Aeromonas hydrophila subsp. dhakensis – a causative agent of gastroenteritis imported into the Czech Republic
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Abstract
Out of the twenty-one A. hydrophila complex isolates obtained during a routine examination of human diarrhoeal faeces, two A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis isolates (P1097 = CCM 7329 and P1165) were successfully identified by ribotyping. The correct taxonomic position of the A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis CCM 7329 was verified by cpn60 sequencing (GeneBank accession number HM536193). The remaining A. hydrophila complex isolates were identified as A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila. The ability of biochemical tests and fatty acid methyl ester analysis to reliably discern both A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis and A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila was limited. In contrast to the A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila, the faecal isolates of A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis did not produce acid from arbutin. When compared in a two-dimensional plot, the A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis faecal isolates contained higher amounts of the two minor fatty acids C13:0 and C17:1 ω8c than the A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila reference strain. This is the first detected occurrence of the less frequent A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis in our region and ribotyping was proved as a suitable method for the identification of A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis.
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INTRODUCTION

Aeromonads are ubiquitous micro-organisms, they occur in both fresh and saline waters and in soil [1]. Members of the genus Aeromonas are mentioned as the causative agents of intestinal and extra-intestinal infections in both immunocompetent and immunocompromised patients [2]. Clinical Aeromonas strains are known to be isolated mainly from gastroenteritis cases. Wound infections or septicemia due to aeromonads have also been reported [3, 4]. Nowadays, owing to many changes in the Aeromonas taxonomy, there are 25 valid species of genus Aeromonas [5, 6]. The occurrence of a new species or subspecies increases also in human clinical material. Besides the traditional species of Aeromonas hydrophila, Aeromonas caviae or Aeromonas veronii biovar Sobria, other species, such as Aeromonas jandaei, Aeromonas veronii biovar Veronii or Aeromonas schubertii, were recognized as human pathogens [7]. Among the rare species causing gastroenteritis, Aeromonas media, Aeromonas encheleia and Aeromonas bestiarum were included as well [8].

Changes in taxonomy affected also the A. hydrophila species, commonly being isolated from clinical samples, animals and the environment [6, 9, 10]. From 2002, two subspecies of A. hydrophila exist: A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis and A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila [9]. Description of A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis was based on isolates which came mainly from gastroenteritis cases from Dhaka, Bangladesh. In 2003 the isolates from septicemic farmed frogs from Thailand were described as the last, third subspecies A. hydrophila subsp. ranae [10].

Only two subspecies, A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis and A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila, occurred in human clinical material and belonged to the same phylogenetic group [9]. Biochemical identification of aeromonads to the species level is difficult [11, 12, 13]. At present it is recommended that routine isolates recovered from uncomplicated cases of gastroenteritis should be identified at least as belonging to one of the “complexes” – such as A. hydrophila complex (comprises A. hydrophila, A. bestiarum, A. popoffii, A. salmonicida), A. caviae complex (A. caviae, A. media, A. eucrenophila) or A. sobria complex (A. veronii biovar Sobria, A. jandaei, A. schubertii, A. enteropelogenes) [9, 10, 11, 12].

Faecal isolates that are classified as A. hydrophila complex are regularly regarded as the A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila. The aim of our study was to prove if A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis can also be isolated from human faeces and thus be involved in diarrhoeal diseases in our region. Because biochemical identification is still frequently used in routine clinical laboratories, we tried to prove the reliability of biochemical tests to discern A. hydrophila subspecies. For confirmation of biotyping results, ribotyping, cellular fatty acid analysis and cpn60 sequencing were chosen.

Received: 18 September 2011; accepted: 13 June 2012

Address for correspondence: Ivo Sedláček, Czech Collection of Microorganisms, Department of Experimental Biology, Masaryk University, Tvrdeho 14, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic.
E-mail: ivo@scm.muni.cz

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine 2012, Vol 19, No 3, 409-413
www.aem.pl
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains

The group of intestinal aeromonads was isolated during a routine survey of human faeces samples from cases of acute diarrhoea in 2003 and 2004. Faeces samples were inoculated on MacConkey, Deoxycholate citrate agar (both Merck) and in addition to select aeromonads from other intestinal flora on Ampicillin Blood Agar (Columbia Blood Agar Base, Merck, with 10% of sheep’s blood and 20 mg/L of ampicillin) and Aeromonas agar (Bile Salt Irgasan Brilliant Green Agar) [14]. Faeces samples were cultivated at 36°C for 18-24 hours and typical colonies were isolated. Oxidase-positive, glucose-fermenting Gram-negative rods, resistant to vibriostatic agent O/129 (150 μg, Oxoid) were considered as presumptive Aeromonas spp. and decided upon for phenotypic identification to the species level.

Twenty-one faecal isolates, which were classified as A. hydrophila complex, were further studied. Stock cultures were stored on glass beads at -70°C in Nutrient broth (Merck) supplemented with glycerol 15% v/v. The following reference cultures were obtained from the Czech Collection of Microorganisms (www.sci.muni.cz/ccm): A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila CCM 7232T, CCM 2280 and CCM 4528, A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis CCM 7146T, A. hydrophila subsp. ranae CCM 7147T, A. bestiarum CCM 4707T and A. popoffii CCM 4708T.

Phenotyping

A 10% blood agar (Columbia Blood Agar Base, Merck) was used for cultivation and also to prove beta-haemolysis. Strains were grown at 36°C for 18-24 hours. The biochemical tests were incubated at 36°C and the final results were evaluated after 24-48 hours. All cultivations were carried out in an ambient atmosphere. Both hydrolysis of esculine and gluconate utilization were performed as previously described [15]. Hydrolysis of gelatine and Tween 80 were performed by Páčová and Kocur [16]. The acidification of L-arabinose, arbutin, D-mannose and salicin (all Sigma-Aldrich) were tested in Hottinger’s broth (tryptose 10 g/L, NaCl 5 g/L, K2HPO4 1 g/L; pH 7.4). Bromthymol blue solution (4 ml/L) was dissolved in 40% ethanol) was used to show DNase activity profiles (Library Generation Software) [22].

Ribotyping

Ribotyping (21 faecal isolates from A. hydrophila complex and 7 reference cultures) was performed using EcoRI and PvuII restriction enzymes (Bio Labs) and a DNA probe complementary to 16S and 23S rRNA (Roche Diagnostics) according to Švec et al. [17]. Cluster analysis of ribotype profiles was performed using the BioNumerics v. 6.5 software (Applied-Maths). The dendrogram was calculated with Dice coefficients with the unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA). An optimisation value of 0.5% was automatically determined by the BioNumerics software.

cpn60 sequencing

DNA isolation was performed by phenol-chloroform DNA extraction [17]. The PCR mix and primer sequences were used according to Miñana-Galbis et al. [8]. The thermal cycling conditions were 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 s (180 s for the first cycle), annealing at 55°C for 30 s, and polymerization at 72°C for 60 s (300 s for the last cycle). The 555-bp cpn60 gene sequences were purified using the High Pure PCR product purification kit (Roche Diagnostics) and sequencing was performed by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). The multiple alignments were obtained by using CLUSTAL W software [18]. The phylogenetic tree construction was performed using MEGA4 software, version 4 [19], through neighbour-joining [20]. The reference cpn60 gene sequences of the Aeromonas species used in Miñana-Galbis et al. [8] were downloaded from GenBank.

Whole-cell fatty acid analysis

Cellular fatty acid analysis was done using Microbial Identification System [MIS] Sherlock (MIDI, Inc., Newark, DE, USA). Strains for whole-cell fatty acid analysis were grown on Trypticase soy broth (BBL, Becton Dickinson) solidified with agar (15 g/L, Difco, Becton Dickinson) for 48 hours at 28°C in ambient atmosphere. One loop of freshly growing culture was transferred into the tubes with Teflon-lined screw caps, then converted to methyl esters by means of heated alkaline hydrolysis and extracted. Saponification, methylation and extraction of the cellular fatty acids were performed according to the operating manual of the MIS Sherlock [21]. The samples were analysed on a 25 m by 0.2 mm phenyl-methyl siloxan capillary column using an HP 6890 gas chromatograph equipped with a flame-ionisation detector. Peaks of gained fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) profiles were named with the aid of available MIS Sherlock software. To compare the obtained FAME profiles, a cluster analysis was used to create the dendrogram, as well as the two-dimensional plot cluster analysis technique, which uses principal component analysis to separate groups of samples in n-dimensional spaces to find relationships among fatty acid profiles (Library Generation Software) [22].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty one aeromonads isolated from human faeces were classified into the A. hydrophila complex level according to the positive results of the following conventional tests: hydrolysis of esculine, Voges-Proskauer test and production of gas from glucose [12]. All these strains were further recognized as A. hydrophila species by positive acid production from L-arabinose and salicin and negative acid production from sorbitol [11], as well as by ENTEROtest 24 kit results and proposed for further identification to the subspecies level.

We went on to discern A. hydrophila subsp. hydrophila and A. hydrophila subsp. dhakensis also according to their
phenotype and thus found differences in phenotypic results useful for routine laboratories. As we have found, none of the thirty-six phenotypic test results were unique for any of the above-named subspecies. Interestingly, almost all *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* strains produced acid from arbutin, whereas *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* strains did not; the results of acid production from arbutin have never been mentioned previously [9]. Only one *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* faecal isolate was negative for arbutin (eighteen *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* faecal isolates and all *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* reference strain produced acid from arbutin). Therefore, the inability to produce acid from arbutin seems to distinguish *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* from *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila*.

Production of acid from L-arabinose is one of the four key characteristics useful for the classification to the *Aeromonas* spp. As mentioned before [9], also in our study *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* strains did not produce acid from L-arabinose. In contrast to the previous results from Huys et al. [9], we have observed three *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* strains which did not produce acid from L-arabinose (P940, P954, CCM 7232\(^2\)) and the reliability of the test remains unclear.

Among the methods used for separation of faecal isolates from *A. hydrophila* complex, ribotyping appeared to be the most appropriate tool. Using the EcoRI restriction enzyme, the dendrogram of 28 ribotyping patterns showed two major clusters at a similarity of approximately 55%; reference strains *A. bestiarum* CCM 4707\(^2\) and *A. popoffii* CCM 4708\(^2\) were separated from the others (Fig. 1). Out of the twenty-one *A. hydrophila* complex faecal isolates, two strains P1097 (=CCM 7329) and P1165 were identified as *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* based on the cluster analysis of ribotype patterns in comparison with bands of reference culture CCM 7146\(^3\) and they represented the first cluster. The remaining nineteen faecal isolates were identified as *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* on the basis of ribotyping and they are arranged in the second cluster together with a chosen three reference strains of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* (Fig. 1). This second cluster comprising the majority of analysed strains was divided into several sub-clusters with a similarity of about 70% and the bands were located between 1.80 and 22.00 Kb. No member of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *ranae* was proved in the analysed group of faecal aeromonads. All faecal isolates and reference cultures from *A. hydrophila* complex showed very diverse ribotype patterns and thus ribotyping ensured the sufficient differentiation of these taxa. The EcoRI ribotype patterns of both *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* faecal strains were identical. About a 1.0 Kb restriction fragment was observed in all *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* ribotype patterns (Fig. 1), but was absent among ribotypes of all analyzed *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* strains. Otherwise, *Pvu*I ribotype patterns of analyzed *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* cultures (Fig. 2) showed ten bands placed between approximately 2.1 and 15.0 Kb.限制片段 profile of faecal isolates *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* (Fig. 2) showed ten bands placed between approximately 2.1 and 15.0 Kb. Restriction fragment profiles of faecal isolates *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* (Fig. 2) showed ten bands placed between approximately 2.1 and 15.0 Kb. Restriction fragment profiles of faecal isolates *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* (Fig. 2) showed ten bands placed between approximately 2.1 and 15.0 Kb.

---

**Figure 1.** Dendrogram based on cluster analysis of ribotype patterns of *Aeromonas hydrophila* complex obtained with EcoRI and a probe complementary to 16S and 23S rRNA of *Escherichia coli*
subsp. *dhakensis* (P1097 = CCM 7329 and P1165) obtained with PvuII endonuclease were slightly different from the profile of type strain *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* CCM 7146. Ribotyping demonstrated a close relationship among *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* cultures with coincident heterogeneity between type strain and faecal strains.

The DNA sequencing and phylogenetic tree construction was performed to verify the efficient of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* identification by the ribotyping method. The 555-bp *cpn60* gene sequence of the isolate P1097 (= CCM 7329), GeneBank accession number HM536193, was compared with the reference *cpn60* gene sequences of the *Aeromonas* spp. in accordance with Miñana-Galbis et al. [8]. The method demonstrated a close relation between faecal isolate P1097 (= CCM 7329) and type strain of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* (EU306806) on a 98.7% similarity (Fig. 3). The discrimination based on *cpn60* sequence divergence of *Aeromonas* species was established, the intraspecies divergence rates were ≤ 3.5%, while interspecies divergence rates ranged from 3.7 to 16.9% [8]. As the dendrogram shows, the *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* sequence was clearly separated from *Aeromonas* subsp. *hydrophila* and *A. hydrophila* subsp. *ranae* sequences.

Cellular fatty acid composition has been successfully used for the differentiation of *Aeromonas* species before [23]. As expected, the high relative amounts of the saturated fatty acid C16:0 were detected in all twenty-one faecal isolates, which was described as a typical feature for *A. hydrophila* species [25]. The FAME profiles of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* faecal isolates were recognized as the most similar to the *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* type strain (data not shown). The classification of an unknown *A. hydrophila* isolate as the presumptive *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* according to the fatty acid analysis results was tested using a two-dimensional plot. The position in the plot of each isolate or strain is based on the percentage of the two minor fatty acids C13:0 and C17:1ω8c (Fig. 4). All three type strains (*A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila*, *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* and *A. hydrophila* subsp. *ranae*) were well-distinguished from each other. Both *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* faecal isolates were positioned beside the *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* type strain. Almost all *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* faecal isolates (excepting P940, P947, P954) clustered together with the *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* type strain. Comparing *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* and *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* the higher amount of two named minor fatty acids – C13:0 and C17:1ω8c – can signalize the possible presence of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* isolate.

This is the first detected occurrence of the *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* in our region proved by ribotyping and *cpn60* sequencing results. Up to now, all known *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* isolates came from Dhaka, Bangladesh. Isolates originated mainly from children who suffered from watery diarrhea; the majority of isolates demonstrated strong haemolytic and cytotoxic activity. Rarely, some isolates came from healthy control samples or from the surface water [24]. We concluded that isolated *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* occurred in our region most probably as an imported case of the infection: after returning from Egypt, a 25-year old man suffered from the acute profuse diarrhoea for ten days. To examine the reason for the infection, two faecal samples in an interval of fourteen days were examined. Repeatedly, *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* was determined as the sole causative agent (isolate P1097 = CCM 7329 and isolate P1165) – no other diarrhoeal pathogens (such as *Salmonella* spp., *Shigella* spp., *Yersinia enterocolitica* or *Campylobacter* spp.) were isolated. The patient recovered after symptomatic treatment with intestinal anti-infective agents. Subsequent epidemiological investigation by ribotyping proved that both *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* faecal isolates represent two isolates of one strain. Isolate P1097 was deposited in the Czech Collection of Microorganisms as CCM 7329.

To our knowledge, no other references regarding *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* isolates connected with diarrhoeal disease have been published with the exception of the subspecies description given by Huys et al. [9]. The
faecal *Aeromonas*-isolates come typically from very young children or old people, isolation of aeromonads as a causative agent of the gastroenteritis from a young man is exceptional. It is known that aeromonads do cause gastroenteritis [25], but, on the other hand, strains of the genus *Aeromonas* occur in the faeces of people both with and without diarrhoea [26].

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our survey indicates that *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* can occur in our region in central Europe as an imported illness. For a better knowledge of which taxa are responsible for serious cases of acute diarrhoea, a differentiation method useful in clinical laboratories among *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* and *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* will be helpful [27]. *A. hydrophila* strains which did not produce acid from arbutin or contain higher amounts of C_{15} and C_{17:1}ω8c fatty acids (comparing with *A. hydrophila* subsp. *hydrophila* reference strains) can be marked as presumptive *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis*. Members of *A. hydrophila* subsp. *dhakensis* could be easily identified by other discriminative methods such as realized ribotyping (*EcoRI* restriction enzyme) or cpn60 gene sequencing.
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