equations (4) describes the dynamics of the population. As shown in [8] on the basis of the equation (4) there is a possibility to calculate a response function for existing entropy measure and construct an entropy and distance function from response functions. The distance function generated in this way usually does not fulfill all the three requirements for distance function, for population comparison can be however applied.

As an example one can show that linear response function $f_i(p_i) = p_i$ generates distance function of the form:

$$H_p = \sum \dot{p}_i \ln \left( \frac{p_i}{\dot{p}_i} \right)$$

(6)

which is equivalent to relative entropy, whereas logistic response function:

$$f_i(p_i) = \frac{1}{1 + ec^{-an}}$$

(7)

causes weighted logistic entropy:

$$H_p = \sum \dot{p}_i \ln \left( \frac{1 - e^{-an}}{1 - e^{-an}} \right)$$

(8)

to be an appropriate measure of distance. In this paper we will compare some measures of the distance among measured abundances of microorganisms, and also the distance given by the Euclidean norm.

Results and discussion

In the calculation we apply data set taken from publication [12], also analyzed in our previous papers [1, 2, 13]. The most important data are presented in Table 1 and used to
calculate values of entropy according to equation (2) and (3) and distances to the first data set according to equations (6) and (8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BOD₅</th>
<th>0–10 g O₂/m³</th>
<th>11–20 g O₂/m³</th>
<th>21–30 g O₂/m³</th>
<th>&gt; 30 g O₂/m³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>swimming ciliates</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>attached ciliates</td>
<td>1969</td>
<td>3712</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>1392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>crawling ciliates</td>
<td>5862</td>
<td>5284</td>
<td>5198</td>
<td>1606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all ciliates</td>
<td>1449</td>
<td>1535</td>
<td>1263</td>
<td>1142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rotifers</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>flagellates</td>
<td>9280</td>
<td>10531</td>
<td>7938</td>
<td>4140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amoebas</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>6354</td>
<td>2318</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>others</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all</td>
<td>4785</td>
<td>4199</td>
<td>4463</td>
<td>6399</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In rows: S - species richness (number of species), nᵢ - total number of individuals in cm³ of wastewater (after multiplication by 10⁷ in dm³), others - the rest of organisms representing Metazoa: nematodes, oligochaetes, tardigrades, gastrotriches, arachnids, copepods, cladocers, turbellarians.

Table 1

Fig. 1. Comparison of different distance measures for data distributions
Results are presented in Figure 1. It is clearly visible that distance measures presented here much more differentiate the data set than entropy measure. As such, the presented models can be used more effectively to parameterize measurement data distributions than entropy measures. Due to the possibility to generate measures according to the functional form of the response function in (4) one can find the best distance measure for the certain purpose. For the presented data set the measure (8) is differentiating data sets best of all applied methods.

Conclusion

Based on the calculation presented here the following conclusions are offered:

- As a result of the presented method the data sets used in our studies were successfully distinguished, as it was shown at Figure 1.
- Evidently, the most significant differences in microorganisms communities are visible when model described by equation (8) was applied.
- Entropy and distance measures should be appropriately chosen for complex data sets comparison. Equation (4) with accompanying theory allows to generate distance measures according to the data set character. It shows up that the distance measure (8) is best fitted to recognize differences in microbial distribution functions described here.
- In the situation of the necessity of subtle differences in compared taksonocenosis structures perception, basing on the distance determination method, the most suitable method seems to be the model presented in equation (8).
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**POMIARY ODLEGŁOŚCI I PODOBIEŃSTWA TAKSOCENOZ W ASPEKCIE BIOINDYKAJCJI SYSTEMÓW Oczyszczenia Ścieków Osadem Czynnym**
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**Abstrakt:** Rezultaty pomiarów bioindykacyjnych mogą stanowić przydatne uzupełnienie, a niekiedy nawet alternatywę dla pomiarów fizykochemicznych wykonywanych w celach kontroli i sterowania procesami oczyszczania ścieków. Pomiary liczności odpowiednio dobranych populacji organizmów saprobiontowych zasiedlających poszczególne urządzenia oczyszczalni ścieków mogą być relatywnie proste i tanie. Stąd też wykorzystujące je metody pomiarowe są możliwe do zastosowania zarówno do oceny jakości ścieków dopływających do oczyszczalni, oczyszczanych w kolejnych urządzeniach ciągu technologicznego, jak i odpowiadających po oczyszczeniu do odbiornika. Niektóre ze wspomnianych metod pozwalają ocenić kompozycję zbiorowisk saprobów na podstawie liczności poszczególnych gatunków. Jednakże podział całej populacji saprobiontów na grupy funkcjonalno-morfoologiczne umożliwia znaczne uproszczenie pomiarów oraz wykonywanie ich in situ. Ze względu na fakt, iż pomiar struktury całej biocenozy saprobów jest trudny do realizacji, identyfikacja wybranych taksozenoz może być wykorzystana do celów bioindykacyjnych. Ciągle otwartą kwestią pozostaje, jak najlepiej porównywać pomiędzy sobą zbiorowiska saprobów złożone z grup o różnej liczności. Używając istniejących metod oceny bioróżnorodności, uzyskać można wartości liczbowe indeksów i wskaźników mniej lub bardziej różnicujące porównywane między sobą zgrupowania organizmów. W niniejszym opracowaniu autorzy wykorzystują sposób opisu odległości korzystające z definicji entropii w celu jak najlepszego zróżnicowania zbiorowisk, złożonych z grup morfologiczno-funkcjonalnych, do których sklasyfikowano organizmy byjące w ściekach o różnym stopniu zanieczyszczenia. Prezentowane procedury pozwalają określić, jak daleko - w kategoriach przyjętych miar odległości - znajdują się od siebie rozkłady analizowanych zbiorów i odpowiednio je zróżnicować.
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