Allometric scaling of marbofloxacin pharmacokinetics: a retrospective analysis
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Abstract

The association between physiologically dependent pharmacokinetic parameters (CL&beta;, T<sub>1/2</sub>&beta;, V<sub>dss</sub>) of marbofloxacin and body weight was studied in eight animal species based on allometric equation Y = aW<sup>b</sup>, where ‘Y’ is the pharmacokinetic parameter, ‘W’ is body weight, ‘a’ is allometric coefficient (intercept) and ‘b’ is the exponent that describes relation between pharmacokinetic parameter and body weight. The body clearance of marbofloxacin has shown significant (P < 0.0001) relation with size (Bwt) in various animal species. However, half-life and volume of distribution were not in association with body weight. Although half-life and volume of distribution were not in a good correlation with body weight, statistically significant association between the body clearance and body weight suggests validity of allometric scaling for predicting pharmacokinetic parameters of marbofloxacin in animal species that have not been studied yet. However further study considering large sample size and other parameters influencing pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin is recommended.
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Introduction

Marbofloxacin is a fluoroquinolone developed for the treatment of animals (Schneider et al. 1996). It has some pharmacokinetic (PK) advantages such as a long elimination half-life, a large volume of distribution and a high bioavailability over other floroquinolones (Fitton 1992). Pharmacokinetics of a drug could be affected by a number of physiological, pathological and pharmacological processes. For instance, the influence of weight or obesity has been described as one of the physiological factors that can contribute to variation of drug pharmacokinetics (Matthew et al. 2008). In addition, body size and related variation in pharmacokinetic parameters describing elimination rates have been observed for a range of drugs (Kirkwood and Merriam 1990).

The allometric technique helps to establish quan-
ititative relationships between drug disposition and body weight or organ perfusion rate (Lepiste and Jusco 2004). Thus, allometric scaling has been used for drug development, estimation of pharmacokinetic parameters of drugs in animal species with no previous pharmacokinetic data and comparison of pharmacokinetics difference of a drug between different species of animals (Mahmood and Balian 1999, Dinev 2008). The allometric scaling based on the principle that major physiologic processes are related to body weight raised to allometric exponent (Riviere 1999). Hence, the association of physiologically dependent pharmacokinetics parameters such as clearance, volume of distribution and half-life of drugs with body weight has been previous studied (Cox 2004, 2007).

Although the potential inaccuracy of allometric scaling is detailed in the previous studies (Riviere et al. 1997, Martinez et al. 2009), allometric scaling has been proven as useful for some drugs and species (KuKanich et al. 2004, Maxwell and Jacobson 2008). In this study, different animal species showing variations in pharmacokinetic parameters (CL_B, V_dss and T_{1/2}β) of marbofloxacin were included to assess an association between pharmacokinetic parameters and body weight.

### Materials and Methods

Allometric scaling of marbofloxacin was performed based on the pharmacokinetic data published in the previous studies (Table 1). Only data analyzed by HPLC and obtained from plasma or serum samples after intravenous administration were included in the study. The data for elimination half-life (T_{1/2}β), volume of distribution at steady state (V_dss), total body clearance (CL_B) of marbofloxacin were included to assess an association between pharmacokinetic parameters and body weight.

The values of body weight, CL_{B}, T_{1/2}β and V_{dss} were converted into logarithmic values and regression analysis for body weight and PK parameters was performed using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). Logarithmically transformed PK parameters (Y) and body weight (W) were fitted with the equation.

$$\log Y = c + b \log W$$

Where 'Y' is the parameter of interest, 'W' is the body weight and 'c' and 'b' are the slope and intercept respectively. The following allometric equation was then applied.

$$Y = aW^b$$

Where 'a' is the anti-logarithm of 'c'. Log-log plots of body weight V_{s}, CL_{B}, T_{1/2}β and V_{dss} were constructed.

Correlation coefficient (r^2) and P values were obtained from the calculation of each correlation. Allo- metric equation was derived and used to predict the pharmacokinetic parameters for each animal and the accuracy of extrapolated values was expressed as mean error (M.E %) using the equation described elsewhere (Catells et al. 2001).

$$M.E = \frac{E-0}{E} \times 100$$

Where E is extrapolated value and O is observed value.

### Results

The allometric relationship between clearance, volume of distribution, half-life and body weight are shown in Figs. 1, 2 and 3. The relationship observed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Weight (kg)</th>
<th>T_{1/2}β (h)</th>
<th>CL_B (L/Kg/h)</th>
<th>V_dss (L/Kg)</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.18 ± 1.09</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.15</td>
<td>Waxman et al. 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>387.5</td>
<td>8.88 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.09</td>
<td>González et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>7.98 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.15</td>
<td>Albarellos et al. 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.96 ± 1.54</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.09</td>
<td>Sidhu et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.14</td>
<td>Ismail and El-Kattan, 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbits</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>5.78 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.65 ± 0.21</td>
<td>Abo-El-Sooud and Goudah, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.08 ± 6.25</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.32 ± 1.00</td>
<td>Our study (not published)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>7.94 ± 1.21</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.14</td>
<td>Ding et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Allometric association for marbofloxacin between clearance and body weight in different animal species.

Fig. 2. Allometric association for marbofloxacin between volume of distribution and body weight in different animal species.

Fig. 3. Allometric association for marbofloxacin between half-life and body weight in different animal species.
Table 2. Marbofloxacin clearance, half life and volume of distribution values for allometric equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>r²</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clearance</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Half life</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of distribution</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N – sample size, a – intercept, b – slope, r² – coefficient of determination

Table 3. Parameters of marbofloxacin (values and mean error) extrapolated from allometric equations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>CL (L/h)</th>
<th>Vss(L)</th>
<th>T1/2 (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>value</td>
<td>M.E (%)</td>
<td>value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>94.55</td>
<td>83.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey</td>
<td>43.29</td>
<td>99.76</td>
<td>887.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>5.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>7.076</td>
<td>93.21</td>
<td>140.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf</td>
<td>17.44</td>
<td>99.98</td>
<td>352.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>-12.84</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>-115.78</td>
<td>14.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pig</td>
<td>9.611</td>
<td>98.75</td>
<td>191.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Allometric estimates for marbofloxacin clearance and volume of distribution observed in the present study are in line with previously reported values (Cox 2007). However, estimates for marbofloxacin half-life across species (t1/2, b = 1.15) (Table 2) in this study is contrary to values presented in other study (Cox 2007). Theoretically expected allometric exponent values for clearance, half-life and volume of distribution of a drug that primarily cleared through kidney are 0.75, 0.25 (1 – 0.75) and 0.67-1 respectively. However, the corresponding values (b > 1) observed in this study (Table 2) for clearance, volume of distribution and half life of marbofloxacin which primarily cleared through the kidney (Fernandez-Varon et al. 2006b) were above the theoretical allometric exponent values.

The correlation (r² = 0.93, P<0.0001) between clearance and body weight observed across different species in this study (Table 2 and Fig. 1) supports previous results that indicated the correlation between body size and pharmacokinetic parameters describing elimination rates of drugs (Kirkwood and Merriam 1990). In addition the correlation between clearance and body weight was in line with previously reported interspecies difference in drug clearance (Boxenbaum 1980, Lin et al. 1982). The scales for clearance, volume of distribution and half life of marbofloxacin observed in this study are in contrary to the scales for other flooroquinolones (Cox 2004, 2007). Although scales for volume of distribution of marbofloxacin was frequently associated with body weight of different animal species (Haritova and Lashev 2009), the results obtained in this study (Table 2) suggest poor association between volume of distribution and body weight among species. This indicates that values obtained from allometric scaling might not always be extrapolated to predict the pharmacokinetics of marbofloxacin for animal species that have never been investigated.

Allometric exponent (1.15) (Table 2) observed in this study for the correlation between half-life of marbofloxacin and body weight of different animal spe-
ties was above values (0.25) reported in previous investigations (Riviere et al. 1997, Bregante et al. 1999, Riviere 1999). Since half-life is a hybrid pharmacokinetic parameter scaling to Vd/Cl, the variation in either of these variables between species could be the cause for the observed results for correlation of marbofloxacin half life and body weight. Based on the present results, it can be concluded that the significant relation of marbofloxacin clearance with body weight implies the direct association of marbofloxacin clearance with body weight among different animal species.
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