THE INFLUENCE OF HOUSING ARRANGEMENT AND INTERFERENCE ON PRELOAD AND THEORETICAL LIFETIME OF A SYSTEM OF TAPER ROLLER BEARINGS OF A HIGH SPEED SHAFT OF A WIND TURBINE GEARBOX

INTRODUCTION

According to the data presented in Fig. 1 (1), the most common wind turbine gearbox failures are those of the third-high-speed (HS) stage, being responsible for 64% of all failure cases (1).

The consequences of gearbox failures are very expensive, and extensive studies are carried out aimed at solving the existing problem.

The authors analysed the bearing failures of a 1.5 MW wind turbine with frequent HSS bearing system failures (2). As a result, an alternative bearing system was proposed (Fig. 2) (3). The system of two taper roller bearings with an appropriate pre-load is hoped to be a remedy against sliding of roller elements, often reported as one of important reasons of premature bearing failures (4).
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Abstract

A system of two taper roller bearings can carry loads with a high ratio of axial load to radial load. Such a system was proposed for a wind turbine gearbox following the poor durability of original bearing design with the aim of increasing durability. Because of size limits, a proposed system is composed of two different taper roller bearings. Standard manufacturers’ catalogues do not provide information on recommended pre-load or clearance conditions or the durability as a function of pre-load. That was the reason why durability was calculated on the basis of software provided by one of the manufacturers. The analysis presented in the paper shows the relationship between bearing fits, preload values, and the theoretical durability of the bearing.
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Because of space limitations and the requirement of minimizing necessary modifications of other parts of the gearbox, the proposed system utilizes two different roller element bearings. In such a system, specifying an optimum value of operational clearance is crucial, as shown qualitatively in the graphs provided by bearing manufacturers (Fig. 3) (5).

During the calculations, the spring preload was a varying input factor, from 0 kN to 25 kN with an increment of 1000 N, and further with a step of 5000 N to 50 kN. Additionally, unstable load conditions, due to changing wind, were considered. On the basis of wind speed data and the power curve for the wind turbine, five working ranges were applied and presented in Table 1.
RESULTS

Performed calculations provided thorough information about system behaviour under given conditions. The results concerning roller-raceway contact were investigated.

The first limiting condition when selecting the proper preload was the minimum radial load. While the bearing load exceeds a threshold value, the bearing operation without slippage is ensured. The value of minimal radial load is given by bearing manufacturer as \( P > 0.02 \). With the use of formula (7) and load capacity of 32230-A and 30230-A bearings, we can evaluate the minimum equivalent dynamic bearing load of 14.8 kN and 9.3 kN, respectively for each of the bearings. The results of equivalent dynamic load evaluated for the 32230 bearing as a function of preload considered as axial load are shown in Fig. 5. Results for the 32230-A bearing show that, in the cases of higher power output (over 50%), regardless of spring preload, the minimum radial load condition is fulfilled. Load Case 5 is represented in the graph by 0%, corresponding to the machine downtime due to insufficient wind speed. This case is not taken into consideration for the assumption of preload values, as fatigue wear does not occur in this situation. Therefore, the value of 5 kN assumed as the minimum required preload is based on Load Case 4 (25%). In the case of the 30230-A bearing, differences between the results of subsequent load cases are insignificant, since the preload force that generates the axial load of this bearing is independent from the load case. The cut-off point when the condition of minimum equivalent load is fulfilled can be assumed as 9 kN. This value determines the final value of the required preload.

Further analysis of the system was focused on reference life rating values (Fig. 6). The nominal life rating of the cylindrical roller bearing is constant regardless of the preload and has a theoretical value of approximately 52 years.

The impact of increasing preload is visible on life rating results of tapered roller bearings. For the required 9 kN of preload, the bearings have very high life rating values, exceeding several times the value of life rating for cylindrical roller bearing. Preload in this system acts as an additional axial force that needs to be carried by the bearings. It is significant that the life rating of both tapered roller bearings decreases with increasing axial preload. The situation where the rating is lower than that of existing cylindrical roller bearings is undesirable. The preload values corresponding to this situation are 22 and 25 kN, because it is visible in Fig. 6. This indicates the need of using a preload lower than 22 kN.

Fig. 6. Nominal reference life rating of bearings in the system (acc. to ISO/TS 16281:2008)

The need of using the initial preload can also be observed in the distribution of loads between rollers (Fig. 7). According to the literature (4), the use of preloaded tapered roller bearings allows the simultaneous load of the rows of rollers of both bearings under external axial load. Load distributions of both tapered roller bearings without preload, and in two cases of 5 kN and 22 kN preload, are presented in the figure. Bearing 32230 is constantly subjected to external axial force and all rollers of this bearing are engaged with the raceway. The shapes of the graphs are similar between cases with the exception of the values. It is different in case of the 30230 bearing, where, without preload the rollers are not loaded and may be prone to sliding with respect raceways during operation. At a higher preload, a more uniform distribution, advantageous for bearing life, can be observed. For 5 kN preload, the situation changes, most of the rollers become engaged with the raceways, and for the higher preload, similarly to the other bearing, all elements support the bearing.
A general recommendation, according to catalogues in a system of two taper bearings in which, due to a special ring installed between bearings, an initial axial clearance is provided (A at Fig. 8). After mounting the bearings with an interference fit, this provides an optimum operating condition. In the case of two bearing of different sizes, in a face to face arrangement, there are no specific guidelines for setting the clearance value, and it was chosen as equal to the value for matched pair of similar size, i.e. 280–330 µm (7).

During the mounting of the bearings, in the interference between the bearing bore and the shaft, the inner rings of bearings expand, decreasing the clearance and further generating preload, as shown in Fig. 9.

The radial expansion of the inner ring due to fitting is equal to \( \Delta d = 0.8\ U \), (7) where \( U \) is the value of theoretical radial interference of the fitted parts.
The ratio between axial \( (s_a) \) and radial \( (s_r) \) clearance for tapered roller bearings is given by manufacturer (7):

\[
\frac{s_a}{s_r} = 4.6 \cdot Y_0
\]

For both tapered roller bearings used in the study, the value of \( Y_0 \) factor is equal to 1.38, yielding the ratio \( s_a/s_r = 6.348 \). With the assumption of the expansion of the bearing ring equal to the reduction of the radial clearance \( (s_r = \Delta d) \), and equal expansion between bearings \( (s_{r1} = s_{r2}) \), the formula for theoretical needed interference can be presented as follows:

\[
U = \frac{s_a/2}{0.8 \cdot 4.6 \cdot Y_0}
\]

The value \( S_a \) is based on the calculations and is assumed as the sum of displacements of the bearings. Therefore, the relationship between radial interference \( (U) \) and the achievable preload value was calculated, based on the values of bearing displacements, for extreme values of internal clearance \( U_{280\,\mu m} \) and \( U_{330\,\mu m} \) and is presented in Fig. 10.

Radial interference necessary for the removal of the internal axial clearance \( (A) \) is equal to 27.6 and 32.5 \( \mu m \), respectively, for \( 280 \, \mu m \) and \( 330 \, \mu m \) values of the clearance. Therefore, the preload does not exist below these values of interference. The tolerance of the shaft can be specified on the basis of the manufacturer’s recommendations as \( k5 \) fitting, for lightly loaded bearing \( (C/P < 10) \). The use of such tolerance for the shaft diameter results in interference values range of 3–46 \( \mu m \) and the probable interference of 32 \( \mu m \), shown as vertical lines in Fig. 10. For the first margin of the range of the interference (3 \( \mu m \)), no preload will be obtained. Depending on the size of the initial clearance, the probable interference (32 \( \mu m \)) will result in an insufficient preload for smaller axial clearance and no working preload for the case of 330 \( \mu m \) clearance. For the case with minimum bearing bore diameter and maximum size of the shaft (interference equal to 46 \( \mu m \)), the preload will exceed 40 kN for the initial axial clearance of 330 \( \mu m \), and a preload over 50 kN, which is outside of the range, will be obtained for a smaller initial axial clearance of 280 \( \mu m \). The desirable interference ranges are mutually exclusive for the extreme internal clearance values. For \( 280 \, \mu m \) axial clearance, the interference should be between 33.1–36.8 \( \mu m \) and for \( 330 \, \mu m \) within the range 38–41.7 \( \mu m \). A summary of the bearings operating conditions under given interference is presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of radial interference [( \mu m )]</th>
<th>Over 280 ( \mu m )</th>
<th>Incl. 280 ( \mu m )</th>
<th>330 ( \mu m )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>No preload – risk of roller sliding</td>
<td>No preload – risk of roller sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.6 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>Insufficient preload – risk of roller sliding</td>
<td>Insufficient preload – risk of roller sliding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.5 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>Proper preload</td>
<td>Proper preload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.1 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>Proper preload</td>
<td>Proper preload</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.8 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Assumed preload exceeded – danger of low life rating value with increasing interference</td>
<td>Assumed preload exceeded – danger of low life rating value with increasing interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>Assumed preload exceeded – danger of low life rating value with increasing interference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.7 ( \mu m )</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Assumed preload exceeded – danger of low life rating value with increasing interference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This shows that precise geometrical control of the preload value is impossible in the analysed case. For more accurate regulation of the preload value, it might be more feasible to use force generated preload in the
form of springs or threads instead of geometrical control suggested by the bearing manufacturer. This could regulate the real amount of preload more accurately, avoiding the problem of too large tolerances in the shaft-bearing connection.

With the correlation between the preload and the radial interference, the relationship between life rating and the interference can be obtained. Figure 11 shows the relationship for 280 µm initial axial clearance (A). Between 0 and 27.6 µm interference, the interference reduces the axial clearance, over that value, excessive interference starts to generate preload. Therefore, the shape of the curve can be correlated with the left arm of the graph in Fig. 3.

CONCLUSIONS

Calculations show that with the increase of the preload, the rating life of the bearing system of the high-speed shaft in wind turbine can significantly change. The increase of preload results in the decrease of life rating values. Tapered roller bearings, subjected to low values of initial preload, present high values of calculated rating life, exceeding several times the rating life of the cylindrical roller bearing used in the system. The calculations do take into consideration the problem of insufficient load of the bearings, which is a determining factor while choosing preload. The determination of proper preload allows one to calculate proper fitting for the system, allowing preferable operating conditions. The proper radial interference value of the joint can be theoretically calculated, but the high sensitivity of tapered roller bearing to radial fit makes it impossible to provide required values of the preload for the whole tolerance field. There might be a necessity of the introduction of a different system of generating preload by springs or thread in order to obtain precise values of desired preload.
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